STM JOURNALS

International Journal of
Environmental Noise and
Pollution Control

Volume 3, Issue 2, 2025
July–December
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/IJENPC

https://journals.stmjournals.com/ijenpc

Review IJENPC

Climate Change and Air Pollution Dynamics: Synergistic Effects and Mitigation Strategies

Sunidhi Chauhan*

Abstract

Climate change and air pollution are deeply interlinked environmental problems that jointly exacerbate human health, ecosystem integrity, and economic well-being. As global temperatures rise, shifts in meteorological conditions, such as increased heat, altered precipitation, and more frequent extreme weather events, modify pollutant generation, dispersion, chemical transformation, and removal processes. Meanwhile, many sources of air pollution are also sources of greenhouse gases (GHGs), giving rise to potential co-benefits or trade-offs when formulating mitigation strategies. This review synthesizes recent evidence on the synergistic dynamics between climate change and air pollution, examines current mitigation strategies that address both issues, highlights limitations in existing studies, and proposes future directions. Key findings indicate that heat amplifies the mortality and morbidity effects of particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$) and ozone, particularly during heat wave episodes; wildfires and biomass burning, heightened by climate change, contribute large episodic emissions of both short-lived climate pollutants (SLCPs) and conventional pollutants; and changes in atmospheric chemistry, such as higher water vapor and solar radiation, promote ozone formation under warmer conditions. Mitigation measures such as transitioning from fossil fuels to renewables, implementing SLCP-targeted controls (e.g., black carbon, methane), improving energy efficiency, adopting clean transport policies, and enhancing land management and urban planning exhibit strong potential for joint benefits. However, uncertainties remain in projections due to variability in emission pathways, regional heterogeneity in climate and pollutant responses, limited integration of co-exposures (e.g., heat + pollution + allergens), lack of high-resolution data, and insufficient understanding of non-linear interactions. In conclusion, despite challenges, there is considerable scope to design integrated policies that deliver health, environmental, and climate gains simultaneously. Future research should focus on region-specific modeling, improved exposure assessment (especially for vulnerable populations), exploring feedback loops (e.g., pollution → climate change → pollution), and refining cost-benefit analyses to guide decision-making.

Keywords: Climate change, air pollution, synergistic effects, short-lived climate pollutants (SLCPs), ozone and particulate matter, co-benefits and mitigation strategies, health impacts

***Author for Correspondence**
Sunidhi Chauhan
E-mail: sunidhichauhan5002@gmail.com

Student, Department of Biotech, Chaudhary Charan Singh University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

Received Date: October 29, 2025
Accepted Date: November 04, 2025
Published Date: November 07, 2025

Citation: Sunidhi Chauhan. Climate Change and Air Pollution Dynamics: Synergistic Effects and Mitigation Strategies. International Journal of Environmental Noise and Pollution Control. 2025; 3(2): 38–42p.

INTRODUCTION

The interdependence between climate change and air pollution arises not only from shared sources but also from the way these two phenomena interact within the Earth's atmosphere. For instance, higher ambient temperatures accelerate photochemical reactions, leading to increased ground-level ozone formation. Similarly, drier conditions and prolonged heatwaves, fueled by global warming, amplify the risk of wildfires, releasing large quantities of particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}), black carbon, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). These events not only degrade local air quality but also have transboundary effects, influencing regional climate patterns [1–3].

Conversely, several air pollutants act as climate forcers. Black carbon, a component of soot, absorbs sunlight and directly warms the atmosphere. When deposited on snow and ice, it reduces albedo, accelerating melting and further contributing to warming. Methane, another potent short-lived climate pollutant, contributes to ozone formation and has a global warming potential more than 80 times greater than carbon dioxide over a 20-year timeframe. These dual roles complicate efforts to tackle either problem in isolation [4, 5].

This dynamic interplay introduces both challenges and opportunities for environmental governance. For example, targeting short-lived climate pollutants can yield relatively rapid benefits for both climate and air quality, offering a strategic path to near-term improvement in public health outcomes. Similarly, shifting to cleaner energy sources, promoting sustainable urban planning, and enforcing stricter vehicle and industrial emissions standards can simultaneously address greenhouse gas emissions and ambient air pollutant levels [6–8].

However, the effectiveness of such strategies is contingent on regional contexts, socio-economic conditions, technological capabilities, and political will. In some cases, mitigation strategies focused solely on climate change may inadvertently worsen local air quality, for instance, through increased use of biomass fuels or poor land management practices. Therefore, integrated, cross-sectoral policies that consider co-benefits, trade-offs, and equity dimensions are essential [9, 10].

Understanding the synergistic dynamics between air pollution and climate change is critical not only for improving human and environmental health but also for achieving international targets such as the Paris Agreement and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This review aims to critically examine the current scientific understanding of the nexus between climate change and air pollution, explore existing mitigation strategies, evaluate their effectiveness and limitations, and highlight future research directions necessary for integrated, sustainable environmental management [11, 12].

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Health Effects of Combined Exposure

- Studies in India have shown that mortality risk from $PM_{2.5}$ is higher on hotter days; the interaction of pollution and temperature increases mortality beyond what each would cause separately.
- Systematic reviews show moderate evidence for synergistic effects of heat and air pollution on mortality/morbidity; fewer studies combine all exposure types (pollution + heat + pollen), but results point to greater health burden when multiple stressors act together.

Emission Sources and CO_2 Emissions

- Many emission sources (e.g., fossil fuel combustion, biomass burning, industrial processes) release both air pollutants and GHGs. Studies in China's industrial sector reveal increasing synergy over time between CO_2 emissions and pollutants like SO_2 and PM.
- Projections for Chinese cities show that under different policy scenarios, clean air + aggressive climate policies yield significant reductions both in air pollutant and CO_2 emissions.

Meteorology and Chemical Dynamics

- Rising temperatures and changes in atmospheric moisture affect ozone formation and the lifetime of pollutants. Heat waves intensify ozone generation due to increased reaction rates.
- Wildfire incidence, often exacerbated by climate change (higher temperature + drought), contributes large episodic emissions of PM and volatile organic compounds. These can affect air quality regionally and even globally (Implied in various studies).

Policy and Mitigation Studies

- Analyses of policy combinations show that integrating air quality policies with climate policies (e.g., emissions standards + carbon targets + renewable deployment) yields higher co-benefits than isolated interventions.
- Studies measuring synergies in China show that high-polluting industries are especially important targets: reductions here can yield large benefits for both pollutant emissions and carbon emissions.

Modeling and Projection Challenges

- Future projections depend heavily on assumptions concerning emission trajectories, climate scenarios (RCPs or SSPs), background pollutant levels, population vulnerability, and adaptation.
- Spatial heterogeneity: responses differ by region; some areas may see strong increases in ozone while others may experience reductions depending on temperature, precursor emissions, and meteorological changes.

Applications/Mitigation Strategies

From the literature, these mitigation/application strategies emerge:

- *Transitioning to clean energy and renewable sources* (solar, wind, geothermal) to reduce both GHGs and pollutant emissions from fossil fuel combustion.
- *Control of short-lived climate pollutants* such as black carbon, methane, and tropospheric ozone precursors: these yield relatively rapid benefits for both climate forcing and air quality.
- *Improved energy efficiency* in buildings, industry, and transport, reducing fossil fuel demand and associated emissions.
- *Transport policies:* electrification of vehicles, stricter emissions standards, promoting public transport, and non-motorized transport.
- *Land use and forestry practices:* managing wildfires (fire suppression, controlled burns), reforestation and afforestation, avoiding deforestation.
- *Urban planning/built environment design:* increasing green spaces, improving ventilation (urban heat island mitigation), reducing heat retention, designing city layouts that reduce exposure to pollution and heat.
- *Policy integration and governance:* coordinated policies that treat climate change and air quality jointly, cross-sectoral cooperation, and combining multiple policy instruments (regulation, market-based, subsidies, standards).
- *Exposure adaptation strategies:* early warning systems for heat and pollution episodes, improving healthcare access, using building design/materials to reduce indoor exposure, and public awareness.

Limitations

The review of current literature reveals several limitations and challenges:

Uncertainty in Projections

- Models depend heavily on assumptions (future emissions, climate forcing, background levels), which can vary widely.
- Lack of unified scenarios: different studies use different RCP/SSP or baseline scenarios, making comparisons difficult.

Data Gaps

- Many regions (especially low- and middle-income countries) lack high temporal and spatial resolution data for both pollution and climate variables.
- Exposure measurement often does not account for microclimates, indoor exposure, co-exposures (pollution + heat + allergens, etc.).

Complex Interactions and Non-linearity

- Interactions among heat, humidity, pollutant chemistry, and exposure are non-linear and context dependent; few studies capture these fully.
- Feedback loops (e.g., pollution → climate change → altered meteorology → more pollution) are under-studied.

Health Outcome Diversity

- Many studies focus on mortality; fewer on morbidity, sub-clinical effects, and long-term chronic exposures.
- Vulnerable populations (children, the elderly, urban poor) are often not separately studied or considered.

Policy Evaluation and Implementation Challenges

- Difficulty in quantifying the cost-effectiveness of integrated policies.
- Trade-offs possible (e.g., some climate mitigation might have unintended air pollution side effects, or vice versa).
- Governance, political, economic, and social barriers to implementing coordinated strategies.

CONCLUSION

The evidence to date clearly shows that climate change and air pollution interact in ways that amplify negative impacts on health, the environment, and societal welfare. These synergistic effects are most prominent where high temperatures, extreme weather, and pollutant emissions co-occur. Mitigation strategies that target common sources (fossil fuels, biomass burning), reduce SLCPs, improve energy efficiency, and integrate urban planning offer promising co-benefits. Yet, substantial gaps remain, specifically, in regionally-differentiated modeling, in understanding co-exposures, in assessing trade-offs, and in obtaining high-resolution data for vulnerable populations.

Future Scope

To better address the combined challenge of climate change and air pollution, future work should pursue:

1. *High-resolution, localized modeling* that integrates climate projections, emission inventories, meteorological variability, pollutant chemistry, and population vulnerability to more precisely estimate health and environmental impacts.
2. *Longitudinal cohort studies* in diverse settings (geographic, socioeconomic) focusing not only on mortality but chronic morbidity, mental health, developmental impacts in children, etc.
3. *Integrated exposure assessment* including co-exposures (e.g., heat + pollution + allergens), indoor and outdoor exposures, accounting for microclimates and behavioral aspects.
4. *Feedback loop analysis:* exploring how air pollution influences climate (e.g., via radiative forcing, albedo changes, aerosol-cloud interactions) and how that in turn causes further pollution.
5. *Cost-benefit and policy optimization studies:* comparing different combinations of policies (e.g., renewable deployment + pollutant standards + land management) under different socio-economic futures, to find optimal integrated pathways.
6. *Governance, social and equity dimensions:* understanding how the benefits and burdens of synergistic mitigation are distributed, ensuring policy fairness, engaging communities, and enabling capacity building, especially in low- and middle-income countries.

REFERENCES

1. Orru H, Ebi KL, Forsberg B. The Interplay of Climate Change and Air Pollution on Health. Curr Environ Health Rep. 2017; 4(4): 504–513.
2. Smith KR, Shuhua G, Kun H, Daxiong Q. One hundred million improved cookstoves in China: how was it done? World Dev. 1993;21(6):941-61. doi: 10.1016/0305-750X(93)90053-C.
3. Felzer B, Kicklighter D, Melillo J, Wang C, Zhuang Q, Prinn R. Effects of ozone on net primary

production and carbon sequestration in the conterminous United States using a biogeochemistry model. Tellus B Chem Phys Meteorol. 2004;56(3):230-48. doi: 10.3402/tellusb.v56i3.16415.
4. Xu M, Li H, Deng X. Measuring the Synergistic Effect of Pollution and Carbon Reduction in China's Industrial Sector. Sustainability. 2024; 16(3): 1048.
5. Wang G, Xia W, Xiao Y, Guan X, Zhang X. The Synergy Between CO_2 and Air Pollution Emissions in Chinese Cities by 2060: An Assessment Based on the Emissions Inventory and Dynamic Projection Model. Sustainability. 2024; 16(21): 9338.
6. Zhao X, Shao B, Su J, et al. Exploring synergistic evolution of carbon emissions and air pollutants and spatiotemporal heterogeneity of influencing factors in Chinese cities. Sci Rep. 2025; 15(1): 2657.
7. Feng T, Shi Y, Wang X, Wan X, Mi Z. Synergies of air pollution control policies: a review. J Environ Manag. 2025;377:124655. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.124655.
8. Chuanda Wang, Wenjiao Duan, Shuiyuan Cheng, Jianlei Lang, Xiaosong Hou. Synergistic effects and optimal control strategies of air pollutant and carbon emission reduction from mobile sources. J Clean Prod. 2024; 478: 143824.
9. Han L, Yu C W, Cao S-J. Synergistic control of air pollution and heat waves in the urban built environment: Challenges and opportunities. Indoor Built Environ. 2024; 33(3): 417–421.
10. Kaur R, Pandey P. Air Pollution, Climate Change, and Human Health in Indian Cities: A Brief Review. Front Sustain Cities. 2021; 3: 705131.
11. Qiang Z, et al. Synergetic roadmap of carbon neutrality and clean air for China. Environ Sci Ecotechnol. 2023; 16:100280.
12. Menon S, Hansen J, Nazarenko L, Luo Y. Climate effects of black carbon aerosols in China and India. Science. 2002;297(5590):2250-3. doi: 10.1126/science.1075159.

