

Exploring Decarbonization Strategies and Sustainable Alternatives for Cement

Ritika Priyadarshani*

Abstract

As one of the most evolving economic sectors, building construction creates wealth and income while making a significant contribution to global development. Almost 40% of all carbon emissions, both direct and indirect, come from this industry. It is crucial to lower emissions from the manufacture of cement to mitigate climate change. The cement industry must be made less carbon-based. The need to address the environmental effects of traditional cement production, which is a significant contributor to global carbon emissions, is what drives this research. The search for sustainable alternatives, such as substitute materials and cutting-edge technologies, is motivated by the urgency of addressing climate change and aligning with Sustainable Development Goals. The principal objective of this research is to support global initiatives that promote creativity, environmental sustainability, and a robust future for the cement sector.

Keywords: Decarbonization, Cement, Alternative materials, Sustainable manufacturing: Carbon capture and storage

INTRODUCTION

Throughout their entire life cycle, from construction to operation to eventual demolition, buildings significantly increase carbon emissions. There are multiple important ways to observe the carbonisation of buildings. First, the extraction, processing, and transportation of materials during the construction phase add significantly to the carbon footprint. Particularly energy-intensive to produce are traditional building materials like steel and concrete [1]. Second, the energy used for heating, air conditioning, and electrical power during the operational phase increases the carbon footprint even more. Reliance on fossil fuels and inefficient building designs make this problem worse. Finally, carbon emissions are also produced by disposing of building materials after a structure has completed its life cycle. It is important to note that fire and life safety is an important aspect as far as the selection of material is concerned [2] [3] [4] Energy-efficient designs, the use of eco-friendly materials, and sustainable construction techniques are crucial in promoting a more ecologically conscious approach to building development in order to address the carbonisation challenge [5].

The use of concrete, and particularly the production of cement, the main binding ingredient in

*Author for Correspondence

Ritika Priyadarshani
E-mail: ritikapriyadarshani5@gmail.com

PG Student, Department of Building Engineering and Management (BEM), School of Planning and Architecture (SPA), New Delhi

Received Date: January 02, 2024
Accepted Date: January 10, 2024
Published Date: January 23, 2024

Citation: Ritika Priyadarshani. Exploring Decarbonization Strategies and Sustainable Alternatives for Cement. International Journal of Manufacturing and Production Engineering. 2023; 1(2): 1–8p.

concrete, is one of the main causes of carbonisation in the building industry. Because cement production involves energy-intensive processes, it is well known to have a significant carbon footprint. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is released into the atmosphere during the calcination process used to produce cement [5]. The process's high energy consumption and dependence on fossil fuels both add to the overall impact of carbonisation.

The necessity to minimise the cement industry's excessive environmental impact is the driving force behind the urgent need to decarbonise the sector. It

becomes more difficult to meet global climate targets, like those set forth in international agreements like the Paris Agreement, if concrete steps are not taken to reduce carbon emissions from the production of cement. Cement-based buildings have long lifespans and continuously release carbon dioxide into the atmosphere. To reduce the cement industry's impact on climate change and advance towards a more resilient and sustainable future, it is imperative that quick action be taken to introduce sustainable practices, adopt alternative materials, and incorporate cutting-edge technologies into the cement production process.

Problem Identification

This study deals with the crucial problem of decarbonisation in the cement sector, acknowledging its widespread influence on the ecosystem. A major barrier to reaching decarbonisation targets is the high carbon intensity produced by the traditional methods of producing cement, notably the calcination of limestone to produce clinker. To solve the issue of lowering carbon emissions in the cement industry, this study looks into the drawbacks of traditional production techniques as well as the possibilities of novel technologies and alternative materials. The problem statement centres on finding sustainable solutions that strike a balance between the industry's economic viability and environmental responsibility in order to offer practical insights for a future in cement manufacturing that is more carbon neutral. Developing nations and emerging economies, such as Brazil, China, and India, are responsible for about half of the world's construction output. These nations are experiencing rapid population growth, urbanisation, and rising living standards.

Approach

A multimodal strategy combining quantitative and qualitative methodologies is employed in the research approach to study decarbonisation in the cement industry and alternative materials. The study will begin with a thorough assessment of the literature in order to develop a thorough grasp of the practices used today in the production of cement, the effects that traditional methods have on the environment, and the alternatives that are available.

Conclusion

In order to decarbonise buildings, a combination of technological, architectural, and behavioural changes are needed. As the world community increases its attention to climate change mitigation, the research findings provide insightful information to the ongoing discussion on sustainable construction practices, opening the door to a more resilient and environmentally friendly cement manufacturing industry in the future

LITERATURE REVIEW

In the cement industry, decarbonisation offers a thorough analysis of the difficulties associated with producing cement using conventional methods and looks at different approaches, technologies, and substitute materials that lower carbon emissions [6]. As carbon dioxide is released during important manufacturing processes, the production of cement has a significant negative impact on the environment. These environmental issues are made worse by the energy-intensive nature of cement production, which increases carbon emissions due to the significant reliance on fossil fuels for raw material extraction and processing. The disturbance of habitats and decline in biodiversity are caused by mining operations related to the extraction of raw materials [7]. The local air quality is impacted by the pollutants that cement plants emit.

Alternate Materials

The need to reduce carbon emissions through the use of alternative materials in cement production has led to a great deal of research into sustainable alternatives that could completely transform the building sector [8]. Pozzolanic materials—like metakaolin, fly ash, and silica fume—have shown promise as partial cement replacements in concrete.

FLY Ash

A strong substitute in the cement industry's push for decarbonisation is pozzolanic fly ash cement. As a byproduct of burning coal in power plants, fly ash is high in silica and alumina, which makes it a pozzolan—materials that, when combined with water and lime, react to form cementitious compounds.

The following are the salient features of pozzolanic fly ash cement:

- *Cementitious Properties:* It experiences pozzolanic reactions when combined with Portland cement and water, creating more cementitious compounds. This improves the final concrete's strength and longevity.
- *Lower Carbon Emissions:* By lowering the cement's total clinker content, the addition of fly ash lowers the carbon emissions related to the production of clinker. This matters because the production of clinker accounts for a large portion of the carbon footprint associated with conventional cement.
- *Better Performance:* Concrete made with pozzolanic fly ash cement frequently has a higher workability, less permeability, and a stronger resistance to some harsh chemicals. When compared to structures built with traditional cement, fly ash cement structures frequently exhibit better long-term performance and durability.
- *Waste Utilisation:* The construction sector can support environmentally friendly waste management techniques by employing fly ash as a pozzolan. Fly ash is diverted from landfills and used as a valuable raw material in the manufacture of cement.
- *Cost Savings:* Replacing cement with fly ash can save money, depending on what's available locally. It is therefore a financially feasible choice for environmentally friendly building techniques.

Silica Fume

Silica fume, also known as microsilica, is a highly reactive byproduct obtained from the production of silicon metal and ferrosilicon alloys. As an alternative material for cement in the context of decarbonization, silica fume has gained prominence for its remarkable pozzolanic properties and its potential to significantly reduce the environmental impact of concrete production [9].

Silica fume is characterized by its ultrafine particle size, high surface area, and amorphous silica content. When incorporated into concrete as a supplementary cementitious material, silica fume reacts with calcium hydroxide produced during the cement hydration process, forming additional calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) gel. This reaction improves the concrete's overall strength and durability, which makes it a useful substitute for conventional cement.

Important facts about silica fume:

- *Strength and Durability:* Concrete's microstructure becomes denser and more refined as a result of silica fume, which increases the material's durability, permeability, and compressive strength. This increase in performance makes it possible to design stronger, more resilient structures.
- *Reduced Cement Content:* Clinker production reduces its overall carbon emissions by substituting some of the cement with silica fume. This is especially important because, in the traditional cement manufacturing process, the production of clinker is a major source of greenhouse gas emissions.
- *Advantages for the environment:* By reusing an industrial byproduct that might otherwise be discarded, the use of silica fume is consistent with decarbonisation goals. Because of its incorporation into concrete, the construction industry's environmental impact is lessened and sustainable construction practices are supported.
- *Improved Workability:* Concrete becomes more workable by silica fume, which facilitates improved placement and flow. This may lead to less energy being used during construction and more effective building procedures.

- *Alkali-Silica Reaction (ASR) Mitigation:* The alkali-silica reaction, a frequent issue in concrete that can cause expansion and cracking, is effectively mitigated by silica fume. This helps make structures more durable over time.
- *Financial Aspects:* Although silica fume is a high-performance material, its cost may exceed that of conventional cement. But in some cases, it can be financially feasible due to the possibility of lower cement content, better performance, and long-term durability offsetting the initial costs.

In addition to having cementitious qualities, these substances also react to produce more cementitious compounds when they come into contact with calcium hydroxide, a byproduct of cement hydration. This twofold function increases the longevity and strength of concrete structures while also lowering the total cement content. The range of options is further expanded by supplemental cementitious materials (SCMs) such as natural pozzolans and ground granulated blast furnace slag.

Furthermore, novel binders like calcium sulfoaluminate cements and geopolymers are being investigated by researchers, opening up new avenues for cement replacement [10]. Adopting these substitute materials reduces the carbon footprint of conventional cement and promotes a more sustainable methodology, bringing the construction sector into line with international initiatives to tackle climate change and environmental deterioration.

Geopolymers

One promising approach to decarbonisation in cement manufacturing is the use of geopolymers. These inorganic binders provide a sustainable substitute for conventional Portland cement. They are generally made from industrial wastes like fly ash or metakaolin. Geopolymers are more environmentally friendly than conventional cement because their production has a smaller carbon footprint. Geopolymers are materials with exceptional mechanical strength and durability that are formed by a polymeric network. Building techniques can help lower greenhouse gas emissions related to clinker production by substituting or augmenting Portland cement with geopolymers.

Calcium Sulphoaluminate

Particularly in light of decarbonisation initiatives, calcium sulfoaluminate (CSA) cement is drawing interest as a possible binder for the production of cement. In terms of composition and manufacturing method, CSA cement differs from conventional Portland cement. Its main component is calcium sulfoaluminate clinker, which is created by sintering a blend of gypsum, bauxite, and limestone at a temperature lower than that of Portland cement clinker production [11].

In the context of decarbonisation, the following are important features of using calcium sulfoaluminate as a binder for cement production:

- *A lower value Carbon Footprint:* Compared to the energy-intensive processes used in the traditional Portland cement production process, the production of CSA cement usually involves lower temperatures. This results in less energy consumption and, ultimately, a lower carbon footprint.
- *Fast Setting and Early Strength Development:* CSA cement offers the benefits of early strength development and quick setting. When expediency is required in construction applications, this feature can be helpful and improve energy efficiency in construction methods.
- *High Early Strength:* CSA cement has the ability to attain high early strength, which is useful in some building situations where quick strength gain is essential.
- *Durability:* CSA cement has proven to have good durability qualities, such as enhanced resistance to some harsh chemical environments and resistance to sulphate attack.
- *Compatibility with Additives:* CSA cement frequently works well with a range of admixtures and additives, providing designers of concrete mixes flexibility and enabling the optimisation of desired qualities.

- *Potential for Lower Clinker Content:* One of the main causes of carbon emissions in the cement industry may be addressed by using CSA cement to lower the total clinker content of concrete mixtures.
- Cement made from recycled materials, or CSA cement, is a viable option for the construction industry looking for ways to reduce the environmental impact of cement production while still meeting decarbonisation targets. It's crucial to remember that the use of CSA cement may differ depending on factors like cost, project requirements, and regional availability.

CCS (CARBON CAPTURE AND STORAGE)

In order to stop carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions from power plants, factories, and other sources from entering the atmosphere, a process known as carbon capture is used. Reducing the atmospheric concentration of CO₂, a significant greenhouse gas, is intended to lessen the effects of climate change.

Capture

- *Post-Combustion Capture:* In power plants, CO₂ is captured following the combustion of fossil fuels. The CO₂ is separated and captured from the flue gas through treatment. Common techniques include adsorption, which uses solid materials to absorb CO₂ from the gas stream, and absorption, which uses chemicals or solvents that react with CO₂.
- *Pre-Combustion Capture:* This method involves capturing CO₂ before fossil fuels are burned. The fossil fuel, usually coal or natural gas, is transformed into a synthesis gas, or syngas, that contains carbon monoxide and hydrogen. Before burning, the CO₂ is then extracted from the syngas.

Transport

- After being captured, the CO₂ must be moved from the capture location to a storage location. Depending on the distance and amount of CO₂ that needs to be transported, this may involve pipelines, trucks, ships, or other modes of transportation.

Storage

- The captured CO₂ is kept underground, usually in geological formations. Depleted oil and gas fields, deep saline formations, and unmineable coal seams are examples of common storage locations. These formations receive the injection of CO₂, which is safely stored there for extended periods of time.

Monitoring and Verification

- To guarantee the integrity and security of the CO₂ that is being stored, constant observation and confirmation are necessary. The process entails utilising diverse technologies, including seismic monitoring, pressure and temperature measurements, and sampling, to verify that the CO₂ that is being stored is not seeping out and is functioning as anticipated.

It's crucial to remember that carbon capture is only a small portion of a larger plan to lower carbon emissions. Although it can aid in reducing emissions from current power plants and industrial processes, the long-term objective is to switch to more sustainable practices and cleaner energy sources in order to significantly lower greenhouse gas emissions overall.

Direct Air Capture Method

Direct Air Capture (DAC) is a novel method of carbon capture and storage (CCS) that aims to extract carbon dioxide (CO₂) directly from the ambient air. Using this method, large fans or other intake systems are used to draw in ambient air [5]. Once the air is captured, CO₂ molecules are selectively absorbed by a chemical sorbent or solvent. The CO₂-laden sorbent is then treated to liberate the trapped CO₂, which is gathered, compressed, and either kept underground or used for a variety of industrial purposes [6]. Notably, the sorbent is replenished and repurposed during the capture procedure, adding to the DAC system's sustainability. The development of DAC technology has been hampered by issues like energy

requirements and economic viability, which are being researched in order to make DAC a scalable and competitively priced method of reducing atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. The viability and effect of DAC in supporting international efforts to reduce carbon emissions are contingent upon its integration with other technologies, policy support, and developments in renewable energy sources.

CHALLENGES

Research on decarbonisation in cement production, with an emphasis on novel materials and techniques, faces a number of obstacles that call for thoughtful analysis and calculated responses.

Economic Challenges

The economic challenges associated with decarbonizing cement production through the use of alternate materials and the implementation of carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies are substantial, impacting various facets of the industry's financial landscape.

- *High Initial Capital Expense:* Adopting substitute materials and incorporating carbon capture and storage technologies necessitate a large initial financial outlay. It could be difficult for cement producers to balance these upfront expenses against the promised profits.
- *Operational Costs and Energy Requirements:* Using CCS technologies and alternative materials frequently results in higher operational costs. This is mostly because these techniques require more energy than others for the decarbonisation process. One of the main challenges is balancing the ongoing operating costs with the economic viability of these technologies.
- *Technology Integration and Retrofitting:* The integration of new equipment and the modification of infrastructure present financial challenges when retrofitting existing cement plants to accept alternative materials and CCS technologies. Reducing disruptions and increasing efficiency are necessary for such transitions to be financially feasible.

Technological Challenges

The decarbonisation of cement production through the use of alternative materials and the application of carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies presents significant technological challenges that call for creative solutions to get around the obstacles in the way of the industry's transformation.

- *Development and Scaling of Alternative Materials:* In order to provide practical alternatives to conventional cement, research and development for alternative materials, such as pozzolans, geopolymers, or industrial byproducts, must be advanced. It will be technologically challenging to increase the production of these materials to meet the demands of the cement industry.
- *Material Compatibility and Performance:* One technological challenge is ensuring that substitute materials work well together in different cement formulations. It takes a lot of testing and optimisation to get the right mechanical, durable, and structural qualities that are comparable to conventional cement.
- *Energy-Intensive Manufacturing Processes:* The production of cement requires a lot of energy by nature. It is a technological challenge to develop and implement energy-efficient technologies that lower the carbon footprint of cement production without sacrificing quality.
- *Integration of Carbon Capture Technologies:* Overcoming technological obstacles is necessary to integrate carbon capture technologies into currently operating cement plants. Significant technological challenges include determining appropriate capture techniques, streamlining procedures, and modifying infrastructure to accommodate capture equipment.
- *Cost-Effective Carbon Capture:* It is imperative to develop carbon capture technologies that are both economical and do not unnecessarily raise the overall cost of cement production. To lower the energy requirements and related costs of capturing and storing carbon dioxide, innovations are required.
- *Captured CO₂ Storage and Utilization:* Modern technologies are needed for the safe and effective storage or utilisation of captured CO₂. In the context of CCS, technological challenges include locating appropriate storage locations, guaranteeing long-term stability, and investigating creative uses for captured CO₂.

- *Innovations in Clinker Production:* One of the main causes of carbon emissions is the conventional clinker production process. It is a technological challenge to develop substitute processes or materials (e.g., alkali-activated binders) that lessen or eliminate clinker dependence.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this research paper has attempted to shed light on the crucial issues and encouraging solutions related to the cement industry's decarbonisation. Through an examination of alternative materials and creative techniques, a thorough grasp of workable approaches to lowering the carbon footprint in cement manufacturing has been provided. The exploration of substitute materials like calcium sulfoaluminate, geopolymers, and pozzolanic substances demonstrates a revolutionary change towards more environmentally friendly methods. These materials not only provide similar structural qualities, but they also make a substantial contribution to reducing the environmental impact that cement production has historically been linked to.

Furthermore, a commitment to achieving significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions is exemplified by the emphasis on decarbonising production processes, including the integration of carbon capture and storage technologies. The research's multifaceted approach highlights how the industry is changing and adapting to the need for environmental conservation on a global scale. This paper's findings offer important insights for building a more resilient and sustainable future, as the construction industry struggles to meet rising demand while reducing its environmental impact. The continuous exploration of such inventive approaches is crucial for accomplishing the lofty objectives of decarbonisation, bringing the cement sector into line with international endeavours to tackle climate change and usher in a period of ecologically conscious building methods. These actions support resource efficiency, substantially lower carbon emissions, and support global climate goals. In addition to adhering to environmental laws, the industry's dedication to innovation and sustainability positions it for a more resilient and environmentally friendly future by satisfying consumer demand for eco-friendly building techniques.

The results of this study provide important new information to the continuing conversation about sustainable building methods as the world turns its attention to combating climate change. This will pave the way for a more resilient and environmentally friendly cement industry in the future.

REFERENCES

1. M. Harit and K. Kumar, "A Critical Review of Expanded Polystyrene Core Panel System in Buildings in India," *Journal of Sustainable Construction Engineering and Project Management*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 1-7, 2021.
2. K. Kumar and V. K. Paul, "Risk and Reliability Assessment of Smoke Control Systems in the Buildings," *International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)*, vol. 10, no. X, pp. 576-581, 2022.
3. K. Kumar and V. K. Paul, "Significance of Fire Protection System Reliability for Structure Fire Safety," *STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING DIGEST*, no. October-December, pp. 42-25, 2023.
4. K. Kumar and V. K. Paul, "A Critical Review of Risk Factors and Reliability Assessment Issues of Fire and Life Safety in Buildings," *NICMAR JOURNAL OF CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT*, vol. XXXVII, no. III, pp. 23-33, 2022.
5. C. F. Dunant, M. P. Drewniok, J. J. Orr and J. M. Allwood, "Good early stage design decisions can halve embodied CO₂ and lower," Elsevier Ltd., pp. 343-354, 2021.
6. R. R. Mili Jain, "Emissions from a net-zero building in India: life cycle assessment," *Buildings and Cities*, p. 398–416, 2022.
7. A. Lalit and K. Kumar, "Applicability of Shading Devices in Building Design for Reducing Heat; SSN: 2583-0228," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Innovative Research*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 20-25, 2022.

-
8. Pragya and K. Kumar, "A Review of Performance of Green Roofs for Retrofitting Existing Structures," *Journal of Research in Infrastructure Designing*, pp. 1-7, 2021.
 9. M. A. N. M. S. S. Y. Melissa Chan, "Selection of Low-Carbon Building Materials in Construction," *MDPI*, p. 16, 2022.
 10. P. O. A. A. N. D. G. C. A. K. Mehdi Robati, "Carbon value engineering: A framework for integrating embodied carbon," *Elsevier - Building and Environment*, p. 17, 2021.
 11. K. Kumar, D. C. Basu, A. Rastogi and V. K. Paul, "Retrofitting the existing requirements for an institutional building Framework for Enhancing the Functionality," *IJSDR*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 362-368, 2020.