Journal of
Polymer & Composites

ISSN: 2321-2810 (Online)
ISSN: 2321-8525 (Print)
Volume 12, Special Issue 5, 2024
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/JoPC

http://engineeringjournals.stmjournals.in/index.php/JoPC/index

Review JoPC

Harnessing Microbial and Phytoremediation Techniques for Sustainable Remediation of Heavy Metals in Black Cotton Soils

Hillary Agaba Yeheyo[1,*], Anu Mary Ealias[2]

Abstract

Black cotton soils, renowned for their fertility and moisture retention capabilities, face significant challenges due to heavy metal contamination, posing threats to both agricultural productivity and environmental integrity. This review paper presents a comprehensive overview of bioremediation strategies aimed at mitigating heavy metal pollution in black cotton soils. The paper underscores the importance of addressing heavy metal (HM) contamination, emphasizing the need for exploring bioremediation techniques, as it sets the stage for subsequent discussions. Physicochemical properties of black cotton soils, including pH, organic matter content, and mineralogy, are explored in relation to heavy metal interactions, highlighting their influence on mobility and bioavailability. Additionally, the section on HM contamination elucidates common contaminants and their sources, ranging from industrial activities to natural processes. The paper delves into microbial-assisted bioremediation, elucidating the pivotal role of bacteria and fungi in metal mobilization, immobilization, and transformation. Phytoremediation techniques are examined, focusing on the utilization of hyperaccumulator plants and plant-microbe interactions for efficient metal uptake and sequestration. Furthermore, bioaugmentation strategies and recent advancements in bioremediation technologies, including nanotechnology and combined approaches, are reviewed. Challenges such as long-term effectiveness and scalability, along with potential ecological impacts of HM contamination are addressed. A comparative analysis evaluates the efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and sustainability of various bioremediation techniques, providing insights into their pros and cons in the context of black cotton soils. The paper concludes by discussing future research directions and the imperative for integrated, multidisciplinary approaches to combat HM contamination, underscoring the significance of continued research in developing sustainable solutions.

Keywords: Bioremediation, Microbes, Phytoremediation, Heavy metals, Sustainability, Toxicity

INTRODUCTION

***Author for Correspondence**
Hillary Agaba Yeheyo

[1]Post Graduate Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Green Fields, Vaddeswaram, Andhra Pradesh, India
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Green Fields, Vaddeswaram, Andhra Pradesh, India

Received Date: April 23, 2024
Accepted Date: June 13, 2024
Published Date: July 24, 2024

Citation: Hillary Agaba Yeheyo, Anu Mary Ealias. Harnessing Microbial and Phytoremediation Techniques for Sustainable Remediation of Heavy Metals in Black Cotton Soils. Journal of Polymer & Composites. 2024; 12(Special Issue 5): S193–S201.

Black cotton soils, renowned for their unique physicochemical properties and widespread agricultural use, stand as a cornerstone in sustaining agricultural productivity [1]. However, these soils face an escalating threat–heavy metal contamination [2]. The infiltration of metals such as lead, cadmium, and zinc pose a formidable challenge to both the fertility of black cotton soils and the environmental well-being of surrounding ecosystems [3, 4]. As these metals accumulate, the consequences for crop health, food safety, and water resources become increasingly catastrophic. Recognizing the urgency of addressing this pervasive issue, there is a growing imperative to explore sustainable remediation strategies that can effectively mitigate heavy metal pollution in black cotton soils.

Microbial and phytoremediation techniques have emerged as promising approaches for remediating heavy metal-contaminated soils. Through the utilization of microbial communities and plant species, these techniques offer environmentally friendly and cost-effective solutions to mitigate the adverse impacts of heavy metals [5]. Research endeavours in this field have demonstrated the efficacy of microbial-assisted bioremediation, showcasing the pivotal role of bacteria and fungi in bioremediation [6, 7]. A study by Kumar et al. (2014) showed that isolates of fungi and bacteria can cause biosorption of HMs like copper, lead, and chromium [8]. Similarly, phytoremediation studies have highlighted the potential of hyperaccumulator plants and plant-microbe interactions in facilitating the uptake and sequestration of heavy metals from soil matrices [7]. Despite notable advancements, there exist critical research gaps concerning the optimization of these techniques for application in black cotton soils, particularly in terms of enhancing efficiency, scalability, and long-term sustainability.

This review paper aims to address these gaps by providing a comprehensive examination of microbial and phytoremediation techniques tailored specifically for black cotton soils. Through a synthesis of existing literature and case studies, this paper elucidates the novel contributions and advancements in the field, emphasizing the applicability and efficacy of bioremediation strategies in mitigating heavy metal contamination in these soils. Moreover, the paper identifies key research objectives, including the exploration of novel microbial strains, optimization of phytoremediation protocols, and integration of emerging technologies such as nanotechnology, to enhance the effectiveness and sustainability of remediation efforts. By delineating the unique challenges and opportunities associated with heavy metal remediation in black cotton soils, this paper seeks to stimulate further research and innovation in the quest for sustainable soil management practices.

PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF BLACK COTTON SOILS

Black cotton soils, classified as Vertisols, are renowned for their distinctive physicochemical characteristics, rendering them a vital component of agricultural landscapes. Characterized by a high clay content, these soils exhibit unique shrink-swell properties, undergoing significant volume changes in response to moisture variations [9]. The expansive nature of black cotton soils, attributed to their high clay content, contributes to their exceptional water-holding capacity, making them a preferred substrate for agriculture, particularly in arid and semi-arid regions. The pH of black cotton soils, a key determinant of nutrient availability, tends to be neutral to slightly alkaline [10]. This alkalinity influences the speciation and mobility of heavy metals within the soil matrix. Furthermore, the organic matter content of these soils plays a pivotal role in shaping their fertility and the bioavailability of essential nutrients [11]. Understanding these physicochemical attributes is essential in comprehending the intricate dynamics of heavy metal interactions within black cotton soils.

The mineralogical composition, dominated by expansive clay minerals such as montmorillonite, imparts a high cation exchange capacity (CEC) to black cotton soils. This, in turn, influences the adsorption and desorption of heavy metals, governing their mobility and bioavailability [12]. The interactions between clay minerals and heavy metals are complex, with metal retention influenced by factors such as mineral surface charge and the presence of organic ligands. In summary, the physicochemical properties of black cotton soils, characterized by high clay content, unique shrink-swell behavior, and specific mineralogical composition, lay the foundation for comprehending the challenges posed by heavy metal contamination. The subsequent sections of this review will unravel the intricate interplay between these soil properties and the various bioremediation techniques employed to mitigate heavy metal pollution in black cotton soils.

HEAVY METAL CONTAMINATION IN BLACK COTTON SOILS

Black cotton soils, integral to agricultural landscapes, confront a growing menace in the form of heavy metal contamination. The presence of metals in these soils poses a multifaceted threat to both the environment and agricultural sustainability. The sources of heavy metal contamination in black cotton soils are diverse, encompassing anthropogenic activities, natural weathering processes, and agricultural practices [13]. Industrial activities, including mining, metal processing, and manufacturing, contribute significantly to the introduction of heavy metals into black cotton soils. The release of effluents containing elevated metal concentrations can result in long-term soil contamination, adversely affecting both soil quality and ecosystem health [14]. Additionally, agricultural inputs, such as fertilizers and pesticides, may contain trace amounts of heavy metals, adding to the cumulative burden in these soils over time.

Natural weathering processes also play a role in mobilizing heavy metals from parent rocks into black cotton soils [15]. Geological factors, coupled with climatic conditions, influence the rate at which metals are released and subsequently accumulate in the soil matrix. Moreover, the intricate interplay between soil properties and metal mobility underscores the dynamic nature of heavy metal contamination in black cotton soils. Recognizing the sources and understanding the pathways of metal contamination in black cotton soils is paramount for developing effective bioremediation strategies to alleviate the increasing environmental and agricultural challenges posed by heavy metal pollution. The subsequent sections of this review delve into innovative bioremediation techniques tailored to address these intricate issues and restore the health and functionality of black cotton soils.

BIOREMEDIATION TECHNIQUES

Microbial-Assisted Bioremediation

Microbial-assisted bioremediation stands out as a promising avenue for addressing heavy metal contamination in black cotton soils, leveraging the natural metabolic ability of microorganisms to mitigate environmental threats [16]. In the context of black cotton soils, microbial communities exhibit a remarkable capacity to interact with heavy metals. Metal-resistant bacteria, such as Pseudomonas and Bacillus species, and metal-tolerant fungi, including Aspergillus and Penicillium species, demonstrate the ability to thrive in metal-rich environments [17]. These microorganisms employ various mechanisms, such as biosorption, bioaccumulation, and enzymatic transformations, to influence the fate of heavy metals in the soil matrix. Biosorption, a prominent mechanism, involves the binding of metal ions to microbial cell surfaces or extracellular polymeric substances [18]. This process contributes to the immobilization of metals, reducing their bioavailability and potential toxicity. Microbial bioaccumulation, on the other hand, entails the uptake and intracellular storage of metals, providing a means to sequester contaminants within microbial biomass [19]. Furthermore, microbial enzymes play a crucial role in catalyzing redox reactions and transforming the chemical speciation of heavy metals. This enzymatic activity influences the conversion of metals into less toxic or immobile forms, contributing to the overall detoxification of black cotton soils.

Numerous researchers have studied the efficacy of microbial-assisted bioremediation. Njoku et al. investigated the bioremediation potential of Bacillus megaterium and Rhizopus stolonifer, individually and in combination, for lead, cadmium, and nickel contamination. Authors found that the synergistic growth of both organisms led to the highest uptake of Pb, while individual growth of B. megaterium and R. stolonifer had the highest uptake of Ni and Cd, respectively. The study highlighted the potential of the micro-organisms in heavy metal bioremediation, with differential efficacy for each metal [20]. Similarly, Henson et al. conducted the isolation of Microbacterium sp. (Cr-K29), which exhibited the remarkable ability to reduce up to 88% of Cr (VI) from water that has been contaminated with 2 mM of Cr (VI) [21]. Successful microbial-assisted bioremediation strategies often involve the augmentation of indigenous microbial communities or the introduction of exogenous metal-resistant strains. Factors such as soil pH, moisture content, and the availability of organic carbon significantly influence the efficacy of microbial-mediated processes [22–24]. Despite the potential benefits, challenges such as the slow pace of bioremediation and the need for optimal environmental conditions necessitate a nuanced understanding of microbial dynamics in black cotton soils. Ongoing research endeavors focus on harnessing the synergistic interactions between microbial communities and plants to enhance the overall efficiency of bioremediation.

Phytoremediation Techniques

Phytoremediation, a green and sustainable approach, has gained prominence as a viable strategy for mitigating heavy metal contamination in black cotton soils. One prominent avenue in phytoremediation involves the use of hyperaccumulator plants—species known for their exceptional ability to accumulate high concentrations of heavy metals. Zhang et al. investigated the potential of plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB), particularly Bacillus sp. PGP15, to enhance phytoremediation of heavy metal (HM)-contaminated soils when collaborating with a hyperaccumulator plant, Solanum nigrum. Researchers found that PGP15 significantly boosted plant growth, increased HM accumulation in S. nigrum, and mitigated HM-induced stress. Furthermore, genome analysis revealed PGP15-specific genes, crucial for HM resistance, suggesting promising applications for PGPB-hyperaccumulator collaboration in bioremediation [25]. Hyperaccumulator plants therefore play a crucial role in the extraction and sequestration of metals from the soil.

The success of phytoremediation is often intertwined with the intricate interactions between plants and microbes in the rhizosphere. Beneficial microorganisms, such as mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobacteria, can enhance metal uptake by plants and facilitate the transformation of metals into less toxic forms [26]. This synergistic relationship contributes significantly to the efficiency of phytoremediation in black cotton soils. Understanding the influence of environmental factors on phytoremediation efficiency is paramount. Factors such as soil pH, organic matter content, and climatic conditions can significantly affect the performance of hyperaccumulator plants and their associated microbial communities [27]. Investigating these factors aids in tailoring phytoremediation strategies to the unique characteristics of black cotton soils. While phytoremediation holds promise, it is not without challenges. Some metals may not be effectively taken up by plants, and the process can be time-consuming. Additionally, the choice of suitable hyperaccumulator plants and their adaptability to black cotton soils needs careful consideration [28]. These challenges underscore the importance of continued research to optimize phytoremediation protocols for these specific soil types.

Phytoremediation techniques offer a promising avenue for remediating heavy metal-contaminated black cotton soils. Leveraging the unique characteristics of hyperaccumulator plants and understanding the intricate relationships between plants and microbes are crucial for developing effective and sustainable phytoremediation strategies. Continued research in this area holds the key to unlocking the full potential of bioremediation for mitigating the environmental and agricultural implications of heavy metal pollution in black cotton soils.

Bioaugmentation Strategies

Bioaugmentation, a targeted enhancement of microbial communities in soils, presents a promising avenue for addressing heavy metal contamination in black cotton soils. One key bioaugmentation strategy involves the deliberate introduction of metal-tolerant microorganisms into contaminated black cotton soils. These microorganisms, adapted to thrive in the presence of heavy metals, contribute to enhanced microbial activity, thereby promoting the degradation, immobilization, or transformation of contaminants [29]. The selection of these microorganisms is crucial and often involves isolating strains with proven effectiveness in metal-rich environments. The effectiveness of bioaugmentation is not solely dependent on individual microorganisms but also on the synergistic interactions within microbial consortia [30]. Forming a balanced community of microorganisms with complementary metabolic capabilities enhances the overall degradation and detoxification of heavy metals in black cotton soils. Similarly, advances in genetic engineering open up new possibilities for tailoring microorganisms to specific bioremediation needs. Patel et al. Discovered that contaminant-inhabited bacteria are ideal candidates for metabolic engineering and genome editing since they are utilized to survive and shelter in stress circumstances of diverse toxic, refractory, and non-degradable xenobiotics [31]. Genetically engineered microbes can be designed to express traits that enhance their metal-tolerance, metal-accumulation capacity, or ability to facilitate the transformation of toxic metals into less harmful forms [32]. However, the deployment of genetically modified organisms necessitates thorough risk assessments to address potential ecological concerns and ensure the safety of the remediation process [33].

Successful bioaugmentation relies on precise monitoring and control of introduced microbial populations. Monitoring techniques, such as molecular tools and microbial community profiling, enable the assessment of changes in microbial diversity and function over time [34]. Implementing control measures ensures that introduced microorganisms persist and function optimally in the challenging conditions of black cotton soils. An effective bioaugmentation strategy should also consider the integration of introduced microorganisms with the existing indigenous microbial communities in black cotton soils. Promoting synergies between the introduced and native microbial populations enhances the overall resilience and sustainability of the remediation process [35]. Understanding the microbial ecology of these soils is crucial for achieving a harmonious coexistence between introduced and indigenous microorganisms.

Bioaugmentation strategies hold considerable potential for remediating heavy metal-contaminated black cotton soils. The deliberate introduction of metal-tolerant microorganisms, coupled with advances in genetic engineering, offers innovative solutions for enhancing microbial activity and promoting sustainable bioremediation practices. Ongoing research and development in this area are pivotal for optimizing bioaugmentation techniques and ensuring their applicability in real-world scenarios. As bioaugmentation strategies continue to evolve, future research should focus on refining techniques, expanding the repertoire of metal-tolerant microorganisms, and addressing the ecological implications of genetic engineering. Additionally, exploring novel delivery methods for introducing microbial inoculants and investigating the long-term stability of bioaugmentation effects in black cotton soils are essential for advancing the field.

Advancements and Innovations

The field of bioremediation has witnessed significant strides in recent years, marked by technological advancements and innovative approaches aimed at addressing heavy metal contamination in black cotton soils. One notable advancement in bioremediation involves the integration of nanotechnology. Nanoparticles, such as nano zero-valent iron (nZVI) and nanostructured materials, exhibit unique properties that enhance their effectiveness in sequestering and transforming heavy metals [36]. NZVI was synthesized and tested for the remediation of As (III), which is a highly toxic, mobile, and predominant arsenic species in in the ecosystem [37]. The application of nanotechnology in black cotton soils presents a promising avenue for targeted and controlled remediation, minimizing potential adverse effects on soil structure and microbial communities. Similarly, to maximize remediation efficiency, researchers are increasingly exploring combined approaches that synergistically harness the strengths of different remediation techniques. Integrating phytoremediation with bioaugmentation or coupling traditional microbial-assisted strategies with novel nanotechnological interventions allows for a comprehensive and tailored approach. These synergistic combinations aim to address multiple aspects of heavy metal contamination simultaneously, offering enhanced efficacy in complex soil environments.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

A critical examination of various bioremediation techniques is essential for understanding their relative efficacy, cost-effectiveness, and sustainability in the context of heavy metal-contaminated black cotton soils as shown in Table 1. The selection of an appropriate bioremediation strategy for heavy metal-contaminated black cotton soils should be guided by a holistic consideration of the site-specific conditions, the nature of metal contaminants, and the socio-economic context. While each approach has its advantages and challenges, an integrated strategy that combines the strengths of different techniques may offer a more comprehensive and effective solution. Furthermore, ongoing research and technological innovations should continue to refine these approaches, addressing identified limitations and advancing the field of bioremediation for sustainable soil management.

Table 1. Pros and Cons of Various Remediation Techniques.

Technique	Pros	Cons	References
Phytoremediation	Utilizes plants' natural ability to absorb and sequester metals. Establishes a sustainable, long-term solution through the cultivation of metal-accumulating plants. Minimizes the need for external inputs and potential ecological disturbances.	The process may take an extended period for substantial results. Success depends on choosing suitable hyperaccumulator plants for the specific metals present. Primarily effective in the rhizosphere, may not address deeper soil layers	[38]
Bioaugmentation	Accelerates microbial activity for quicker remediation. Adaptable to various metal contaminants and soil conditions. Allows for customization of microbial strains for enhanced metal tolerance.	Requires precise monitoring to ensure introduced microbes persist and function effectively. Genetically engineered microbes may pose potential ecological risks. Effectiveness may vary based on soil characteristics	[29]
Nanotechnology	Provides a precise and controlled approach to sequestering heavy metals. Rapid action and high efficiency in reducing metal concentrations. Limits disturbance to soil structure and microbial communities.	Implementation may be more expensive than traditional methods. Potential unknown long-term environmental impacts of nanoparticles. Nanoparticles may aggregate, affecting their mobility and effectiveness.	[39]
Traditional Approaches	Leverages natural microbial activities for metal transformation. Cost-effective. Familiarity and acceptance in traditional bioremediation practices	Processes may take longer to achieve desired results. Effectiveness depends on specific soil and contaminant conditions. May not address physical sequestration of metals	[40]

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

As researchers envision the future of bioremediation strategies for heavy metal-contaminated black cotton soils, it is imperative to consider emerging trends, research directions, and potential innovations. The future of bioremediation lies in the synergistic integration of multiple techniques to capitalize on their respective strengths. Combining phytoremediation, bioaugmentation, and nanotechnology, among other approaches, can create a robust and adaptable remediation strategy. Research should focus on elucidating the synergies between these techniques and developing guidelines for their effective integration, considering the specific conditions of black cotton soils. Similarly, exploring the potential of microbial-mediated nanoparticle synthesis represents a promising avenue for sustainable nano remediation. Microorganisms, especially bacteria and fungi, can play a role in the eco-friendly synthesis of nanoparticles with enhanced properties for metal sequestration. This eco-friendly approach aligns with the growing emphasis on sustainable practices in bioremediation.

Advancements in molecular tools and genetic technologies offer opportunities for precision bioremediation. Targeted manipulation of plant and microbial genes can enhance metal tolerance, uptake, and transformation capabilities. Integrating molecular tools into bioremediation strategies allows for a more nuanced and site-specific approach, minimizing unintended ecological consequences. Considering the anticipated changes in climate patterns, future research should focus on developing climate-resilient phytoremediation strategies. Identifying plant species with increased tolerance to temperature fluctuations, water stress, and other climate-related factors will be crucial. Additionally, understanding the impact of climate change on microbial communities in black cotton soils is essential for designing resilient bioaugmentation strategies.

The development and integration of advanced monitoring technologies will play a pivotal role in optimizing and validating bioremediation efforts. Continuous monitoring using remote sensing, sensor networks, and real-time data analytics can provide comprehensive insights into the dynamic changes in soil conditions, microbial populations, and metal concentrations. This information is vital for adaptive management and ensuring the long-term success of remediation initiatives. The development of bio-based amendments, organic materials, and green manures that enhance soil health and microbial activity while promoting metal immobilization is also essential. Sustainable inputs reduce the environmental footprint of remediation activities and contribute to the overall sustainability of agricultural practices in black cotton soils.

The future of bioremediation strategies in black cotton soils necessitates a shift towards community-centered and participatory approaches. Engaging local communities in decision-making processes, incorporating traditional knowledge, and fostering collaboration between scientists, policymakers, and residents can enhance the success and sustainability of remediation initiatives. Collaboration between soil scientists, ecologists, microbiologists, agronomists, social scientists, and engineers can provide a holistic understanding of the interconnected factors influencing remediation outcomes. Such collaborations are essential for developing integrated and context-specific solutions.

CONCLUSION

The future of bioremediation in heavy metal-contaminated black cotton soils hinges on innovation, collaboration, and a deep understanding of the unique challenges presented by these environments. Embracing emerging technologies, sustainable practices, and community engagement will pave the way for effective, resilient, and socially inclusive remediation strategies. As researchers navigate the path ahead, a commitment to ongoing research and the integration of diverse perspectives will be paramount in developing solutions that balance environmental health, agricultural productivity, and community well-being. The quest for sustainable and effective bioremediation strategies in heavy metal-contaminated black cotton soils requires a holistic and dynamic approach. By engaging local communities, fostering multidisciplinary collaborations, and embracing emerging technologies, researchers can navigate the complexities of these environments and pave the way for resilient, context-specific solutions. The findings of this review underscore the importance of continued research and innovation in shaping the future of bioremediation for the benefit of environmental health, agricultural sustainability, and community well-being. The selection of an appropriate bioremediation strategy should be guided by a holistic consideration of the site-specific conditions, the nature of metal contaminants, and the socio-economic context. While each approach has its advantages and challenges, an integrated strategy that combines the strengths of different techniques may offer a more comprehensive and effective solution. Furthermore, ongoing research and technological innovations should continue to refine these approaches, addressing identified limitations and advancing the field of bioremediation for sustainable soil management.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their sincere gratitude to the Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (KLEF), Vaddeswaram for providing essential infrastructure.

REFERENCES

1. FAO. Global status of black soils. Epub ahead of print 2022. DOI: 10.4060/cc3124en.
2. Tariq SR, Shafiq M, Chotana GA. Distribution of Heavy Metals in the Soils Associated with the Commonly Used Pesticides in Cotton Fields. Scientifica (Cairo) 2016; 11.
3. Zhou Z, Peng C, Liu X, et al. Pollution and Risk Assessments of Heavy Metal(loid)s in the Soil around Lead-Zinc Smelteries via Data Integration Analysis. Int J Environ Res Public Health; 19. Epub ahead of print 2022. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph19159698.
4 Zulfiqar U, Haider FU, Maqsood MF, et al. Recent Advances in Microbial-Assisted Remediation of Cadmium-Contaminated Soil. Plants; 12. Epub ahead of print 2023. DOI: 10.3390/plants12173147.
5. Yan A, Wang Y, Tan SN, et al. Phytoremediation: A Promising Approach for Revegetation of Heavy Metal-Polluted Land. Front Plant Sci 2020; 11: 1–15.
6. Pande V, Pandey SC, Sati D, et al. Microbial Interventions in Bioremediation of Heavy Metal Contaminants in Agroecosystem. Front Microbiol 2022; 13: 1–16.

7. Alves ARA, Yin Q, Oliveira RS, et al. Plant growth-promoting bacteria in phytoremediation of metal-polluted soils: Current knowledge and future directions. Sci Total Environ; 838. Epub ahead of print 2022. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.156435.
8. Kumar R, Singh P, Bhupinder D, et al. Potential of Some Fungal and Bacterial Species in Bioremediation of Heavy Metals. J Nucl Physics, Mater Sci Radiat Appl 2014; 1: 213–223.
9. Dengiz O, Saglam M, Sarioglu FE, et al. Morphological and Physico-Chemical Characteristics and Classification of Vertisol Developed on Deltaic Plain. Open J Soil Sci 2012; 02: 20–27.
10. Mamatha KH, Dinesh S V. Resilient modulus of black cotton soil. Int J Pavement Res Technol 2017; 10: 171–184.
11. Gerke J. The Central Role of Soil Organic Matter in Soil Fertility and Carbon Storage. Soil Syst; 6. Epub ahead of print 2022. DOI: 10.3390/soilsystems6020033.
12. Cherian C, Arnepalli DN. A Critical Appraisal of the Role of Clay Mineralogy in Lime Stabilization. Int J Geosynth Gr Eng; 1. Epub ahead of print 2015. DOI: 10.1007/s40891-015-0009-3.
13. Rashid A, Schutte BJ, Ulery A, et al. Heavy Metal Contamination in Agricultural Soil: Environmental Pollutants Affecting Crop Health. Agronomy 2023; 13: 1–30.
14. Mitra S, Chakraborty AJ, Tareq AM, et al. Impact of heavy metals on the environment and human health: Novel therapeutic insights to counter the toxicity. J King Saud Univ - Sci 2022; 34: 101865.
15. Dhaliwal SS, Singh J, Taneja PK, et al. Remediation techniques for removal of heavy metals from the soil contaminated through different sources: a review. Environ Sci Pollut Res 2020; 27: 1319–1333.
16. Rashid MI, Mujawar LH, Shahzad T, et al. Bacteria and fungi can contribute to nutrients bioavailability and aggregate formation in degraded soils. Microbiol Res 2016; 183: 26–41.
17. Firincă C, Zamfir L-G, Constantin M, et al. Microbial Removal of Heavy Metals from Contaminated Environments Using Metal-Resistant Indigenous Strains. J Xenobiotics 2023; 14: 51–78.
18. Bajpai A, Atoliya N, Prakash A. Genetically engineered microbes in micro-remediation of metals from contaminated sites. Curr Dev Biotechnol Bioeng Des Microb Cell Factories Metab Eng Appl 2022; 397–416.
19. Zhou B, Zhang T, Wang F. Microbial-Based Heavy Metal Bioremediation: Toxicity and Eco-Friendly Approaches to Heavy Metal Decontamination. Appl Sci; 13. Epub ahead of print 2023. DOI: 10.3390/app13148439.
20. Njoku KL, Akinyede OR, Obidi OF. Microbial Remediation of Heavy Metals Contaminated Media by Bacillus megaterium and Rhizopus stolonifer. Sci African 2020; 10: e00545.
21. Henson MW, Domingo JWS, Kourtev PS, et al. Metabolic and genomic analysis elucidates strain-level variation in Microbacterium spp. Isolated from chromate contaminated sediment. PeerJ 2015; 2015: 1–17.
22. Lepcha NT, Devi NB. Effect of land use, season, and soil depth on soil microbial biomass carbon of Eastern Himalayas. Ecol Process; 9. Epub ahead of print 2020. DOI: 10.1186/s13717-020-00269-y.
23. Zheng Q, Hu Y, Zhang S, et al. Soil multifunctionality is affected by the soil environment and by microbial community composition and diversity. Soil Biol Biochem 2019; 136: 107521.
24. Zheng Q, Hu Y, Zhang S, et al. Growth explains microbial carbon use efficiency across soils differing in land use and geology. Soil Biol Biochem 2019; 128: 45–55.
25. Zhang Y, Zhao S, Liu S, et al. Enhancing the Phytoremediation of Heavy Metals by Combining Hyperaccumulator and Heavy Metal-Resistant Plant Growth-Promoting Bacteria. Front Plant Sci 2022; 13: 1–15.
26. Barra Caracciolo A, Terenzi V. Rhizosphere microbial communities and heavy metals. Microorganisms; 9. Epub ahead of print 2021. DOI: 10.3390/microorganisms9071462.
27. Chaudhary K, Jan S, Khan S. Heavy Metal ATPase (HMA2, HMA3, and HMA4) Genes in Hyperaccumulation Mechanism of Heavy Metals. Plant Met Interact Emerg Remediat Tech 2016; 545–556.

28. Chandra R, Kumar V, Singh K. Hyperaccumulator versus Nonhyperaccumulator Plants for Environmental Waste Management. Epub ahead of print 2018. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315161549-2.
29. Kurniawan SB, Ramli NN, Said NSM, et al. Practical limitations of bioaugmentation in treating heavy metal contaminated soil and role of plant growth promoting bacteria in phytoremediation as a promising alternative approach. Heliyon 2022; 8: e08995.
30. Handore A V., Jagtap VS, Ghangale SS, et al. Bioaugmentation: an emerging ecofriendly technology for wastewater treatment. In: Integrative Strategies for Bioremediation of Environmental Contaminants, Volume Two: Avenues to a Cleaner Society. Academic Press, 2023, pp. 1–16.
31. Patel H, Shakhreliya S, Maurya R, et al. CRISPR-assisted strategies for futuristic phytoremediation. Assist Phytoremediation 2022; 203–220.
32. Rafeeq H, Afsheen N, Rafique S, et al. Genetically engineered microorganisms for environmental remediation. Chemosphere 2023; 310: 136751.
33. Diep P, Mahadevan R, Yakunin AF. Heavy metal removal by bioaccumulation using genetically engineered microorganisms. Front Bioeng Biotechnol; 6. Epub ahead of print 2018. DOI: 10.3389/fbioe.2018.00157.
34. Igiri BE, Okoduwa SIR, Idoko GO, et al. Toxicity and Bioremediation of Heavy Metals Contaminated Ecosystem from Tannery Wastewater: A Review. J Toxicol; 2018. Epub ahead of print 2018. DOI: 10.1155/2018/2568038.
35 Sharma P, Bano A, Singh SP, et al. Recent advancements in microbial-assisted remediation strategies for toxic contaminants. Clean Chem Eng 2022; 2: 100020.
36. Tarekegn MM, Hiruy AM, Dekebo AH. Nano zero valent iron (nZVI) particles for the removal of heavy metals (Cd2+, Cu2+and Pb2+) from aqueous solutions. RSC Adv 2021; 11: 18539–18551.
37. Singh M, Mitra CK, Morve RK. Ecofriendly Application of Nanomaterials: Nanobioremediation Md. J Nanoparticles 2014; 2014: 740–757.
38. Farraji H, Zaman NQ, Tajuddin RM, et al. Advantages and disadvantages of phytoremediation: A concise review. Int J Env Tech Sci-www.journalijets.org Int J Env Tech Sci 2016; 2: 69–75.
39. Ali Q, Zia MA, Kamran M, et al. Nanoremediation for heavy metal contamination: A review. Hybrid Adv 2023; 4: 100091.
40. Rajendran S, Priya TAK, Khoo KS, et al. A critical review on various remediation approaches for heavy metal contaminants removal from contaminated soils. Chemosphere 2022; 287: 132369.

