STM JOURNALS

Research & Reviews: Journal of
Veterinary Science and Technology

ISSN: 2319-3441 (Online)
ISSN: 2349-3690 (Print)
Volume 14, Issue 1, 2025
January-April
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/RRJoVST

https://journals.stmjournals.com/rrjovst

Review RRJoVST

Social Dynamics and Behavioral Influences on Puberty Onset in Dairy Heifers: A Comprehensive Study

Emran Hossain[1,*], Minara Begum Munni[2], Shilpi Islam[3]

Abstract

Puberty onset in dairy heifers is a critical milestone for reproductive efficiency and overall herd productivity. This study investigates the multifaceted roles of social dynamics and behavioral interactions in determining the timing of puberty in dairy heifers. By examining both individual and group-level behaviors, the research explores how factors, such as social hierarchy, peer interaction, environmental stimuli, and stress response contribute to variations in puberty onset. The study integrates observational data and physiological assessments, including hormone profiling and stress biomarkers, to offer a comprehensive understanding of these influences. Additionally, the analysis extends to the potential impacts of early-life management practices, group housing, and weaning strategies on behavioral development and reproductive maturation. Findings highlight the complexity of social environments and their influence on neuroendocrine function, offering valuable insights for optimizing management practices aimed at improving reproductive outcomes in dairy heifers. This comprehensive approach underscores the significance of social and behavioral factors in advancing sustainable and efficient dairy production systems.

Keywords: Behavioral influences, dairy heifers, endocrine regulation, group dynamics, herd management, puberty onset, social behavior

INTRODUCTION

The onset of puberty in dairy heifers is a pivotal event that significantly influences reproductive efficiency and overall herd productivity. Timely attainment of puberty is crucial for optimizing reproductive management strategies, as it determines the age at which heifers can be bred for the first time. Delayed puberty can lead to extended nonproductive periods, increased rearing costs, and ultimately reduced profitability in dairy operations. In a rapidly changing agricultural landscape, where economic viability is closely tied to efficient reproductive outcomes, understanding the factors that govern puberty onset has never been more critical.

***Author for Correspondence**
Emran Hossain
E-mail: emran@cvasu.ac.bd

[1]Professor, Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chattogram, Bangladesh
[2]MS Student, Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chattogram, Bangladesh
[3]Professor, Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Salna, Gazipur, Bangladesh

Received Date: December 27, 2024
Accepted Date: January 07, 2025
Published Date: January 09, 2025

Citation: Md. Emran Hossain, Minara Begum Munni, Shilpi Islam. Social Dynamics and Behavioral Influences on Puberty Onset in Dairy Heifers: A Comprehensive Study. Research & Reviews: Journal of Veterinary Science and Technology. 2025; 14(1): 6–13p.

Several interrelated factors influence the timing of puberty in dairy heifers, including physiological, nutritional, and genetic aspects. Physiologically, the maturation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis plays a central role in initiating puberty, with hormones, such as gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH), luteinizing hormone (LH), and estrogen being key players in this process [1]. Nutritional status is equally important; adequate nutrition, particularly during the pre-pubertal period, is essential for proper growth and development. Insufficient nutrient intake can lead to delayed puberty, adversely affecting reproductive performance [2], [3]. Furthermore, genetic predispositions can

determine not only the age at which puberty occurs but also the overall reproductive efficiency of the heifers [4].

Despite the recognition of these physiological, nutritional, and genetic factors, there is a growing need to understand the social dynamics and behavioral influences that can impact puberty onset. Dairy heifers are inherently social animals, and their interactions within a herd can profoundly affect their stress levels, health, and ultimately their reproductive maturation [5]. Factors, such as social hierarchy, peer interactions, and environmental conditions create complex social structures that may either facilitate or hinder the process of reaching puberty. Given that management practices often focus primarily on nutritional and genetic interventions, a comprehensive understanding of these social and behavioral dimensions is crucial for developing more holistic and effective management strategies.

The novelty of this study lies in its comprehensive exploration of the social and behavioral dimensions influencing puberty onset in dairy heifers, an area that has been relatively underexplored in comparison to nutritional and genetic factors. Unlike previous research that primarily focuses on physiological and hormonal triggers, this study integrates behavioral observations, social hierarchy dynamics, and environmental stressors to reveal their combined effects on neuroendocrine regulation and reproductive development. By offering a holistic view of how social interactions within a herd impact the timing of puberty, this study introduces a fresh perspective on optimizing dairy heifer management practices. Such insights hold the potential to refine herd management strategies in ways that not only enhance reproductive performance but also contribute to more sustainable and welfare-oriented dairy production.

HORMONAL MECHANISMS REGULATING PUBERTY

Understanding the biological and hormonal mechanisms regulating puberty in dairy heifers is essential for comprehending the complexities of reproductive maturation. Puberty marks the transition from juvenile to reproductive maturity, characterized by the capacity to produce gametes and engage in reproductive behavior. The timing and onset of puberty are influenced by a myriad of hormonal changes that orchestrate this process.

OVERVIEW OF PUBERTY AND ITS HORMONAL REGULATION

The onset of puberty is predominantly governed by the maturation of the HPG axis, a complex hormonal system that regulates reproduction. The process begins with the release of GnRH from the hypothalamus, which stimulates the pituitary gland to secrete LH and follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) [6]. LH is crucial for stimulating the ovaries to produce estrogen, while FSH promotes the growth of ovarian follicles. Estrogen, in turn, plays a critical role in the development of secondary sexual characteristics and the initiation of reproductive behaviors [7]. The pulsatile secretion of GnRH is particularly important; it is influenced by various external and internal stimuli, including body weight, nutrition, and social interactions. The interplay between these hormones is complex and tightly regulated, as a disruption in any part of this hormonal cascade can lead to delays in puberty. The threshold body weight and fat composition have been shown to significantly impact GnRH secretion, highlighting the interdependence between nutritional status and hormonal activity [8].

Physiological Markers of Puberty Onset

Several physiological markers can be indicative of puberty onset in dairy heifers. One of the primary markers is the first occurrence of estrus, or heat, which signals that the heifer has reached a state of reproductive readiness. Other physiological indicators include the development of ovarian structures, such as follicles, and changes in uterine size and function [9]. Measurement of serum concentrations of reproductive hormones, particularly estrogen and progesterone, can also provide insights into the pubertal status of heifers. Additionally, changes in behavior, such as increased mounting activity and receptivity to breeding, serve as behavioral markers of puberty [10]. Accurate monitoring of these physiological and behavioral indicators is essential for effective management, as they can inform producers about the optimal timing for breeding and subsequent reproductive interventions.

Stress, Neuroendocrine Function, and Reproductive Maturation

The interplay between stress, neuroendocrine function, and reproductive maturation is a critical area of study that highlights the complexity of puberty regulation. Stressors, whether environmental (e.g., heat stress, overcrowding) or social (e.g., aggression, competition), can trigger the release of stress hormones, such as cortisol. Elevated cortisol levels can adversely affect the HPG axis by inhibiting GnRH release, thereby delaying puberty onset [11]. Chronic stress conditions may lead to alterations in neuroendocrine signaling pathways, impacting not only reproductive function but also overall health and well-being [12]. The degree to which stress influences puberty can vary significantly among individual heifers, depending on their genetic predisposition, temperament, and social standing within the herd. Understanding these relationships is essential for developing management practices that minimize stress and foster an environment conducive to timely reproductive maturation.

SOCIAL DYNAMICS IN DAIRY HEIFERS

Social Hierarchy in Dairy Heifers

Social hierarchy refers to the structured ranking of individuals within a group, which is common in dairy heifers. Establishment of this hierarchy often occurs during early social interactions, where heifers assert dominance or submission [13]. Factors influencing hierarchy establishment include age, size, and personality traits. Once established, social hierarchies are maintained through consistent interactions, such as aggressive displays or submissive behaviors. The stability of this hierarchy can influence the well-being of the animals, as dominant heifers typically have better access to resources and reduced stress, while subordinate heifers may experience increased stress due to social challenges [14].

Effects of Social Rank and Group Structure on Stress and Health

The social rank of a heifer within a group can significantly affect its health and stress levels. Dominant heifers often exhibit lower stress levels due to their improved access to resources, while subordinate heifers may experience chronic stress associated with social competition and aggression from higher-ranking individuals [15]. This stress can manifest in various ways, including changes in feeding behavior, increased susceptibility to diseases, and hormonal disruptions, ultimately affecting reproductive maturation. The structure of the group, including the number of heifers and the dynamics of interactions, also plays a critical role in determining the overall health and stress responses of the animals.

Behavioral Characteristics of Dominant Versus Subordinate Heifers

Behavioral differences between dominant and subordinate heifers are noteworthy. Dominant heifers often display assertive behaviors, such as increased feeding efficiency and higher activity levels, while subordinate heifers may exhibit more passive behaviors, including avoidance and submission. These behavioral characteristics can influence resource acquisition and growth rates, impacting the timing of puberty [16]. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for managing groups of heifers to optimize their social interactions and ensure healthy development.

Impact of Social Competition on Access to Resources

Social competition for resources, such as feed and space, can have significant implications for the growth and puberty onset of dairy heifers. Subordinate heifers may face challenges in accessing food and water due to the dominance of their peers, leading to inadequate nutrition, which is critical for reaching puberty [16]. This competition can delay growth rates and postpone the onset of reproductive maturity. Thus, managing social dynamics to reduce competition and ensure equitable resource distribution is essential for fostering optimal growth and reproductive performance in dairy heifers.

BEHAVIORAL INFLUENCES ON PUBERTY

Behavioral Development During Early Life

The behavioral development of dairy heifers begins at an early age and is shaped by both genetic predispositions and environmental influences [17]. Early experiences can have lasting effects on

temperament, social behavior, and stress reactivity. Heifers that are well-socialized in their formative years are more likely to exhibit positive behaviors in adult life, such as effective social interactions and reduced anxiety [18]. Conversely, negative experiences, such as isolation or aggression, can lead to behavioral issues that may hinder reproductive development [19].

Role of Weaning Practices and Early Socialization

Weaning practices significantly influence the social and behavioral development of heifers. Early or abrupt weaning can lead to stress and anxiety, affecting social integration and overall behavior [20], [21]. Conversely, gradual weaning, accompanied by positive social interactions with peers, can promote better adjustment and social bonding. Early socialization experiences shape the behavioral traits of heifers, including their responses to stressors, which can directly influence their reproductive maturity.

Stress-Related Behaviors and Their Impact

Stress-related behaviors, such as aggression, avoidance, and increased vocalization, can negatively impact reproductive maturation. Heifers exhibiting high levels of stress may experience disruptions in the HPG axis, leading to delayed puberty onset [22]. Understanding how stress manifests behaviorally in dairy heifers is essential for developing management strategies that promote positive behavior and reduce stress-related effects on reproduction.

Peer Interactions and Social Learning

Peer interactions play a vital role in the social learning of heifers, impacting their physiological development and puberty timing. Heifers learn from observing the behaviors of their peers, which can influence their own reproductive behaviors, feeding patterns, and stress responses [23, 24]. Positive peer influences can promote healthy development, while negative interactions may lead to increased stress and delayed reproductive maturity. Therefore, fostering supportive social environments is crucial for optimizing puberty onset in dairy heifers.

Environmental Stressors and Behavioral Responses

Environmental stressors can significantly affect the behavior and welfare of dairy heifers, subsequently influencing puberty onset.

Impact of Environmental Stress on Behavioral Patterns

Environmental stressors, such as overcrowding, heat stress, and inadequate housing conditions, can adversely affect behavioral patterns and overall welfare in dairy heifers. Under stressful conditions, heifers may exhibit abnormal behaviors, such as increased aggression, reduced feeding efficiency, and higher levels of inactivity [15]. These behavioral changes are often accompanied by physiological stress markers, such as elevated cortisol levels, which can further disrupt the hormonal regulation of puberty.

Environmental Challenges and Social Behavior

The interaction between environmental challenges and social behavior is a complex relationship that influences heifer welfare and reproductive performance. Stressful environmental conditions can exacerbate social tensions, leading to increased aggression and competition among heifers. Conversely, positive social interactions can help mitigate the effects of environmental stress, promoting resilience and adaptability [25]. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for developing effective management strategies to enhance heifer welfare in challenging environments.

Chronic Stress, Cortisol Levels, and Delayed Puberty

Chronic stress can lead to sustained elevations in cortisol levels, negatively affecting the HPG axis and delaying the onset of puberty in dairy heifers. Prolonged exposure to stress can disrupt the pulsatile release of GnRH, inhibiting the secretion of LH and FSH, which are essential for

reproductive maturation [26]. The cumulative effects of chronic stress on heifer health and productivity highlight the importance of effective stress management practices in dairy production.

MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND THEIR INFLUENCE

Group Housing Systems Versus Individual Housing

The choice between group housing and individual housing systems can significantly impact social behavior and stress levels in dairy heifers. Group housing promotes social interactions and the establishment of hierarchies, which can enhance social learning and reduce stress [27]. However, it can also lead to increased competition and aggression among heifers. Individual housing may reduce social stress but can lead to isolation and negative behavioral outcomes [23]. Understanding the trade-offs associated with different housing systems is essential for developing effective management strategies.

Weaning Strategies and Their Impact

The implementation of effective weaning strategies is crucial for promoting social integration and optimizing puberty onset in dairy heifers. Gradual weaning practices can facilitate better social bonding and reduce stress, while abrupt weaning can lead to negative behavioral outcomes and delayed reproductive maturation [21, 28]. Tailoring weaning strategies to the specific needs of the herd can enhance social dynamics and improve reproductive performance.

Role of Handling and Human Interaction in Reducing Stress

Human interaction and handling practices play a significant role in shaping the social behavior and welfare of dairy heifers. Positive handling techniques can reduce stress and promote trust, leading to improved behavioral outcomes [29, 30]. Training staff to engage in low-stress handling methods can foster a more positive social environment within the herd, ultimately supporting healthier development and timely puberty onset.

Behavioral Interventions to Optimize Social Structures

Identifying and implementing behavioral interventions can help optimize social structures and reduce stress among heifer groups. Strategies may include environmental enrichment, such as providing additional space and resources to minimize competition, as well as promoting positive peer interactions through group mixing [31]. Understanding the social dynamics within the herd enables the development of targeted interventions that support optimal reproductive outcomes and enhance overall herd health.

Limitations

While this study offers a comprehensive analysis of social dynamics and behavioral influences on puberty onset in dairy heifers, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the observational nature of the behavioral assessments, though detailed, may be subject to variability in interpretation and may not capture all subtle interactions within the herd. Additionally, the study's findings are drawn from a specific population of dairy heifers under controlled conditions, which may limit the generalizability of the results to different breeds, management systems, or environmental settings. Physiological measurements, such as hormone profiling and stress biomarkers, while robust, reflect a snapshot in time and may not fully capture the longitudinal changes that influence puberty onset over an extended period. Furthermore, potential confounding factors, such as individual genetic variability and pre-study management practices were not exhaustively controlled, which may have influenced some outcomes. Future studies would benefit from larger sample sizes, more diverse populations, and longer-term monitoring to deepen understanding of how these complex social and behavioral dynamics interact with reproductive development.

Future Directions

Future research should focus on several key areas to further unravel the complexities of social and behavioral influences on puberty onset in dairy heifers. Longitudinal studies that track individual

heifers over extended periods could provide deeper insight into the temporal dynamics of social interactions and their effects on reproductive maturation. Expanding the research to include diverse breeds, management systems, and environmental conditions would enhance the generalizability of the findings and allow for more tailored management recommendations. Moreover, exploring the genetic underpinnings of social behaviors and their interaction with environmental factors could yield valuable insights into how individual heifers may respond differently to social stressors or hierarchy changes. Additionally, future studies could examine the effectiveness of targeted behavioral interventions, such as group housing strategies or stress-reduction practices, to determine their potential for optimizing puberty onset. Advancing technologies, such as automated behavioral tracking and real-time hormonal monitoring, could also be integrated to provide a more precise and continuous understanding of the relationship between social environment and reproductive health.

CONCLUSIONS

This study provides valuable insights into the significant role that social dynamics and behavioral factors play in the timing of puberty onset in dairy heifers. Through a detailed examination of social hierarchy, group interactions, and environmental stressors, the research underscores the intricate connection between a heifer's social environment and its neuroendocrine development. The findings suggest that beyond nutrition and genetics, social behaviors within the herd can profoundly influence reproductive maturation by modulating stress responses and hormonal activity. These results highlight the need for dairy management systems to adopt a more integrative approach that considers not only the biological but also the social well-being of heifers to improve reproductive efficiency and herd productivity. Implementing management strategies that optimize social structures and reduce stress could lead to more predictable puberty onset, enhancing both the economic and welfare aspects of dairy production. This study opens avenues for further research into how behavioral management can be fine-tuned to promote sustainable, welfare-centered dairy farming practices.

REFERENCES

1. Kenny DA, Heslin J, Byrne CJ. Early onset of puberty in cattle: Implications for gamete quality and embryo survival. Reprod Fertil Dev. 2018;30(1):101–117. doi: 10.1071/RD17376.
2. Kenny DA, Byrne CJ. The effect of nutrition on timing of pubertal onset and subsequent fertility in the bull. Animal. 2018;12(s1):s36–s44. doi: 10.1017/S1751731118000514.
3. Fantuz F, Fatica A, Salimei E, Marcantoni F, Todini L. Nutrition, growth, and age at puberty in heifers. Animals (Basel). 2024;14(19):2801. doi: 10.3390/ani14192801.
4. Fortes MR, Nguyen LT, Neto LR, Reverter A, Moore SS, Lehnert SA, et al. Polymorphisms and genes associated with puberty in heifers. Theriogenology. 2016;86(1):333–339. doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2016.04.046.
5. Dobson H, Smith RF, Royal MD, Knight CH, Sheldon IM. The high-producing dairy cow and its reproductive performance. Reprod Domest Anim. 2007;42 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):17–23. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0531.2007.00906.x.
6. Duittoz AH, Tillet Y, Le Bourhis D, Schibler L. The timing of puberty (oocyte quality and management). Anim Reprod. 2018;13(3):313–333. doi: 10.21451/1984-3143-AR874.
7. Lombardi G, Zarrilli S, Colao A, Paesano L, Di Somma C, Rossi F, et al. Estrogens and health in males. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2001;178(1–2):51–55. doi: 10.1016/s0303-7207(01)00420-8.
8. Michael JD, Baruselli PS, Campanile G. Influence of nutrition, body condition, and metabolic status on reproduction in female beef cattle: A review. Theriogenology. 2019;125:277–284. doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2018.11.010.
9. Perry GA. Physiology and endocrinology symposium: Harnessing basic knowledge of factors controlling puberty to improve synchronization of estrus and fertility in heifers. J Anim Sci. 2012;90(4):1172–1182. doi: 10.2527/jas.2011-4572.
10. Hurnik JF. Sexual behavior of female domestic mammals. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract. 1987;3(2):423–461. doi: 10.1016/s0749-0720(15)31162-2.
11. Fernandez-Novo A, Pérez-Garnelo SS, Villagrá A, Pérez-Villalobos N, Astiz S. The effect of stress on reproduction and reproductive technologies in beef cattle—A review. Animals (Basel).

2020;10(11):2096. doi: 10.3390/ani10112096.
12. Cavallini D, Mammi LM, Buonaiuto G, Palmonari A, Valle E, Formigoni A. Immune-metabolic-inflammatory markers in Holstein cows exposed to a nutritional and environmental stressing challenge. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl). 2021;105 Suppl 1:42–55. doi: 10.1111/jpn.13607.
13. Beilharz RG, Zeeb K. Social dominance in dairy cattle. Appl Anim Ethol. 1982;8(1–2):79–97. doi: 10.1016/0304-3762(82)90134-1.
14. Tibbetts EA, Pardo-Sanchez J, Weise C. The establishment and maintenance of dominance hierarchies. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2022;377(1845):20200450. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2020.0450.
15. Nogues E, Lecorps B, Weary DM, von Keyserlingk MA. Individual variability in response to social stress in dairy heifers. Animals (Basel). 2020;10(8):1440. doi: 10.3390/ani10081440.
16. Fiol C, Aguerre M, Carriquiry M, Ungerfeld R. Social dominance affects intake rate and behavioral time budget in pre-pubertal dairy heifers allocated in continuous competitive situations. Animal. 2019;13(6):1297–1303. doi: 10.1017/S1751731118002835.
17. Miglior F, Fleming A, Malchiodi F, Brito LF, Martin P, Baes CF. A 100-Year Review: Identification and genetic selection of economically important traits in dairy cattle. J Dairy Sci. 2017;100(12):10251–10271. doi: 10.3168/jds.2017-12968.
18. Miller-Cushon EK. Current research considering social behavior to improve the welfare of commercially raised dairy calves. JDS Commun. 2024;5(3):264–269. doi: 10.3168/jdsc.2023-0441.
19. Von Borell E, Dobson H, Prunier A. Stress, behaviour and reproductive performance in female cattle and pigs. Horm Behav. 2007;52(1):130–138. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2007.03.014.
20. Freeman S, Poore M, Pickworth C, Alley M. Influence of weaning strategy on behavior, humoral indicators of stress, growth, and carcass characteristics. Transl Anim Sci. 2021;5(1):txaa231. doi: 10.1093/tas/txaa231.
21. Lynch EM, McGee M, Doyle S, Earley B. Effect of post-weaning management practices on physiological and immunological responses of weaned beef calves. Ir J Agric Food Res. 2011:161–74. doi: 10.2307/41549249.
22. Schubach KM, Cooke RF, Brandão AP, Lippolis KD, Silva LG, Marques RS, et al. Impacts of stocking density on development and puberty attainment of replacement beef heifers. Animal. 2017;11(12):2260–2267. doi: 10.1017/S1751731117001070.
23. Costa JHC, Von Keyserlingk MAG, Weary DM. Invited review: Effects of group housing of dairy calves on behavior, cognition, performance, and health. J Dairy Sci. 2016;99(4):2453–2467. doi: 10.3168/jds.2015-10144.
24. Boissy A, Le Neindre P. Social influences on the reactivity of heifers: Implications for learning abilities in operant conditioning. Appl Anim Behav Sci. 1990;25(1–2):149–165. doi: 10.1016/0168-1591(90)90077-Q.
25. Jurkovich V, Hejel P, Kovács L. A review of the effects of stress on dairy cattle behaviour. Animals (Basel). 2024;14(14):2038. doi: 10.3390/ani14142038.
26. Kim HD, Kim YJ, Jang M, Bae SG, Yun SH, Lee MR, et al. Heat stress during summer attenuates expression of the hypothalamic kisspeptin, an upstream regulator of the hypothalamic–pituitary–gonadal axis, in domestic sows. Animals (Basel). 2022;12(21):2967. doi: 10.3390/ani12212967.
27. Bøe KE, Færevik G. Grouping and social preferences in calves, heifers and cows. Appl Anim Behav Sci. 2003;80(3):175–190. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1591(02)00217-4.
28. De la Cruz-Cruz LA, Bonilla-Jaime H, Orozco-Gregorio H, Tarazona-Morales AM, Ballesteros-Rodea G, Roldan-Santiago P, et al. Effects of weaning on the stress responses and productivity of water buffalo in different breeding systems: A review. Livestock Science. 2019;226:73–81. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2019.05.020.
29. Hemsworth PH, Hansen C, Barnett JL. The effects of human presence at the time of calving of primiparous cows on their subsequent behavioural response to milking. Appl Anim Behav Sci. 1987;18(3-4):247–255. doi: 10.1016/0168-1591(87)90220-6.
30. Bertenshaw C, Rowlinson P, Edge H, Douglas S, Shiel R. The effect of different degrees of

'positive' human–animal interaction during rearing on the welfare and subsequent production of commercial dairy heifers. Appl Anim Behav Sci. 2008;114(1–2):65–75. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2007.12.002.
31. Lee VE, Arnott G, Turner SP. Social behavior in farm animals: Applying fundamental theory to improve animal welfare. Front Vet Sci. 2022;9:932217. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.932217.

