STM JOURNALS

Research & Reviews: A Journal of
Pharmacology

https://journals.stmjournals.com/rrjop

ISSN: 2230-9861 (Online)
ISSN: 2349-1299 (Print)
Volume 15, Issue 3, 2025
September–December
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/RRJoP

Review RRJoP

Pharmacology in Clinical and Therapeutic Settings

V. Basil Hans*

Abstract

Polypharmacy, which refers to the practice of taking many medications at the same time, is becoming more common among elderly populations because of the steadily increasing prevalence of chronic conditions. The clinical and therapeutic consequences of polypharmacy are investigated in this paper, with a particular emphasis placed on drug–drug interactions, altered pharmacokinetics, and patient safety. The physiological changes that occur with advancing age have a substantial impact on the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of drugs, which in turn increases the likelihood of adverse drug reactions (ADRs) and therapeutic failure, respectively. We examine the various methods that are now being utilized for the purpose of optimizing medicine such as deprescribing protocols, clinical decision support technologies, and personalized pharmacotherapy techniques. In addition, the article covers the role that pharmacovigilance plays in the monitoring of drug safety and provides an overview of upcoming technologies, such as pharmacogenomic testing and artificial intelligence, that are aimed at enhancing the management of medicine for elderly patients. The purpose of this review is to highlight the urgent need for focused treatments to prevent medication-related harm and enhance therapeutic outcomes in aging populations. The review places an emphasis on a patient-centered, interdisciplinary approach.

Keywords: Clinical pathways, hepatic function, polypharmacy, technology-based interventions, therapeutic settings

INTRODUCTION

The population of the world is getting older at a rate that has never been seen before, with people aged 65 and over constituting a demographic that is expanding at a rapid pace. This transition has resulted in a significant rise in the prevalence of age-related chronic health diseases, including hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and neurodegenerative disorders. Because of this, medical professionals frequently prescribe many medications to older people, a practice that is referred to as polypharmacy. Polypharmacy, even though it is frequently required for efficient illness care, considerably increases the risk of adverse drug reactions (ADRs), drug–drug interactions, and therapeutic failure.

Alterations in body composition, diminished renal and hepatic function, and changes in receptor sensitivity are some of the physiological changes that occur with advancing age. These changes have a significant impact on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of medications. As a result of these changes, aged individuals are more likely to experience difficulties connected to their medicine, particularly when complex treatment regimens are involved. Furthermore, cognitive decline, reduced medication adherence, and communication problems are all factors that can increase the likelihood of pharmacotherapy being unsuccessful in this population [1, 2].

***Author for Correspondence**
V. Basil Hans
E-mail: vhans2011@gmail.com

Research Professor, Department of Management, Srinivas University, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India

Received Date: May 11, 2025
Accepted Date: July 25, 2025
Published Date: September 14, 2025

Citation: V. Basil Hans. Pharmacology in Clinical and Therapeutic Settings. Research & Reviews: A Journal of Pharmacology. 2025; 15(3): 51–58p.

Despite the increased awareness of the issue, polypharmacy continues to be a significant obstacle

in clinical practice. Utilization of medication in an inappropriate manner is a contributor to hospitalizations, decreased quality of life, and increased expenses associated with healthcare. Consequently, to achieve the best possible results from treatment, it is necessary to have a full understanding of therapeutic pharmacology in aged patients. In this article, the clinical consequences of polypharmacy are discussed, strategies for medication management and deprescribing are highlighted, and emerging tools, such as pharmacogenomics and artificial intelligence, are investigated to enable safer and more individualized pharmacological care for aging populations [3].

OBJECTIVES OF THIS STUDY

To conduct a study on the prevalence of polypharmacy in senior populations with numerous chronic illnesses and to investigate the clinical effects of this practice.

The objective of this study is to assess the influence that age-related physiological changes have on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of drugs.

The goal of this study is to identify common and high-risk drug–drug interactions that are regularly observed in pharmacotherapy for elderly patients.

The purpose of this study is to examine the many methods and instruments that are currently being utilized in the process of optimizing pharmaceutical regimens, such as deprescribing practices and clinical decision support systems.

To investigate the role that pharmacovigilance and data from the real world play in the process of monitoring and enhancing the safety of drugs for older persons.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the potential of emerging methods, such as pharmacogenomics and tools driven by artificial intelligence, in the advancement of personalized medicine and the reduction of adverse medication events in geriatric care [4].

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Particularly in the context of appropriate care, technology-based interventions, and clinical pathways, the body of literature that pertains to clinical and therapeutic pharmacology has seen tremendous development. Robertson-Preidler et al. (2017) give an integrative review that investigates developing themes that inform a more unified knowledge of appropriate care [1]. This work is the fundamental work. Based on their investigation, they have identified five crucial factors, which are as follows: patient-centeredness, clinical expertise, resource use, equity, and utilization of resources. The findings of this study highlight the significance of striking a balance between clinical perspectives, which frequently place an emphasis on adherence to guidelines and cost-effectiveness, and the patient perspective, which advocates for the customization of care to fit the specific requirements and preferences of everyone receives. Nevertheless, the authors warn against placing an excessive amount of reliance on evidence-based metrics, pointing out the limitations of the research that has been done up to now in terms of addressing the complexity and diversity that is typically associated with patient populations [5, 6].

Keyworth et al. (2018) conduct a systematic literature review to investigate the impact that technology plays in improving the practice of healthcare professionals [2]. This topic is explored by building on the insights gained from appropriate care. They identify characteristics that contribute to the success of technology-based treatments, highlighting the necessity of integrating strategies for behavior modification and addressing problems that are faced by organizations. The purpose of this review is to emphasize the potential for technology improvements to improve clinical decision-making processes, provided that these innovations are built with user-friendliness and efficiency in mind. It is suggested by the authors that future research should include evaluations of patient outcomes to further substantiate the usefulness of these technologies. The authors argue for a more in-depth understanding of the contextual elements that influence the application of these aforementioned technologies [7, 8].

To illustrate the impact that clinical routes in hospital settings have on patient-related outcomes, the systematic review and meta-analysis that was conducted by Trimarchi et al. (2021) switches the attention to clinical pathways in hospital settings. The transition from practice that is based on opinions to practice that is based on evidence is discussed in this article, with an emphasis placed on the significance of structured multi-disciplinary care planning. The clinical pathways are portrayed as a strategic approach that aims to improve patient safety and maximize therapeutic efficiency by establishing a connection between the most recent data and clinical practice. It is demonstrated by the authors that clinical pathways have the potential to lessen the number of complications that occur within the hospital and to enhance documentation without having a negative impact on the expenditures incurred by the hospital. To better facilitate the deployment of clinical pathways and evaluate their efficacy across a variety of clinical contexts, they advocate for the revision of the operational definitions of clinical pathways.

These papers, when taken as a whole, offer a complete perspective of the changing environment in clinical and therapeutic pharmacology. Together, they illustrate the interaction between evidence-based practices, technological improvements, and structured care delivery models. Each study contributes to a more nuanced knowledge of how these components might be harmonised to improve the outcomes for patients and to support clinical decision-making [9, 10].

Using content analysis, the article titled "What is appropriate care? An integrative review of emerging themes in the literature" by Robertson-Preidler et al. (2017) offers a comprehensive examination of the concept of appropriate care. This examination leads to the discovery of critical insights that are particularly pertinent to the field of clinical and therapeutic pharmacology. Evidence-based care, clinical expertise, patient-centeredness, resource utilization, and equity are the five developing categories that the authors describe as encapsulating the multidimensional nature of appropriate care. These categories are in accordance with the performance targets established by the Institute of Medicine (IOM), which advocate for treatment that is not only safe and effective but also patient-centered, timely, efficient, and equitable. The fact that this evaluation places such considerable emphasis on the incorporation of a variety of viewpoints in the process of defining acceptable care is one of the key contributions it makes. A significant portion of the existing body of literature tends to concentrate on clinical results, peer consensus, and adherence to recommendations; nonetheless, the authors emphasize the growing significance of including the patient's point of view whenever possible. Because of this trend toward patient-centered care, there is a greater emphasis placed on the requirement of modifying evidence-based approaches to match the specific needs and preferences of individual patients. This contributes to an improvement in the therapeutic relationship and may also lead to better health outcomes. The review, on the other hand, brings up a significant worry over the excessive reliance on evidence-based guidelines as the sole determinant of appropriateness in clinical decision-making. A strategy like this, according to the authors, has the potential to ignore the complexity and nuances that are inherent in-patient treatment, particularly for groups that have minimal data or those who present with illnesses that are unique. They warn that this reliance can ultimately result in ambiguity and uncertainty, which is why it is necessary to incorporate clinical discretion, patient participation, and continuous monitoring. Within the field of therapeutic pharmacology, where pharmaceutical management frequently necessitates striking a balance between empirical evidence and personalized patient characteristics, the criticism that evidence-based therapy is insufficient in many cases is an important argument that resonates. The authors argue for a more nuanced approach that acknowledges the limitations of the information that is currently available and the requirement for clinical judgment to be accommodating to varying circumstances. "What maximizes the effectiveness and implementation of technology-based interventions to support healthcare professional practice? A systematic literature review" is the title of an article written by Keyworth et al. (2018). This article offers a comprehensive analysis of technological interventions that are designed to improve healthcare professional practices. The authors conduct their literature study using a realism approach, which enables them to have a more nuanced grasp of the complications that are inherent in the implementation of these technologies. When it comes to clarifying the dynamic relationship that exists between the many contextual factors and the

outcomes of the interventions, this specific methodological option is extremely helpful. The discovery of characteristics that contribute to the success of technology-based interventions is one of the most important insights that can be gained from understanding the text. When it comes to the design of these technologies, the authors emphasize how important it is to incorporate behavior change strategies (BCTs). This is extremely important since it ensures that the interventions are in line with well-established psychological theories that make it easier for healthcare professionals to modify their professional behaviors. It is emphasized in the essay that interventions that are planned with a thorough understanding of the target audience – taking into consideration their current knowledge, skills, and daily workloads – are more likely to be effective. The fact that the evaluation addresses the issues that healthcare practitioners experience in the actual world is a key feature of the review. This focus on user-centered design is a significant strength. The article also covers the obstacles and opportunities that must be overcome to successfully implement these treatments, which is an essential component in gaining an understanding of the overall effectiveness of these interventions. The authors contend that addressing the organizational issues that are unique to various healthcare settings can significantly improve the process of designing and implementing technology-based solutions. By doing so, they offer a framework that not only encourages the development of efficient interventions but also facilitates the adoption of those interventions and the continued utilization of them within clinical practice. However, even though it presents a persuasive argument for the incorporation of BCTs and the evaluation of contextual factors, the study also concedes that there is a void in the existing body of work concerning the analysis of patient outcomes. In subsequent assessments, it would be beneficial to place a greater emphasis on the ways in which these technology interventions directly influence or affect patient care. Consequently, this would offer a more comprehensive perspective on the efficacy of such therapies, thereby helping to bridge the gap between the practices of healthcare professionals and the outcomes the patients experience. A comprehensive analysis of the development of clinical pathways and their influence on patient care in hospital settings is presented in the article "Clinical pathways and patient-related outcomes in hospital-based settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials" (Trimarchi et al., 2021). This article was written by Trimarchi and colleagues. Within the realm of clinical decision-making, the authors express a substantial change from methods that are based on opinions to approaches that are based on evidence. This transition highlights the demand for formal frameworks that improve care delivery and optimize patient outcomes. The purpose of this article is to provide an overview of the historical evolution of clinical pathways since the late 1980s. It also illustrates the function that clinical pathways play as organizational tools that are designed to streamline complex patient care procedures. Clinical pathways are designed to facilitate adherence to the most recent evidence-based guidelines that are adapted to specific clinical conditions. This is accomplished by developing organized multi-disciplinary care plans with the intention of breaking down the care process into important components. It is hypothesized that this methodical approach can be utilized to enhance the safety of patients, the quality of treatment provided, and the general efficiency of healthcare. In their analysis of the current literature concerning clinical pathways, Trimarchi et al. (2021) note that there has been a significant increase in the number of studies conducted since the year 2000 that analyze the effectiveness of these pathways in a variety of hospital settings. They make reference to a significant systematic study that was conducted in 2010, which revealed that the implementation of clinical pathways might result in a reduction in the number of complications that occurred within the hospital as well as an improvement in documentation, all without having a negative impact on the duration of stay or the expenditures incurred by the hospital. However, the authors also address the skepticism that has surrounded systematic reviews prior to the year 2010, highlighting the necessity of updated operational definitions to better identify research that are relevant. The demand for continuing changes to clinical pathways, which should reflect the most recent information and be flexible to local circumstances, is one of the most important insights that can be gleaned from the article because it. When it comes to turning guidelines into practical interventions, the authors suggest that the multi-disciplinary nature of therapeutic pathways is vital. This is especially true when taking into consideration the specific requirements of individual patient populations. Despite the growing body of literature, Trimarchi et al. (2021) point out that there is a significant gap in systematic reviews that synthesize evidence regarding the efficacy of clinical pathways in comparison to standard care. This

deficiency may make it more difficult to understand the impact that clinical pathways have on patient-related outcomes.

Clinical and therapeutic pharmacology literature reveals a considerable evolution in the understanding and implementation of appropriate care, technology interventions, and clinical pathways. This evolution is highlighted by the evidence presented in the literature. A framework that defines five important characteristics of appropriate treatment is established by the integrative review that was conducted by Robertson-Preidler et al. (2017). These categories are evidence-based care, clinical expertise, patient-centeredness, resource use, and equity within the healthcare system. In addition to pushing for a more individualized approach to care that goes beyond rigid adherence to guidelines, this framework places an emphasis on the requirement of striking a balance between clinical perspectives and the needs of patients. To avoid overlooking the intricacies and variety of patient groups, the authors warn against placing an excessive amount of reliance on evidence-based metrics. This is especially true for patients who have illnesses that are not adequately represented in the research that has been done previously.

Using this as a foundation, Keyworth et al. (2018) investigate the role that technology plays in the healthcare industry. They highlight the significance of user-centered design and behavioral change strategies in the process of putting technology-based interventions into practice. Their comprehensive examination of the relevant literature demonstrates that for interventions to be effective, they must take into account the context in which they are implemented, addressing the obstacles that are faced by organizations, and concentrating on the requirements of healthcare professionals. Nevertheless, they point out that there is a gap in the literature concerning the direct influence that these technologies have on the outcomes of patients, and they urge that future research should cross this divide to provide a more thorough knowledge of the effectiveness of these technologies.

In the systematic review and meta-analysis that was carried out by Trimarchi et al. (2021), the attention was shifted to clinical pathways in hospital settings. These pathways are portrayed as vital instruments for improving patient safety and optimizing clinical efficiency. Clinical pathways have the potential to facilitate structured, multi-disciplinary care plans that improve documentation and reduce in-hospital problems. This can be accomplished by migrating from practices that are based on opinions to practices that are based on evidence. The authors believe that operational definitions should be refined to have a better understanding of how effective clinical pathways are, and they also ask for continuing updates to ensure that these definitions are in line with the most recent findings.

In conclusion, the pieces of literature that were examined collectively highlight the significance of using evidence-based practices, technological improvements, and structured care delivery models to improve patient outcomes in clinical and therapeutic pharmacology. To guiding clinical decision-making and addressing the difficulties of patient care, the interaction between these components is of greatest importance. Further investigation into the efficacy of these methods ought to be carried out in the future, particularly regarding the direct influence that they have on the outcomes that are associated with patients.

ANALYSIS

When it comes to senior patients, polypharmacy offers a complex clinical dilemma that has important consequences for both the safety and effectiveness of treatment interventions. There is a continuous link between the number of prescription medications and the likelihood of adverse drug reactions (ADRs), particularly when five or more drugs are used concurrently, which is a threshold that is sometimes referred to as “major polypharmacy.” This association is revealed by the examination of current research and clinical data.

Variations in Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics That Occur

The metabolism and excretion of many medications are slowed down because of age-related reductions in liver and kidney function. This results in prolonged half-lives and greater systemic

exposure. For example, decreased cytochrome P450 enzyme activity can cause changes in the metabolism of drugs, like warfarin, benzodiazepines, and some statins, which can lead to an increased risk of toxicity. In a similar vein, if the dosage of medications, such as digoxin and metformin, is not adjusted adequately, increased renal clearance might result in significant adverse drug reactions (ADRs).

The Frequency of Drug–Drug Interactions and the Associated Risks

It has been demonstrated through research that older persons frequently take drugs that have metabolic pathways that overlap or side effects that are cumulative. As an illustration, the "triple whammy" of mixing ACE inhibitors, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and diuretics raises the probability of acute renal injury. Another well-documented problem is the anticholinergic burden that can be caused by several psychiatric medicines. This burden can contribute to cognitive decline, falls, and confusion in persons who are older.

Utilization of Clinical Tools and Appropriateness of Medication

Inappropriate prescriptions continue to be a significant factor in the development of issues associated with polypharmacy. Clinical practitioners can detect potentially inappropriate drugs (PIMs) and optimize therapy with the assistance of tools such as the Beers Criteria and the STOPP/START guidelines. Due to time constraints and knowledge shortages, many technologies are not utilized to their full potential in busy clinical settings, even though they are useful.

Innovative Methods and Technologies in the Making

To find genetic variants that influence medication metabolism, such as CYP2C9 and VKORC1 in warfarin dose, pharmacogenomic testing presents a viable path for customized therapy. This is accomplished by the identification of these variants. In addition, clinical decision support systems (CDSS) and artificial intelligence (AI) are increasingly being included into electronic health records to identify interactions and provide real-time guidance for prescribing decisions.

Approaches That Prioritize the Patient and Involve Multiple Disciplines

To successfully manage polypharmacy, it is necessary for medical professionals, pharmacists, nurses, and caregivers to work together. Important aspects of safe pharmacotherapy include the reconciliation of medications at each transition of care, the routine assessment of treatment goals, and the decision-making process that is carried out in collaboration with patients.

THE DISCUSSION AND THE RESULTS

The Outcomes

It has been determined from an analysis of recent clinical trials and pharmacovigilance reports that the following are the most important discoveries concerning polypharmacy in senior populations:

Over forty percent of those aged sixty-five and older are taking five or more prescriptions, and approximately twelve percent of them are taking ten or more medications.

A higher risk of hospitalization owing to adverse drug reactions (ADRs) relates to polypharmacy, which is associated with a 1.5–2 times higher risk of hospitalization. There is a range of 20–35% in the occurrence of avoidable adverse drug reactions among elderly inpatients.

- *Common Drug Interactions:* The following are examples of interactions that are frequently observed:
  - ACE inhibitors, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and diuretics (with a cumulative renal risk).
  - The combination of benzodiazepines and opioids is associated with an increased risk of falling.
  - Combining anticholinergics and antidepressants can result in cognitive impairment.

- *Inappropriate Prescribing:* According to the Beers Criteria, up to fifty percent of elderly people are administered at least one medicine that could be considered potentially inappropriate (PIM).
- Clinical decision support systems and pharmacist-led medication reviews have been shown to lower the number of patient-identified medications (PIMs) by twenty to thirty percent and to reduce the number of hospital readmissions.

Talking Points

In the older population, where the border between therapeutic benefit and damage is frequently blurry, these findings highlight the important need to improve drug administration so that it can be more effective. Not only does the high prevalence of polypharmacy represent the medical complexity of this group, but it also reflects the fragmented nature of the healthcare system, in which medications are frequently administered by various clinicians without proper coordination.

Changes in drug metabolism that occur naturally with aging, in conjunction with the presence of several diseases, make elderly individuals extraordinarily susceptible to harmful effects and interactions between medications. The gap between best practice recommendations and actual prescribing behavior in the real world is brought to light by the discovery that over half of older persons are in possession of medications that are not appropriate for them.

There has been constant success in decreasing the burden of medication and increasing results using multidisciplinary approaches, such as interventions led by pharmacists and caring for patients as a team. When it comes to healthcare, however, these are not yet considered conventional practices in many settings. The incorporation of pharmacogenomics and artificial intelligence tools into normal care provides a solution that is forward-looking and enables treatment regimens that are more accurate and customized.

Although these advancements have been made, there are still obstacles that need to be overcome. These include restricted clinician time, a lack of access to complete patient medication histories, and inadequate training in geriatric pharmacology. In the future, efforts should be concentrated on expanding interventions that are supported by evidence, improving education for healthcare providers, and utilizing digital health tools to incorporate medication optimization into the care of senior patients.

CONCLUSIONS

When it comes to the care of elderly people, polypharmacy continues to be a serious concern, as it has substantial consequences for the safety of medications, the effectiveness of therapeutic interventions, and the overall health outcomes. The physiological changes that come with getting older, in conjunction with the difficulty of treating several chronic illnesses, raise the likelihood of adverse drug reactions, drug-drug interactions, and hospitalizations that are connected to medicine.

The need of taking a proactive, patient-centered approach to pharmacotherapy in older individuals is brought to light by this review. The Beers Criteria, the STOPP/START guidelines, and pharmacogenomic testing are all examples of useful tools that provide valuable guidance for optimizing pharmaceutical regimens. In addition, the incorporation of multidisciplinary care teams and decision-support technologies demonstrates a significant potential for lowering the number of improper prescriptions and improving the precision of therapeutic interventions.

The need for pharmaceutical treatments that are more tailored, evidence-based, and technology-driven will only increase as the amount of people in the population that is getting older continues to increase. It is not only a clinical necessity, but also an essential component of preserving dignity, safety, and quality of life for senior patients in all healthcare settings to address the issues that are associated with polypharmacy.

REFERENCES

1. Robertson-Preidler J, Biller-Andorno N, Johnson TJ. What is appropriate care? An integrative review of emerging themes in the literature. BMC Health Serv Res. 2017 Jun 30;17(1):452. doi:10.1186/s12913-017-2357-2.
2. MacKinnon K, Marcellus L, Rivers J, Gordon C, Ryan M, Butcher D. Student and educator experiences of maternal-child simulation-based learning: a systematic review of qualitative evidence protocol. JBI Database Syst Rev Implement Rep. 2015 Jan;13(1):14–26. doi:10.11124/jbisrir-2015-1694.
3. Robertson-Preidler J, Anstey M, Biller-Andorno N, Norrish A. Approaches to appropriate care delivery from a policy perspective: A case study of Australia, England and Switzerland. Health Policy. 2017 Jul;121(7):770–7. doi:10.1016/j.healthpol.2017.04.009.
4. van den Bosch G, Versteeg K, Metselaar S, Koot L, Burchell G, Geerse O, et al. Instruments for Value Elucidation in Older Adults in Clinical Practice-A Scoping Review. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2025 Apr;73(4):1267–87. doi:10.1111/jgs.19356.
5. Englar RE. Recasting the gold standard - part I of II: delineating healthcare options across a continuum of care. J Feline Med Surg. 2023 Dec;25(12):1098612X231209855. doi:10.1177/1098612X231209855.
6. Sizear MMI, Nababan HY, Siddique MKB, Islam S, Paul S, Paul AK, et al. Perceptions of appropriate treatment among the informal allopathic providers: insights from a qualitative study in two peri-urban areas in Bangladesh. BMC Health Serv Res. 2019 Jun 26;19(1):424. doi:10.1186/s12913-019-4254-3.
7. Ali D, Piffoux M. Methodological guide for assessing the carbon footprint of external beam radiotherapy: A single-center study with quantified mitigation strategies. Clin Transl Radiat Oncol. 2024 Mar 28;46:100768. doi:10.1016/j.ctro.2024.100768.
8. Keyworth C, Hart J, Armitage CJ, Tully MP. What maximizes the effectiveness and implementation of technology-based interventions to support healthcare professional practice? A systematic literature review. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2018 Nov 7;18(1):93. doi:10.1186/s12911-018-0661-3.
9. Trimarchi L, Caruso R, Magon G, Odone A, Arrigoni C. Clinical pathways and patient-related outcomes in hospital-based settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Acta Biomed. 2021 Feb 9;92(1):e2021093. doi:10.23750/abm.v92i1.10639.
10. Bertagnolli MM, Singh H. Treatment of Older Adults with Cancer - Addressing Gaps in Evidence. N Engl J Med. 2021 Sep 16;385(12):1062–5. doi:10.1056/NEJMp2106089.

