

Intelligent Medical Devices and Robotics in Modern Healthcare: Technological Advancements and Economic Considerations

N.B. Mahesh Kumar^{1,*}

Abstract

The integration of robots and intelligent medical devices in intensive care units (ICUs) represents a significant advancement in healthcare technology. These systems, including robotic assistants, automated monitoring tools, and AI-powered diagnostic devices, are designed to enhance patient care, streamline workflows, and reduce human error. Robots in the ICU can assist with routine tasks such as medication delivery, patient repositioning, and even basic surgeries, enabling healthcare professionals to focus on critical patient needs. AI-powered intelligent medical devices utilize machine learning algorithms for real-time data analysis, improving the accuracy of patient monitoring and supporting better clinical decision-making. By continuously analyzing vital signs and medical data, these devices can predict potential complications and alert medical staff to intervene proactively. Despite the potential benefits, the adoption of robots and intelligent systems in ICUs raises several ethical and logistical concerns, including patient privacy, data security, and the potential for technology-induced errors. The human-machine collaboration in such critical environments also requires careful consideration of the role of healthcare professionals and the extent of automation. This paper explores the capabilities, challenges, and future implications of integrating robotics and intelligent medical devices in ICU settings, aiming to evaluate their impact on patient outcomes and the efficiency of critical care practices.

Keywords: Medical devices, intensive care units (ICUs), artificial intelligence, machine learning, and robotics, data security

INTRODUCTION

The intensive care unit (ICU) is a specialized hospital department that deals with critically ill patients requiring constant monitoring, advanced medical interventions, and complex decision-making. Traditionally, ICUs have relied on highly trained healthcare professionals such as nurses, physicians, and respiratory therapists to provide round-the-clock care. However, with the growing demand for ICU services, coupled with the complexity of modern medicine, there has been increasing interest in integrating advanced technologies to enhance patient care [1].

*Author for Correspondence

N.B. Mahesh Kumar
E-mail: mknbmaheshkumar@gmail.com

¹Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Hindusthan Institute of Technology College, Malumichampatti, Tamil Nadu, India

Received Date: October 18, 2024
Accepted Date: October 23, 2024
Published Date: November 04, 2024

Citation: N.B. Mahesh Kumar. Intelligent Medical Devices and Robotics in Modern Healthcare: Technological Advancements and Economic Considerations. Journal of Advancements in Robotics. 2024; 11(3): 18–27p.

Robots and intelligent medical devices represent new frontiers in ICU care. These technologies have the potential to transform how critical care is delivered by improving efficiency, reducing the physical and cognitive workload on healthcare staff, and providing more accurate and timely data [2]. Robotic systems such as automated medication dispensers, telepresence robots, and robotic arms for surgery are already being used in hospital settings. Similarly, intelligent medical devices

powered by artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) are used to analyze real-time patient data, predict health outcomes, and assist in decision-making.

The need for innovation in ICUs stems from several challenges [3]. First, ICUs are often understaffed, which leads to burnout among healthcare workers and suboptimal patient care. Second, human errors such as delayed responses to vital signs or incorrect medication administration can have serious consequences in critical care environments. Third, the vast amount of data generated by ICU patients, ranging from heart rate and oxygen levels to laboratory results, requires sophisticated tools for effective processing and interpretation.

Although the promise of robots and intelligent devices is compelling, their integration into the ICU setting is not without challenges. Concerns regarding the safety, reliability, and ethical implications of using these technologies in critical care must be thoroughly addressed. For instance, can robots effectively replace certain human functions or should they remain purely assistive? How can we ensure that AI-driven systems are transparent, explainable, and unbiased? These questions form the backdrop of the ongoing debate regarding the future of automation in the ICU.

This study delves into the role of robots and intelligent medical devices in ICUs, examining their current capabilities, potential benefits, and associated challenges. This study aims to offer a comprehensive overview of how technological advancements and existing literature may influence the future of intensive care.

MONITORING AND DIAGNOSIS

One of the most critical aspects of ICU care is continuous patient monitoring and accurate diagnosis, which form the foundation for effective treatment and timely interventions. Traditionally, healthcare professionals in the ICU have relied on a combination of manual assessments and standard monitoring equipment to track vital signs, blood chemistry, and other physiological parameters. However, the integration of robots and intelligent medical devices has the potential to revolutionize how monitoring and diagnosis are conducted in high-stakes environments.

Robotics in the ICU

Robotics in the ICU [4] represents an evolving frontier in healthcare, where robots assist in patient care, monitoring, and treatment. The use of robotics in ICU has several potential benefits, such as increased efficiency, improved precision, and reduced human error [5]. The following are several important points:

1. *Robotic surgical assistance*
 - Robots can assist in performing delicate surgeries with high precision. Robotic surgery in ICU settings can help reduce recovery times owing to less invasive techniques and improve outcomes by minimizing human error [6, 7].
2. *Telemedicine and remote monitoring*
 - Telepresence robots enable doctors to engage with patients from a distance. This is especially valuable in cases in which specialists are not physically available in the ICU. These robots enable doctors to monitor vital signs, review medical records, and examine patients using robotic arms equipped with cameras and sensors [8].
3. *Automated medication dispensing*
 - Robots in the ICU can be used to dispense medications accurately, minimizing the risk of dosage errors, which are critical in a high-stake environment such as an ICU. These systems ensure that the right medication is administered at the right time.
4. *Robotic assistance for bedside care*
 - Robots can assist ICU nurses and caregivers by performing routine tasks, such as turning patients to prevent bedsores, assisting with patient mobility, or delivering supplies. This alleviates physical strain on medical staff, enabling them to concentrate more on providing patient care [9].

5. *AI-driven monitoring*

- Robots equipped with AI and ML can continuously monitor patient data (e.g., heart rate and oxygen levels) and alert staff to critical changes in the patient's condition. These systems can help detect patterns that may not be easily noticeable, providing early warnings for conditions such as sepsis or respiratory failure [10, 11].

6. *Robotic rehabilitation*

- After a critical illness, patients in the ICU often require physical therapy. Robotics can be used in rehabilitation to assist patients in regaining motor function through repetitive guided movements. These robots ensure that patients perform consistent and precise rehabilitation exercises [12].

7. *Challenges and ethical considerations*

- While robotic technologies bring numerous benefits, there are challenges, such as the high cost of equipment, potential job displacement for healthcare workers, and the need for proper training. Moreover, ethical concerns regarding patient privacy, data security, and human oversight must be carefully addressed to ensure that robotics in ICU settings is used responsibly.

The incorporation of robotics into the ICU has the potential to revolutionize critical care. Robotic technologies can play a crucial role in improving patient outcomes in critical care settings by improving precision, reducing the workload on healthcare staff, and enabling remote care. However, it is essential to address the ethical, financial, and operational challenges to fully realize the potential of robotics in this area.

Robotic Challenges in the ICU

The introduction of robotics in the ICU presents a range of challenges that must be addressed to ensure successful integration into critical care environments [13, 14]. These challenges span technical, ethical, financial, and human factors and include the following key areas [15]:

1. *High costs and financial burden*

- *Initial investment:* Robotic systems incur significant development, acquisition, and maintenance costs. The high initial costs of acquiring robotic technologies as well as ongoing expenses for maintenance, upgrades, and training pose a financial challenge for healthcare institutions.
- *Cost-effectiveness:* Justifying the cost of implementing robots in the ICU is difficult, especially for smaller or underfunded hospitals. There is a need to prove that robotics can provide long-term cost savings by improving patient outcomes, reducing human error, and shortening recovery times.

2. *Technical complexity and reliability*

- *System failures:* Robotic systems in critical environments, such as ICU, must be highly reliable. Any malfunction or downtime of robotic equipment can significantly impact patient care. Ensuring that these systems function smoothly and reliably presents a considerable challenge.
- *Maintenance and downtime:* Keeping robots functional in hospital settings requires continuous maintenance and access to skilled technicians. Downtime for repairs can disrupt ICU operations, making reliable support and services crucial.
- *Integration with existing systems:* Ensuring that robotic systems are fully integrated with other ICU technologies, such as electronic health records (EHR), monitoring devices, and communication tools, can be challenging. Seamless integration is essential for effective operation and coordination.

3. *Training and skill gaps*

- *Healthcare staff training:* Robotic systems require specialized knowledge and training for their safe and effective use. Medical staff, including nurses and doctors, must undergo rigorous training to operate, maintain, and troubleshoot such systems. Ensuring that all staff members are proficient can be time-consuming and resource-intensive.

- *Resistance to change*: Some healthcare professionals may resist adopting robotic technology because of fear of job displacement or concerns over its effectiveness. Overcoming resistance and ensuring smooth adoption through education and demonstrations of benefits are significant challenges.
4. *Human-machine interaction*
 - *Patient comfort and acceptance*: Patients in the ICU may feel uncomfortable or anxious about interacting with robots instead of with human caregivers. This can negatively impact a patient's experience, especially when they are critically ill or in a vulnerable state. Establishing trust and gaining acceptance from patients is crucial for effective implementation.
 - *Loss of human touch*: Although robots can help with physical tasks, they do not possess the emotional intelligence and empathy offered by human caregivers. In ICU settings, where emotional support is often vital, reliance on robots may reduce the personal touch that many patients and families expect from healthcare providers.
 5. *Ethical and privacy concerns*
 - *Patient privacy*: Robotic systems that collect and analyze patient data raise concerns regarding data security and patient privacy. Ensuring that data are properly encrypted and protected from unauthorized access is critical, especially in highly regulated environments, such as healthcare.
 - *Decision-making and autonomy*: As robots and AI systems play a larger role in ICU decision-making (e.g., monitoring patient conditions and suggesting treatments), ethical questions arise regarding who is ultimately responsible for patient care. Determining the right balance between human supervision and machine autonomy is difficult, particularly in critical life-and-death scenarios.
 6. *Regulatory and legal challenges*
 - *Compliance with regulations*: Robotics in health care must comply with strict regulations regarding safety, quality, and patient care. Complying with these regulatory requirements can hinder the adoption of robotic systems and result in additional compliance costs.
 - *Liability issues*: In cases where a robot makes a mistake or a system failure results in patient harm, determining the liability can be complex. Establishing clear guidelines for accountability among manufacturers, healthcare institutions, and staff is essential.
 7. *Data management and AI limitations*
 - *AI limitations*: Although robots can be equipped with AI to monitor and analyze patient data, the effectiveness of these systems depends entirely on the quality of the data they are trained with. AI models may struggle with edge cases or rare medical conditions that fall outside of their training data. Maintaining the continual updating of AI systems with high-quality data poses a significant challenge.
 - *Data overload*: In an ICU setting, a vast amount of patient data is already being collected in real time. Introducing robotic systems that generate additional data can lead to information overload for the healthcare staff, potentially reducing their ability to make timely decisions.
 - Although robotics in the ICU offers many promising benefits, these challenges must be addressed to ensure successful and sustainable implementation. Healthcare institutions need to balance costs, train staff, ensure reliability, and address ethical concerns to fully harness the potential of robotics in critical care.

CURRENT ROBOTIC SYSTEMS

Several companies have developed advanced robotic systems for healthcare, including those specifically designed for use in critical environments, such as ICUs [16]. These systems aim to assist medical professionals with surgery, patient monitoring, telemedicine, rehabilitation, etc. Some of the most notable robotic systems currently in use or under development are as follows:

Patient Treatment Robots for the ICU

In the ICU, patient treatment robots play a crucial role in assisting medical staff in patient care, monitoring, and performing specific treatments [17]. Leading companies developed some of the most relevant patient treatment robots for ICU settings:

1. *Robotic Telepresence Systems—InTouch Health (now Teladoc Health)*
 - *Product:* InTouch Vici and InTouch VITA.
 - *Purpose:* Telemedicine and remote patient care.
 - *Key features:* These telepresence robots are designed for ICU settings, allowing doctors to monitor and consult critically ill patients remotely. Equipped with cameras, medical sensors, and secure communication systems, these robots provide real-time interaction between healthcare providers and patients or on-site staff.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* InTouch robots are especially valuable in ICUs, where specialists are not readily available on-site. Doctors can remotely review patients' conditions, provide guidance on treatments, and make decisions in real time.
2. *TUG Autonomous Mobile Robot—Aethon*
 - *Purpose:* Automated delivery of medical supplies and medications.
 - *Key features:* TUG robots autonomously navigate the hospital to deliver medications, lab samples, medical supplies, etc. This significantly reduces the workload on staff by handling routine but critical logistics tasks.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* By automating the delivery of medications, the TUG test ensures that ICU patients receive timely treatment without delays caused by human error or busy staff. It also limits unnecessary human contact in high-risk infection environments, helping maintain sterile conditions.
3. *VITA—Vicarious Surgical*
 - *Purpose:* Minimally invasive robotic surgery.
 - *Key features:* VITA uses miniaturized robotic arms to assist surgeons in complex surgeries, offering precision, flexibility, and reduced trauma. This system is intended to assist surgeons in performing complex procedures by using smaller incisions, which reduces the recovery time for ICU patients.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* VITA can be employed in ICU settings for critical patients requiring emergency surgeries or delicate procedures. Minimally invasive approaches are beneficial for patients in the ICU as they can reduce the risk of infections and accelerate recovery.
4. *Xenex Germ-Zapping Robot—Xenex*
 - *Purpose:* Disinfection and infection control.
 - *Key features:* Xenex uses pulsed UV light to eliminate bacteria, viruses, and other pathogens including *C. difficile* and MRSA. It is designed to disinfect hospital rooms and high-touch surfaces and to reduce hospital-acquired infections (HAIs).
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* ICU patients are highly susceptible to infections. Xenex robots are frequently deployed to disinfect ICU rooms and equipment, ensuring a sterile environment, which is crucial for preventing infections in critically ill patients.
5. *RIVA (Robotic IV Automation)—ARxIUM*
 - *Purpose:* Automated IV compounding and medication preparation.
 - *Key features:* RIVA automates the preparation of intravenous (IV) medications, ensuring precise dosage and sterility. It can manage large volumes of medication preparation with high accuracy, greatly minimizing the risk of contamination or dosage errors.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* In the ICU, where medication errors can be life-threatening, RIVA ensures that IV medications are prepared accurately and efficiently. This system minimizes human error and provides sterile compounds that are crucial for patients requiring continuous IV therapies.
6. *Moxi—Diligent Robotics*
 - *Purpose:* Support hospital personnel with non-clinical duties.

- *Key features:* Moxi is an AI-powered robot that performs various logistical tasks, such as delivering supplies, collecting used items, and providing real-time updates to the medical staff. It is equipped with sensors and computer vision to safely navigate hospital environments and interact with the staff.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* Moxi reduces the burden on nurses and doctors in the ICU by handling routine tasks and allowing them to focus on direct patient care. It can also help to limit staff exposure to infectious diseases and improve safety in critical care units.
7. *RP-VITA—InTouch Health & iRobot*
- *Purpose:* Remote patient monitoring and telemedicine.
 - *Key features:* The RP-VITA robot allows remote doctors to oversee ICU patients in real time. It is equipped with medical diagnostic tools and cameras, allowing doctors to perform remote assessments and interact with the on-site staff.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* RP-VITA is used in ICUs to ensure that patients can be closely monitored, even if specialists are not physically present. It facilitates remote consultations and immediate responses to emergencies and improves patient outcomes.
8. *SoftBank's Pepper Robot (modified for ICU Use)*
- *Purpose:* Patient engagement and monitoring.
 - *Key features:* SoftBank's humanoid robot Pepper has been adapted for healthcare environments, including ICUs. While originally designed for social interaction, modified versions of Pepper are equipped with medical sensors and communication tools to monitor patients' conditions and provide emotional support.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* Pepper can engage with ICU patients who are conscious and provide both medical monitoring and emotional support. It offers companionship to patients who may be isolated, improving their mental well-being, which is especially important in long-term ICU care.
9. *VEGA Robotic Monitoring System—VEGA Robotics*
- *Purpose:* Continuous patient monitoring using AI.
 - *Key features:* VEGA was created to enable continuous patient monitoring, employing AI to track vital signs, identifying early indicators of deterioration, and sending real-time alerts to medical personnel. This can help to minimize the need for constant human supervision.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* VEGA's ability of VEGA to constantly monitor ICU patients and provide predictive alerts makes it highly valuable in critical care. It allows healthcare professionals to react to early signs of distress and improves response times and patient outcomes.
10. *BIONIK In-Motion ARM—BIONIK Laboratories*
- *Purpose:* Robotic rehabilitation for neurology and ICU recovery.
 - *Key features:* The in-motion ARM robot assists in physical therapy for patients recovering from neurological injuries or illnesses such as stroke. It guides patients through repetitive and precise movements to aid in regaining motor skills.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* In ICUs, especially for patients recovering from neurological conditions or prolonged immobilization, BIONIK robotic rehabilitation systems can help patients regain motor control and strength as part of early recovery interventions.

These robotic systems enhance patient care in ICUs by automating routine tasks, improving infection control, facilitating telemedicine, and assisting with surgical or therapeutic treatment. Their use in critical care environments not only increases the efficiency of healthcare staff, but also improves patient outcomes by providing precise, reliable, and continuous care. As robotic technology continues to advance, its role in the ICU is likely to expand, offering even more sophisticated support for critical patient care.

Service Robots for the ICU

Service robots in the ICU are designed to support healthcare staff by performing non-clinical tasks that are essential for efficient hospital operations [18]. These robots help streamline workflows, reduce the workload of medical personnel, and enhance the overall efficiency of ICU operations [19]. The following are some notable service robots relevant to ICU developed by various companies:

1. *TUG Robot—Aethon*
 - *Purpose:* Autonomous delivery of supplies and medications.
 - *Key features:* TUG robots navigate hospital corridors autonomously to deliver medication, supplies, and other essentials. They come with sensors and navigation systems that allow them to navigate around obstacles and ensure prompt delivery.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* TUG robots help reduce the burden on ICU staff by handling routine delivery tasks, which allows healthcare professionals to focus more on direct patient care and reduces the risk of human error in medication and supply handling.
2. *Moxi—Diligent Robotics*
 - *Purpose:* Assistance with logistical and non-clinical tasks.
 - *Key features:* Moxi is designed to help hospital staff with tasks such as fetching supplies, delivering medications, and providing other logistical support. AI is used to navigate the hospital environment and interact with staff members.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* By automating these non-clinical tasks, Moxi reduces the workload of ICU nurses and other staff, allowing them to spend more time on patient care. It also helps to maintain efficiency and organization within the ICU.
3. *Alyssa—Nuro*
 - *Purpose:* Autonomous transport and delivery.
 - *Key features:* Alyssa is a self-sufficient delivery robot created to move goods through healthcare facilities. It can handle a variety of items, including medical supplies and equipment while navigating complex hospital environments.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* Alyssa helps to streamline the delivery process in the ICU, ensuring that critical supplies are delivered promptly and efficiently, thereby supporting the overall functionality of the ICU.
4. *UVD Robot—Blue Ocean Robotics*
 - *Purpose:* Disinfection and infection control.
 - *Key features:* UVD robots use ultraviolet (UV) light to disinfect patient rooms and high-touch surfaces. It helps to reduce HAIs by killing pathogens and bacteria.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* Maintaining a sterile environment is crucial to prevent infections in critically ill patients. UVD robots enhance infection control protocols by providing thorough disinfection, which contributes to patient safety.
5. *RIVA (Robotic IV Automation)—ARxIUM*
 - *Purpose:* Automated preparation of intravenous (IV) medications.
 - *Key features:* RIVA automates the compounding of IV medications, ensuring their accuracy and sterility. It handles complex medication preparations with precision, thereby reducing the risk of error.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* RIVA ensures that IV medications are prepared accurately and efficiently, which is critical in the ICU where precise medication dosing is essential for patient care.
6. *Care-O-Bot—Fraunhofer IPA*
 - *Purpose:* Assistance with various tasks in healthcare settings.
 - *Key features:* The Care-O-bot is a versatile service robot designed to assist with a range of tasks, including delivering items, providing information, and interacting with patients. It can be customized for different hospital functions.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* In the ICU, the Care-O-bot can support staff by handling deliveries and providing assistance with administrative tasks, thereby improving the efficiency of the care environment.

7. *Robo Courier—ST Engineering*
 - *Purpose:* Automated delivery of hospital supplies and medication.
 - *Key features:* RoboCourier is designed for the automated delivery of medical supplies, medications, and other items within hospitals. It features autonomous navigation and a secure delivery system.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* Robo Courier streamlines the logistics of delivering essential items to the ICU, reducing manual handling, and improving overall workflow efficiency.
8. *Savioke Relay—Savioke*
 - *Purpose:* Delivery of items within healthcare facilities.
 - *Key features:* A relay is a delivery robot that autonomously transports items such as medications, documents, and supplies throughout the hospital. It features sensors and an intuitive communication interface.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* Relay helps reduce the need for staff to make frequent trips for deliveries, frees up time for direct patient care, and enhances operational efficiency within the ICU.
9. *Kiwi Bot—Kiwi Campus*
 - *Purpose:* Autonomous delivery of hospital goods.
 - *Key features:* The Kiwi Bot uses autonomous navigation to deliver items within healthcare facilities. It is intended to manage different types of deliveries such as medical supplies and food.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* Kiwi Bot improves delivery efficiency in the ICU by autonomously transporting the necessary items, which supports staff in focusing on patient care and reduces operational bottlenecks.
10. *Robo Chef—Robotics and AI Lab*
 - *Purpose:* Automated meal preparation and delivery.
 - *Key features:* Robo Chef automates the preparation and delivery of meals, including customized dietary options for patients. It can handle various types of food preparation tasks with high efficiency.
 - *Relevance to the ICU:* Robo Chef ensures that ICU patients receive timely and accurate meals, which is crucial for their recovery and well-being, especially in long-term care situations.
 - Service robots in the ICU are intended to optimize hospital operations, alleviate the burden on healthcare personnel, and enhance the delivery of patient care [20]. By handling routine tasks, such as delivery, disinfection, and automation of non-clinical functions, these robots contribute to a more organized and effective ICU environment, allowing medical professionals to focus more on direct patient care.

CONCLUSION

Smart medical devices and robotics have initiated a new era in healthcare marked by improved precision, efficiency, and patient outcomes. Technological advancements such as AI, ML, and robotics have revolutionized diagnostic procedures, surgical methods, and patient care management. These innovations have enhanced diagnostic accuracy and treatment effectiveness, while also paving the way for personalized medicine, which customizes healthcare interventions to meet the unique needs of each patient.

From an economic perspective, the adoption of these technologies presents both opportunities and challenges. Intelligent medical devices and robotics can reduce long-term costs by minimizing complications, hospital stays, and the need for repetitive procedures. They also hold the potential for significant improvements in productivity and cost efficiency within healthcare systems. However, the initial investment in advanced technologies, coupled with ongoing maintenance and training costs, poses a substantial financial burden. The balance between these costs and potential benefits must be carefully managed to ensure that advancements in healthcare technology are sustainable and equitable.

In summary, while intelligent medical devices and robotics offer transformative benefits to modern healthcare, achieving an optimal balance between technological potential and economic feasibility is essential to maximize their positive impact. Ongoing research, innovation, and strategic planning are essential to fully harness the benefits of these advancements while effectively addressing their economic implications.

REFERENCES

1. Turchetti G, Palla I, Pierotti F, Cuschieri A. Economic evaluation of da VINCI-assisted robotic surgery: A systematic review. *Surg Endosc.* 2012;26:598–606. DOI: 10.1007/s00464-011-1936-2. PubMed: 21993935.
2. Kudratillaev M, Yakhshiboyev RE. The role of indigenous medical devices and equipment development in medicine. *Econ Innov Technol.* 2023;11:327–41.
3. Laptev VA, Ershova IV, Feyzrakhmanova DR. Medical applications of artificial intelligence (legal aspects and future prospects). *Laws.* 2021;11(3). DOI: 10.3390/laws11010003.
4. Lai TJ, Heggie R, Kamaruzaman HF, Bouttell J, Boyd K. Economic evaluations of robotic-assisted surgery: Methods, challenges and opportunities. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy.* 2024;1–5. DOI: 10.1007/s40258-024-00920-1. PubMed: 39333303.
5. Kakhi K, Alizadehsani R, Kabir HMD, Khosravi A, Nahavandi S, Acharya UR. The internet of medical things and artificial intelligence: Trends, challenges, and opportunities. *Biocybern Biomed Eng.* 2022;42:749–71. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbe.2022.05.008.
6. Iavazzo C, Gkegkes ID. Cost–benefit analysis of robotic surgery in gynaecological oncology. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* 2017;45:7–18. DOI: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2017.03.008. PubMed: 28526229.
7. Camarillo DB, Krummel TM, Salisbury JK Jr. Robotic technology in surgery: Past, present, and future. *Am J Surg.* 2004;188:2S–15S. DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2004.08.025. PubMed: 15476646.
8. Adeghe EP, Okolo CA, Ojeyinka OT. A review of emerging trends in telemedicine: Healthcare delivery transformations. *Int J Life Sci Res Arch.* 2024;6:137–47. DOI: 10.53771/ijlsra.2024.6.1.0040.
9. Fuertes-Guiró F, Girabent-Farrés M, Viteri-Velasco E. Opportunity cost in the economic evaluation of da VINCI robotic assisted surgery. *Eur J Health Econ HEPAC.* 2016;17:245–56. DOI: 10.1007/s10198-015-0675-z. PubMed: 25743112.
10. Lo K, Stephenson M, Lockwood C. The economic cost of robotic rehabilitation for adult stroke patients: A systematic review. *JBISRIR-2017-003896.* PubMed: 30973526.
11. Prabhod KJ. The role of artificial intelligence in reducing healthcare costs and improving operational efficiency. *Q J Emerg Technol Innov.* 2024;9:47–59.
12. Tan A, Ashrafian H, Scott AJ, Mason SE, Harling L, Athanasiou T, et al. Robotic surgery: Disruptive innovation or unfulfilled promise? A systematic review and meta-analysis of the first 30 years. *Surg Endosc.* 2016;30:4330–52. DOI: 10.1007/s00464-016-4752-x. PubMed: 26895896.
13. Hoefel D, Goldstein L, Intwala D, Kaendl L, Dineen A, Patel L, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of economic and healthcare resource utilization outcomes for robotic versus manual total knee arthroplasty. *J Robot Surg.* 2023;17:2899–910. DOI: 10.1007/s11701-023-01703-x. PubMed: 37819597.
14. Fosch-Villaronga E, Drukarch H. On healthcare robots: Concepts, definitions, and considerations for healthcare robot governance [Preprint]. arXiv:2106.03468 [cs.RO]; 2021 DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2106.03468.
15. Fiani B, Quadri SA, Farooqui M, Cathel A, Berman B, Noel J, et al. Impact of robot-assisted spine surgery on health care quality and neurosurgical economics: A systemic review. *Neurosurg Rev.* 2020;43:17–25. DOI: 10.1007/s10143-018-0971-z. PubMed: 29611081.
16. Tian S, Yang W, Le Grange JML, Wang P, Huang W, Ye Z. Smart healthcare: Making medical care more intelligent. *Glob Health J.* 2019;3:62–5. DOI: 10.1016/j.glohj.2019.07.001.

-
17. Shuaib A, Arian H, Shuaib A. The increasing role of artificial intelligence in health care: Will robots replace doctors in the future? *Int J Gen Med.* 2020;13:891–6. DOI: 10.2147/IJGM.S268093. PubMed: 33116781.
 18. Chauhan C, Singh A, Luthra S. Barriers to industry 4.0 adoption and its performance implications: An empirical investigation of emerging economy. *J Clean Prod.* 2021;285:124809. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124809.
 19. Rajan PV, Khlopas A, Klika A, Molloy R, Krebs V, Piuze NS. The cost-effectiveness of robotic-assisted versus manual total knee arthroplasty: A Markov model-based evaluation. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2022;30:168–76. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-21-00309. PubMed: 35040808.
 20. Navath S. Transforming healthcare: The impact and future of artificial intelligence in healthcare. *J Artif Intell Mach Learn.* 2023;1:16–21. DOI: 10.55124/jaim.v1i1.234.