STM JOURNALS

International Journal of
Advance in Molecular Engineering

Volume 2, Issue 2, 2024
July–December
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/IJAME

https://journals.stmjournals.com/ijame

Review IJAME

Comprehensive Review on Postoperative Nausea and Vomiting Following General Anesthesia

Deepanshu Sharma[1], Aniyat Sheikh[2], Seema Rani[3], Gagandeep Singh[4], Atul Khajuria[5,*]

Abstract

Postoperative nausea and vomiting, often known as PONV, is a common and uncomfortable consequence that occurs after general anesthesia. Patients of all ages are susceptible to experiencing this condition. Even though there have been advances in anaesthesia procedures and treatments, postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) continues to be a serious concern since it has the potential to cause patients to experience discomfort, delay their recovery, extend their hospital stays, and increase the expenses of healthcare. In this study, we will attempt to provide a full overview of PONV, covering topics such as its pathogenesis, risk factors, preventative tactics, and therapeutic choices. It is possible for anesthesiologists and other healthcare providers to improve surgical outcomes and maximize patient care by gaining an understanding of the mechanisms that underlie postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) and putting evidence-based therapies into practice.

Keywords: Anesthesia complications, pathogenesis of PONV, postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV), PONV risk factors and prevention, therapeutic approaches in PONV

INTRODUCTION

Background Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) remain significant complications in patients undergoing surgery under general anesthesia. Despite advances in anesthesia and surgical techniques, PONV continues to affect a substantial portion of the surgical population, resulting in considerable patient discomfort, prolonged hospital stays, and increased healthcare costs. The prevalence of PONV can range from 20% to 30% in the general surgical population and may be as high as 80% in high-risk patients [1, 2]. This underscores the need for a comprehensive understanding of PONV, including its etiology, risk factors, and strategies for prevention and management. The historical context of PONV highlights its long-standing presence as a challenge in postoperative care. Initial observations of PONV date back to the advent of modern anesthesia in the 19th century when ether and chloroform were first used. The introduction of these anesthetic agents revolutionized surgical practice, enabling complex surgeries to be performed with patients in a state of controlled unconsciousness [3]. However, it soon became apparent that these agents were associated with a high incidence of nausea and vomiting postoperatively, posing significant challenges for both patients and healthcare providers [4]. Early anesthetists noted that the administration of ether and chloroform often resulted in what was described as "sickness" following surgery, characterized by intense nausea and repeated vomiting. This adverse effect was not only distressing for patients but also complicated postoperative recovery, as patients who experienced PONV were at higher risk for complications such as dehydration, electrolyte

***Author for Correspondence**
Atul Khajuria
E-mail: directoralliedhealthsciences@deshbhagatuniversity.in

[1-4]Assistant Professor, School of Paramedical Sciences, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, Desh Bhagat University, Mandi Gobindgarh, Punjab, India
[5]Director, LS Center for Public Health & Allied Health Sciences, Desh Bhagat University, Mandi Gobindgarh, Punjab, India

Received Date: September 17, 2024
Accepted Date: October 26, 2024
Published Date: November 13, 2024

Citation: Deepanshu Sharma, Aniyat Sheikh, Seema Rani, Gagandeep Singh, Atul Khajuria. Comprehensive Review on Postoperative Nausea and Vomiting Following General Anesthesia. International Journal of Advance in Molecular Engineering. 2024; 2(2): 20–26p.

imbalances, and prolonged immobility [5]. Despite significant advancements in anesthesia techniques and pharmacology over the past century, PONV has persisted as a common and distressing postoperative complication. Modern anesthetics, while generally safer and more effective than their predecessors, have not entirely eliminated the risk of PONV. This is partly due to the complex and multifactorial nature of PONV, which involves interactions between anesthetic agents, surgical procedures, and patient-specific factors [4]. Beyond just causing discomfort to the patient, PONV has significant clinical implications since it can result in major side effects like aspiration pneumonia, wound dehiscence, electrolyte imbalances, and dehydration. Vomiting too much causes dehydration and electrolyte imbalances because it removes vital minerals like salt, potassium, and chloride from the body. These abnormalities can exacerbate postoperative recovery by resulting in weakness, disorientation, and heart arrhythmias. An additional possible consequence of PONV is wound dehiscence, or the reopening of a surgical wound. The physical act of vomiting generates significant intra-abdominal pressure, which can strain surgical incisions and compromise wound integrity. This is particularly concerning in surgeries involving the abdominal wall, where increased pressure can lead to wound separation and increased risk of infection [6]. A serious and perhaps fatal consequence linked to PONV is aspiration pneumonia.

When a patient vomits while still under the residual effects of anesthesia or in a compromised state of consciousness, there is a risk that gastric contents can be inhaled into the lungs. This can cause an inflammatory response and infection, known as aspiration pneumonia, which requires prompt and aggressive treatment [7, 8]. Additionally, PONV is a primary cause of patient dissatisfaction and can impact the overall quality of recovery, influencing both short-term and long-term outcomes. Patients who experience PONV often report lower levels of overall satisfaction with their surgical experience, which can affect their perception of care and willingness to undergo future surgical procedures [6, 9]. This dissatisfaction is not merely anecdotal; studies have shown that PONV is one of the most dreaded postoperative complications, often rated higher in concern than postoperative pain. The impact of PONV on the quality of recovery is profound.

Patients suffering from PONV may experience prolonged recovery times, delaying their discharge from the hospital and increasing the length of stay. The risk of hospital-acquired infections and other problems linked to prolonged hospitalisation is also increased, further taxing healthcare resources.

Moreover, PONV can affect long-term outcomes by complicating postoperative rehabilitation and recovery. For instance, patients who experience significant PONV may be less likely to participate in early mobilization and physical therapy, which are critical components of postoperative care. This can lead to longer periods of immobility, increasing the risk of deep vein thrombosis (DVT), muscle atrophy, and delayed return to normal activities [9,10]. The historical perspective and clinical importance of PONV underscore its persistent presence as a challenge in postoperative care. Despite advances in anesthesia and surgical techniques, PONV continues to pose significant risks and complications, emphasizing the need for ongoing research and improved management strategies. Understanding the historical context and clinical implications of PONV is crucial for developing effective interventions that can enhance patient outcomes and satisfaction in the postoperative period [9].

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

This dissertation aims to provide a comprehensive review of PONV, including its incidence, risk factors, underlying mechanisms, prevention strategies, and management approaches. By integrating findings from recent clinical trials, meta-analyses, and systematic reviews, this work seeks to offer a detailed understanding of PONV and propose evidence-based recommendations for its management. Specific objectives include:

- Analyzing the incidence and impact of PONV on patient outcomes and healthcare systems.
- Identifying patient, anesthetic, and surgical risk factors associated with PONV.
- Exploring the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying PONV.

- Reviewing current pharmacological and non-pharmacological prevention strategies.
- Evaluating management approaches for established PONV.
- Discussing future directions for research and clinical practice

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A comprehensive evaluation of the literature was carried out with an emphasis on research that has been published in peer-reviewed journals in the last 20 years. We used databases like Google Scholar and PubMed to find pertinent publications.

Keywords included "PONV," "postoperative nausea and vomiting," "general anaesthesia," "risk factors," "prevention," and "management." The chosen studies were examined and combined to offer a thorough summary of the state of knowledge and treatment for PONV.

Scope of Review

In light of the clinical significance and complexity of PONV, this review endeavours to provide a comprehensive synthesis of existing literature, encompassing its pathophysiological mechanisms, predisposing factors, preventive strategies, and treatment modalities. By elucidating the intricacies of PONV and exploring evidence-based interventions, this review seeks to empower clinicians with the knowledge and tools needed to effectively manage PONV and improve perioperative care outcomes. Through a holistic examination of PONV, from its clinical ramifications to its molecular underpinnings, this review aims to contribute to ongoing efforts aimed at enhancing patient safety, satisfaction, and recovery in the perioperative setting. Furthermore, the review will explore emerging trends in PONV research, including the role of novel antiemetic agents, personalized risk prediction models, and multimodal analgesic approaches, to provide insights into future directions in PONV management. Incidence and Impact of PONV. Incidence The incidence of PONV varies widely depending on the population and type of surgery. Studies indicate that PONV occurs in approximately 20-30% of all postoperative patients. However, this rate can be as high as 80% in high-risk patients, such as those undergoing certain types of gynecological, abdominal, and ear, nose, and throat surgeries. The variability in incidence is influenced by several factors, including patient characteristics, the type of surgery, and anesthetic techniques used. Numerous extensive investigations and meta-analyses have demonstrated varied PONV rates. For instance, a study conducted in 1999 by Apfel et al. discovered that in a population undergoing general surgery, the incidence of PONV was almost 30%. Conversely, patients undergoing high-risk surgeries, such as laparoscopic cholecystectomy, had an incidence rate of up to 80%. This discrepancy highlights the need for targeted preventive measures in high-risk populations. Impact The impact of PONV extends beyond patient discomfort. Serious side effects such aspiration, dehydration, electrolyte imbalances, and wound dehiscence might result from it. PONV also raises the expense of healthcare and prolongs hospital stays [1].

Additionally, PONV significantly affects patient satisfaction and overall perception of surgical care, making its prevention and management a priority in perioperative care [10]. PONV has been associated with delayed recovery and extended hospital stays. Patients experiencing PONV may require additional nursing care, intravenous fluids, and antiemetic medications, increasing healthcare costs. Furthermore, severe PONV episodes might result in potentially fatal consequences such aspiration pneumonia.

From a patient’s perspective, PONV is often cited as one of the most unpleasant postoperative experiences. Studies have shown that patients rate the prevention of nausea and vomiting as a top priority, even above pain relief. Consequently, improving patient satisfaction and overall surgical results depends on efficient PONV care [9, 10]. Danger Elements Factors Related to Patients Gender is one patient-related risk factor for PONV.

Age, smoking status, and personal or familial history of PONV or motion sickness. Women are more prone to PONV than men, and younger patients tend to be at higher risk compared to older individuals.

Nonsmokers also have a higher likelihood of experiencing PONV than smokers, potentially due to the antiemetic effects of nicotine. Patient related risk factors Gender Age Smoking Status Motion sickness History of PONV 15.

Gender

Numerous studies have demonstrated that women are more likely to experience PONV than men. The exact reasons for this gender difference are not fully understood, but hormonal factors are believed to play a role. For instance, fluctuations in estrogen levels during the menstrual cycle have been linked to increased sensitivity to nausea and vomiting.

Age

Age is another significant factor, with younger patients being more susceptible to PONV than older individuals. The reasons for this age-related difference are not entirely clear, but it may be related to variations in metabolic rates, receptor sensitivity, and central nervous system function. Smoking Status· Interestingly, nonsmokers are at a higher risk of PONV than smokers. This paradoxical finding is thought to be due to the desensitization of the chemoreceptor trigger zone (CTZ) and the vomiting center in the brain caused by chronic exposure to nicotine in smokers. History of Motion Sickness or PONV Motion sickness or PONV in one's family is a powerful predictor of PONV.

Patients with a history of these conditions are more likely to experience PONV due to genetic predispositions and heightened sensitivity to emetic stimuli. Anesthetic-Related Factors The choice of anesthetic agents significantly influences PONV risk. Volatile anesthetics, such as sevoflurane and desflurane, and nitrous oxide are associated with higher rates of PONV compared to total intravenous anaesthesia (TIVA) with agents like propofol. Additionally, the 16 duration of anaesthesia is positively correlated with PONV incidence; longer procedures increase the likelihood of PONV [4, 9]. Volatile Anesthetics· Volatile anesthetics, such as isoflurane, sevoflurane, and desflurane, are known to increase the risk of PONV. These substances may cause nausea and vomiting by activating the CTZ and vomiting centre.

The emetogenic potential of volatile anesthetics is dose-dependent, with higher concentrations and prolonged exposure increasing the likelihood of PONV. Nitrous Oxide· Nitrous oxide, commonly used as an adjunct to other anesthetic agents, is also associated with a higher risk of PONV. It is believed to cause PONV by expanding gas-filled spaces in the gastrointestinal tract, leading to distension and discomfortIntravenous Anaesthetics: On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that using propofol in total intravenous anaesthesia (TIVA) lowers the incidence of PONV. Because of its inherent antiemetic qualities, propofol is the drug of choice for high-risk patients.

The use of TIVA can significantly lower PONV rates compared to volatile anesthetics.

Duration of Anaesthesia

The duration of anaesthesia is another important factor. Longer surgical procedures are associated with an increased risk of PONV. This is likely due to prolonged exposure to emetogenic stimuli and the accumulation of anesthetic agents in the body. Surgical Factors Certain types of surgeries are more likely to cause PONV. Procedures involving the inner ear, laparoscopic surgeries, and operations on the gastrointestinal tract are particularly high risk. This increased risk is due to the stimulation of the vagus nerve and other neural pathways involved in the vomiting reflex.

Inner Ear Surgeries

Surgeries involving the inner ear, such as tympanoplasty and mastoidectomy, have a high incidence of PONV due to the proximity of the vestibular system to the surgical site. In addition to being essential for balance and spatial orientation, activation of the vestibular system can cause nausea and vomiting.

Laparoscopic Surgeries

Laparoscopic surgeries, such as cholecystectomy and appendectomy, are also associated with a higher risk of PONV. During these procedures, the belly is insufflated with carbon dioxide, which can cause increased intra-abdominal pressure, diaphragmatic irritation, and vagal stimulation—all of which are factors in PONV.

Gastrointestinal Surgeries

Operations on the gastrointestinal tract, including gastric bypass and bowel resection, carry a high risk of PONV. These surgeries involve direct manipulation of the gastrointestinal organs, leading to the release of emetogenic substances and stimulation of the vagus nerve. The pathophysiological understanding.

The Role of Neurotransmitters

Multiple neurotransmitters, including acetylcholine (M1), histamine (H1), dopamine (D2), and serotonin (5-HT3), are involved in the complex process known as PONV. The response to nausea and vomiting is influenced by these neurotransmitters' interactions with their corresponding receptors in the gastrointestinal tract and central nervous system.

Serotonin

A crucial part of the pathogenesis of PONV involves serotonin. In the gastrointestinal system, it is secreted from enterochromaffin cells in reaction to anaesthetics and surgical stress. Afterwards, serotonin attaches itself to 5-HT3 receptors on vagal afferent neurones, sending messages to the brainstem's vomiting centre.

Dopamie

Dopamine is another important neurotransmitter involved in PONV. Dopamine receptors, particularly the D2 subtype, are located in the CTZ. Activation of these receptors by emetogenic substances leads to the stimulation of the vomiting center and the induction of nausea and vomiting. Histamine· Histamine is involved in the regulation of the vestibular system and the emetic response. H1 receptors are found in the vestibular nuclei and the CTZ. Histamine release and receptor activation can lead to motion-induced nausea and vomiting.

Acetylcholine

Acetylcholine inhibits the vomiting centre and vestibular system by acting on muscarinic receptors (M1). It is particularly important in the mediation of motion sickness and PONV. Anticholinergic drugs, such as scopolamine, are effective in preventing PONV by blocking these receptors.

Core Processes

The vomiting centre in the medulla oblongata and the chemoreceptor trigger zone (CTZ) are the two main mechanisms of PONV. Because the CTZ is situated outside of the blood-brain barrier in the postrema region, it is susceptible to circulating emetic chemicals. The vomiting center integrates signals from the CTZ, the vestibular system, higher cortical centers, and the gastrointestinal tract to coordinate the vomiting reflex.

Chemoreceptor Trigger Zone

The CTZ is a crucial component in the initiation of the emetic response. It notifies the vomiting centre when it finds emetogenic chemicals in the blood and cerebrospinal fluid. The CTZ contains various receptors, including those for serotonin, dopamine, histamine, and acetylcholine, making it a key target for antiemetic drugs.

DISCUSSION

The future of PONV management lies in personalized medicine, tailoring prophylaxis and treatment based on individual patient risk factors and genetic predispositions. Pharmacogenomics developments

may result in the creation of prediction models that identify patients who are at high risk of PONV, enabling more focused and efficient therapies. pharmacogenomics· Pharmacogenomics, the study of how a person's genes impact their reaction to medication, has potential to improve PONV treatment. The safety and effectiveness of antiemetic drugs can be impacted by genetic differences in the cytochrome P450 enzymes, which are responsible for drug metabolism. Understanding these genetic factors can help tailor prophylactic and therapeutic strategies to individual patients, improving outcomes and minimizing adverse effects.

Risk Assessment Tools

Developing and validating comprehensive risk assessment tools that incorporate genetic, demographic, and clinical factors can enhance the prediction and prevention of PONV. These tools can guide anesthesiologists in selecting the most appropriate prophylactic measures for each patient, thereby reducing the incidence of PONV and improving patient satisfaction.

Novel Therapeutics

Ongoing research into novel antiemetic agents and drug delivery systems aims to improve the efficacy and safety of PONV management. New classes of drugs, such as cannabinoid receptor agonists and selective serotonin receptor modulators, are being investigated for their potential to prevent and treat PONV with fewer side effects.

Cannabinoid Receptor Agonists

Cannabinoid receptor agonists, such as dronabinol and nabilone, are being explored for their antiemetic properties. These agents act on cannabinoid receptors in the brain and gastrointestinal tract, modulating nausea and vomiting pathways. Preliminary studies have shown promising results, but further research is needed to establish their efficacy and safety in the perioperative setting. Selective Serotonin Receptor Modulators· Selective serotonin receptor modulators, which target specific subtypes of serotonin receptors, represent another potential advancement in PONV management. By selectively modulating the activity of these receptors, it may be possible to achieve more effective control of nausea and vomiting with fewer side effects compared to broad-spectrum serotonin antagonists.

CONCLUSION

PONV continues to be a major problem in postoperative treatment, affecting the healing and satisfaction of patients. Understanding the multifactorial nature of PONV, including patient-related, anesthetic, and surgical factors, is crucial for effective prevention and management. Advances in pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions, as well as personalized medicine and novel therapeutics, hold promise for improving outcomes. Continued research and clinical innovation are essential to mitigate the impact of PONV and enhance the overall quality of surgical care.

REFERENCES

1. Apfel, C. C., Läärä, E., Koivuranta, M., Greim, C. A., & Roewer, N. (1999). A simplified risk score for predicting postoperative nausea and vomiting: conclusions from cross-validations between two centers. The Journal of the American Society of Anesthesiologists, 91(3), 693-693.
2. Gan, T. (2007). Consensus guidelines for managing postoperative nausea and vomiting. Anesth Analg., 105, 1615-1628.
3. Lunn, J. N., and D. E. Elwood. "Postoperative nausea and vomiting: a survey of their incidence in 10,000 patients and review of the literature." British Journal of Anaesthesia 47.5 (1975): 367-375.
4. Watcha, M. F., & White, P. F. (1992). Postoperative nausea and vomiting. Its etiology, treatment, and prevention. Anesthesiology, 77(1), 162-184.
5. Apfel, C. C., Greim, C. A., Haubitz, I., Goepfert, C., Usadel, J., Sefrin, P., & Roewer, N. (1998). A risk score to predict the probability of postoperative vomiting in adults. Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica, 42(5), 495-501.

6. Kovac, A. L. (2000). Prevention and treatment of postoperative nausea and vomiting. Drugs, 59, 213-243.
7. Apfel, C. C., Korttila, K., Abdalla, M., Kerger, H., Turan, A., Vedder, I., ... & Roewer, N. (2004). A factorial trial of six interventions for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting. New England journal of medicine, 350(24), 2441-2451.
8. Apfel, C. C., Kranke, P., Eberhart, L. H. J., Roos, A., & Roewer, N. (2002). Comparison of predictive models for postoperative nausea and vomiting. British journal of anaesthesia, 88(2), 234-240
9. Ku, C. M., & Ong, B. C. (2003). Postoperative nausea and vomiting: a review of current literature. Singapore medical journal, 44(7), 366-374.
10. Gan, T. J., Habib, A. S., Miller, T. E., White, W., & Apfelbaum, J. L. (2014). Incidence, patient satisfaction, and perceptions of post-surgical pain: results from a US national survey. Current medical research and opinion, 30(1), 149-160.

