STM JOURNALS

International Journal of
Molecular Biotechnological Research

ISSN: 3107-3565
Volume 3, Issue 2, 2025
July–December
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/IJMBR

https://journals.stmjournals.com/ijmbr/

Research IJMBR

Challenges of Upgrading Local Cattle with Exotic Breeds: Genetic, Environmental, Economic, and Sustainability Trade-offs

Md. Emran Hossain*

Abstract

The practice of upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds has gained popularity as a strategy to enhance milk and meat production. While this approach holds potential for improving productivity, it also presents a range of challenges that need careful consideration. This study examines the genetic, environmental, economic, and sustainability trade-offs associated with upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds. From a genetic perspective, upgrading can result in the dilution of traits specific to indigenous breeds, such as disease resistance and adaptability to local conditions. Environmental challenges arise from the reduced resilience of crossbred animals to harsh climates and resource-limited environments, necessitating more intensive management practices. Economically, upgrading often leads to increased input costs, including feed, healthcare, and specialized breeding programs, which may not be sustainable in low-resource farming systems. Furthermore, the long-term sustainability of upgrading efforts is questioned due to the risk of genetic erosion and the dependence on external resources. This study highlights the complexities of upgrading and emphasizes the need for balanced approaches that consider both the potential benefits and the inherent limitations of such practices. Recommendations for policy and management strategies are provided to mitigate the adverse effects and ensure that upgrading initiatives align with sustainable livestock development goals.

Keywords: Disease resistance, environmental impact, genetic erosion, local breeds, milk production, reproductive health, sustainability, upgrading

INTRODUCTION

The global demand for milk and meat continues to rise due to growing populations, urbanization, and changing dietary patterns [1–5]. In response to this demand, livestock farmers have sought various methods to enhance productivity, one of which is the practice of upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds [1–3]. This approach is often adopted with the intention of improving milk yields, meat production, and overall performance. Exotic breeds, particularly those from temperate climates, are known for their superior production traits, which, when crossed with indigenous breeds, are expected to result in offspring that combine the best of both genetic lines [6].

However, while upgrading may offer short-term productivity gains, it introduces a series of complex challenges that require careful consideration. The genetic diversity of local cattle breeds, which has evolved to adapt to specific climatic, environmental, and disease-related conditions, may be compromised through upgrading [7]. Additionally, the adaptation of crossbred animals to local environments can be suboptimal, leading to increased vulnerability to climatic stress, disease, and nutritional deficiencies [8]. Furthermore, the economic viability of upgrading programs remains

***Author for Correspondence**
Md. Emran Hossain
E-mail: emran@cvasu.ac.bd

Professor, Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Khulshi, Chattogram, Bangladesh

Received Date: June 03, 2025
Accepted Date: September 18, 2025
Published Date: September 26, 2025

Citation: Md. Emran Hossain. Challenges of Upgrading Local Cattle with Exotic Breeds: Genetic, Environmental, Economic, and Sustainability Trade-offs. International Journal of Molecular Biotechnological Research. 2025; 3(2): 38–53p.

contentious, especially in resource-limited settings where the high costs associated with feed, veterinary care, and management may outweigh the benefits of increased production.

Beyond these immediate concerns, there are significant long-term sustainability implications associated with upgrading [1–3]. The genetic erosion and depletion of local cattle populations could have profound consequences for the future viability of indigenous breeds, which are often more resilient to local environmental conditions [9]. The trade-offs between genetic improvement through upgrading and the ecological, economic, and social impacts must be carefully considered [4, 10, 11]. To ensure sustainable development, it is essential to assess how upgrading practices might undermine the adaptability and resilience of local livestock systems, potentially compromising their long-term viability and the livelihoods of communities that rely on them for food security and cultural heritage.

The novelty of this study lies in its comprehensive analysis of the trade-offs associated with upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds, focusing on genetic, environmental, economic, and sustainability aspects that are often overlooked in existing literature. While much attention has been given to the potential benefits of upgrading for enhanced productivity, this research critically examines the long-term consequences, such as the erosion of local genetic resources, environmental vulnerability, and economic feasibility in resource-constrained farming systems. By integrating these diverse factors, the study provides a holistic perspective on upgrading, offering novel insights into the complexities of balancing immediate productivity gains with the preservation of local breeds and sustainable farming practices.

METHODOLOGY

The study employed a systematic and comprehensive search strategy to assess the implications of upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds. Multiple scientific databases and scholarly sources were consulted to ensure coverage of genetic, environmental, economic, and sustainability considerations. The search incorporated keywords and their combinations, including "local cattle," "exotic breeds," "crossbreeding," "genetic diversity," "environmental impact," and "economic feasibility." Inclusion criteria encompassed research articles, reviews, and reports from the fields of animal science, genetics, and agricultural economics to capture diverse perspectives. Literature screening focused on relevance to key outcomes, such as genetic dilution, adaptability, health risks, productivity, and policy challenges, across different geographic regions and resource conditions. This approach enabled identification of research gaps and supported balanced conclusions regarding the benefits and risks of cattle upgrading in low-resource farming systems. Cross-disciplinary evidence was emphasized to inform strategies for sustainable livestock development.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The conceptual framework for this study centered on analyzing the multidimensional impacts of upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds. It integrated genetic, environmental, economic, and sustainability domains to capture the complex interactions influencing livestock productivity and resilience. The framework considered how crossbreeding affects genetic diversity and adaptability while incorporating factors such as disease susceptibility, management needs, and input costs. It also included socio-economic and ecological dimensions, examining governance, cultural values, and ecosystem health. This holistic approach allowed assessment of trade-offs and synergies among productivity gains, environmental impact, and long-term sustainability, guiding balanced decision-making for livestock development tailored to diverse agro-ecological and socio-economic contexts.

KEY FINDINGS

Genetic and Reproductive Issues

Upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds presents several genetic, reproductive, and health challenges that can undermine long-term sustainability. The practice often reduces genetic diversity, dilutes traits unique to indigenous breeds, and compromises natural resilience to environmental pressures, diseases, and climate extremes. Although crossbreeding may initially provide hybrid vigor with enhanced productivity, this advantage tends to diminish over successive generations, leading to reduced fertility, increased reproductive disorders, and difficulty in sustaining herd performance. Genetic incompatibility between exotic and indigenous breeds may further aggravate reproductive inefficiency, while poor adaptability to local climatic conditions limits the survival and productivity of crossbreds. In addition, crossbreeding increases susceptibility to endemic diseases, raises dependence on veterinary interventions, and elevates the risk of metabolic disorders linked to higher productivity demands. The introduction of exotic genetics also risks spreading unfamiliar diseases, adding pressure on herd health management. Overall, upgrading requires careful planning to balance productivity with resilience.

Loss of Genetic Diversity in Local Breeds

Upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds can result in the loss of valuable genetic traits specific to indigenous populations [1, 4, 10]. This reduction in genetic diversity can undermine the ability of local breeds to adapt to environmental pressures, leading to a decrease in resilience against diseases and climate challenges [12]. Maintaining genetic variation is essential for sustainable livestock management and long-term productivity.

Dilution of Traits Unique to Indigenous Breeds

Indigenous cattle breeds possess specialized traits that have evolved over centuries to survive in specific environments [10, 13, 14]. These traits include resistance to local diseases and the ability to thrive on minimal resources [15]. Upgrading can dilute these unique characteristics, making animals less resilient to environmental stresses and local diseases, thereby undermining the benefits of using native breeds in breeding programs.

Reduced Fertility in Crossbreds Due to Genetic Incompatibility

Upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds may result in reduced fertility in the offspring, as the genetic incompatibility between the two breeds can affect reproductive performance [16]. Hybrid vigor, which often leads to higher initial productivity, may not be sustained over successive generations [1, 4, 13]. As a result, the fertility rate of crossbred cattle could decline, affecting herd sustainability.

Increased Incidence of Reproductive Disorders

The combination of exotic and local genetics may lead to reproductive disorders in crossbred cattle [1, 4, 13]. These can include issues such as poor conception rates, complications during calving, and hormonal imbalances [17]. The expression of these disorders may increase with each generation if upgrading is not carefully managed, thus affecting overall herd productivity and reproductive efficiency.

Difficulty in Maintaining Hybrid Vigor Over Generations

Hybrid vigor, or heterosis, refers to the improved performance of first-generation crossbreds, but this advantage tends to diminish in subsequent generations [18]. As crossbreeds are bred back to either parent or other crossbred animals, the genetic diversity that initially enhanced productivity starts to decline [19]. This poses a challenge in maintaining consistent productivity and reproductive efficiency over multiple generations of upgrading.

Health and Disease Susceptibility

Upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds may increase susceptibility to local diseases, as crossbred animals might lack the natural resistance of indigenous cattle, potentially resulting in higher infection rates, illness, and mortality. Reduced adaptability to local climatic conditions may also occur, as exotic genetics might limit the ability of crossbreds to cope with temperature extremes, humidity, or poor-quality forage, leading to stress, weakened immunity, and decreased performance. Consequently, crossbreds may require more intensive veterinary care and medications, which might raise production costs and increase risks such as drug resistance or antibiotic residues. Higher metabolic demands of crossbreds may also make them prone to disorders, such as ketosis, acidosis, or fatty liver, which may require tailored feeding and management strategies. Additionally, upgrading may introduce exotic diseases to local herds, which might spread rapidly due to limited immunity, necessitating enhanced biosecurity, vaccinations, and disease prevention measures to protect herd health.

Increased Susceptibility to Local Diseases

Upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds can lead to increased vulnerability to diseases endemic to the region [20]. Exotic breeds may not possess the same level of resistance to local pathogens as indigenous cattle [21]. As a result, crossbred animals may experience higher rates of infections and illnesses, which can lead to increased mortality and reduced productivity, compromising herd health [1, 4, 13].

Reduced Adaptability to Local Climatic Conditions

Exotic breeds, often adapted to temperate climates, may struggle to acclimatize to the harsh environmental conditions of tropical or subtropical regions [22–25]. Crossbred animals may inherit a reduced ability to cope with local temperature extremes, humidity, or poor forage quality [26]. This lack of adaptability can lead to stress, compromised immune systems, and decreased performance in crossbred cattle, undermining the benefits of upgrading.

Greater Reliance on Veterinary Care and Medicines

Due to their increased susceptibility to diseases and environmental stressors, crossbred cattle often require more intensive veterinary care and medications than local breeds [27]. This heightened reliance on veterinary intervention can significantly raise production costs, particularly for farmers in resource-limited settings [1, 4, 13]. Additionally, the increased use of antibiotics and other drugs may pose risks to animal health and lead to issues such as drug resistance and antibiotic residues in meat and milk.

Higher Prevalence of Metabolic Disorders

Crossbred cattle are more prone to metabolic disorders, such as ketosis, acidosis, and fatty liver, particularly when they are pushed for higher productivity [1, 4, 10]. These disorders can occur due to the mismatch between the exotic breed's genetic potential and the local environment's resources, leading to nutritional imbalances. The higher metabolic demands of crossbreds often require more precise management, such as tailored feeding programs, to prevent these health issues.

Difficulty in Managing Exotic Diseases Introduced through Upgrading

When exotic breeds are introduced into a local cattle population through upgrading, there is a risk of introducing new diseases that the local cattle have not evolved to handle. These exotic diseases can spread rapidly, as local herds may have limited immunity [1, 4, 10]. This introduces additional challenges for disease management, requiring farmers to invest in new vaccinations, biosecurity measures, and disease prevention strategies to protect the herd from these new threats.

Adaptability and Environmental Concerns

Upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds may introduce several health and adaptability challenges. Crossbred animals may be more vulnerable to endemic diseases, as they might lack the natural resistance possessed by indigenous cattle, leading to higher infection rates, mortality, and reduced productivity. Their adaptability to tropical or subtropical climates may also be limited, and they might struggle under temperature extremes, humidity, or poor forage quality, resulting in stress and weakened immunity. Such conditions may increase dependence on veterinary care and medicines, which might raise production costs and contribute to risks such as drug resistance and antibiotic residues in animal products. Crossbred cattle may also be prone to metabolic disorders, including ketosis, acidosis, and fatty liver, as their high productivity might not align with local resource availability, demanding more precise nutritional management. Additionally, upgrading may introduce exotic diseases, which might spread rapidly in herds lacking prior immunity, complicating health management.

Poor Adaptability to Harsh Environments

Crossbred cattle, particularly those resulting from temperate exotic breeds, often struggle to adapt to the harsh environmental conditions prevalent in tropical or arid regions [28]. Indigenous breeds, by contrast, are genetically tailored to withstand heat, drought, and local disease pressures [1, 4, 13]. Upgrading can result in animals that lack the necessary resilience, leading to lower productivity and higher mortality rates in challenging environments.

Inability to Thrive under Low-Input Systems

Exotic breeds, known for high productivity in intensive systems, may not perform well under low-input farming conditions typical of small-scale or subsistence agriculture [1, 4, 10]. Crossbred cattle may require more feed, water, and veterinary care than local breeds, making them less viable in systems where resources are limited. This reduced performance in low-input systems can undermine the sustainability of upgrading initiatives, particularly in regions where resources are scarce [1, 4, 13].

Greater Sensitivity to Temperature Extremes

Cattle bred for temperate climates are often less equipped to handle temperature extremes, such as high heat or humidity, which are common in tropical and subtropical regions [1, 4, 13]. Crossbred animals may inherit reduced thermoregulation capabilities, leading to heat stress, reduced feed intake, and compromised immune function [29]. These factors can significantly affect growth rates, milk yield, and overall health, limiting the potential benefits of upgrading in warmer climates.

Reduced Resilience to Feed and Water Scarcity

Crossbred cattle may have greater nutritional and water requirements compared to local breeds, making them less resilient to periods of feed and water scarcity [1, 4, 13]. Indigenous breeds, having adapted over generations to local conditions, are often more efficient in utilizing available resources [30]. In contrast, crossbreds may struggle to maintain performance during droughts or feed shortages, leading to poor growth, lower milk production, and increased susceptibility to disease during times of resource scarcity.

Increased Dependence on External Resources for Maintenance

Crossbred cattle, especially those with a higher proportion of exotic genetics, often require more external resources to maintain optimal health and productivity. This includes greater reliance on specialized feeds, veterinary care, and climate control systems, all of which increase costs [1, 4, 10]. In contrast, local breeds are typically more self-sufficient and require fewer resources, making them more sustainable in resource-constrained environments. The increased dependence on external inputs for crossbred cattle raises concerns about the long-term economic and environmental sustainability of upgrading practices.

Management Challenges

Managing crossbred cattle may require specialized knowledge that differs from the care of local breeds. Farmers might need to understand specific breeding, nutrition, and health management practices to optimize performance, and a lack of expertise may result in reduced productivity, increased health risks, and suboptimal outcomes. Crossbreds may also demand more intensive care, including closer health monitoring, specialized feeding, and controlled environmental conditions, which might increase labor and operational costs, particularly for small-scale farmers with limited resources. Training local farmers in crossbred-specific management may be challenging, as existing programs may be insufficient, leaving gaps in knowledge that could compromise herd health and productivity. Higher feeding and housing requirements, including specialized diets and advanced infrastructure, might further elevate costs. Crossbred cattle may perform poorly under suboptimal management, experiencing lower growth, milk yield, and disease resistance. Overall, successful upgrading may depend on consistent, well-informed management practices that address the unique needs of crossbred herds.

Requirement of Specialized Knowledge for Managing Crossbreds

Effectively managing crossbred cattle requires specialized knowledge about the unique needs of these animals, which differ from those of local breeds [1, 4, 13]. Farmers need to understand the specific breeding, nutrition, and health management practices necessary to optimize the performance of crossbred cattle [23]. The lack of training or expertise in handling these animals can result in suboptimal care, reduced productivity, and increased health risks, ultimately hindering the success of upgrading programs.

Increased Labor and Cost for Intensive Care

Crossbred cattle often require more intensive care than local breeds, especially in terms of nutrition, health monitoring, and environmental conditions [31]. This increased demand for attention translates into higher labor costs and more complex management practices, particularly when crossbred animals are housed in less-than-ideal conditions [1, 4, 13]. These added requirements can be burdensome for small-scale farmers, where labor and financial resources are already limited, ultimately reducing the economic viability of upgrading initiatives [22–25].

Difficulty in Training Local Farmers on Crossbred-Specific Management

Local farmers may lack the technical knowledge and experience required to manage crossbred cattle, as the needs of these animals often differ significantly from those of indigenous breeds [3]. Training programs that focus on crossbred-specific management strategies, such as breeding schedules, disease prevention, and nutrition, are essential for successful integration [1, 4, 10]. However, the lack of such programs or resources in rural areas can lead to poor management practices, contributing to lower productivity and higher rates of disease and mortality among crossbred herds.

High Maintenance Costs for Feeding and Housing

Crossbred cattle, especially those with a significant proportion of exotic genetics, often have higher feeding and housing requirements [32]. They may require specialized diets with higher nutritional content, as well as more advanced housing systems that can regulate temperature and humidity [1, 4], [13]. These increased needs lead to higher operational costs, making upgrading less economically feasible for farmers with limited access to resources. Without sufficient infrastructure or capital, managing crossbred cattle becomes an unsustainable investment for many small-scale producers.

Poor Performance When Management Practices are Suboptimal

Crossbred cattle are more sensitive to suboptimal management practices than local breeds, which have evolved to thrive under less intensive conditions [33]. Poor management, such as inadequate nutrition, insufficient veterinary care, or improper housing, can quickly lead to poor performance in crossbreds. These animals may experience reduced growth rates, lower milk yields, and increased vulnerability to diseases [1, 4, 13]. Therefore, to achieve the desired benefits from upgrading, consistent and well-implemented management practices are essential, highlighting the challenges faced by farmers in ensuring optimal care for crossbred herds.

Economic Implications

Upgrading local cattle with exotic genetics may lead to increased input costs for feed, healthcare, and shelter, as crossbred animals might require higher-quality nutrition, specialized veterinary care, and controlled housing to maintain productivity. These higher costs may burden farmers, particularly those with limited financial resources, potentially eroding the economic advantages of upgrading. Crossbreds may also fail to meet expected production due to poor adaptability, health issues, or suboptimal management, which might result in economic losses and negative returns on investment. Acquiring and maintaining superior exotic germplasm may further increase expenses, as ongoing breeding and management requirements might be resource-intensive. In resource-limited settings, intensive production systems for crossbreds may prove unsustainable, while market volatility may disproportionately affect profitability, given the high input demands. Overall, the economic viability of upgrading may depend on careful planning, consistent management, and the capacity of farmers to absorb higher costs and manage risks.

Increased Input Costs for Feed, Healthcare, and Shelter

Crossbred cattle, particularly those with a higher proportion of exotic genetics, often require more specialized care, leading to increased costs for feed, healthcare, and shelter [32]. These animals may need higher-quality nutrition to support their enhanced production potential, as well as additional veterinary attention to manage health risks. Specialized housing may also be necessary to accommodate their environmental needs [1, 4, 13]. These increased input costs can be a significant burden for farmers, especially those operating under limited financial resources, potentially eroding the economic benefits of upgrading.

Risk of Economic Losses If Crossbreds Fail to Meet Production Expectations

Despite the potential for higher yields, crossbred cattle may fail to meet production expectations due to issues like poor adaptability, health problems, or inadequate management [28]. If crossbreds do not deliver the anticipated improvements in milk or meat production, farmers may face economic losses [1, 34–37]. These losses are particularly critical in small-scale farming systems where the financial investment in upgrading (including higher costs for feed, healthcare, and management) may not be recouped through increased productivity, leading to a negative return on investment.

Higher Cost of Acquiring and Maintaining Superior Exotic Germplasm

Acquiring high-quality exotic germplasm—semen or embryos from superior breeds—can be costly, particularly for farmers in resource-limited settings. Beyond the initial acquisition, maintaining these superior genetics may require ongoing investments in breeding, veterinary care, and specialized management practices [1, 4, 10]. The financial burden associated with purchasing and maintaining exotic germplasm can outweigh the potential economic benefits, especially when crossbreeds do not perform as expected or when costs of production escalate beyond the capacity of farmers to absorb.

Unsustainable Production Systems in Resource-Limited Settings

In resource-limited settings, where access to financial, technical, and infrastructure resources is constrained, the intensive production systems required to support crossbred cattle may prove unsustainable [38]. Crossbreds often necessitate higher levels of feed, veterinary care, and housing, which may be difficult to sustain in environments where farmers already struggle with limited access to such resources [1, 4, 13]. This can make upgrading an unviable strategy for improving livestock production in regions where low-input, sustainable practices are essential to farmer livelihoods.

Market Volatility Affecting Returns from High-Input Crossbreds

Crossbred cattle, with their higher input demands, are particularly vulnerable to market volatility. Fluctuations in the prices of feed, veterinary services, or other critical inputs can disproportionately impact the profitability of upgrading ventures [1, 4, 10]. Moreover, market prices for milk or meat may not consistently align with the higher production costs of crossbred cattle, making it difficult for farmers to secure a stable income. This economic uncertainty can discourage long-term investment in upgrading programs, especially in markets that are unpredictable or subject to price fluctuations.

Milk and Meat Production Constraints

Upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds may lead to variable milk and meat yields due to genetic inconsistency, as offspring might inherit traits from either parent unpredictably. Some crossbreds may perform well, while others may deliver lower outputs, making consistent production difficult and reducing economic predictability. Milk from crossbreds may be inferior in fat and protein content compared with local breeds, as exotic genetics often prioritize volume over quality, which might limit market value. Similarly, under traditional or low-input systems, crossbreds may exhibit lower carcass quality, including poorer marbling, tenderness, and fat distribution, due to inadequate management or feed. Achieving stable performance across generations may be challenging, as hybrid vigor often diminishes after the first generation, resulting in inconsistent yields. Crossbreds may also have shorter productive lifespans, which might increase replacement costs and reduce long-term returns. Overall, production and quality outcomes from upgrading may be unpredictable without careful management and resource investment.

Variable Milk and Meat Yield Due to Genetic Inconsistency

Upgrading can result in variable milk and meat yields, as the genetic inconsistency between local and exotic breeds can affect the uniformity of offspring performance [39]. Some crossbred animals may inherit the high-yield traits of the exotic parent, while others may perform poorly due to the genetic traits from the local breed [1, 4, 13]. This variability makes it difficult for farmers to achieve consistent production levels, undermining the economic predictability of upgrading strategies.

Poor Milk Quality in Terms of Fat and Protein Content Compared to Local Breeds

While crossbred cattle may offer higher milk yields, the quality of the milk can often be inferior to that of local breeds, particularly in terms of fat and protein content [40]. Exotic breeds are typically selected for high volume rather than quality, and when crossbred with local cattle, the resulting milk may not meet the standards required by certain markets [1, 25, 33, 41–44]. This can reduce the economic returns from milk production, especially in regions where high-quality milk is in demand.

Lower Carcass Quality under Traditional Systems

Under traditional farming systems, crossbred cattle may not achieve the same carcass quality as local breeds, particularly when raised in low-input systems [28]. Exotic breeds, bred for high meat yields in intensive systems, may not perform as well in resource-constrained environments, where feed and management practices may not be optimized [1, 4, 13]. As a result, crossbred cattle may have lower meat quality, including issues, such as marbling, tenderness, or fat distribution, reducing their market value.

Difficulty in Achieving Consistent Production across Generations

Achieving consistent milk and meat production from crossbred cattle over multiple generations can be challenging due to the erosion of hybrid vigor, which often diminishes after the first generation [18]. As crossbred animals are bred back to other crossbreds or local breeds, the genetic variability can lead to inconsistent performance in subsequent generations. This lack of predictability in production levels can create instability in farm operations and hinder long-term sustainability.

Reduced Longevity in Production Cycles of Crossbreds

Crossbred cattle often have a shorter productive lifespan compared to local breeds [45]. While the first generation of crossbreeds may show promising performance, their longevity in production cycles may be compromised due to genetic incompatibility, health issues, or environmental stressors [1, 4, 13]. Shorter production lifespans mean that crossbred cattle may not remain productive as long as local breeds, leading to more frequent replacement costs and a lower return on investment over time.

Socio-Cultural and Ethical Issues

Upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds may undermine traditional livestock farming practices that have been preserved over generations. Local breeds often hold cultural, heritage, and historical significance, and replacing them with crossbreds might erode traditional knowledge of breeding, feeding, and husbandry. Ethical concerns may arise regarding the alteration of indigenous genetic resources, as upgrading might compromise the purity and integrity of local breeds and potentially cause irreversible genetic erosion. Communities may resist upgrading programs due to fears of dependency on external inputs, such as imported germplasm, specialized feed, or veterinary services, which might reduce local control over livestock production. Additionally, small-scale or resource-poor farmers may be marginalized, as they might lack the financial means to participate in upgrading initiatives. This exclusion may widen economic inequalities within farming communities and threaten the sustainability and social fabric of traditional livestock systems. Overall, upgrading may present cultural, ethical, and socio-economic challenges that require careful consideration.

Undermining Traditional Livestock Farming Practices

The introduction of upgrading programs can undermine traditional livestock farming practices that have been passed down through generations [22, 46]. Local breeds are often integral to the cultural identity and livelihoods of farming communities, and the shift towards crossbred cattle may erode these established practices [1, 4, 10]. This disruption can lead to the loss of traditional knowledge regarding animal husbandry, breeding, and feeding practices, affecting the sustainability of local farming systems.

Loss of Cultural and Heritage Values Associated with Local Breeds

Local breeds often hold significant cultural and heritage value within communities, representing historical ties to the land and local agricultural practices [47]. Upgrading with exotic breeds can lead to the gradual loss of these unique traits, diminishing the cultural significance of local cattle [1, 4, 13]. As indigenous breeds are replaced by crossbreds, traditional practices and the deep connection between farmers and their animals may be threatened, reducing the cultural diversity of livestock farming.

Ethical Concerns about Altering Indigenous Genetic Resources

There are ethical concerns surrounding the modification of indigenous genetic resources through upgrading, as it may be seen as altering the natural genetic makeup of local breeds. Some view upgrading as an unnatural intervention that compromises the purity and integrity of local breeds [1, 4, 10]. This concern is especially pronounced when the long-term consequences of genetic dilution on biodiversity are considered, with some arguing that it may lead to irreversible genetic erosion of valuable local cattle populations.

Resistance from Communities Due to Fear of Dependency on External Resources

Upgrading programs often require the use of external resources, such as imported semen or embryos from exotic breeds, along with specialized feed and veterinary care [48]. This reliance on external inputs may raise concerns within farming communities about becoming dependent on outside sources for cattle management [1, 4, 13]. Local farmers may fear that they will lose control over their livestock production systems, leading to resistance against upgrading programs that could place them at the mercy of global market fluctuations and external supply chains.

Marginalization of Farmers Unable to Afford Upgrading

Farmers with limited financial resources may be excluded from the benefits of upgrading programs, as the costs associated with acquiring high-quality exotic germplasm, specialized care, and intensive management practices can be prohibitively expensive [23]. This economic barrier can marginalize small-scale or resource-poor farmers, leading to greater inequality within agricultural communities. Those unable to afford upgrading may be left behind, further widening the gap between economically advantaged farmers and those who continue to rely on traditional livestock practices.

Ecological Impact

Upgrading local cattle with exotic breeds may increase the environmental footprint of livestock production. High-input systems associated with crossbreds might lead to greater greenhouse gas emissions due to intensive management, mechanization, and larger herd sizes, increasing methane output. Crossbreds may be less compatible with traditional grazing systems, potentially reducing sustainability and causing pasture degradation over time. The reliance on high-energy, specialized feed may strain local agricultural resources and disrupt feed availability for other livestock or crops, while large-scale feed production might impact land, water, and nutrient use. Intensified management systems, such as confined feeding or high-density grazing, may contribute to land degradation, deforestation, and biodiversity loss. Furthermore, crossbred cattle may not be fully adapted to local ecosystems, potentially competing with native wildlife, introducing diseases, or altering ecosystem dynamics. Overall, upgrading may pose ecological risks that require careful assessment and sustainable management strategies.

Increased Greenhouse Gas Emissions Due to High-Input Systems

Upgrading often leads to the adoption of high-input farming systems, which can significantly increase greenhouse gas emissions [9]. The need for intensive management, such as the use of mechanized equipment, specialized feeding practices, and climate control in housing, can lead to higher energy consumption and emissions [1, 4, 13]. Additionally, the larger herd sizes required for such systems can further contribute to methane production, exacerbating the environmental footprint of livestock farming [22–25].

Decreased Sustainability of Grazing Systems

Crossbred cattle, particularly those with higher genetic inputs from exotic breeds, may not be as well-suited for traditional grazing systems [49]. These cattle often require more intensive management, including supplementary feed and water, which can strain natural grazing resources [1, 4, 13]. Over time, this shift away from sustainable, low-input systems can lead to the degradation of pastureland, reducing the viability of grazing systems and compromising long-term ecological balance in pastoral farming areas.

Overdependence on High-Energy Feed Inputs, Affecting Local Feed Availability

High-input upgrading systems typically demand more concentrated, high-energy feed, which can disrupt local feed production. The increased reliance on imported or specialized feed crops places pressure on local agricultural systems, reducing the availability of these resources for other forms of livestock or crop production [1, 4, 10]. This overdependence on external feed inputs can also result in environmental concerns related to the large-scale cultivation of feed crops, which may require extensive land use, water, and synthetic fertilizers, further straining local ecosystems.

Land Degradation Due to Intensified Management Systems

Intensifying livestock production through upgrading often requires intensified management systems such as confined feeding operations or high-density grazing [50]. These systems can result in land degradation, as overgrazing, soil compaction, and loss of vegetation cover occur. The increased demand for grazing land and feed crops may also contribute to deforestation or land-use changes, further exacerbating ecological damage and contributing to the loss of biodiversity, water quality issues, and the depletion of essential soil nutrients [51].

Potential Disruption of Local Ecosystems by Crossbred Animals

Crossbred animals, particularly those with exotic genetics, may not be perfectly adapted to local ecosystems [52]. Their introduction could lead to unforeseen ecological consequences such as competition with native wildlife or the introduction of diseases that threaten local fauna. Furthermore, crossbred cattle may not be well-suited to the specific environmental conditions of a region, potentially altering the structure and function of local ecosystems [1, 4, 13]. The genetic traits of exotic breeds may disrupt the natural balance of wildlife populations, leading to ecological imbalances.

Policy and Governance Challenges

Insufficient policy and regulatory support may hinder the conservation of local cattle breeds, which are crucial for genetic diversity and cultural heritage. Government programs might prioritize upgrading for higher productivity, neglecting protection of indigenous breeds and leaving them vulnerable to genetic erosion or extinction. The absence of effective frameworks may lead to indiscriminate breeding practices, potentially compromising herd health, productivity, and ecological balance. Breed improvement programs for enhancing local cattle traits may be poorly implemented due to limited resources, expertise, or infrastructure, while emphasis on exotic upgrading might sideline indigenous genetic enhancement. Regional variations in breed performance may be inadequately addressed, as one-size-fits-all strategies might fail to suit local environmental and management conditions. Moreover, the lack of accurate data on breed characteristics, crossbreeding outcomes, and regional differences may impede evidence-based policymaking. Overall, inadequate support and poor governance may undermine sustainable livestock development and the responsible management of local cattle resources.

Insufficient Support for Conserving Local Breeds

There is often a lack of adequate policy support for the conservation of local cattle breeds, which are vital for maintaining genetic diversity and cultural heritage [1, 4, 13]. Government programs may prioritize upgrading for increased production, neglecting the need to protect indigenous breeds from genetic erosion [53]. This insufficient support undermines conservation efforts and the resilience of local livestock systems, leaving indigenous breeds vulnerable to extinction or genetic dilution.

Lack of Regulatory Frameworks to Monitor Indiscriminate Upgrading

The absence of effective regulatory frameworks to oversee upgrading activities can result in indiscriminate breeding practices that may compromise the genetic integrity of local cattle breeds [32], [54]. Without proper regulation, there is a risk of uncontrolled upgrading between local and exotic cattle, leading to unpredictable outcomes in terms of health, productivity, and ecological impact [55]. Effective governance structures are needed to ensure that upgrading is carried out responsibly and in a manner that benefits both farmers and the broader agricultural system.

Poor Implementation of Breed Improvement Programs

Breed improvement programs aimed at enhancing the genetic potential of local cattle breeds are often poorly implemented, lacking the necessary resources, expertise, and infrastructure to succeed [56]. In many cases, the focus shifts to upgrading with exotic breeds, sidelining efforts to enhance the inherent traits of indigenous cattle through selective breeding [1, 4, 13]. The lack of effective implementation of breed improvement programs diminishes the long-term sustainability of local livestock populations and undermines the objectives of improving livestock productivity in a balanced and sustainable manner.

Difficulty in Addressing Regional Variations in Breed Performance

Breed performance can vary significantly across different regions due to differences in climate, grazing systems, and management practices [57]. However, policymakers often struggle to address these regional variations in breed performance, resulting in one-size-fits-all solutions that may not be appropriate for specific local conditions [1, 4, 13]. Effective policies should consider these regional disparities and ensure that breed improvement or upgrading strategies are tailored to the unique needs and challenges of each area to optimize livestock productivity and sustainability.

Limited Availability of Accurate Data for Policymaking

Accurate, comprehensive data on local cattle breeds, upgrading outcomes, and regional variations in performance is often lacking, making it difficult for policymakers to design evidence-based strategies [1, 4, 10]. The absence of reliable data hampers the development of informed policies that could support sustainable livestock development, conservation of local breeds, and responsible upgrading practices. Improved data collection, research, and monitoring are essential to guide policymaking and ensure that interventions are both effective and sustainable in the long term.

Long-Term Sustainability

Extensive adoption of upgrading programs may place indigenous cattle breeds at risk of extinction, as continuous crossbreeding might dilute unique traits that support adaptation, disease resistance, and cultural significance. The long-term productivity of crossbreds may also be uncertain, since hybrid vigor might decline in subsequent generations, leading to inconsistent milk and meat yields. In marginal areas with limited resources, sustaining crossbred populations may be challenging, as exotic genetics might require higher levels of care and management than these regions can provide, potentially resulting in lower productivity and higher mortality. Crossbreds may have reduced resilience to climate change, struggling under heat stress, drought, or erratic rainfall, which might compromise the sustainability of upgrading programs. Dependence on external inputs, such as specialized feed, veterinary services, and superior germplasm, may further threaten food security if availability is disrupted. Overall, upgrading may pose ecological, economic, and food security risks without careful planning and support for both local and crossbred populations.

Risk of Extinction of Indigenous Breeds

The extensive adoption of upgrading programs without sufficient conservation measures puts indigenous breeds at significant risk of extinction [58]. Over time, the genetic dilution resulting from continuous upgrading may lead to the loss of unique traits that make local breeds well-suited to specific environmental conditions [59]. This decline threatens biodiversity and undermines the resilience of local farming systems that rely on indigenous cattle breeds for their adaptability, disease resistance, and cultural significance.

Uncertainty about Long-Term Productivity of Crossbreds

While crossbreds may exhibit promising performance in the short term, their long-term productivity is uncertain. Hybrid vigor, which boosts initial performance, often diminishes in subsequent generations, leading to inconsistent outcomes [22–25, 28, 60]. Without careful genetic management and ongoing improvements, crossbred populations may fail to maintain high levels of milk and meat production, making them unsustainable for long-term agricultural systems [61]. This unpredictability presents a challenge for farmers who rely on crossbred cattle for stable and reliable returns.

Difficulty In Sustaining Crossbred Populations in Marginal Areas

In marginal areas, where resources, such as water, feed, and veterinary care, are limited sustaining crossbred populations becomes increasingly difficult. Exotic breeds or their crossbreds may require higher levels of care and better management than what these regions can provide [42]. Crossbred cattle may struggle to adapt to these environments, resulting in lower productivity and higher mortality rates, thus compromising the long-term sustainability of upgrading efforts in resource-poor or marginal agricultural areas [22–25].

Limited Resilience of Crossbreds to Climate Change Impacts

Crossbred cattle, particularly those with high genetic input from exotic breeds, may have limited resilience to the impacts of climate change [1, 4, 13]. These cattle are often less adapted to extreme weather conditions, such as heat stress, drought, or heavy rainfall, compared to local breeds [62]. As climate change intensifies, the ability of crossbreds to thrive under increasingly erratic environmental conditions may be compromised, putting the long-term sustainability of upgrading programs at risk, especially in regions already facing climate-related challenges.

Threat to Food Security Due to Dependence on External Inputs

Upgrading programs often lead to an overreliance on external inputs, such as specialized feed, veterinary services, and high-quality germplasm, which can undermine food security [6]. If these inputs become scarce or expensive, especially in developing regions, the ability of farmers to maintain high-production crossbred cattle can be severely limited [1, 4, 10]. This dependency on external resources makes local food systems vulnerable, as disruptions in supply chains could lead to decreased livestock productivity and, consequently, a threat to the food security of communities that rely on livestock for protein and income [22–25].

Significance and Contributions

The study significantly contributes to the understanding of cattle upgrading by providing a comprehensive analysis of genetic, environmental, economic, and sustainability trade-offs associated with integrating exotic breeds into local cattle populations. It addresses critical gaps in the literature concerning the long-term impacts on genetic diversity, disease resistance, and adaptability of indigenous breeds. By highlighting the environmental and economic challenges, especially in low-resource farming systems, the study informs policymakers and stakeholders on the complexities beyond mere productivity enhancement. The contribution lies in its cross-disciplinary synthesis, which balances productivity gains against potential risks such as genetic erosion and ecological vulnerabilities. This holistic perspective fosters sustainable livestock development strategies that can be tailored to diverse agro-ecological contexts. Ultimately, the it supports evidence-based decisions that safeguard local breed integrity while optimizing agricultural productivity, thus promoting resilient and ethical cattle breeding practices.

CONCLUSION

While upgrading offers the potential for increased productivity, it also presents significant risks, including genetic erosion, reduced adaptability to local environments, and increased economic costs due to more intensive management requirements. The long-term sustainability of such practices is further complicated by the vulnerability of crossbred animals to climate change and disease, as well as the dependency on external resources that may not be sustainable in resource-limited settings. As such, upgrading should be approached with caution, carefully weighing the immediate benefits against the broader implications for livestock resilience, genetic diversity, and environmental sustainability. Future efforts should focus on developing context-specific breeding strategies that prioritize the conservation of local genetic resources while enhancing productivity in a manner that aligns with sustainable farming practices. A more integrated and balanced approach to livestock development, which combines both indigenous and exotic traits, is essential for ensuring long-term agricultural sustainability and food security.

Future Directions

Future research should focus on developing more sustainable upgrading strategies that balance genetic improvement with the preservation of local breed characteristics. This includes exploring genetic tools for enhancing hybrid vigor while maintaining adaptability to local environments. Additionally, more studies are needed to assess the long-term ecological and economic impacts of upgrading, particularly in resource-limited regions. Investigating the resilience of crossbred cattle to climate change and their ability to thrive in low-input systems will be crucial. Further, efforts should be made to integrate community-driven conservation strategies that involve local farmers in breed improvement programs to ensure equitable and sustainable outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. Hegde NG. Impact of crossbreeding and upgrading of nondescript cattle and buffaloes on livestock quality and income. Indian J Anim Sci. 2018;88(5):606–11. doi:10.56093/ijans.v88i5.80009.
2. Kudinov AA, Juga J, Uimari P, Mäntysaari EA, Strandén I, Plemyashov KV. Upgrading dairy cattle evaluation system in Russian Federation. Interbull Bull. 2017;51. Available at https://researchportal.helsinki.fi/en/publications/upgrading-dairy-cattle-evaluation-system-in-russian-federation.
3. Ondigi EVO. Factors influencing the adoption of cattle farming upgrading technology in Nyamira District, Kenya. Nairobi: University of Nairobi; 2010. Available at https://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/handle/11295/4814.
4. Silvaş E. Upgrading technology of raising cattle. 1998.
5. Oguĭ VG, Bondarenko NG, Bykova RP, Pikash LP. Upgrading Russian Simmental cattle to Holstein. Wallingford: CABI; 1991. doi: 10.5555/19910191025.
6. Galukande E, Mulindwa H, Wurzinger M, Roschinsky R, Mwai AO, Sölkner J. Cross-breeding cattle for milk production in the tropics: achievements, challenges and opportunities. Anim Genet Resour. 2013;52:111–25. doi: 10.1017/s2078633612000471.
7. Pilling D, Hoffmann I. Climate change and animal genetic resources for food and agriculture: state of knowledge, risks and opportunities. FAO CGRFA Backgr Study Pap. 2011;53:1–28.
8. Prayaga KC, Henshall JM. Adaptability in tropical beef cattle: genetic parameters of growth, adaptive and temperament traits in a crossbred population. Aust J Exp Agric. 2005;45(7–8):971–83. doi: 10.1071/EA05045.
9. Naskar S, Gowane GR, Chopra A. Strategies to improve livestock genetic resources to counter climate change impact. In: Climate change impact on livestock: Adaptation and mitigation. Springer; 2015. p. 441–75. doi: 10.1007/978-81-322-2265-1_25.
10. Malovec E. The results and further upgrading of dairy cattle in the Slovak Republic. Wallingford: CABI; 1982. doi: 10.5555/19850186788.
11. Malovec E. The effect of upgrading cattle in Slovakia. Wallingford: CABI; 1983. doi: 10.5555/19850186947.
12. Sutarno, Setyawan AD. Genetic diversity of local and exotic cattle and their crossbreeding impact on the quality of Indonesian cattle. Biodiversitas. 2015;16(2):327–54. doi: 10.13057/biodiv/d160230.

13. Milyukov A. Upgrading dairy cattle of domestic breeds. Wallingford: CABI; 1987. doi: 10.5555/19890168104.
14. Vollema AR, Groen AF. Genetic parameters of longevity traits of an upgrading population of dairy cattle. J Dairy Sci. 1996;79(12):2261–7. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022–0302(96)76603–1.
15. Mirkena T, et al. Genetics of adaptation in domestic farm animals: A review. Livest Sci. 2010;132(1–3):1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2010.05.003.
16. Kumaresan A, Elango K, Datta TK, Morrell JM. Cellular and molecular insights into the etiology of subfertility/infertility in crossbred bulls (Bos taurus × Bos indicus): A review. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9:696637. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.696637.
17. Alam MA, Bhuiyan MMU, Parvin MS, Rahman MM, Bari FY. Prevalence of reproductive diseases and its associated risk factors in crossbred dairy cows. Res Agric Livest Fish. 2014;1(1):71–9.
18. Wakchaure R, et al. Importance of heterosis in animals: A review. Int J Adv Eng Technol Innov Sci. 2015;1(1):1–5.
19. Kirkpatrick FD. Crossbreeding in beef cattle. Knoxville: University of Tennessee Institute of Agriculture; 2017.
20. Lwaho K. Marketing strategies and upgrading opportunities in the indigenous beef cattle value chain in Mwanza region, Tanzania. Morogoro: Sokoine University of Agriculture; 2014. Available at http://41.73.194.142:8080/xmlui/handle/123456789/508.
21. Pal A, Chakravarty AK. Disease resistance for different livestock species. In: Genetics and breeding for disease resistance of livestock. 2020. p. 271–96. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-816406-8.00019-x.
22. Magne MA, Quénon J. Dairy crossbreeding challenges the French dairy cattle sociotechnical regime. Springer; 2021. doi: 10.1007/s13593–021–00683–2.
23. Assan N, Muteyo E, Masama E, Mafigu T, Mujati T. Crossbreeding and its implication for small-scale animal agriculture in Africa: Outcomes, both positive and negative, and future prospects. Adv Mod Agric. 2024;5(2):2362. doi: 10.54517/ama.v5i2.2362.
24. Cooke RF, et al. Cattle adapted to tropical and subtropical environments: Genetic and reproductive considerations. J Anim Sci. 2020;98(2). doi: 10.1093/jas/skaa015.
25. Singh C. Cross-breeding in cattle for milk production: Achievements, challenges and opportunities in India—A review. Adv Dairy Res. 2015;4(3):1–14. doi: 10.4172/2329-888x.1000158.
26. Belsare VP, Pandey V. Management of heat stress in dairy cattle and buffaloes for optimum productivity. J Agrometeorol. 2008;Special Issue 2:365–8.
27. Sørensen MK, Norberg E, Pedersen J, Christensen LG. Invited review: Crossbreeding in dairy cattle: a Danish perspective. J Dairy Sci. 2008;91(11):4116–28. doi: 10.3168/jds.2008-1273.
28. Leroy G, Baumung R, Boettcher P, Scherf B, Hoffmann I. Review: Sustainability of crossbreeding in developing countries; Definitely not like crossing a meadow Animal. 2016;10(2):262–73. doi: 10.1017/S175173111500213X.
29. Yadav B, Singh G, Wankar A. Acclimatization dynamics to extreme heat stress in crossbred cattle. Biol Rhythm Res. 2021;52(4):524–34. doi: 10.1080/09291016.2019.1610627.
30. Mekuriaw G, Kebede A. A review on indigenous cattle genetic resources in Ethiopia: Adaptation, status and survival. Online J Anim Feed Res. 2015;5(5):125–37.
31. Ayano MA. Reproductive and productive performances of crossbred and indigenous dairy cattle under rural, peri-urban and urban dairy farming systems in West Shoa Zone, Oromia, Ethiopia. 2016. p. 1–111.
32. Widi TSM, Udo H, Oldenbroek K, Budisatria IGS, Baliarti E, van der Zijpp A. Designing genetic impact assessment for crossbreeding with exotic beef breeds in mixed farming systems. Outlook Agric. 2021;50(1):34–45. doi: 10.1177/0030727020915206.
33. Kargo M, Madsen P, Norberg E. Short communication: Is crossbreeding only beneficial in herds with low management level? J Dairy Sci. 2012;95(2):925–8. doi: 10.3168/jds.2011–4707.
34. Mangurkar BR. A study on some of the economic characters of Gir with particular reference to its suitability for upgrading the non-descript cattle at Ahmednagar. 1967. Available at https://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/items/0cbcc076-ab7b-482e-bb8a-32dcedab4771.
35. Ďurečko J. A zootechnical and economical evaluation of upgrading Slovakian Pied cattle with Holstein-Friesian and Ayrshire cattle. Wallingford: CABI; 1988. doi: 10.5555/19890174614.

36. Kadigi RMJ, Kadigi IL, Laswai GH, Kashaigili JJ. Value chain of indigenous cattle and beef products in Mwanza region, Tanzania: market access, linkages and opportunities for upgrading. 2013. Available at: https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=lah&AN=20143001877&site=ehost-live.
37. 36. Kadigi RMJ, Kadigi IL, Laswai GH, Kashaigili JJ. Value chain of indigenous cattle and beef products in Mwanza region, Tanzania: Market access, linkages and opportunities for upgrading. CABI; 2013;1(8). doi: 10.5555/20143001877.
38. Scialabba NEH. Experiences of low-external-input livestock systems. In: Managing healthy livestock production and consumption. Elsevier; 2021. p. 165–219. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-823019-0.00025-8.
39. Thorpe W, Kang'ethe P, Rege JEO, Mosi RO, Mwandotto BAJ, Njuguna P. Crossbreeding Ayrshire, Friesian, and Sahiwal cattle for milk yield and preweaning traits of progeny in the semiarid tropics of Kenya. J Dairy Sci. 1993;76(7):2001–12. doi:10.3168/jds.S0022–0302(93)77534–7.
40. Saha S, et al. A comparison between crossbred (Holstein × local cattle) and Bangladeshi local cattle for body and milk quality traits. Dairy. 2024;5(1):153–60. doi: 10.3390/dairy5010012.
41. Richard O-A, Ebenezer KA, Frederick YO. Cattle crossbreeding for sustainable milk production in the tropics. Int J Livest Prod. 2020;11(4):108–13. doi: 10.5897/ijlp2020.0717.
42. McDowell RE. Crossbreeding in tropical areas with emphasis on milk, health, and fitness. J Dairy Sci. 1985;68(9):2418–35.
43. Brandt GW, Brannon CC, Harvey WR, McDowell RE. Effects of crossbreeding on production traits in dairy cattle. J Dairy Sci. 1966. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(66)88064–5.
44. Singh A. Crossbreeding of cattle for increasing milk production in India: A review. Indian J Anim Sci. 2005;75(3):383–90.
45. Effa K. Analysis of longevity traits and lifetime productivity of crossbred dairy cows in the tropical highlands of Ethiopia. J Cell Anim Biol. 2013;7(11):138–43. doi: 10.5897/jcab2013.0375.
46. Hansen PJ. Exploitation of genetic and physiological determinants of embryonic resistance to elevated temperature to improve embryonic survival in dairy cattle during heat stress. Theriogenology. 2007;68(Suppl 1). doi: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2007.04.008.
47. Marsoner T, Egarter Vigl L, Manck F, Jaritz G, Tappeiner U, Tasser E. Indigenous livestock breeds as indicators for cultural ecosystem services: a spatial analysis within the Alpine Space. Ecol Indic. 2018;94:55–63. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.06.046.
48. Nitter G. Developing crossbreeding structures for extensive grazing systems utilizing only indigenous animal genetic resources. Work Dev Breed Strateg Low Input Anim Prod Environ. 2000. p. 176–206.
49. Kovácsné Koncz N, et al. Meat production and maintaining biodiversity: Grazing by traditional breeds and crossbred beef cattle in marshes and grasslands. Appl Veg Sci. 2020;23(2):139–48. doi: 10.1111/avsc.12475.
50. Haldar A, Samanta I, Patra AK. Knowledge-intensive livestock resource management in a changing environment. In: Sustainable agriculture systems and technology. 2022. p. 117–68. doi: 10.1002/9781119808565.ch7.
51. Sakadevan K, Nguyen ML. Livestock production and its impact on nutrient pollution and greenhouse gas emissions. Adv Agron. 2017;141:147–84. doi: 10.1016/bs.agron.2016.10.002.
52. Herath, Mohammed S. Selection and breeding of cattle in Asia: strategies and criteria for improved breeding. In: Strategies and criteria for improved breeding. 2009. p. 11–24.
53. Mathew E, Mathew L. Conservation of landraces and indigenous breeds: An investment for the future. In: Conservation and sustainable utilization of bioresources. Springer; 2023. p. 291–321. doi: 10.1007/978-981-19-5841-0_12.
54. Huque KS. A performance profile of dairying in Bangladesh: Programs, policies and way forwards. Bangladesh J Anim Sci. 2014;43(2):81–103. doi: 10.3329/bjas.v43i2.20662.
55. Wondimagegn KA, Mazengia H, Driver BMF, Chakeredza S. Animal breeding for managing risks. Agric Risk Manag Africa. 2017. p. 143.

56. Rege JEO, Marshall K, Notenbaert A, Ojango JMK, Okeyo AM. Pro-poor animal improvement and breeding—what can science do? Livest Sci. 2011;136(1):15–28. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2010.09.003.
57. Grady APO, Mendham DS, Mokany K, Smith GS, Stewart SB, Harrison MT. Nature-based solutions grazing systems and natural capital: influence of grazing management on natural capital in extensive livestock production systems. Nature-Based Solut. 2024;6:100181. doi: 10.1016/j.nbsj.2024.100181.
58. Bett RC, et al. Cattle breeds: Extinction or quasi-extant? Resources. 2013;2(3):335–57. doi: 10.3390/resources2030335.
59. Mamogobo MD, et al. Genetic characterisation of non-descript cattle populations in communal areas of South Africa. Anim Prod Sci. 2020;61(1):84–91. doi: 10.1071/AN20030.
60. Roschinsky R, Kluszczynska M, Sölkner J, Puskur R, Wurzinger M. Smallholder experiences with dairy cattle crossbreeding in the tropics: From introduction to impact. Animal. 2014;9(1):150–7. doi: 10.1017/S1751731114002079.
61. Gautam G, Khadka U. Reproductive and productive performance of crossbred and Terai cattle in Bardiya district of Nepal. J Nepal Agric Res Counc. 2022;8:64–71. doi: 10.3126/jnarc.v8i.44862.
62. Woldeyohannes T, Betsha S, Melesse A. Genetic improvement approaches of indigenous cattle breeds for adaptation, conservation and sustainable utilization to changing climate in Ethiopia. Vet Integr Sci. 2024;22(1):231–50. doi: 10.12982/VIS.2024.018.

