

Impact of Smartphone Use on Health Status and Study Habits Among Students: A Study of Self-Financing Nursing Colleges

Suman Vashist*

Abstract

Background: The proliferation of smartphones within academic environments has introduced far-reaching consequences for both physical and psychological well-being and academic engagement. Nursing students, whose professional formation depends on concentrated learning and sound clinical reasoning, represent a particularly vulnerable yet understudied group within this discourse. **Objective:** This systematic review synthesizes peer-reviewed evidence examining the multidimensional impact of smartphone use on the health status and study habits of students enrolled in self-financing nursing colleges, with emphasis on outcomes relevant to clinical nursing education. **Methods:** A structured literature search was conducted across PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, Google Scholar, and IndMED databases covering the period 2013–2024. Studies were selected using predefined Population, Intervention/Exposure, Comparator, Outcome (PICOS) criteria. Quality appraisal followed the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for observational studies and the Joanna Briggs Institute checklist for cross-sectional designs. Thematic synthesis guided data aggregation. **Results:** Thirty-two studies met inclusion criteria, encompassing 11,840 nursing students across seven countries. Findings consistently demonstrated an inverse relationship between excessive smartphone use and academic performance, sleep adequacy, musculoskeletal health, and psychological well-being. Problematic smartphone use was associated with nomophobia (73.4%), neck pain (61.2%), poor sleep quality (68.9%), and reduced in-class concentration. Paradoxically, structured educational smartphone applications enhanced self-directed learning, clinical decision support, and drug dosage calculation accuracy. **Conclusion:** Smartphone use among nursing students in self-financing colleges presents a dual-edged profile. Institutions must cultivate evidence-based digital wellness policies that harness the academic utility of smartphones while mitigating addictive and health-compromising patterns of use.

Keywords: Smartphone addiction, nursing students, health status, study habits, self-financing colleges, screen time, nomophobia, academic performance

INTRODUCTION

The smartphone has ceased to be merely a communication instrument; it has transformed into a cognitive prosthetic and an omnipresent social interface that mediates how contemporary individuals relate to information, peers, and professional life. Within academic settings, particularly health sciences institutions, this transformation carries singular implications. Nursing, as a discipline characterized by its dependence on sustained attention, empathetic communication, and time-critical clinical reasoning, stands at an especially sensitive intersection of technological advancement and professional preparedness [1].

*Author for Correspondence

Suman Vashist
E-mail: drsuman.vashist333@gmail.com

¹Professor, Department of Nursing, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Received Date: April 28, 2026
Accepted Date: August 09, 2026
Published Date: August 20, 2026

Citation: Suman Vashist. Impact of Smartphone Use on Health Status and Study Habits Among Students: A Study of Self-Financing Nursing Colleges. Journal of Nursing Science & Practice. 2026; 16(2): 31–41p.

Self-financing nursing colleges, which predominantly operate in South and Southeast Asia,

constitute a distinct institutional category. Unlike their government-funded counterparts, these institutions often operate within resource-constrained environments, attract students from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds, and exhibit comparatively limited regulatory oversight of digital device use during academic hours. Students enrolled in such institutions frequently depend on personal smartphones not only for leisure but also as primary platforms for accessing study materials, digital textbooks, and online lectures, creating an environment wherein the boundaries between productive and counterproductive technology use are decidedly blurred.

Globally, estimates suggest that over 96% of students in higher education own smartphones, with daily screen time among undergraduate health sciences students averaging between six and nine hours [2, 3]. Within nursing cohorts, smartphone dependency has been found to manifest across multiple dimensions: as a facilitator of academic resources, a vehicle for peer communication, and increasingly as a source of compulsive, health-undermining behavior. The convergence of these roles makes it particularly difficult for nursing educators and students to calibrate healthy patterns of use.

The health consequences associated with excessive smartphone engagement span both physiological and psychological registers. Musculoskeletal complaints—particularly cervical and lumbar strain arising from sustained forward head posture during device use—have been documented with considerable frequency among young adult smartphone users [4]. Sleep disruption, most commonly attributed to melatonin suppression from blue light exposure and psychological arousal associated with social media engagement, has been independently linked to impaired memory consolidation and diminished clinical reasoning capacity. Psychological sequelae, including anxiety, depression, and the relatively recent construct of nomophobia—the irrational fear experienced upon separation from one’s smartphone—have been identified as emergent public health concerns among the young adult population, with nursing students demonstrating prevalence rates comparable to or exceeding those of other undergraduate cohorts.

Paradoxically, the literature documents substantive benefits when smartphones are deployed within structured educational frameworks. Point-of-care reference applications, anatomy visualization tools, drug interaction databases, and virtual simulation platforms have been shown to augment clinical knowledge, support evidence-based practice, and promote self-directed learning behaviors — all competencies considered foundational to contemporary nursing practice [5, 6].

The central tension, then, is not whether smartphones belong in nursing education, but how their use might be shaped to maximize academic and clinical benefit while attenuating the health costs borne disproportionately by students in under-regulated, resource-limited institutional contexts. This systematic review was undertaken to consolidate the existing evidence base pertaining to this question, with particular attention to the self-financing nursing college context that has received inadequate scholarly attention despite its numerical significance across developing health systems.

Rationale for the Review

Although individual studies examining smartphone use among nursing students have proliferated over the past decade, no systematic review has yet synthesized this literature with a specific focus on self-financing institutions or explicitly examined the conjoint influence of smartphone use on both health status and study habits within a single analytical framework. Prior reviews have either addressed health outcomes in isolation [7] or focused on medical students and general undergraduate populations without accounting for the distinctive demands of nursing curricula [8]. This review addresses this gap.

Aim and Objectives

The overarching aim of this review was to critically synthesize published evidence on the impact of smartphone use on the health status and study habits of students in self-financing nursing colleges. The specific objectives are as follows:

1. To identify the prevalence and patterns of smartphone use among nursing students in self-financing institutions.
2. To examine the documented physical and psychological health consequences associated with problematic smartphone use in this population.
3. To evaluate the influence of smartphone use on academic performance, concentration, and study behavior.
4. To appraise the potential educational benefits of purposive smartphone use within nursing programmes.
5. To derive evidence-informed recommendations for policy and institutional practices in self-financing nursing colleges.

METHODOLOGY

This review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2020 guidelines [9]. The protocol was prospectively registered in the PROSPERO International Register of Systematic Reviews.

Eligibility Criteria—PICOS Framework

The inclusion and exclusion criteria were operationalized using the PICOS (Population, Intervention/Exposure, Comparator, Outcome, Study design) framework, as detailed in Table 1.

Information Sources and Search Strategy

A comprehensive electronic database search was conducted between January and March 2024, covering literature published from January 2013 to December 2023. The databases searched included PubMed/MEDLINE, CINAHL Complete (via EBSCOhost), Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, PsycINFO, IndMED, and the WHO IRIS repository. The reference lists of the retrieved articles were hand-searched to identify additional eligible studies.

The search strategy employed a combination of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and free-text terms combined using Boolean operators (AND/OR). The core search string applied across databases is shown in Figure 1.

The search was limited to English-language publications. No geographical restrictions were applied, given the global distribution of self-financing nursing programs. Where full texts were unavailable through institutional access, the authors were contacted directly.

Study Selection Process

Following deduplication, two independent reviewers (nursing research faculty) screened all retrieved records sequentially at the title/abstract level and then at the full-text level against the PICOS criteria. Discrepancies were resolved through deliberation and, where necessary, arbitration by a third reviewer. Inter-rater reliability was assessed using Cohen's kappa coefficient ($\kappa = 0.84$), which indicated strong agreement.

Data Extraction

A standardized, pilot-tested data extraction form was used to systematically collect information from each included study. The extracted fields included author(s), year, country, study design, sample size, institutional type, smartphone use measurement instrument, outcome variables assessed, key findings, and limitations reported by the authors.

Quality Assessment

The methodological quality of the included cross-sectional studies was assessed using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross-Sectional Studies (eight items). Cohort and case-control studies were evaluated using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS). Randomized

studies were appraised using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool 2.0. Studies scoring below 50% on applicable instruments were excluded from the primary synthesis but were referenced in the sensitivity analyses [10].

Synthesis Approach

Statistical heterogeneity across the included studies, arising from variations in outcome measures, smartphone use instruments, and population characteristics, precluded formal meta-analysis. Accordingly, a narrative thematic synthesis approach was adopted, following the framework proposed by Thomas and Harden (2008) [11]. Four overarching themes were inductively derived from the data: (i) prevalence and patterns of smartphone use, (ii) physical health consequences, (iii) psychological health outcomes, and (iv) academic and study habit effects.

RESULT

Search Results and Study Selection

The initial database search yielded 4,217 records screened. After the removal of 1,184 duplicates, 3,033 records underwent title and abstract screening. Of these, 2,761 were excluded for not meeting the eligibility criteria. A total of 272 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility, of which 240 were excluded, most commonly due to non-nursing populations ($n=98$), absence of self-financing institutional context ($n=72$), or outcome irrelevance ($n=70$). Thirty-two studies met all the inclusion criteria and were included in the final synthesis (PRISMA flow depicted conceptually below).

The 32 included studies encompassed a combined sample of 11,840 nursing students across seven countries: India ($n=16$), South Korea ($n=5$), Turkey ($n=4$), Pakistan ($n=3$), the Philippines ($n=2$), Nigeria ($n=1$), and Jordan ($n=1$). The study designs comprised cross-sectional surveys ($n=26$), cohort studies ($n=3$), mixed-methods studies ($n=2$), and one quasi-experimental trial. Publication years ranged from 2014 to 2023, with the majority ($n=22$) published between 2018 and 2023, reflecting the accelerating scholarly attention to this topic.

Characteristics of Included Studies

Table 2 summarizes the key characteristics of the included studies. The sample sizes ranged from 87 to 1,423 participants. Most studies recruited students from Bachelor of Science in Nursing (BScN) programs ($n=24$), with the remainder focusing on General Nursing and Midwifery (GNM) diploma students ($n=8$). Female participants constituted the majority of the samples, consistent with the gender composition of nursing programmes globally. Most studies employed validated instruments, including the Smartphone Addiction Scale (SAS), Smartphone Addiction Scale-Short Version (SAS-SV), Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), PHQ-9, and Nomophobia Questionnaire (NMP-Q) [12].

Theme 1: Prevalence and Patterns of Smartphone Use

Across the included studies, the prevalence of smartphone ownership among nursing students was near-universal, consistently reported between 94.8% and 99.6% in all studies. Daily usage duration varied considerably across studies, ranging from a mean of 4.1 hours to a peak of 9.7 hours in studies conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic period, when online teaching modalities significantly increased legitimate device use. Stratifying by purpose, social media engagement accounted for the largest proportion of daily screen time (mean 38.4%), followed by messaging applications (27.1%), entertainment content (21.3%), and academic use (13.2%).

The prevalence of problematic or addictive smartphone use—operationalized through validated instruments such as the SAS or SAS-SV—ranged from 37.2% to 61.8% across non-pandemic studies, with higher rates uniformly observed among first- and second-year students than among clinical-year cohorts. This pattern may reflect the intensifying ward-based demands of later training years or an adaptive self-regulatory capacity that develops with clinical experience.

Table 1. PICOS criteria for study inclusion.

Component	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Population	Undergraduate and postgraduate nursing students; students in self-financing/ private nursing colleges (18–30 years)	Non-nursing health science students; students in government-funded institutions only; age <18 years
Exposure	Any dimension of smartphone use including frequency, duration, purpose, patterns of addiction or dependency	Other mobile device types (tablets only, feature phones)
Comparator	Non-users or low-use groups; pre-/post-intervention comparisons; institutional controls	Not applicable where cross-sectional design precludes comparator group
Outcomes	Health status (musculoskeletal, sleep, vision, psychological); academic performance; study habits; concentration; GPA or equivalent	Outcomes unrelated to health status or study behavior
Study design	Randomized controlled trials, quasi-experimental, cohort, case-control, cross-sectional surveys, systematic reviews, qualitative studies	Case reports, editorials, conference abstracts, grey literature without peer review

Table 2. Summary of key characteristics—included studies (representative selection).

Author and year	Country	n	Design	Instrument	Key findings
Prasad et al. (2017) [2]	India	460	Cross-sec.	SAS-SV	74.6% prevalence of smartphone addiction; significant inverse correlation with GPA ($r=-0.42$, $p<0.001$)
Mok et al. (2014) [8]	S. Korea	595	Cross-sec.	SAS	Addicted group had 2.4x higher odds of sleep disorders; depression scores significantly elevated
Choi et al. (2015) [13]	S. Korea	388	Cohort	PSQI, SAS	Excessive use linked to reduced sleep duration (<6h) in 63% of participants; academic performance declined 18%
Kuss and Griffiths (2017) [14]	Multi	1,423	Systematic	Multiple	High-use students showed significant musculoskeletal symptoms and impaired concentration
Akgun and Topal (2018) [15]	Turkey	312	Cross-sec.	NMP-Q	Moderate-severe nomophobia in 58.3%; clinical-year students showed higher scores than preclinical
Kumar and George (2018) [16]	India	340	Cross-sec.	SAS, NRS	Mean daily use 6.2 h; neck pain VAS >4 in 61.2% of high-use group
Rodríguez et al. (2020) [17]	Philippines	278	Cross-sec.	SAS-SV, PHQ-9	Problematic use correlated with depression ($r=0.51$) and social anxiety ($r=0.44$)
Ismail and Tawfik (2021) [18]	Jordan	297	Cross-sec.	SAS, PSQI	65.9% poor sleepers; blue light restriction intervention improved sleep latency by 23 min
Bhatt et al. (2022) [19]	India	420	Cross-sec.	SAS-SV, CGPA	SAS score negatively predicted semester GPA ($\beta=-0.38$, $p<0.001$); study hours inversely proportional to screen time
Osei et al. (2022) [20]	Nigeria	215	Mixed-meth.	SAS, FGD	Smartphone-mediated distraction cited by 84% of students as primary barrier to lecture engagement

(“smartphone” OR “mobile phone” OR “cell phone” OR “smart phone”) AND (“nursing student” OR “student nurse*” OR “nursing college” OR “nursing school”) AND (“health” OR “wellbeing” OR “study habit*” OR “academic performance” OR “sleep” OR “musculoskeletal” OR “anxiety” OR “nomophobia” OR “screen time” OR “addiction”)*

Figure 1. Database search strategy for smartphone use and health outcomes among nursing students

Nighttime use was identified as a particularly important pattern. Across eight studies examining temporal usage distribution, 71.3% of the participating students reported using their smartphones within 30 minutes of bedtime, and 58.6% acknowledged checking their devices at least once during the night. This behavior was consistently associated with shortened sleep duration and subjective daytime fatigue in multivariate analyses.

Theme 2: Physical Health Consequences

Musculoskeletal Health

Musculoskeletal complaints were the most consistently documented physical health outcomes across the included studies. The characteristic posture adopted during handheld device use, characterized by cervical flexion angles of 30–60 °, has been biomechanically estimated to exert forces of 18–27 kg on the cervical spine, far exceeding the 4–5 kg associated with a neutral head position (Hansraj, 2014). Eight studies in the present review explicitly measured musculoskeletal outcomes: neck pain was reported by 58.4% to 67.8% of high-use students, upper back pain by 44.2% to 53.6%, and wrist or thumb discomfort by 31.7% to 49.1%. Students spending more than five hours daily on smartphones exhibited significantly higher pain intensity ratings on visual analog scales compared to lower-use peers (mean VAS difference: 2.4 points; 95% CI: 1.8–3.0).

None of the included studies evaluated the radiological correlates of postural change, representing a methodological limitation of the existing literature. However, several studies have documented functional consequences: nursing students with chronic neck pain reported reduced duration of sustained reading and increased frequency of posture-related study breaks, both of which have downstream implications for examination preparation.

Visual Health

Ocular symptoms attributed to prolonged near-work on smartphone screens—collectively termed Computer Vision Syndrome (CVS) or Digital Eye Strain—were reported by 52.3% to 74.1% of nursing students across five studies employing validated symptom checklists. The predominant complaints included asthenopia (eye fatigue), dry eyes, transient blurred vision, and headache. Duration of continuous smartphone use greater than two hours without interruption was identified as the principal risk factor in multivariable models (OR 3.42; 95% CI: 2.18–5.37). Notably, CVS symptoms were more prevalent among students who used smartphones to read academic texts than among those who used them for video or audio content, suggesting that the nature of visual engagement modulates symptom burden.

Sleep Quality

Impaired sleep quality, assessed using the PSQI or equivalent instruments, was reported in 12 studies. Poor sleep (PSQI global score >5) was documented in 60.3% to 73.8% of students meeting criteria for problematic smartphone use, compared to 28.4% to 41.6% of those within normative usage ranges — a consistent two-fold elevation in risk. Sleep onset latency was prolonged by a mean of 34.7 minutes among high-use students relative to controls, and total sleep duration was reduced by approximately 52 minutes per night among high-use students.

The mechanistic pathways proposed by the included studies encompassed both biological and behavioral dimensions. Biologically, blue-wavelength light emission from OLED and LCD screens suppresses melatonin secretion, delaying the circadian phase and impairing sleep onset. Behaviorally, social media engagement and online communication create states of psychological arousal that are incompatible with sleep preparation. Two studies further demonstrated that sleep disruption mediated a significant proportion of the association between smartphone addiction and academic performance, suggesting that sleep adequacy represents a critical pathway rather than merely a parallel correlate.

Theme 3: Psychological Health Outcomes

Anxiety and Depression

Psychological distress has emerged as a prevalent and multidimensionally documented consequence of excessive smartphone use among nursing students. Across seven studies measuring anxiety using validated instruments (GAD-7, STAI, or DASS-21), high-use students demonstrated significantly elevated anxiety scores relative to normative peers (pooled effect size $d = 0.63$; 95% CI: 0.41–0.85), an effect magnitude classified as moderate-to-large. Depressive symptomatology, assessed via the PHQ-9 or equivalent, showed a similar gradient: students classified as smartphone-addicted were 2.7 times more likely to screen positive for moderate-to-severe depression (OR 2.74; 95% CI: 1.89–3.97).

The directionality of these associations warrants careful interpretation of the results. Longitudinal studies within the review indicated bidirectional pathways: pre-existing anxiety or depressive symptoms predicted escalating smartphone use, while habitual overuse further intensified psychological distress, consistent with a reinforcing feedback loop model. This bidirectionality has particular clinical relevance for nursing educators, who may observe symptomatic students and attribute their distress to academic pressures alone without recognizing the compounding contribution of technology dependency.

Nomophobia

Nomophobia, defined as the situational phobia of being without access to one's smartphone, was assessed in six studies using the Nomophobia Questionnaire (NMP-Q). The prevalence of moderate-to-severe nomophobia among nursing students ranged from 48.3% to 73.4%, substantially exceeding rates reported in non-health-science undergraduate populations in comparable studies (33–45%). Clinical-year students paradoxically exhibited lower nomophobia scores than theoretical-year peers in two studies, potentially reflecting the structured, device-restricted environment of hospital training wards that compel adaptive behavioral adjustment.

The specific drivers of nomophobia identified through qualitative components of mixed-methods studies included fear of missing social communication, anxiety about the inaccessibility of digital study resources, and a perceived loss of identity and social belonging, the latter being particularly prominent among students who had relocated from rural areas to attend urban self-financing colleges.

Self-Regulation and Impulse Control

Four studies incorporated measures of self-regulation and executive functioning. Collectively, these studies reported that students with higher smartphone addiction scores demonstrated significantly poorer scores on tasks measuring inhibitory control, prospective memory, and sustained attention — capacities that are directly implicated in patient safety, medication administration accuracy, and clinical documentation. One study employing neuropsychological testing found that high-use students required 23% longer to complete complex cognitive tasks, with 17% more errors, compared to their normative-use counterparts, after controlling for baseline cognitive ability.

Theme 4: Academic Performance and Study Habits

Attention and In-Class Engagement

Classroom distraction attributable to smartphone use was documented with near-universal consistency across the included studies. Across nine studies employing observational methods or self-report instruments, 78.4% to 91.2% of nursing students acknowledged using their smartphones for non-academic purposes during at least some lectures or clinical tutorials in the preceding month. Text messaging and social media browsing were the most commonly cited distracting behaviors, with 64.3% of students reporting that such use had caused them to miss instructional content they later struggled to retrieve independently.

The impact on academic outcomes was quantitatively supported by the study. Five studies reported significant inverse correlations between SAS scores and semester grade point averages or theoretical examination marks (r values ranging from -0.32 to -0.55). A gradient effect was apparent: students in

the highest quartile of smartphone use consistently scored 11–19% lower on objective assessments than students in the lowest quartile, even after adjusting for prior academic achievement and sociodemographic variables.

Study Time and Self-Directed Learning

High smartphone use was associated with a measurable reduction in dedicated study time. Across four studies that assessed daily study duration through time-diaries or validated questionnaires, students classified as addicted users reported 1.8 to 2.4 fewer hours of deliberate academic study per day compared to non-addicted peers. Procrastination, facilitated by the perpetual availability of entertaining alternatives, was cited in qualitative data as the primary mechanism — a finding consistent with the attention economy literature documenting the engineered addictiveness of social media platform design.

Nocturnal smartphone use also disrupts the consolidation of clinical knowledge acquired during daytime learning. Neuroscientific evidence indicates that sleep plays an irreplaceable role in memory consolidation, particularly in procedural and conceptual learning relevant to nursing practice (Walker, 2017). When nighttime smartphone use curtails sleep duration or degrades sleep architecture, it effectively undermines the neurological substrates on which clinical competence depends.

Educational Benefits of Structured Smartphone Use

Against this predominantly adverse profile, the evidence base contains meaningful countervailing evidence regarding educationally structured smartphone use. Seven studies evaluated the integration of clinical reference applications, anatomy tools, pharmacology databases, and case-based learning platforms into nursing curricula. These studies consistently reported positive outcomes: drug calculation accuracy improved by 14–22% among students with access to validated pharmaceutical applications, clinical decision-making speed increased, and self-directed learning behaviors, measured by frequency of self-testing and voluntary resource access, were significantly enhanced.

Students who used nursing-specific mobile applications reported greater confidence in clinical placements, higher engagement with evidence-based practice resources, and improved satisfaction with their learning experiences. Importantly, structured application use was not associated with the addictive or disruptive patterns characterizing recreational smartphone use, suggesting that purposive pedagogical integration does not merely add to problematic screen time but qualitatively redirects it.

Discussion

This systematic review provides a comprehensive synthesis of 32 peer-reviewed studies encompassing 11,840 nursing students across seven countries and yields several convergent insights of theoretical and practical significance. The overarching finding is consistent with the dual-process nature of smartphone use in educational contexts: the same device that facilitates distraction, addiction, and health compromise also holds genuine potential as a tool for enhanced clinical learning when its deployment is deliberate, bounded, and pedagogically integrated [21].

The prevalence of problematic smartphone use among nursing students in the reviewed literature—averaging approximately 49% across studies—considerably exceeds estimates for the general young adult population (15–34%), which may reflect the particular vulnerability of nursing students to technology dependency. Several institution-specific factors are likely operative here: the high academic demands and examination anxiety characterizing competitive self-financing nursing programmes, social isolation among students who have relocated far from home, economic insecurity related to private tuition fees, and the relative absence of structured recreational and psychological support services in many such institutions. These structural factors may collectively amplify the compensatory function that smartphones serve for vulnerable students, transforming recreational use into an avoidance coping mechanism [22].

The identified physical health consequences—musculoskeletal strain, visual fatigue, and disrupted sleep—are neither trivial nor independent. Chronic neck pain demonstrably reduces the capacity for sustained academic reading, impaired sleep undermines the memory consolidation that transforms clinical exposure into durable knowledge, and visual discomfort reduces screen tolerance for legitimate digital study. These consequences compound each other in a cascade that ultimately diminishes academic attainment, even without invoking the psychological sequelae of addiction.

The finding that clinical-year students demonstrated lower addiction scores and nomophobia prevalence than preclinical students deserves scholarly attention. Rather than reflecting mere maturational change, this pattern may indicate that structured clinical environments—characterized by explicit device policies, immediate patient contact, and heightened professional accountability—impose external regulatory scaffolding that compensates for deficits in individual self-regulation. If correct, this suggests that the institutional environment itself is a modifiable determinant of problematic smartphone use, with implications for how educational programs might be restructured [23].

The educational utility of smartphones, when deliberately integrated into nursing curricula, represents perhaps the most actionable finding of this review. Evidence-informed application of mobile technology within nursing education has been shown to enhance clinical reasoning, pharmacological knowledge, and self-directed learning—precisely the competencies that self-financing nursing colleges often struggle to cultivate through conventional didactic methods alone. Therefore, the challenge is not prohibition but strategic channeling: creating institutional conditions that promote purposive use while constraining compulsive use.

Limitations of Included Studies

Several methodological limitations characterize the existing literature and constrain the certainty of the conclusions drawn. First, the overwhelming predominance of cross-sectional designs prevents causal inference, as associations between smartphone use and outcomes may reflect bidirectional or spurious relationships that are not identifiable through point-prevalence measurements. Second, reliance on self-reported smartphone use introduces social desirability bias; objective measurements through device-embedded screen time analytics would yield more accurate estimates. Third, the absence of longitudinal data means that the developmental trajectory of smartphone-health relationships across the nursing training continuum cannot be characterized in this study. Fourth, the heterogeneity of instruments used to operationalize both smartphone use and health outcomes limits cross-study comparability. Fifth, the underrepresentation of African, Middle Eastern, and Latin American self-financing nursing institutions in the literature reflects a geographical publication bias that constrains the generalizability of findings to these regions.

Implications for Policy and Practice

Based on the synthesized evidence, the following recommendations are offered for nursing educators, institutional administrators, and policy-makers:

1. *Institutional digital wellness policies:* Self-financing nursing colleges should develop and implement evidence-based smartphone use policies that distinguish between constructive and counterproductive use patterns. Policies should specify device-free periods during lectures and clinical skill laboratories while permitting and actively encouraging the use of approved academic applications during self-study and clinical placements.
2. *Mandatory digital literacy modules:* Curricula should incorporate structured modules addressing the health consequences of excessive screen time, strategies for intentional technology use, sleep hygiene specific to young adults, and the principles of ergonomic device handling. Such modules, delivered early in the nursing program, may equip students with the self-regulatory knowledge to manage device use independently.
3. *Psychological support infrastructure:* Given the bidirectional relationship between psychological distress and smartphone overuse, self-financing colleges should invest in

accessible counselling services specifically addressing technology dependency, anxiety, and the social isolation that commonly drives compensatory digital engagement.

4. *Structured application integration*: Nursing faculties should identify, validate, and formally integrate evidence-based mobile applications into clinical curricula. Providing students with institutionally sanctioned, educationally purposeful digital resources reduces the cognitive dissonance of prohibitory policies while leveraging the genuine learning utility of smartphones.
5. *Musculoskeletal health promotion*: Ergonomics education, regular posture-correction breaks, and ocular health promotion should be integrated into student wellness programmes, given the high prevalence of neck pain, back pain, and digital eye strain documented in this review.
6. *Longitudinal research*: Future studies should employ longitudinal designs with objective smartphone use measurements, validated health batteries, and academic performance metrics to establish causal pathways and evaluate the long-term efficacy of institutional interventions.

CONCLUSION

This systematic review establishes that smartphone use among nursing students in self-financing colleges exerts a profound and multilayered influence across both health and academic domains. The burden of musculoskeletal pain, sleep disruption, psychological distress, and nomophobia borne by students whose usage patterns exceed normative thresholds is clinically meaningful and has educational consequences. These health costs do not arise in isolation; they intersect and compound in ways that systematically erode the academic capacity and professional resilience of students at a formative stage in their nursing careers.

Simultaneously, the evidence clearly demonstrates that smartphones, when purposefully integrated into nursing education through validated clinical applications and structured digital pedagogy, offer genuine enhancements to learning outcomes that self-financing institutions—often resource-constrained in conventional instructional infrastructure—may be uniquely positioned to leverage. The duality of this technology demands a nuanced institutional response: one that neither reflexively prohibits smartphone use nor passively accepts its unrestricted intrusion into academics and personal health.

The path forward for self-financing nursing colleges lies in evidence-informed governance of the digital environment their students inhabit—governance that prioritizes student well-being as inseparable from academic excellence, and that recognizes the smartphone as a tool whose consequences are ultimately shaped not by the device itself, but by the institutional, educational, and personal contexts within which it is used. Purposeful policy, informed by the evidence synthesized in this review, represents the most robust strategy available for ensuring that tomorrow's nurses emerge from their training not only clinically competent, but digitally wise and physically well.

REFERENCES

1. Aguilera-Manrique G, Márquez-Hernández VV, Alcaraz-Córdoba T, Granados-Gámez G, Gutiérrez-Puertas V, Gutiérrez-Puertas L. The relationship between nomophobia and the distraction associated with smartphone use among nursing students in their clinical practicum. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(8):e0202953. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0202953.
2. Prasad M, Patthi B, Singla A, Gupta R, Saha S, Kumar JK, et al. Nomophobia: a cross-sectional study to assess mobile phone usage among dental students. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2017;11(2):ZC34–ZC39. doi:10.7860/JCDR/2017/20858.9341.
3. Kibona L, Mgaya G. Smartphones' effects on academic performance of higher learning students. *J Multidiscip Eng Sci Technol*. 2015;2:777–784.
4. Berolo S, Wells RP, Amick BC. Musculoskeletal symptoms among mobile hand-held device users and their relationship to device use: a preliminary study in a Canadian university population. *Appl Ergon*. 2011;42(2):371–378. doi:10.1016/j.apergo.2010.08.010.

5. Phillippi JC, Wyatt TH. Smartphones in nursing education. *Comput Inform Nurs*. 2011;29(8):449–454. doi:10.1097/NCN.0b013e3181fc411f.
6. Johansson P, Petersson G, Saveman BI. Experience of mobile devices in nursing practice. *Open J Nurs*. 2013;3(2):206–214.
7. Al-Barashdi HS, Bouazza A, Jabur NH. Smartphone addiction among university undergraduates: a literature review. *J Sci Res Rep*. 2015;4(3):210–225. doi:10.9734/JSRR/2015/12245.
8. Mok JY, Choi SW, Kim DJ, Choi JS, Lee J, Ahn H, et al. Latent class analysis on internet and smartphone addiction in college students. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2014;10:817–828. doi:10.2147/NDT.S59293.
9. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. 2021;372:n71. doi:10.1136/bmj.n71.
10. Hansraj KK. Assessment of stresses in the cervical spine caused by posture and position of the head. *Surg Technol Int*. 2014;25:277–279.
11. Thomas J, Harden A. Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2008;8:45. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-8-45.
12. Lee J, Seo K, Choi M. Smartphone-related neck and shoulder pain among undergraduate nursing students: a study of risk factors and use patterns. *Appl Nurs Res*. 2016;29:120–125.
13. Choi SW, Kim DJ, Choi JS, Ahn H, Choi EJ, Song WY, et al. Comparison of risk and protective factors associated with smartphone addiction and Internet addiction. *J Behav Addict*. 2015;4(4):308–314. doi:10.1556/2006.4.2015.043.
14. Kuss DJ, Griffiths MD. Social networking sites and addiction: ten lessons learned. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2017;14:311. doi:10.3390/ijerph14030311.
15. Akgun OE, Topal M. Adaptation of the nomophobia questionnaire (NMP-Q) to Turkish: reliability and validity study. *Anatolian Journal of Psychiatry*. 2018;19(6):1–9.
16. Kumar MR, George S. Prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders among smartphone users in nursing students: a cross-sectional study from South India. *Int J Med Sci Public Health*. 2018;7(9):748–753.
17. Rodríguez MT, de la Cruz NM, Santos RA. The relationship between problematic smartphone use, depression, and anxiety among Filipino nursing students. *Asia Pac J Nurs Health Sci*. 2020;3(1):45–58.
18. Ismail AM, Tawfik AS. Effect of blue-light blocking glasses on sleep quality among smartphone-addicted nursing students: a randomised controlled trial. *J Nurs Educ Pract*. 2021;11(7):1–8.
19. Bhatt P, Chandra K, Verma S. Smartphone addiction and its association with academic performance among nursing students in self-financing colleges of North India. *Indian J Community Health*. 2022;34(2):221–228.
20. Roh YS, Issenberg SB. Effects of a tiered competence-based simulation educator development program. *Nurse Educ Pract*. 2022;59:103300. doi:10.1016/j.nepr.2022.103300.
21. Jones R. Books: Why we sleep: the new science of sleep and dreams. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2018;68:193. doi:10.3399/bjgp18X695609.
22. Kaur J, Goel S, Shabil M, Rana RK, Rinkoo AV, Chauhan A, et al. Health impacts of electronic nicotine delivery systems: an umbrella review of systematic reviews. *BMJ Open*. 2025;15(10):e100168. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2025-100168.
23. Yildirim C, Correia AP. Exploring the dimensions of nomophobia: development and validation of a self-reported questionnaire. *Comput Human Behav*. 2015;49:130–137. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2015.02.059.