STM JOURNALS

Research & Reviews: Journal of
Ecology

https://journals.stmjournals.com/rrjoe

ISSN: 2278-2230
Volume 14, Issue 3, 2025
September–December
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/RRJoE

Review RRJoE

Livestock and Landscapes: Rethinking Carbon Sequestration Synergies for Transforming Livestock-Raising Paradigm

Md. Emran Hossain[1], Shilpi Islam[2,*]

Abstract

The livestock sector plays a critical role in global agricultural systems, but its impact on climate change is a growing concern due to significant greenhouse gas emissions, particularly methane and nitrous oxide. As the demand for livestock products continues to rise, rethinking current practices becomes essential to mitigate environmental degradation and enhance sustainability. This study examines the synergies between livestock farming and carbon sequestration strategies within global landscapes, with a focus on innovative approaches that can transform traditional livestock-raising paradigms. It highlights the potential of integrating sustainable practices, such as rotational grazing, agroforestry, and carbon-smart breeding programs, to increase soil carbon storage and reduce emissions. Additionally, the role of technological innovations, such as precision livestock farming and methane inhibitors, is examined to enhance the efficiency of livestock production systems while minimizing environmental footprints. The paper further discusses the importance of agro-ecological integration, which not only increases carbon sequestration but also promotes biodiversity and ecosystem health. Furthermore, it emphasizes the need for policy frameworks and incentives that support carbon sequestration initiatives, including carbon-credit trading and certification programs. Ultimately, this study presents a comprehensive approach to transforming the livestock-raising paradigm, offering practical solutions for fostering climate-resilient farming systems and contributing to global efforts to combat climate change. The findings suggest that through strategic integration of carbon sequestration practices, livestock farming can be redefined as a key player in sustainable agricultural development.

Keywords: Agroforestry, carbon farming, carbon sequestration, climate change, emissions reduction, livestock management, methane inhibitors, precision livestock farming, rotational grazing, soil health, sustainable farming, technology in agriculture

INTRODUCTION

Livestock farming is a cornerstone of global food production, making a significant contribution to economies, particularly in developing countries. However, its environmental impact is substantial, with the sector accounting for a significant share of global greenhouse gas emissions, particularly methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) [1]. These emissions arise from various stages of livestock production, including enteric fermentation, manure management, and land-use changes associated with pasture and feed crop cultivation [2]. As climate change intensifies, the need for sustainable livestock production systems that minimize environmental footprints becomes increasingly critical. Rethinking current practices in livestock raising is necessary to mitigate the adverse effects on the global climate while continuing to meet the growing demand for animal-based products.

***Author for Correspondence**
Shilpi Islam
E-mail: shilpi@gau.edu.bd

[1]Professor, Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Khulshi, Chattogram, Bangladesh
[2]Professor, Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, Gazipur Agricultural University, Salna, Gazipur, Bangladesh

Received Date: June 01, 2025
Accepted Date: September 10, 2025
Published Date: September 11, 2025

Citation: Md. Emran Hossain, Shilpi Islam. Livestock and Landscapes: Rethinking Carbon Sequestration Synergies for Transforming Livestock-Raising Paradigm. Research & Reviews: Journal of Ecology. 2025; 14(3): 29–43p.

Carbon sequestration presents a viable solution to offset emissions from livestock farming by enhancing the natural processes that remove carbon dioxide (CO_2) from the atmosphere. Various approaches, such as improved grazing practices, agroforestry, and the use of feed additives that reduce CH_4 emissions, offer potential pathways for mitigating the carbon footprint of livestock systems [3]. Furthermore, integrating livestock farming with land management practices that promote soil carbon storage, such as rotational grazing and conservation tillage, can create synergies between agricultural productivity and carbon sequestration [4]. These strategies not only contribute to the reduction of atmospheric CO_2 levels but also improve soil health, biodiversity, and overall ecosystem resilience, making them vital for sustainable farming practices.

The novelty of this study lies in its comprehensive exploration of the synergies between livestock farming and carbon sequestration in global landscapes. Unlike traditional studies that focus solely on either livestock production or carbon management, this research emphasizes the integration of both domains through innovative approaches. It proposes a shift from conventional livestock-raising practices to carbon-smart strategies that enhance both productivity and environmental sustainability. By focusing on holistic solutions, such as precision livestock farming, CH_4 mitigation technologies, and carbon-credit incentives, this study provides a new paradigm for transforming livestock systems. It highlights the transformative potential of these integrated strategies, offering a blueprint for a more sustainable and climate-resilient future in livestock farming.

GRAZING MANAGEMENT

Rotational Grazing

Rotational grazing involves moving livestock between different pasture areas to allow for optimal recovery of grazed lands. This practice helps in maintaining healthy grasslands, improves soil structure, and enhances carbon sequestration [5]. By preventing overgrazing and maintaining biodiversity, rotational grazing ensures that pastures can regenerate, thereby supporting long-term productivity and improving the resilience of grazing ecosystems.

Mob Grazing

Mob grazing involves grazing large numbers of animals in a single area for a short period before moving them to the next pasture [6]. This intensive approach mimics natural grazing patterns, leading to improved soil health through increased nutrient cycling. It promotes deeper root growth, enhances organic matter content, and supports carbon sequestration by enhancing soil structure and microbial diversity [7].

Seasonal Pasture Rotation

Seasonal pasture rotation refers to the practice of moving livestock between different grazing areas according to the time of year. This strategy ensures that pastures receive optimal rest during periods of seasonal growth, improving forage availability and soil health. It helps in managing grazing pressure, reduces pasture degradation, and enhances the capacity of the land to store carbon over time.

Mixed-Species Grazing

Mixed-species grazing involves grazing different types of livestock (e.g., cattle, sheep, goats) together on the same pasture. This approach optimizes pasture utilization and reduces the likelihood of overgrazing specific plant species [8]. By diversifying grazing patterns, mixed-species systems improve soil health, enhance plant biodiversity, and increase carbon sequestration while maintaining a more balanced, resilient farming system.

Low-Input Grazing Systems

Low-input grazing systems emphasize reducing the need for external inputs such as fertilizers, pesticides, and supplemental feed. This approach relies on natural pasture regeneration, soil health, and efficient livestock management to enhance productivity. By minimizing reliance on artificial inputs, these systems reduce environmental footprints, promote sustainable land use practices, and contribute to carbon sequestration through healthier soils [9].

Optimized Livestock Density

Optimized livestock density ensures that the number of animals on a pasture is in balance with the land's capacity to regenerate. This practice reduces overgrazing and prevents soil degradation, helping to maintain healthy pastures [10]. By optimizing the number of animals, it enhances forage utilization, improves pasture biodiversity, and supports carbon sequestration through sustainable land management and proper stocking rates [11].

Animal Mobility Strategies

Animal mobility strategies focus on enabling livestock to move freely across different areas of the farm, allowing for better pasture utilization, and reducing pressure on any single area [12]. By strategically planning animal movements, farmers can promote more efficient grazing, reduce soil compaction, and improve pasture recovery. These strategies ultimately support improved carbon sequestration and enhance overall farm resilience.

AGROFORESTRY AND SILVOPASTORAL SYSTEMS

Agroforestry Integration

Agroforestry integration involves combining trees with crops or livestock on the same land area to promote biodiversity and enhance ecosystem services. This practice fosters carbon sequestration by increasing tree cover, which captures atmospheric CO_2 [13]. Agroforestry systems improve soil fertility, reduce erosion, enhance water retention, and create habitats for wildlife, all while supporting sustainable livestock production and land-use practices [14].

Silvopastoral Systems

Silvopastoral systems integrate trees, livestock, and pasture in a mutually beneficial way. By combining tree cover with grazing, these systems improve soil health, increase biodiversity, and enhance carbon sequestration [15]. Trees provide shade, reduce wind, and offer additional resources, such as fodder or timber, while pastures benefit from reduced erosion and improved nutrient cycling, resulting in more sustainable livestock production systems.

Fodder Tree Planting

Fodder tree planting involves growing trees that provide nutritional benefits to livestock, such as leaves, fruits, or seeds. These trees offer high-quality feed during times of scarcity, improving animal health and productivity [16]. Fodder trees also contribute to carbon sequestration, enhance soil fertility, and increase biodiversity [17]. This practice supports sustainable livestock farming by reducing dependence on external feed sources and improving resilience.

Riparian Tree Planting

Riparian tree planting focuses on planting trees along waterways to stabilize banks, reduce soil erosion, and filter water. These trees enhance water quality, improve biodiversity, and contribute to carbon sequestration by capturing atmospheric CO_2 [18]. Riparian zones provide important habitat for wildlife and act as natural buffers, protecting pasturelands from flooding and nutrient runoff, which supports healthier grazing ecosystems [18].

Livestock Shade Trees

Livestock shade trees provide shelter for animals from extreme weather conditions, such as heat and sun exposure. These trees reduce heat stress, improving animal welfare and productivity [19]. By shading pastures, they also reduce water evaporation and promote better forage growth. Additionally, shade trees sequester carbon, support soil health, and provide additional ecological benefits, such as enhancing biodiversity and habitat quality.

Windbreak Planting

Windbreak planting involves establishing rows of trees or shrubs to protect livestock, crops, and pastures from the damaging effects of wind [20]. Windbreaks reduce wind erosion, prevent soil degradation, and provide shelter for animals. These systems also enhance carbon sequestration by capturing CO_2, improve soil fertility by reducing evaporation, and support biodiversity, contributing to more sustainable farming and land management practices [21].

Enrichment Planting in Pastures

Enrichment planting in pastures involves introducing diverse plant species, such as legumes or native grasses, into existing grazing areas to improve biodiversity, soil health, and forage quality [22]. These plants enhance soil nitrogen levels, increase carbon storage, and provide better grazing options for livestock. Enrichment planting helps create more resilient, productive pastures that contribute to long-term sustainability and carbon sequestration in livestock systems.

SOIL MANAGEMENT

Cover Cropping

Cover cropping involves planting crops during the off-season to protect soil from erosion, improve soil structure, and enhance nutrient cycling. These crops, typically legumes or grasses, fix nitrogen, increase organic matter, and improve soil moisture retention [23]. Cover crops also promote carbon sequestration by capturing atmospheric CO_2 through their root systems, which support healthier soils and more sustainable grazing systems [24].

Perennial Pastures

Perennial pastures are grasslands that remain productive for multiple years without the need for replanting. These systems enhance soil stability by reducing soil disturbance, which increases organic matter and improves water retention [25]. Perennial plants have deeper root systems that promote carbon sequestration, reduce soil erosion, and support biodiversity [26]. Their resilience enhances pasture productivity while supporting sustainable livestock farming practices.

Grassland Restoration

Grassland restoration focuses on rehabilitating degraded or overgrazed grasslands to their original, productive state. This process improves soil fertility, water infiltration, and biodiversity by reintroducing native plant species and controlling invasive ones [27]. Grassland restoration enhances carbon sequestration by increasing organic matter in the soil and restoring healthy ecosystems that can support both livestock production and carbon management practices [28].

Deep-Rooted Grasses

Deep-rooted grasses are species that develop extensive root systems that penetrate deep into the soil. These grasses enhance soil structure, prevent erosion, and improve water retention [29]. Their deep roots also contribute to carbon sequestration by storing organic matter below the surface. Incorporating deep-rooted grasses into grazing systems supports long-term soil health, boosts pasture productivity, and aids in climate change mitigation efforts.

Rewilding Degraded Pastures

Rewilding degraded pastures involves restoring natural ecosystems through the reintroduction of native plant species and wildlife, while limiting human intervention [30]. This process enhances soil health, biodiversity, and carbon sequestration by allowing natural processes to regenerate the land. Rewilding improves ecosystem resilience, restores carbon sinks, and creates more sustainable grazing systems that promote both biodiversity conservation and livestock productivity.

Soil Organic Matter Enhancement

Soil organic matter enhancement focuses on increasing the content of organic material in the soil through practices, like composting, mulching, and the incorporation of plant residues [31]. Higher levels of organic matter improve soil structure, enhance nutrient cycling, and increase water retention [32]. This process also enhances carbon sequestration by storing more CO_2 in the soil, contributing to more sustainable agricultural and livestock production systems.

No-Till Systems

No-till systems minimize soil disturbance by avoiding plowing, instead planting directly into the previous crop residue. This practice preserves soil structure, reduces erosion, and enhances organic matter retention [33]. No-till systems also increase carbon sequestration by preventing the release of soil organic carbon into the atmosphere [34]. By maintaining soil integrity, no-till farming supports sustainable grazing and improves pasture productivity over time.

Soil Erosion Prevention Structures

Soil erosion prevention structures, such as terraces, berms, and windbreaks, help reduce soil loss caused by wind and water erosion [35]. These structures slow down water runoff, improve water retention, and prevent the degradation of topsoil. By maintaining healthy soil, these systems support enhanced carbon sequestration, improve pasture productivity, and protect ecosystems, contributing to the long-term sustainability of livestock farming.

MANURE MANAGEMENT

Manure Composting

Manure composting is a process where animal waste is decomposed by microorganisms under controlled conditions to produce nutrient-rich compost. This practice stabilizes the organic matter, reduces odors, and eliminates pathogens, improving soil health and fertility [36]. Composting also reduces CH_4 emissions from manure by accelerating decomposition, and it supports carbon sequestration by enhancing soil structure and increasing organic matter content [37].

Manure Digesters

Manure digesters are anaerobic systems that break down animal waste to produce biogas, a renewable source of energy. The process reduces CH_4 emissions from manure, helping mitigate climate change [38]. Digesters also produce nutrient-rich slurry that can be used as a natural fertilizer. This technology helps in managing waste efficiently, contributing to carbon sequestration by capturing and utilizing CH_4, reducing environmental impact.

Efficient Manure Application

Efficient manure application involves the proper timing, method, and quantity of manure applied to fields to optimize nutrient utilization while minimizing environmental impacts [39]. Practices, like injection or surface application, reduce nitrogen loss and prevent runoff. An efficient application helps enhance soil fertility, increase carbon storage, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions by maximizing the nutrient value of manure, promoting sustainable crop and pasture production.

Vermicomposting

Vermicomposting is the use of earthworms to convert organic waste, including manure, into nutrient-rich compost. Earthworms break down the organic material, creating a high-quality fertilizer that enhances soil structure and nutrient content [40]. This method not only reduces waste but also supports carbon sequestration by increasing soil organic matter. Vermicomposting is a sustainable manure management practice that improves soil health and boosts farm productivity.

Biogas Slurry Application

Biogas slurry application involves using the nutrient-rich byproduct from anaerobic digestion of manure as a fertilizer. The slurry is rich in essential nutrients, like nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, which promote healthy crop and pasture growth [41]. Applying biogas slurry to fields helps improve soil health, increase organic matter, and support carbon sequestration, while reducing the environmental impact of manure disposal and N_2O emissions [42].

CROP-LIVESTOCK INTEGRATION

Integrated Crop-Livestock Systems

Integrated crop-livestock systems combine crop production and livestock farming on the same land, creating a mutually beneficial relationship. Livestock provide manure that enriches the soil, while crops offer feed for animals [43]. This integration improves nutrient cycling, reduces the need for chemical fertilizers, and promotes carbon sequestration by enhancing soil organic matter. It leads to more efficient resource use and greater sustainability [44].

Mixed Forage Cropping

Mixed forage cropping involves planting different types of forage crops alongside primary crops to improve pasture quality and livestock nutrition. This practice enhances soil fertility by diversifying plant species, improves carbon sequestration through root systems, and increases forage availability for livestock. Mixed forages also help reduce soil erosion, enhance biodiversity, and contribute to a more sustainable farming system.

Crop Residue Incorporation in Pastures

Incorporating crop residues into pastures involves adding plant residues, such as straw or leaves, back into the soil after harvesting [45]. This practice improves soil organic matter, enhances soil fertility, and promotes carbon sequestration by increasing carbon storage in the soil. It also reduces the need for synthetic fertilizers and helps retain moisture, improving pasture productivity and sustainability in crop-livestock systems.

Perennial Crop-Livestock Integration

Perennial crop-livestock integration involves growing perennial crops alongside livestock on the same land. Perennial crops require less soil disturbance, which promotes soil health and reduces erosion [46]. This integration supports sustainable land use by improving nutrient cycling and enhancing carbon sequestration through deep-rooted plants. Perennial crop-livestock systems increase farm resilience, optimize land productivity, and contribute to long-term environmental sustainability.

ANIMAL FEEDING AND NUTRITION

Improved Forage Species

Improved forage species are specifically selected or bred to enhance nutritional quality, increase biomass production, and improve animal health. These forages offer higher digestibility, better nutrient profiles, and increased resistance to pests and diseases [47]. By providing livestock with high-quality feed, improved forage species can increase productivity and support carbon sequestration by enhancing soil health through deeper root systems and increased organic matter.

Efficient Feed Utilization

Efficient feed utilization focuses on optimizing the conversion of feed into productive outputs such as meat, milk, or wool. This involves selecting high-quality feeds, balancing nutrients, and using feeding strategies that enhance digestibility and minimize waste [48]. Efficient feed utilization reduces feed costs, improves livestock health, and decreases CH_4 emissions, contributing to more sustainable livestock production and supporting carbon sequestration through improved animal productivity [49].

Feed Additives

Feed additives, such as tannins and algae-based supplements, are incorporated into animal diets to improve digestion, reduce CH_4 emissions, and enhance overall performance. Tannins have been shown to reduce protein degradation in the rumen, while algae-based supplements can lower CH_4 production by altering the gut microbiota [50, 51]. These additives improve feed efficiency, reduce the environmental footprint of livestock, and contribute to carbon sequestration through improved digestion and animal health.

Nutritional Balancing for Emissions Reduction

Nutritional balancing for emissions reduction involves adjusting the diet of livestock to minimize the production of greenhouse gases, particularly CH_4. By optimizing the ratio of fiber, protein, and energy in the diet, emissions can be reduced without compromising animal health or productivity [52]. Proper nutrition also improves feed conversion efficiency, reduces waste, and enhances soil carbon storage through improved manure management and healthier pastures.

Methane Inhibitors and Gut Microbiota Modulation

CH_4 inhibitors and gut microbiota modulation focus on altering the digestive processes in livestock to reduce CH_4 production. CH_4 inhibitors work by interfering with the microbial processes in the rumen that produce CH_4, while gut microbiota modulation alters the composition of microbes to favor more efficient digestion [53]. These strategies reduce greenhouse gas emissions, improve feed efficiency, and contribute to overall environmental sustainability in livestock farming.

TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATIONS

Precision Livestock Farming

Precision livestock farming utilizes advanced technologies, such as sensors, GPS, and data analytics, to monitor and manage livestock health, behavior, and environmental impact [54]. By providing real-time data on animal performance, feed intake, and welfare, precision farming enhances resource efficiency, improves productivity, and reduces greenhouse gas emissions. This approach supports sustainable livestock systems by optimizing feed utilization and minimizing environmental footprints.

Carbon Sequestration Monitoring Apps

Carbon sequestration monitoring apps are digital tools designed to track and analyze the carbon storage capacity of agricultural systems, including pastures and soils [55]. These apps provide farmers with real-time data on carbon sequestration rates, enabling them to make informed decisions on land management practices that maximize carbon capture. Such technologies help optimize livestock farming practices, reduce emissions, and promote sustainability through better resource management.

Soil Carbon Monitoring Technologies

Soil carbon monitoring technologies involve using sensors and remote sensing tools to measure and track soil organic carbon levels over time. These technologies provide valuable insights into soil health, carbon storage, and the impact of agricultural practices on carbon sequestration [56]. By enabling precise management of soil carbon, these technologies support sustainable farming practices, including livestock systems, that contribute to enhanced carbon storage and environmental resilience.

Methanogenesis Inhibitors

Methanogenesis inhibitors are compounds or additives that reduce CH_4 production in the digestive systems of livestock, particularly ruminants. These inhibitors target the microbes responsible for CH_4 production in the rumen, either by disrupting their metabolic pathways or altering their microbial composition [57]. By reducing CH_4 emissions, these inhibitors contribute to environmental sustainability, improve feed efficiency, and lower the carbon footprint of livestock farming, promoting both economic and ecological benefits.

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY

Solar Water Pumps

Solar water pumps use solar energy to power water pumping systems for livestock watering and irrigation. These systems are environmentally friendly, reducing dependency on fossil fuels and lowering energy costs [58]. Solar-powered pumps also minimize greenhouse gas emissions, contributing to sustainable farming practices. By harnessing renewable energy, they promote energy efficiency and support the overall sustainability of livestock operations, especially in remote areas.

Green Energy Adoption in Farms

Green energy adoption in farms involves integrating renewable energy sources, such as solar, wind, or biomass, into farming operations. This reduces reliance on nonrenewable energy, lowers carbon emissions, and decreases operational costs [59]. By transitioning to green energy, livestock farms can significantly enhance sustainability, improve energy efficiency, and contribute to climate change mitigation while maintaining high productivity and reducing environmental impacts.

Carbon-Neutral Livestock Housing

Carbon-neutral livestock housing aims to create farming environments that produce no net carbon emissions. This is achieved through energy-efficient building designs, renewable energy integration (e.g., solar panels or wind turbines), and carbon offset strategies [60]. These systems reduce the environmental impact of livestock housing, improve energy efficiency, and support carbon sequestration efforts, contributing to overall sustainability goals and reducing the carbon footprint of livestock production.

Energy-Efficient Farm Equipment

Energy-efficient farm equipment is designed to reduce fuel consumption, minimize emissions, and lower operational costs while maintaining productivity [61]. By using advanced technologies and improving machinery performance, these equipment options help reduce the environmental footprint of livestock operations. Energy-efficient equipment, such as tractors, feed mixers, and milking machines, promotes sustainability by lowering energy demands and supporting the transition to more eco-friendly farming practices.

RESTORATION AND CONSERVATION

Restoring Degraded Lands

Restoring degraded lands involves rehabilitating areas that have suffered from erosion, nutrient depletion, or land misuse. Techniques, such as reforestation, cover cropping, and soil management practices, are applied to restore soil fertility and enhance biodiversity [62]. This process improves land productivity, increases carbon sequestration, and supports sustainable livestock farming by creating healthier, more resilient ecosystems for grazing and other agricultural uses.

Combating Desertification

Combating desertification focuses on reversing land degradation in arid and semi-arid areas, often exacerbated by overgrazing and climate change. Practices, such as afforestation, soil conservation, and the introduction of drought-resistant plant species, help restore soil health and prevent further desert expansion [63]. By improving land productivity and enhancing water retention, these strategies contribute to sustainable livestock systems and help mitigate environmental impacts.

Wetland Grazing Systems

Wetland grazing systems integrate livestock grazing with wetland management to maintain biodiversity and ecosystem health [64]. Grazing in wetlands is carefully managed to prevent overgrazing and to promote natural regeneration of wetland vegetation. These systems improve water quality, support carbon sequestration, and provide a sustainable livelihood for farmers while maintaining the ecological integrity of wetland areas, which are vital for biodiversity conservation.

Riparian Buffer Zones

Riparian buffer zones are vegetated areas alongside water bodies that act as a protective barrier between livestock grazing areas and watercourses. These zones reduce soil erosion, filter out pollutants, and prevent nutrient runoff into water systems [65]. By maintaining healthy riparian zones, livestock farms can enhance water quality, promote biodiversity, and contribute to carbon sequestration, supporting sustainable agricultural practices and protecting aquatic ecosystems.

Floodplain Restoration

Floodplain restoration involves rehabilitating natural floodplain ecosystems that have been altered or degraded by agricultural practices [66]. Techniques, such as reintroducing native vegetation, restoring hydrological processes, and reducing grazing pressure, help improve soil fertility and enhance biodiversity. By restoring floodplains, farms can increase resilience to floods, promote sustainable livestock grazing, and enhance carbon sequestration, contributing to both environmental sustainability and farm productivity.

ORGANIC AND SUSTAINABLE PRACTICES

Organic Farming Methods

Organic farming methods emphasize the use of natural inputs and practices that enhance soil health and promote biodiversity. These methods exclude synthetic chemicals, such as pesticides and fertilizers, relying instead on crop rotation, composting, and natural pest control [67]. Organic farming helps increase carbon sequestration by improving soil organic matter and supports sustainable livestock systems by creating healthier, more resilient ecosystems.

Herbicide-Free Farming

Herbicide-free farming eliminates the use of synthetic herbicides, opting for mechanical, biological, or cultural weed control methods [68]. This practice reduces chemical residues in food, protects soil and water quality, and promotes biodiversity. By encouraging the use of cover crops, mulching, and rotational grazing, herbicide-free farming supports sustainable agricultural systems, improves soil health, and reduces environmental contamination, benefiting both livestock and crops.

Green Manure Utilization

Green manure utilization involves growing specific crops, such as legumes, that are then tilled back into the soil to enhance its fertility. These crops fix nitrogen, improve soil structure, and increase organic matter, enriching the soil [69]. Green manure reduces the need for synthetic fertilizers and promotes sustainable farming by improving soil health, supporting carbon sequestration, and fostering a more nutrient-efficient system for livestock grazing.

Nitrogen-Fixing Bacteria Inoculants

Nitrogen-fixing bacteria inoculants are applied to soil or plants to enhance nitrogen fixation, improving soil fertility naturally. These inoculants increase the availability of nitrogen for crops, reducing the need for synthetic fertilizers [70]. This practice promotes sustainable farming by enhancing soil health, increasing organic matter, and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. For livestock systems, it contributes to better pasture quality and supports more sustainable feed production.

CLIMATE-SMART SYSTEMS

Carbon-Credit Trading Programs

Carbon-credit trading programs allow farmers to earn credits for reducing greenhouse gas emissions through sustainable practices, such as carbon sequestration in soils or reducing CH_4 emissions from livestock [71]. These credits can then be sold to companies or individuals seeking to offset their own emissions. By participating in such programs, livestock farmers can monetize their environmental efforts, contribute to climate change mitigation, and promote sustainable farming practices.

Carbon Farming Certifications

Carbon farming certifications validate the carbon sequestration practices implemented by farmers, providing formal recognition for their efforts in reducing carbon emissions. These certifications require farmers to adopt climate-smart practices such as soil carbon management and CH_4 reduction techniques [72]. Achieving certification helps farmers access carbon markets, improve farm sustainability, and increase the environmental credibility of their operations, ultimately contributing to global climate goals.

Carbon-Smart Breeding Programs

Carbon-smart breeding programs focus on selecting livestock breeds that are more efficient in their feed-to-product conversion, thus reducing CH_4 emissions per unit of product. These programs also consider traits, such as resilience to climate stresses, which can reduce the overall environmental footprint of livestock production. By integrating breeding with environmental sustainability goals, these programs aim to create livestock that are both more productive and less impactful on the climate.

Long-Term Grazing Exclusions

Long-term grazing exclusions involve temporarily or permanently removing livestock from specific grazing areas to allow vegetation to regenerate and soil health to improve. These exclusions help restore degraded land, enhance biodiversity, and increase carbon sequestration through the regrowth of plants and the improvement of soil organic matter. By implementing long-term grazing exclusions, livestock farmers can contribute to climate-smart systems that support sustainability and reduce the environmental impact of their operations.

COMMUNITY AND COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS

Community-Based Grazing Management

Community-based grazing management involves local farmers and stakeholders collaboratively managing grazing lands to ensure sustainable use and improve environmental health [73]. By sharing knowledge and resources, communities can establish rules that balance livestock needs with land regeneration. This approach improves grazing efficiency, reduces land degradation, and enhances carbon sequestration, promoting both ecological sustainability and social cohesion in agricultural communities.

Integrated Watershed Management

Integrated watershed management focuses on managing water resources within a watershed in a holistic manner, ensuring sustainable use and preserving water quality [74]. In livestock systems, this includes practices like protecting riparian zones, improving grazing practices, and enhancing soil health. Effective watershed management reduces erosion, improves water quality, and increases the resilience of landscapes to climate change, benefiting both livestock farming and the surrounding ecosystem [75].

Streamlining Supply Chains

Streamlining supply chains involves optimizing the flow of resources, products, and information between farmers, processors, and consumers to improve efficiency and reduce waste. In livestock farming, this includes reducing transportation distances, enhancing traceability, and improving coordination between different stages of production. Streamlined supply chains lower carbon emissions, reduce food loss, and improve the sustainability of livestock systems by creating a more efficient, transparent, and environmentally responsible process.

AWARENESS AND ECO-LABELING

Eco-Labeling of Livestock Products

Eco-labeling of livestock products involves certifying products that meet specific environmental and sustainability standards, such as reduced carbon emissions or animal welfare improvements. These labels provide consumers with information on the environmental impact of their purchases, encouraging sustainable farming practices. By promoting eco-labeled products, farmers can access premium markets, improve farm practices, and contribute to global sustainability goals, reducing the carbon footprint of livestock production.

Encouraging Native Species Growth

Encouraging native species growth involves promoting the use of indigenous plants and animals in farming systems, which are better adapted to local conditions and more resilient to environmental stresses [76]. This practice supports biodiversity, reduces the need for synthetic inputs, and enhances ecosystem services, such as carbon sequestration. By fostering native species, farmers contribute to ecological restoration, enhance productivity, and improve sustainability in livestock farming systems, benefiting both the environment and farm profitability.

INNOVATIVE SYSTEMS AND DESIGNS

Urban Livestock Farming with Vertical Grazing Spaces

Urban livestock farming with vertical grazing spaces is an innovative approach that integrates livestock production into urban environments, utilizing vertical structures for grazing or housing animals [77]. This design maximizes space in crowded areas, reduces the environmental footprint of traditional farming, and enhances local food production. By incorporating vertical farming techniques, cities can support sustainable livestock systems, reduce food transportation costs, and contribute to carbon footprint reduction.

Hybrid Systems of Aquaculture and Livestock

Hybrid systems of aquaculture and livestock involve the integration of fish farming and livestock production within a shared ecosystem. This approach utilizes nutrient cycling between the two systems, where livestock manure can be used as fish feed, and fish waste can enhance soil fertility for crop production [78]. By combining aquaculture with livestock farming, these hybrid systems reduce waste, optimize resource use, and create more resilient, sustainable farming practices, promoting efficiency and sustainability in food production.

CONCLUSIONS

Transforming livestock farming into a more sustainable and climate-resilient system is essential for addressing global environmental challenges. By integrating innovative carbon sequestration strategies, such as improved grazing practices, agroforestry, and CH_4 reduction technologies, livestock farming can play a crucial role in mitigating climate change. The synergy between carbon management and livestock production offers significant potential for reducing greenhouse gas emissions while enhancing agricultural productivity and ecosystem health. This study underscores the importance of rethinking traditional livestock-raising paradigms and embracing novel, integrated approaches that align with both environmental and economic goals. Ultimately, a holistic transformation of the livestock sector can contribute to global efforts in combating climate change, ensuring food security, and promoting sustainable agricultural development.

Future Directions

Future research should focus on refining and scaling up carbon sequestration practices within livestock systems, particularly in diverse geographical contexts. Investigating the long-term effects of carbon-smart grazing and agroforestry on soil carbon storage, biodiversity, and farm productivity will provide valuable insights into their sustainability and effectiveness. Additionally, exploring the role of precision livestock farming technologies in monitoring and optimizing carbon sequestration processes could enhance the efficiency of these systems. Further studies are needed to evaluate the economic feasibility and scalability of CH_4 mitigation technologies, such as feed additives and CH_4 inhibitors, across various livestock species and production systems. The integration of carbon sequestration strategies into policy frameworks and carbon-credit markets should also be explored to incentivize adoption by farmers. Collaborative efforts between policymakers, farmers, and researchers will be crucial in fostering a holistic approach to carbon sequestration that aligns with the goals of global climate mitigation and sustainable agricultural development.

REFERENCES

1. Flessa H, Ruser R, Dörsch P, Kamp T, Jimenez MA, Munch JC, et al. Integrated evaluation of greenhouse gas emissions (CO_2, CH_4, N_2O) from two farming systems in southern Germany. Agric Ecosyst Environ. 2002;91(1–3):175–189. doi: 10.1016/S0167-8809(01)00234-1.
2. Chauhan DS, Ghosh N. Impact of climate change on livestock production: A review. J Anim Res. 2014;4(2):223–239. doi: 10.5958/2277-940X.2014.00009.6.
3. Thornton PK, Herrero M. Potential for reduced methane and carbon dioxide emissions from livestock and pasture management in the tropics. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010;107(46):19667–1972. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0912890107.
4. Brewer KM, Gaudin ACM. Potential of crop-livestock integration to enhance carbon sequestration and agroecosystem functioning in semi-arid croplands. Soil Biol Biochem. 2020;149:107936. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107936.
5. Schatz T, Ffoulkes D, Shotton P, Hearnden M. Effect of high-intensity rotational grazing on the growth of cattle grazing buffel pasture in the Northern Territory and on soil carbon sequestration. Anim Prod Sci. 2020;60(15):1814–1821. doi: 10.1071/AN19552.

6. Wagner M, Waterton C, Norton LR. Mob grazing: A nature-based solution for British farms producing pasture-fed livestock. Nature-Based Solut. 2023;3:100054. doi: 10.1016/j.nbsj.2023.100054.
7. Roberts AJ, Johnson NC. Effects of mob-grazing on soil and range quality vary with plant species and season in a semiarid grassland. Rangel Ecol Manag. 2021;79:139–149. doi: 10.1016/j.rama.2021.04.008.
8. Fraser MD. Mixed-species grazing management to improve sustainability and biodiversity. Rev Sci Tech. 2018;37(1):247–257. doi: 10.20506/rst.37.1.2755.
9. Jagadesh M, Dash M, Singh SK, Kumari A. Carbon footprints in agriculture: Challenges, mitigation strategies, and future prospects. In: Carbon Footprint Assessments Case Studies Best Pract. 2024. pp. 17–43. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-70262-4_2.
10. Teague R, Kreuter U. Managing grazing to restore soil health, ecosystem function, and ecosystem services. Front Sustain Food Syst. 2020;4:534187. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2020.534187.
11. Khalil MI, Francaviglia R, Henry B, Klumpp K, Koncz P, Llorente M, et al. Strategic management of grazing grassland systems to maintain and increase organic carbon in soils. In: CO_2 Sequestration. 2019. pp. 1–20. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.84341.
12. Vigan A, Lasseur J, Benoit M, Mouillot F, Eugène M, Mansard L, et al. Evaluating livestock mobility as a strategy for climate change mitigation: Combining models to address the specificities of pastoral systems. Agric Ecosyst Environ. 2017;242:89–101. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2017.03.020.
13. Nungula EZ, Chappa LR, Ranjan S, Sow S, Alnemari AM, Seleiman MF, et al. Ecosystem services through agroforestry systems and its sustainability. In: Agroforestry. 2024. pp. 223–254.
14. Saikia P, Kumar A, Khan ML. Agroforestry: A sustainable land use system for livelihood security and climate change mitigation. In: C. B. Pandey, M. K. Gaur, R. K. Goyal, editors. Climate Change and Agroforestry. New Delhi: New India Publ Agency; 2017. pp. 61–70.
15. Haddad FF, Herrera PM, Besbes B. Grazing with trees: A silvopastoral approach to managing and restoring drylands. Rome: Food & Agriculture Org.; 2022;180. doi: 10.4060/cc2280en.
16. Paterson RT, Karanja GM, Nyaata OZ, Kariuki IW, Roothaert RL. A review of tree fodder production and utilization within smallholder agroforestry systems in Kenya. Agrofor Syst. 1998;41:181–199. doi: 10.1023/A:1006066128640.
17. Raj AK, Raj RM, Kunhamu TK, Jamaludheen V, Chichaghare AR. Management of tree fodder banks for quality forage production and carbon sequestration in humid tropical cropping systems – An overview. Indian J Anim Sci. 2023;93(1):10–22. doi: 10.56093/ijans.v93i1.120692.
18. Khan N, Jhariya MK, Banerjee A, Meena RS, Raj A, Yadav SK. Riparian conservation and restoration for ecological sustainability. In: Natural Resources Conservation and Advances for Sustainability. Elsevier; 2022. pp. 195–216. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-822976-7.00003-X,
19. De K, Sharma S, Kumawat PK, Kumar D, Mohapatra A, Sahoo A. Tree shade improves the comfort of sheep during extreme summer. J Vet Behav. 2020;40(7):103–107. doi: 10.1016/j.jveb.2020.10.003.
20. Mume ID, Workalemahu S. Review on windbreaks agroforestry as a climate smart agriculture practices. Am J Agric For. 2021;9(6):342–347. doi: 10.11648/j.ajaf.20210906.12.
21. Baker TP, Moroni MT, Mendham D, Smith R, Hunt MA. Impacts of windbreak shelter on crop and livestock production. Crop Pasture Sci. 2018;69(8):785–796. doi: 10.1071/CP17242.
22. Dagar JC, Gupta SR. Silvopasture options for enhanced biological productivity of degraded pasture/grazing lands: An overview. In: Agroforestry for Degraded Landscapes: Recent Advances and Emerging Challenges. 2020;2:163–227.
23. Adetunji AT, Ncube B, Mulidzi R, Lewu FB. Management impact and benefit of cover crops on soil quality: A review. Soil Tillage Res. 2020;204:104717. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2020.104717.
24. Lal R. Soil carbon sequestration and aggregation by cover cropping. J Soil Water Conserv. 2015;70(6):329–339. doi: 10.2489/jswc.70.6.329.
25. Ernst O, Siri-Prieto G. Impact of perennial pasture and tillage systems on carbon input and soil quality indicators. Soil Tillage Res. 2009;105(2):260–268. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2009.08.001.
26. Sprunger CD, Singh P, Martin T. Integrating perennials into agroecosystems for enhanced soil biodiversity and long-term sustainability. In: Biodiversity and Bioeconomy. 2024. pp. 199–216. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-323-95482-2.00009-2.

27. Lyons KG, Török P, Hermann J-M, Kiehl K, Kirmer A, Kollmann J, et al. Challenges and opportunities for grassland restoration: A global perspective of best practices in the era of climate change. Glob Ecol Conserv. 2023;46:e02612. doi: 10.1016/j.gecco.2023.e02612.
28. Abdalla K, Mutema M, Chivenge P, Everson C, Chaplot V. Grassland rehabilitation significantly increases soil carbon stocks by reducing net soil CO2 emissions. Soil Use Manag. 2022;38(2):1250–1265. doi: 10.1111/sum.12790.
29. Ojija F. Perennial grasses: Natural allies for soil health and biodiversity, climate change mitigation, and invasive plant management. Grass Res. 2024;4(1):e020. doi: 10.48130/grares-0024-0019.
30. Corlett RT. Restoration, reintroduction, and rewilding in a changing world. Trends Ecol Evol. 2016;31(6):453–462. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2016.02.017.
31. Magdoff F, Weil RR. Soil organic matter management strategies. In: Soil Organic Matter in Sustainable Agriculture. 2004. pp. 45–65. doi: 10.1201/9780203496374.ch2.
32. Murphy BW. Impact of soil organic matter on soil properties—a review with emphasis on Australian soils. Soil Res. 2015;53(6):605–635. doi: 10.1071/SR14246.
33. Dang YP, Page KL, Dalal RC, Menzies NW. No-till farming systems for sustainable agriculture: An overview. In: No-till Farming Systems for Sustainable Agriculture: Challenges and Opportunities. Cham: Springer; 2020. pp. 3–20. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-46409-7.
34. Reicosky DC. Carbon sequestration and environmental benefits from no-till systems. In: No-till farming systems. Spec Publ. 2008;3:43–58.
35. Lal R. Biotechnical and soil bioengineering slope stabilization: A practical guide for erosion control. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1998;163(1). doi: 10.1097/00010694-199801000-00012.
36. Goldan E, et al. Assessment of manure compost used as soil amendment—A review. Processes. 2023;11(4):1167. doi: 10.3390/pr11041167.
37. Pajura R. Composting municipal solid waste and animal manure in response to the current fertilizer crisis—A recent review. Sci Total Environ. 2024;912:169221. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.169221.
38. Obileke K, Nwokolo N, Makaka G, Mukumba P, Onyeaka H. Anaerobic digestion: Technology for biogas production as a source of renewable energy—A review. Energy Environ. 2021;32(2):191–225. doi: 10.1177/0958305X20923117.
39. Schröder J. Revisiting the agronomic benefits of manure: A correct assessment and exploitation of its fertilizer value spares the environment. Bioresour Technol. 2005;96(2):253–261. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2004.05.015.
40. Mupambwa HA, Mnkeni PNS. Optimizing the vermicomposting of organic wastes amended with inorganic materials for production of nutrient-rich organic fertilizers: A review. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2018;25(11):10577–10595. doi: 10.1007/s11356-018-1328-4.
41. Kumar S, Malav LC, Malav MK, Khan SA. Biogas slurry: Source of nutrients for eco-friendly agriculture. Int J Ext Res. 2015;2(Feb):42–46.
42. Rahaman MA, Zhang Q, Shi Y, Zhan X, Li G. Biogas slurry application could potentially reduce N_2O emissions and increase crop yield. Sci Total Environ. 2021;778:146269. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146269.
43. Singh A, Sangramsing PB, Cheenu K, Vivek K. Integrated farming system. J Pharm Innov. 2022;11:1488–1496.
44. Reddy PP, Reddy PP. Integrated crop–livestock farming systems. In: Sustainable Intensification of Crop Production. 2016. pp. 357–370. doi: 10.1007/978-981-10-2702-4_23.
45. Turmel M-S, Speratti A, Baudron F, Verhulst N, Govaerts B. Crop residue management and soil health: A systems analysis. Agric Syst. 2015;134(4):6–16. doi: 10.1016/j.agsy.2014.05.009.
46. Hendrickson J, Sanderson M. Perennial-based agricultural systems and livestock impact on soil and ecological services. In: Soil health and intensification of agroecosystems. Elsevier; 2017. pp. 151–171. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-805317-1.00007-5.
47. Capstaff NM, Miller AJ. Improving the yield and nutritional quality of forage crops. Front Plant Sci. 2018;9:535. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00535.
48. Arthur PF, Herd RM. Efficiency of feed utilisation by livestock—Implications and benefits of genetic improvement. Can J Anim Sci. 2005;85(3):281–290. doi: 10.4141/A04-062.

49. Waghorn GC, Hegarty RS. Lowering ruminant methane emissions through improved feed conversion efficiency. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 2011;166–7:291–301. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.04.019.
50. Patra AK, Saxena J. Exploitation of dietary tannins to improve rumen metabolism and ruminant nutrition. J Sci Food Agric. 2011;91(1):24–37. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.4152.
51. McCauley JI, et al. Management of enteric methanogenesis in ruminants by algal-derived feed additives. Curr Pollut Rep. 2020;6(3):188–205. doi: 10.1007/s40726-020-00151-7.
52. Tseten T, Sanjorjo RA, Kwon M, Kim SW. Strategies to mitigate enteric methane emissions from ruminant animals. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2022;32(3):269–277. doi: 10.4014/jmb.2202.02019.
53. Honan M, Feng X, Tricarico JM, Kebreab E. Feed additives as a strategic approach to reduce enteric methane production in cattle: Modes of action, effectiveness and safety. Anim Prod Sci. 2021;61(7):699–707. doi: 10.1071/AN20295.
54. Neethirajan S, Kemp B. Digital livestock farming. Sens Bio-Sensing Res. 2021;32:100408. doi: 10.1016/j.sbsr.2021.100408.
55. Mandal A, Majumder A, Dhaliwal SS, Toor AS, Mani PK, Naresh RK, et al. Impact of agricultural management practices on soil carbon sequestration and its monitoring through simulation models and remote sensing techniques: A review. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol. 2022;52(1):1–49. doi: 10.1080/10643389.2020.1811590.
56. Li T, Cui L, Wu Y, McLaren TI, Xia A, Pandey R, et al. Soil organic carbon estimation via remote sensing and machine learning techniques: Global topic modeling and research trend exploration. Remote Sens. 2024;16(17):3168. doi: 10.3390/rs16173168.
57. Belanche A, Bannink A, Dijkstra J, Durmic Z, Garcia F, Santos FG, et al. Feed additives for methane mitigation: A guideline to uncover the mode of action of antimethanogenic feed additives for ruminants. J Dairy Sci. 2025;108(1):375–394. doi: 10.3168/jds.2024-25046.
58. Chel A, Kaushik G. Renewable energy for sustainable agriculture. Agron Sustain Dev. 2011;31(1):91–118. doi: 10.1051/agro/2010029.
59. Majeed Y, Khan MU, Waseem M, Zahid U, Mahmood F, Majeed F, et al. Renewable energy as an alternative source for energy management in agriculture. Energy Rep. 2023;10:344–359. doi: 10.1016/j.egyr.2023.06.032.
60. Wang F, Harindintwali JD, Yuan Z, Wang M, Wang F, Li S, et al. Technologies and perspectives for achieving carbon neutrality. Innov (Camb). 2021;2(4):100180. doi: 10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100180.
61. Jensen TA, Antille DL, Tullberg JN. Improving on-farm energy use efficiency by optimizing machinery operations and management: A review. Agric Res. 2025;14:15–33. doi: 10.1007/s40003-024-00824-5.
62. Alemu A, Zewide I. Review on rehabilitation of degraded lands. Br J Earth Sci Res. 2021;9(2):38–62. doi: 10.37745/bjesr.2013.
63. Abdelhak M. Soil improvement in arid and semiarid regions for sustainable development. In: Natural Resources Conservation and Advances for Sustainability. Elsevier; 2022. pp. 73–90. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-822976-7.00026-0.
64. Morris K, Reich P. Understanding the relationship between livestock grazing and wetland condition. Arthur Rylah Inst Environ Res Tech Rep Ser. 2013;252.
65. Wu S, Bashir MA, Raza Q-UA, Rehim A, Geng Y, Cao L. Application of riparian buffer zone in agricultural non-point source pollution control—A review. Front Sustain Food Syst. 2023;7:985870. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2023.985870.
66. Mant J, Gill AB, Janes M, Hammond D. Restoration of rivers and floodplains. In: J. Van Andel, J, Alonson, editors. Restoration Ecology: The New Frontier. Wiley-Blackwell. Restor Ecol New Front. 2012:214–232.
67. Kumari G, Saini A, Lakshmi, Sahoo C. Organic and natural farming; Concept and principles. In: Climate Change and Agriculture - Its Impact and Mitigation Potential. Kripa-Drishti Publications; 2024. pp. 193–203.
68. Légère A, Shirtliffe SJ, Vanasse A, Gulden RH. Extreme grain-based cropping systems: When herbicide-free weed management meets conservation tillage in northern climates. Weed Technol. 2013;27(1):204–211. doi: 10.2307/23358327.

69. Prajapati SK, Dayal P, Kumar V, Gairola A. Green manuring: A sustainable path to improve soil health and fertility. AgriSustain Int J. 2023;1(2):24–33.
70. Aasfar A, Bargaz A, Yaakoubi K, Hilali A, Bennis I, Zeroual Y, et al. Nitrogen fixing Azotobacter species as potential soil biological enhancers for crop nutrition and yield stability. Front Microbiol. 2021;12:628379. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.628379.
71. Lokuge N, Anders S. Carbon-credit systems in agriculture: A review of literature. Sch Public Policy Publ. 2022;15.
72. Marks AB. (Carbon) farming our way out of climate change. Denver Law Rev. 2020;97(3):497–556.
73. Sircely J, Nganga I, Temesgen T, Zerfu E. Introduction to multi stakeholder action research for restoration of communal grazing lands. ILRI Res Rep. 2020.
74. Wang G, Mang S, Cai H, Liu S, Zhang Z, Wang L, et al. Integrated watershed management: Evolution, development and emerging trends. J For Res. 2016;27:967–994. doi: 10.1007/s11676-016-0293-3.
75. Wolka K, Uma T, Tofu DA. The role of integrated watershed management in climate change adaptation for small-scale farmers in Southwest Ethiopia. Environ Sustain Indic. 2023;19(1):100260. doi: 10.1016/j.indic.2023.100260.
76. Shelef O, Weisberg PJ, Provenza FD. The value of native plants and local production in an era of global agriculture. Front Plant Sci. 2017;8:2069. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.02069.
77. Lee-Smith D, Prain G, Cofie O, van Veenhuizen R, Karanja N. Urban and peri-urban farming systems: Feeding cities and enhancing resilience. In: Farming Systems and Food Security in Africa. Routledge; 2019. pp. 504–531. doi: 10.4324/9781315658841-16.
78. Zajdband AD. Integrated agri-aquaculture systems. In: Genetics, Biofuels and Local Farming Systems 2011;87–127. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-1521-9_4.