

Management of Lead Acid Battery System in Electric Vehicles

Arun Kumar¹, Sushil Kumar Agrawal², Rachit Srivastava³, Jay Bahadur Singh⁴,
Tej Prakash Verma⁵, Palak Gaur^{6,*}

Abstract

To determine the lead-acid battery's state of charge in electric vehicles, a novel coulometric method is presented in this article. There are two major problems with the main state of charge algorithms that are currently in use: one defines the state of charge incorrectly for applications involving electric vehicles, and the other uses the accumulator's static performance sub-optimally to estimate its state under dynamic stresses. To address these two shortcomings, we suggest a novel coulometric algorithm that is connected to the electric vehicle's performance. The virtually discharged ampere-hours are determined by using statistical equivalency coefficients on the actual current profile. A considerable improvement with less than 5% errors in all cases examined is shown by the evaluation of this new algorithm on actual discharges.

Keywords: Electric vehicles, lead-acid battery, lead-corrosive, Manufacturers, Analytical models

INTRODUCTION

The most popular electrochemical solution for storing electrical energy is LEAD-ACID batteries. The complex processes taking place inside the batteries are still not fully understood, which is why a lot of study is currently being done in this area even if their fundamental physical concept is not new. Manufacturers and consumers encounter challenges as a result, as they may not always be aware of issues that could arise under particular circumstances. These batteries have been in use for a very long period without having any major problems since they we are not exposed to any real substantial limits.

Battery performance is widely understood under static conditions (constant temperature and current), but because of the significant amplitude fluctuations in the currents, it varies substantially in applications involving electric cars. In this instance, we wanted to install a new battery gauge for a Volta electric vehicle (Table I) that has six installed Oldham 6RGT180 batteries. This investigation was carried out by Oldham France and the Ecole Centrale de Lille (L2EP) with financial support from Innovates [1,2]. Various research approaches can be taken into consideration based on the gauge's kind and complexity.

*Author for Correspondence

Palak Gaur
E-mail: palakgaurtoto@gmail.com

¹Associate Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Bansal Institute of Engineering and Technology, Lucknow, India

²Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Bansal Institute of Engineering Technology, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

³⁻⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Bansal Institute of Engineering and Technology Lucknow, India

⁶Student, Department of Electrical Engineering Bansal Institute of Engineering and Technology, Lucknow, India

Received Date: May 23, 2024

Accepted Date: June 12, 2024

Published Date: July 12, 2024

Citation: Arun Kumar, Sushil Kumar Agrawal, Rachit Srivastava, Jay Bahadur Singh, Tej Prakash Verma, Palak Gaur. Management of Lead Acid Battery System in Electric Vehicles. International Journal of Electro-Mechanics and Material Behavior. 2024; 2(1): 19–28p.

- Analytical models, finite element models, and analogous electrical circuit models are some modelling techniques [3,4].
- Experimental methods, such as impedance, voltage, coulometric, and electrolyte density measurements [4,5].

Our work was quickly directed towards coulometric techniques due to the requirement that the principle be as simple as possible because the final solution must be applied to a real system. Although they cannot be used for calculations in real time, other methods have not been entirely disregarded and may be used for routine calibration (impedance measurements).

BATTERY BEHAVIOUR

To explain the nonlinear events that occur during battery discharges and to justify the requirement for dynamic testing to calibrate the gauge, a basic examination of the accumulator's physio-chemical characteristics is provided before any algorithms are recommended. An elementary model of the chemical transition is offered here to aid in the comprehension and avoidance of gauge-related problems.

Sphere Model

We present a full load model [5,6] of the positive electrode, which is primarily made of PbO_2 (Positive Active Mass). The electrolyte H_2SO_4 reacts with this of PbO_2 during discharge to turn it into PbSO_4 [6,7].



An assembly of spheres, which stand in for the PbO_2 molecules, is used to model the active mass of this electrode. This model's view of the positive electrode is depicted in Figure 1. A grain of PbO_2 , or microphones agglomerate of PbO_2 molecules, is represented by each sphere [7-9].

Table 1. Volta characteristics.

Vehicle type	Commercial vehicle
Motorization	Series DC motor with gearbox (4gear)
Nom power; Max power	11 kW; 20 kW
Battery type	16 lead acid batteries Oldham 6 RGT 180 in series
Batteries nom voltage	96 volts
Maximum speed	80 km/h
Empty weight	1300 kg with batteries
Autonomy	120 km at 40 km/h; 90 km at 60 km/h




Figure 1. Section view of the positive plate.[10].

Discharge Process

PbO molecules are changed into PbSO by the battery discharge, which fills twice the PbO's volume. This change is found in the vicinity of germs. These germs' quantity and location are determined by their reaction time, which is correlated with the discharge current value. The number of transformed germs increases with decreasing current (Figures 2-4). [8,9]




Figure 2. PbO₂ grain composed of PbO₂ molecules [11].




Figure 3. PbO₂ Transformation with a low current battery discharge [12].




Figure 4. PbO₂ Transformation with a high current discharge [13].

The battery capacitance value is therefore smaller than it would be with small currents (Figure 5) Fewer ampere hours are provided by the battery the higher the current. This physical impact makes the battery capacitance's agreement to a constant release current clearer (Figure 6).

Therefore, if the pores are mainly open, a pause in the release allows the electrolyte to diffuse inside the grains. closed off. When the release starts up again, this dispersion permits the dynamic mass to shift, which would not have been altered without stopping, and the battery's capacity is increased.

Conclusion

All of these events demonstrate how challenging it is to define an electric car gauge and how many physical factors must be taken into account to get an accurate result (Figure 7).




Figure 5. (a) Positive plate at the end of a low current discharge, (b) Positive plate at the end of a high current discharge [14].




Figure 6. Capacity evolution as a function of the discharge current [15].




Figure 7. Capacity evolution for a 6RGT180 battery at 25 C [16].

EVALUATION OF EXISTING COULOMETRIC STATE OF CHARGE ALGORITHMS

We have examined the two primary coulometric algorithms currently in use in gauges to identify their flaws, assess the amplitude of the inaccuracies, and identify fresh trends to enhance them before putting forth a new approach. The first two [17,18] examine battery capacity at average discharge current, whereas the second set [18,19] discusses a fixed reference current.

Algorithm Utilising the Average Discharge Current

The following defines this most popular set of coulometric rules:

- All values are computed at the moment when the preliminary price equals the total fee.
- The total Ampere-hours (Ah) that were exchanged during the discharge is indicated as:

$$Q = \int_0^T i(t)dt \quad (2)$$

Then, at that point, the typical current is effortlessly acquired by:

$$I_a = \frac{Q}{T}$$

By utilizing Figure 7 which gives the full limit as per the current at C, the condition of release (rate) is at last acquired by:

$$S = \frac{Q}{C_{I_a}} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

Method Utilising a Fixed Reference Current

This type of second calculation measures the extra capacity at a reasonable current (often chosen as the current at the apparent limit for lead-corrosive footing batteries within a five-hour release period). The computation subtracts the Ampere-hours exchanged, weighted by coefficients that agree with the value of the instant release current, from the maximum of the fully recharged battery at temperature and current. Amperes-hours released at a current can't be Ampere-hours released current (Figure 8) [20,21].




Figure 8. Fixed Reference Current.

The definition of the identical released Ampere-hours at and t the state of charge is prompted by the use of weighting coefficients.

$$Q' = \int_0^T \alpha(i) i(t) dt; \quad (4)$$

$$S = \frac{Q'}{C_{Iref}} \times 100 \quad (5)$$

The problem lies in figuring out the weighting coefficients' values. The technique by and large utilized depends on the information on the battery execution at steady release current (Figure 7). As a total release at an ongoing prompt a variety of Ah equivalent to contrast with the reference values, a fractional release of Ah at prompts the same Ok released at:

$$Q' = Q/C_{I0} \cdot (C_{Iref} - C_{I0}) + Q = Q \cdot C_{Iref}/C_{I0} \quad (6)$$

which gives,

$$\alpha(I_0) = \frac{C_{Iref}}{C_{I0}} \quad (7)$$

This computation is called inside the extra piece of this article.

Evaluation of Both Traditional Algorithms

These labor-intensive computations were tested on a lab test seat using a potent charger-discharger that was coupled to batteries placed in a thermo field tank at C. At each stage, the major boundaries—temperature, voltage, current, and time—were acquired. A few profiles for releases were selected:

Steady current, JRC-TC69, JRC-ECE-15, and real dynamic discharges (profiles derived from Volta car records). The estimated data on the number of Ampere-hours utilized were obtained with an approximate inaccuracy of 0.5% based on one measurement per second. Furthermore, the same attributes hold for future results. Trial and error proceeded at a speed of one cycle (charge-release) each day. The upward conduct of and for this sort of function, which is unrelated to the criteria for electric vehicles, was confirmed by tests conducted with a continuous release current. In this case, the error rate obtained is around 5%, indicating that the system is limited by the boundaries taken into consideration. The old-style TC69 profile is more agent of electric vehicles (Figure 8) [22].

Discharges obtained from the TC69 profile demonstrated positive behavior, but they also showed significant mistakes, which produced a negative gauge:

- with present step lengths tripled (TC3)
- TC69 profile (TC1)

Table 2. TC69 Results.

Test	TC1	TC2	TC3
IA (C5)	0.4	0.8	0.4
Ah discharged	61.6	53.7	60.4
S with Aa (%)	98.7	104.8	97
S with Aref (%)	131.7	116	131.6

Table 3. Test on dynamic profiles.

Test	Profile	IA	S with A _a	S with A _{ref}
D1	ECE-15, suburban	90.6	97.4	122.60
D2	ECE-15 urban+ suburban	65.6	92.5	119.30
D3	ECE-15urban+suburban	75.8	92.8	119.10
D4	ECE-15, urban	44.2	86.9	119.60
D5	Volta recorded profile	62.3	86.5	117.70

The value of % indicated an incomplete battery release because, for the most part, 30% of the battery was still usable (Table 2). In this case, the battery is protected from deep discharge, but it will not satisfy the customer because of its restricted autonomy. Finally, experiments with dynamic current profiles closer to the real-world application of electric vehicles have been conducted, varying depending on the kind of application (urban, rural, mixed). Only these two types of data may be used to define the experimental profiles and rural passengers Volta captured profiles. The Volta's 6RGTI80 batteries, which are in the search center, have undergone these tests (Table 3). A chosen end-voltage of 1.7 V/cell is used. After this value was obtained, the release was completed at a constant current of 100 A until 1.7 V/cell was again attained. This last requirement has been applied to every research facility outcome that is shown here. A is related to the basic value of the continuous signal that the battery provides to ensure that the Volta car operates well in similar traffic situations. Because the findings continue to follow the same pattern, there are around 20% catastrophic errors in almost every situation. Additionally, causes around 15% of optimistic mistakes in D4 and D5, which might lead to

Conclusion

Trial and error have shown the substandard way of behaving of both algorithms in a couple of circumstances which affirm the need for Figure 9. [23,24]




Figure 9. Couple of circumstances.

Examples of this problem that should not be disregarded are the D4 and DS since they relate to the main application of electric cars, which is the metropolitan cycle. Thus, we suggest doing an additional computation that comes from:

- is picked equivalent to ascertain the state of accuse of a reference current which relates to the actual qualities of the vehicle
- still up in the air with another strategy
- The term "Ah regenerated by electrolyte diffusion during electric braking and pauses" is now used to describe the new definition of "virtual Ah discharged," as noted at

$$Q(T) = \int_0^T a(i) i(t) dt - Q_{reg} \quad (8)$$

Thus, two unique examinations have been important to determine both new boundaries and which are boundaries considering the battery conduct (see Segment II-B) according to the particular use.

DETERMINATION OF A(I)

This section aims to describe weighting coefficients that are typical of the real application. What distinguishes it is the way the coefficients were computed.[25] We have envisaged testing using dynamic current profiles, instead of using the data from the collector exhibits under static release profiles.

Analysis of Statistical Data

Finding a reasonably adjusted approach is essential to get accurate agent coefficients before attempting trial estimations to determine these attributes. We have accomplished this by doing a factual analysis of the estimates that were documented on board the Volta vehicle to predict the future exploratory profiles that will be used to determine the new coefficients. For example, the distribution of the OK traded by the present level is shown in Figure 10.




Figure 10. Experimental current profile for the determination of ($I = 150 \text{ A}$) [26].




Figure 11. New weighting coefficients [27].

From every one of the outcomes found, the significant snippets of data are:

- the conveyance of the ongoing in metropolitan region prompts a Gaussian bend focused on A (Figure 11). [28]
- the typical term of a persistent step of current is around 5 seconds.

Tests on Dynamic Discharge Profiles

To approve the new coefficients, tests under electric vehicle profiles without stops and regenerative slowing down have been carried out by recreating metropolitan use. The outcomes are presented in Table IV (U1=urban, U-S1 =urban + suburban). [29,30] This strategy prompts a genuine improvement of the traditional algorithms in similar working circumstances. However, assuming that periods of delay and regenerative slowing down are incorporated in the profile, the outcomes (The two models (U2 urban = with pauses, and U-S2 urban + suburban with pauses) yield the same tendency but a larger error [$\alpha(i) = 1$ for $i < 0$]. This last analysis shows that it is important to acquaint another boundary with considering better interruption and electrical slowing down.

CONCLUSION

A precise coulometric condition of charge calculation for lead-corrosive batteries in electric vehicles has been created by working on existing strategies with a unique measurable also, dynamic methodology. Through very much adapted dynamic tests, factual coefficients not set in stone to compute the Ampere-hours released at a reference current associated with insignificant execution of the electric vehicle. Regenerative braking and pauses have also been taken into consideration. Based on the parameters that have been taken into consideration, tests of this algorithm on actual discharges have shown that it produces the best results with less than 5% errors. For the future, other notable boundaries must be introduced in the calculation to guarantee its unwavering quality in genuine circumstances for a significant stretch: temperature [15] and maturing impact. Then it will be feasible to fabricate a dependable savvy arrangement of energy control on electric vehicles.

REFERENCES

1. O. Caumont, "Détermination de l'état de charge d'une batterie Plomb acide en utilisation véhicule électrique," Thèse de doctorat, université de Lille, Novembre 1997.
2. M. S. Salameh, M. A. Casacca, and W. A. Lynch, "A mathematical model for lead-acid batteries," IEEE Trans. on Energy Conversion, -mécanismes de dégradation des batteries plomb-acide à recombinaison de gaz lots des tests de cyclage et de surcharge," Thèse de doctorat, Université de Lille, 1996.
3. S. Nugues, "Mesure de l'état de charge d'une batterie par coulométrie corrigée par impédancemétrie," Thèse de doctorat, INPG, Octobre 1996.
4. H. Smimite, "Etude du comportement et gestion d'une batterie au plomb à recombinaison équipant un véhicule électrique," Thèse de doctorat, Université de Montpellier 11, November 1997.
5. C. Brissaud, "Etude morphologique et structurale des mécanismes de dégradation des batteries plomb-acide à recombinaison de gaz lots des tests de cyclage et de surcharge," Thèse de doctorat, Université de Lille, 1996
6. A. Winsel, E. Voss, and U. Hullmeine, "The aggregate of spheres model of the PbO/PbSO₄ electrode," Journal of Power Sources, no. 30, p. 209, 1990
7. D. Berndt, Maintenance-Free Battery, A Handbook of Battery Technology, 1993.
8. H. Bode, Lead Acid Batteries: Wiley Interscience, 1977.
9. H. A. Kiehne, et al., Batteries-Fundamentals and Theory, Running Techniques, Outlook: Expert Verlag, 1989.
10. E. Karden, P. Mauracher, and A. Lohner, "Battery management system for energy-efficient battery operation: Strategy and practical experience," EVS 13, vol. 2, p. 91, 1996.
11. S. K. Song and K. H. Kim, "A dynamic state of charge model for electric vehicle batteries," EVS 12, vol. 2, p. 519, 1994.

12. Q. Guogang, L. Jianming, and J. Hang, "A new battery state of charge indicator for electric vehicles," *EVS 13*, vol. 2, p. 631, 1996.
13. M. Kitagawa, "Development of battery state of charge indicator for electric vehicles," *EVS 12*, vol. 1, p. 293, 1994.
14. J. F. Riutort, "Etude et réalisation d'une jauge coulométrique universelle destinée au véhicule électrique," *Mémoire CNAM Grenoble*, 1994.
15. J. F. Riutort, E. Rulliere, and E. Toutain, "An improved coulometric gauge for electric vehicles," in *EVT'95*, 1995.
16. W. A. Lynch and Z. M. Salameh, "Realistic electric vehicle battery evaluation," *IEEE Trans. on Energy Conversion*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 407–412, Dec. 1997.
17. Affanni, Antonio, et al. "Battery choice and management for new-generation electric vehicles." *IEEE transactions on industrial electronics* 52.5 (2005): 1343-1349.
18. Zau, Andre T. Puati, et al. "A battery management strategy in a lead-acid and lithium-ion hybrid battery energy storage system for conventional transport vehicles." *Energies* 15.7 (2022): 2577.
19. Garche, J., P. T. Moseley, and Eckhard Karden. "Lead-acid batteries for hybrid electric vehicles and battery electric vehicles." *Advances in battery technologies for electric vehicles*. Woodhead Publishing, 2015. 75-101
20. McKinney, Bryan L., Gary L. Wierschem, and Edward N. Mrotek. "Thermal management of lead-acid batteries for electric vehicles." *SAE Transactions* (1983): 839-845.
21. Liu, Wei, Tobias Placke, and K. T. Chau. "Overview of batteries and battery management for electric vehicles." *Energy Reports* 8 (2022): 4058-4084.
22. Cooper, Allan, and Patrick Moseley. "Progress in the development of lead-acid batteries for hybrid electric vehicles." *2006 IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference*. IEEE, 2006.
23. Conte, Fiorentino Valerio. "Battery and battery management for hybrid electric vehicles: a review." *e & i Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik* 123.10 (2006): 424-431.
24. Juang, Larry W., et al. "System identification-based lead-acid battery online monitoring system for electric vehicles." *2010 IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition*. IEEE, 2010.
25. Oman, Henry. "On-board energy and power management on electric vehicles: effect of battery type." *17th DASC. AIAA/IEEE/SAE. Digital Avionics Systems Conference. Proceedings* (Cat. No. 98CH36267). Vol. 2. IEEE, 1998.
26. Bullock, K. R., et al. "Performance of improved lead acid batteries for electric vehicles." *Proceedings of the Symposium on Advances in Lead-Acid Batteries*. Vol. 84. No. 14. Battery Division, Electrochemical Society, 1984.
27. Liu, Yow-Chyi. "Battery management systems for improving battery efficiency in electric vehicles." *World Electric vehicle journal* 4.2 (2010): 351-357.
28. Kasprzyk, Leszek. "Modelling and analysis of dynamic states of the lead-acid batteries in electric vehicles." *Eksploatacja i Niezawodność* 19.2 (2017): 229-236.
29. Singh, Anupama, P. B. Karandikar, and N. R. Kulkarni. "Mitigation of sulfation in lead acid battery towards life time extensions using ultra capacitor in hybrid electric vehicle." *Journal of Energy Storage* 34 (2021): 102219.
30. Vasebi, A., S. M. T. Bathaee, and M. Partovibakhsh. "Predicting state of charge of lead-acid batteries for hybrid electric vehicles by extended Kalman filter." *Energy Conversion and Management* 49.1 (2008): 75-82.