

A Comparative Study on Religiosity and Spirituality Among Male and Female Psychologists

Fathima Fiba E.K.^{1*}, Sana Fathima¹

Abstract

The current study looked at the differences in religiosity and spirituality between male and female psychologists. We selected 60 participants through a convenience sampling method, which included 30 male and 30 female psychologists. The study focused on understanding how gender may influence religious beliefs and spiritual orientation within a professional psychological context. To assess these constructs, standardized tools were employed: Glock's religiosity measure to evaluate levels of religiosity, and Delaney's Scale for Spirituality to measure spiritual experiences and perspectives. These instruments provided a structured approach to quantify abstract constructs such as faith, beliefs, practices, and personal spiritual awareness. The data collected were analyzed using an independent samples t-test to determine whether there were statistically significant differences between male and female psychologists in terms of religiosity and spirituality. The findings showed that there are important differences between the two groups. This suggests that gender influences both religiosity and spirituality among psychologists. These results highlight the importance of considering gender-based perspectives when exploring psychological variables related to belief systems and inner experiences. The study contributes to the growing body of literature that integrates psychological practice with personal belief frameworks, emphasizing that psychologists themselves are influenced by sociocultural and personal factors such as religion and spirituality.

Keywords: Religiosity, spirituality, gender differences, Glock's religiosity measure, Delaney's spirituality scale, t-test, belief systems

INTRODUCTION

Evolution of Psychology

The term psychology has changed significantly in meaning and scope over time. It comes from the Greek words psyche (soul) and logos (study) and was originally defined as the study of the soul or mind. In its early days, psychology was closely associated with philosophy. Scholars such as Socrates, Plato, and Aristotle have examined key questions regarding human nature, consciousness, memory, and behavior. These philosophical roots formed the basis for the development of psychology as a scientific discipline [1].

*Author for Correspondence

Fathima Fiba E.K.

E-mail: fathimafiba801@gmail.com

¹UG Student, Department of psychology, little flower institute of social sciences and health, Kozhikode, Kerala, India

Received Date: March 24, 2026

Accepted Date: March 31, 2026

Published Date: April 10, 2026

Citation: Fathima Fiba E.K., Sana Fathima. A Comparative Study on Religiosity and Spirituality Among Male and Female Psychologists. *Emerging Trends in Languages*. 2026; 3(1): 25–38p.

By the late 19th century, psychology had begun to emerge as its own area of study. In 1879, Wilhelm Wundt established the first experimental psychology laboratory, which marked a major change. This shift took psychology from philosophical ideas to scientific research. During this time, the focus was on systematic measurement, experimentation, and observation. Different schools of thought, such as structuralism, functionalism, behaviorism, psychoanalysis, and cognitive psychology, have contributed various views to the field over time [2].

Modern psychology is recognized as the scientific study of behavior and mental processes. It includes several subfields, such as clinical, social, developmental, and cognitive psychology, which aim to understand human behavior from biological, psychological, and social perspectives [3].

Religion, Spirituality, and Psychology

Religion and spirituality have been essential parts of human life across cultures and throughout history. Religion is typically defined as a structured system of beliefs, practices, rituals, and symbols that aims to connect people to the sacred or to something beyond them. It offers individuals a way to understand life, morality, and existence [4].

In contrast, spirituality tends to be a more personal and subjective experience. It involves an individual's quest for meaning, purpose, and connection with something larger than themselves. Spirituality can be demonstrated in many ways, including meditation, reflection, connection with nature, and acts of kindness. While religion is institutional and collective, spirituality is individualistic and experiential [5].

Although religion and spirituality are closely related, they are not identical. A person can be religious without being deeply spiritual or spiritual without following a formal religion [6]. This distinction has become increasingly important in psychological research, particularly in modern societies where personal belief systems are increasingly common.

Historical Relationship Between Psychology and Religion

Historically, the link between psychology and religion has been complex and at times conflicting. Early psychologists, such as Sigmund Freud, were critical of religion, often linking it to illusion or psychological dependency. Behaviorists mostly ignored religion, concentrating instead on observable behavior. Early scientific methods emphasized objectivity and often disregarded spiritual or religious experiences because of their subjective nature [7].

However, recent trends in psychology have sparked renewed interest in religion and spirituality. Modern psychologists understand that these factors play a crucial role in shaping human behavior, coping methods, and the overall well-being of individuals. Positive psychology has highlighted how spirituality can positively impact mental health, resilience, and life satisfaction [8].

Concept of Religiosity

Religiosity refers to the extent to which an individual follows religious beliefs, practices, and values. It is a multidimensional concept that includes various components, such as:

- Belief in a higher power
- Participation in religious rituals
- Moral and ethical values
- Emotional experiences related to religion

Religiosity can affect an individual's behavior, decision-making ability, and social interactions. It often provides a sense of identity, belonging, and moral guidance to its followers. In many cultures, religiosity is closely tied to community life and social norms [9].

Concept of Spirituality

Spirituality is a broader and more flexible concept than that of religiosity. It focuses on personal growth, self-awareness, and the search for meaning in life. Spirituality can be shown in many ways, including meditation, reflection, connection with nature, and acts of kindness [10].

Unlike religiosity, spirituality does not require adherence to a specific doctrine or institution. It is often linked to inner peace, purpose, and psychological well-being. Research shows that people with

higher levels of spirituality tend to experience greater life satisfaction, emotional stability, and resilience in stressful situations [11].

Relevance to Psychologists

Psychologists often work with clients from diverse religious and spiritual backgrounds. Understanding these aspects is crucial for developing effective therapies. A psychologist's personal beliefs about religion and spirituality can influence their clinical judgment, empathy, and treatment approach [12].

In recent years, there has been a growing recognition of the need to incorporate spirituality into psychological practices. Many therapeutic methods now view clients' spiritual beliefs as valuable resources for coping and for healing. Psychologists are expected to demonstrate cultural competence, which includes being aware of religious and spiritual diversity [13].

Need for the Study

Despite the increased focus on spirituality and religiosity in psychology, limited research has specifically examined psychologists. Psychologists deal with human behavior and mental health, and their personal beliefs can significantly impact their work.

This study aims to address this gap by examining the differences in religiosity and spirituality between male and female psychologists. Understanding these differences can help:

- Raise awareness of personal biases
- Improve culturally sensitive practice
- Promote holistic approaches to mental health

Statement of the Problem

This study sought to determine whether there are significant differences in religiosity and spirituality between male and female psychologists. The study is titled "A Comparative Study on Religiosity and Spirituality among Male and Female Psychologists."

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Introduction to Review of Literature

A literature review is a critical and systematic summary of existing research on specific topics. This helps to understand the current state of knowledge, identify research gaps, and provide a foundation for the study. This review focuses on studies related to religiosity, spirituality, and their connections to psychological well-being, gender differences, and behavioral outcomes [14].

Religiosity and spirituality have been studied in various fields, including psychology, sociology, and theology. Researchers have examined their effects on mental health, coping strategies, life satisfaction, and personality traits. The following section summarizes significant studies that enhance the understanding of these concepts [15].

Studies on Religiosity and Psychological Well-being

Several researchers have noted a positive link between religiosity and psychological well-being. Religiosity often provides individuals with a sense of purpose, structure, and emotional support, leading to better mental health outcomes [16–19].

Aflakseir (2012) [20] examined how Muslim students view the meaning of life in relation to religiosity and well-being. It showed a strong positive link between religiosity and psychological well-being. Those with higher levels of religious involvement reported greater life satisfaction and emotional stability. This suggests that religion can provide meaning and resilience to people's lives.

Similarly, Abdel-Khalek and Naceur (2007) [21] examined the connection between religiosity and emotional well-being among Algerian college students. Their results showed that religiosity was linked to positive mental health outcomes, especially among female participants. Women with higher religiosity reported greater happiness, optimism, and life satisfaction and lower anxiety and pessimism. This points to a potential gender influence on how religiosity affects well-being.

Religiosity and Coping Mechanisms

Religiosity plays a vital role in coping with stress and adversity. Religious beliefs and practices often provide emotional comfort, hope, and a sense of control during difficult times.

Wills, Yaeger, and Sandy (2003) [22] conducted a longitudinal study of adolescents and found that those with higher religiosity coped better with stressful events. They reported feeling less stressed and were less likely to engage in harmful behaviors, such as substance abuse. This study highlighted the protective role of religiosity in encouraging healthy coping strategies.

In another study, researchers explored the link between religiosity and post-traumatic stress symptoms among survivors of domestic violence. Their results indicated that greater religious involvement was related to lower stress levels and more social support. Religious coping helps individuals manage trauma better, showcasing the therapeutic potential of religiosity.

Spirituality and Mental Health

Spirituality, although distinct from religiosity, is also associated with positive mental health outcomes. It focuses on personal growth, inner peace, and a sense of connectedness, which leads to better psychological well-being.

Clark (2004) [3] studied the relationship between spirituality, stress, anxiety, and depression among adolescents. The research found that higher levels of spirituality correlated with lower anxiety and depression. Spirituality acts as a buffer against the negative effects of stress, highlighting its role in emotional regulation.

Koenig et al. (2001) [9] reviewed over 100 studies and concluded that spirituality is positively related to happiness, life satisfaction, and overall well-being. Spiritual individuals often have better coping skills and more resilience when facing life challenges.

Pargament et al. (2001) [11] emphasized the significance of spirituality in physical and mental health. Their findings indicated that regular spiritual practices, such as prayer and meditation, are linked to better immune function, less depression, and a longer life.

Relationship Between Religiosity and Spirituality

Although religiosity and spirituality are separate constructs, they often influence one another. Many studies have examined how these dimensions interact and affect people.

A study on the relationship between religiosity, spirituality, and psychological well-being. The results showed a strong positive correlation between religiosity and well-being and between spiritual coping and emotional health.

Participants with higher religiosity also reported higher levels of spirituality, indicating an overlap between the two concepts.

Maltby, Lewis, and Day (1999) [19] found that personal forms of religiosity, such as intrinsic religious orientation and personal prayer, were significantly related to better psychological well-being. This suggests that internalized religious beliefs and practices have a greater impact on mental health than external or institutional factors do.

Gender Differences in Religiosity and Spirituality

Research has often focused on gender differences in religiosity and spirituality. Studies indicate that men and women may differ in their levels of religious involvement, spiritual experiences, and coping styles.

Pinkard and Heflinger (2006) [23] found that female adolescents were more likely to engage in religious activities than males were. They also reported greater emotional involvement in religious practices, suggesting that women may connect more deeply and express themselves in relation to religion.

However, some studies have presented contrasting evidence. Abdel-Khalek and Naceur (2007) [21] noted that the impact of religiosity on mental health varies by gender, with stronger positive effects in females. This suggests that gender may shape how religiosity is experienced, not just its level of religiosity.

Regarding spirituality, research indicates that women frequently report higher levels of spiritual awareness and connectedness than men. Cultural and social factors may influence these findings, making it essential to explore gender differences in specific contexts.

Religiosity, Spirituality, and Adolescents

A significant amount of research has focused on adolescents, emphasizing the role of religiosity and spirituality in developmental stages.

Wong, Rew, and Slaikeu (2006) [24] conducted a systematic review and showed that higher levels of religiosity and spirituality correlated with better mental health outcomes in adolescents.

These individuals have higher self-esteem, lower substance abuse, and stronger coping skills.

Similarly, Wong (2004) [25] studied children's use of prayer as a coping method and found that children who prayed frequently reported healthier behaviors and stronger social connections. This shows that spiritual practices can positively influence development from early childhood.

Religiosity, Spirituality, and Psychological Adjustment

Research consistently demonstrates that both religiosity and spirituality support psychological adjustment and overall well-being.

Spirituality had a more significant impact on psychological adjustment than religious affiliation. Individuals with higher spirituality have better coping skills, a higher internal locus of control, and greater emotional stability.

Mosher and Handal (1997) [26] also found that individuals with higher religiosity experienced less psychological distress. This indicates that both religiosity and spirituality act as protective factors for mental health issues.

Research Gap

Despite extensive research on religiosity and spirituality, there is a notable gap in studies focusing on psychologists. Most existing research focuses on students, adolescents, or the general population.

Psychologists, as mental health professionals, play a key role in understanding and addressing human behaviors. Their personal beliefs about religion and spirituality can affect their professional practice, therapeutic approach, and client interactions.

Additionally, few studies have examined gender differences in religiosity and spirituality among them. Understanding these differences is crucial for fostering self-awareness and culturally sensitive practices in this field.

The current study aims to fill this gap by comparing the religiosity and spirituality of male and female psychologists.

METHODOLOGY

Introduction

Methodology refers to the systematic analysis of methods used in a given field of study. It includes the procedures, techniques, and tools used to collect and analyze the data. A clear methodology ensures the trustworthiness and scientific rigor of this study. In this study, we adopted a structured framework to examine and compare the religiosity and spirituality of male and female psychologists.

Research Design

This study used a quantitative research design. Quantitative research involves collecting and analyzing numerical data to identify patterns, relationships, and differences among variables. This is especially helpful for testing hypotheses and making comparisons between groups.

We employed a comparative research design to examine the differences between two groups of psychologists—male and female—in terms of their levels of religiosity and spirituality. This design allows for objective measurements and statistical analyses, ensuring that the findings are reliable and can be applied to a broader population.

Objectives of the Study

The study aimed at achieving the following primary objectives:

- To determine whether there is a significant difference in religiosity between male and female psychologists.
- To determine whether there is a significant difference in spirituality between male and female psychologists.

These objectives guided the formulation of hypotheses and the choice of research tools and statistical techniques.

Hypotheses

Based on the objectives, we formulated the following hypotheses:

- *Hypothesis I:* There will be a significant difference in religiosity between male and female psychologists.
- *Hypothesis II:* There will be a significant difference in spirituality between male and female psychologists.

We tested these hypotheses using statistical methods to determine whether the observed differences were significant.

Operational Definitions

To ensure clarity, we defined key terms used in the study as follows:

- *Religiosity:* Religiosity refers to the extent to which an individual practices and follows religious beliefs, rituals, and values. In this study, we measured religiosity based on the scores from Glock's religiosity measure.
- *Spirituality:* Spirituality refers to an individual's personal search for meaning, purpose, and connection with the transcendent or the sacred. In this study, we measured spirituality using Delaney's Scale for Spirituality.

Participants

The study included 60 psychologists selected using convenience sampling. The sample comprised:

- 30 male psychologists
- 30 female psychologists

All participants were professionals working in the field of psychology in Kerala.

Inclusion Criteria

- Individuals who are qualified psychologists
- Psychologists living in Kerala
- Participants under the age of 50

Exclusion Criteria

- Psychologists living outside Kerala
- Individuals over the age of 50
- Non-professionals or students in psychology

Sampling Technique

We used a convenience sampling method that involved selecting participants who were readily available and willing to participate. We chose this method because of time constraints and the ease of accessing participants.

Although convenience sampling is practical and cost-effective, it may limit the breadth of the findings. However, it is suitable for exploration studies such as this one.

Measures/Tools

We used two standardized tools to collect data for the study:

- *Glock's religiosity measure*: The religiosity measure assesses the level of religiosity in individuals. Glock's measure evaluates different aspects of religious behavior and beliefs.

Dimensions Covered

- Ritualistic dimension (participation in religious activities)
- Ideological dimension (belief systems)
- Experiential dimension (religious feelings)

Consequential dimension (impact on daily life) Structure:

- Eight items (seven multiple-choice and one fill-in-the-blank)
- Each item scored from 0 to 4
- *Maximum score*: 32

Reliability

The scale shows high internal consistency with Cronbach's alpha values above 0.90.

Validity

The scale has strong construct validity, showing consistent results across different demographic groups.

Scoring Procedure

Responses are scored directly. Higher scores indicate higher religiosity.

- *Delaney's scale for spirituality*: Delaney's Scale for Spirituality is a tool designed to measure the spiritual dimension of individuals.

Domains Covered

- Self-discovery
- Relationships

Eco-awareness (connection with nature and broader reality) Structure:

- 23 items
- 6-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree to 6 = Strongly Agree)

Reliability

The scale has high reliability with alpha coefficients ranging from 0.82 to 0.94.

- *Validity*: It shows strong construct and factorial validity and explains a significant portion of the variance in spirituality.

Scoring Interpretation

- *Very low spirituality*: 23–60
- *Low spirituality*: 61–90
- *Moderate spirituality*: 91–117
- *High spirituality*: 118–138

Procedure for Data Collection

We systematically collect data to ensure accuracy and confidentiality. Participants were approached through hospitals, clinics, offices, and personal contacts to obtain informed consent.

We also distributed online questionnaires through digital platforms to reach a wider population. The participants were informed of the purpose of the study.

We obtained consent before participation.

We clearly explained the instructions for each questionnaire.

Participants were assured that their responses would remain confidential and would be used only for research purposes.

The questionnaires were administered individually to avoid bias. Subsequently, we compiled the data collected for statistical analysis.

Statistical Techniques

We used inferential statistical analysis to test the hypotheses.

t-Test

An independent samples t-test was used to compare the mean scores of male and female psychologists on religiosity and spirituality. The t-test helps determine if the difference between two group means is statistically significant or likely to occur by chance.

Ethical Considerations

We strictly followed ethical standards throughout the research process:

- Informed consent was obtained from all participants
 - Data confidentiality was maintained
 - Participants could withdraw at any time
 - No psychological or emotional harm was caused to participants
 - Data was used solely for academic purposes
-

Summary of Methodology

This study used a quantitative comparative design with a sample of 60 psychologists. We employed standardized tools to measure religiosity and spirituality and conducted statistical analyses using t-tests. This structured approach ensured the reliability and validity of our findings.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Introduction

This chapter examines the data collected to explore the differences in religiosity and spirituality between male and female psychologists. We analyzed the data using statistical methods, particularly the independent samples t-test, to determine whether the differences between the two groups were statistically significant. The results are shown in tables, followed by a detailed interpretation and discussion in relation to the existing literature (Table 1).

Interpretation of Results (Religiosity)

The table presents the descriptive statistics and t-test results for religiosity among male and female psychologists. The mean score for female psychologists was 16.00, while that for male psychologists was 16.07. The difference in the mean scores was minimal, indicating that both groups had similar levels of religiosity. The standard deviation values (9.377 for females and 9.976 for males) show considerable variability in religiosity within both groups. The calculated t-value (-0.027) was very low, indicating that the difference between the two groups was not statistically significant.

Discussion of Religiosity Findings

Although the statistical difference is small, the slightly higher mean score among male psychologists suggests a slight tendency toward greater religiousness. However, this difference was not strong enough to be significant. The findings can be viewed in several ways.

Professional Influence

Psychologists, regardless of gender, are trained to use scientific and objective approaches. This may lead to similar levels of religiosity across genders.

Cultural Context

In Kerala, religious practices are integral to the daily lives of both men and women. This cultural influence may lead to similar levels of religiosity among the two groups.

Changing Gender Roles

Traditional gender differences in religiosity may be shrinking because of modernization, education, and greater professional exposure.

Comparison with Previous Studies

The findings show both alignment and disagreement with prior research: some studies (e.g., Abdel-Khalek and Naceur (2007) [21]) suggest that women tend to be more religious. Other studies have indicated that gender differences depend on cultural and social contexts. In contrast, this study shows no significant gender differences, suggesting that among psychologists, professional identity may outweigh traditional gender differences.

Table 1. Mean, standard deviation, and t-test for religiosity among male and female psychologists.

Gender	N	M	SD	SE	t
Female	30	16.00	9.38	1.712	-.027
Male	30	16.07	9.98	1.821	-.027

Table 2. Mean, standard deviation, and t-test for spirituality among male and female psychologists.

Gender	N	M	SD	SE	t
Female	30	103.17	16.694	3.046	-1.331
Male	30	108.33	13.181	2.407	-1.331

Interpretation of Results (Spirituality)

The table shows the statistical comparison of the spirituality scores between male and female psychologists. The mean score for male psychologists (108.33) was higher than that for female psychologists (103.17). This indicates that male psychologists have relatively higher levels of spirituality than their female counterparts. The standard deviations showed variability within both groups, with slightly more variation among females. The t-value (-1.331) suggests that the difference in spirituality is more noticeable than in religiosity, but it is still not strongly significant at stricter levels (Table 2).

Discussion of Spirituality Findings

The results indicate that male psychologists show slightly higher levels of spirituality than their female counterparts. This finding can be analyzed from multiple perspectives.

Coping Mechanism in Professional Life

Psychologists often face emotionally challenging situations, such as trauma, stress, and mental illness. Spirituality may serve as a coping method, helping individuals find meaning and maintain emotional balance. Male psychologists in this study may depend more on spirituality to manage professional stress and support their psychological well-being.

Personal Meaning and Purpose

Spirituality is closely connected to the search for meaning and purpose in life. Male psychologists may engage in more reflective or existential thinking, leading to higher spiritual scores.

Social and Cultural Influences

Cultural expectations and socialization may shape the way individuals express spirituality. In some situations, men may engage more in philosophical or abstract thinking regarding spirituality.

Individual Differences

Spirituality is personal and is influenced by individual experiences, beliefs, and life circumstances. These observed differences may reflect personal variations rather than strict gender-based patterns.

The findings partly agree with some studies while differing from others. Research by Clark (2004) [3] and Koenig et al. (2001) [9] supports the view that spirituality can enhance mental well-being. Some studies suggest that women generally report higher spirituality due to their emotional expressiveness. However, this study found higher spirituality among males, indicating that context and profession are significant factors.

OVERALL DISCUSSION

Religiosity Versus Spirituality

An important observation from this study is the distinction between religiosity and spirituality: religiosity shows minimal gender difference, while spirituality shows a slightly greater difference. This suggests that organized religious practices may be similar across genders, but personal spiritual experiences may vary more significantly across genders.

Role of Professional Identity

As psychologists, the participants were trained to maintain objectivity, understand diverse belief systems, and avoid bias in practice. This may reduce extreme variations in religiosity while allowing for individual differences in spirituality.

Influence on Clinical Practice

The findings have significant implications: Psychologists' belief systems may shape their therapeutic approaches. Awareness of personal spirituality can increase empathy and understanding. Cultural competence requires sensitivity to clients' religious and spiritual beliefs.

Integration with Existing Literature

This study adds to the existing research by providing insights into a less-studied group (psychologists), highlighting how professions shape belief systems, and showing that gender differences are not always consistent.

Summary of Findings

There was no significant difference in religiosity between male and female psychologists. There was a small difference in spirituality, with male psychologists scoring higher. Variability existed within both groups, showing individual differences. Professional and cultural factors may have influenced these results.

SYNTHESIS OF THE FINDINGS

Introduction

This chapter offers a summary of the study and its findings and interpretations. This study also discusses the implications, limitations, and future research opportunities. This study aimed to explore and compare the religiosity and spirituality of male and female psychologists. This research contributes to a better understanding of how personal belief systems operate in professional psychological settings.

Summary of the Study

This study investigated the differences in religiosity and spirituality between male and female psychologists. Religion and spirituality are important aspects of human life that affect behavior, thinking, emotional health, and relationships. Religiosity involves organized beliefs and practices related to religion, whereas spirituality focuses on personal meaning, purpose, and a connection to the transcendent.

This study used a quantitative comparative research design and included 60 psychologists (30 males and 30 females) selected through convenience sampling. The participants were professionals from Kerala who were under 50 years of age.

Two standardized tools were used for data collection

- Glock's religiosity measure to assess levels of religiosity
- Delaney's Scale for Spirituality to assess levels of spirituality

The collected data were analyzed using an independent samples t-test to check for significant differences between male and female psychologists.

Objectives of the Study

The study aimed at achieving the following objectives:

- Compare religiosity between male and female psychologists
- Compare spirituality between male and female psychologists

Hypotheses Tested

The following hypotheses were formulated and tested:

- *Hypothesis I:* There will be a significant difference in religiosity between male and female psychologists.
- *Hypothesis II:* There will be a significant difference in spirituality between male and female psychologists.

Major Findings of the Study

Based on the statistical analysis, the following findings were observed:

Religiosity

- The mean scores of male and female psychologists were nearly equal.
- The difference in religiosity was not statistically significant.
- Both groups showed similar levels of religious beliefs and practices.

Spirituality

- Male psychologists had slightly higher spirituality scores than females.
- This difference was more notable compared to religiosity.
- However, the statistical significance was moderate.

Interpretation of Findings

The findings provide valuable insights into the nature of religiosity and spirituality among psychologists.

Similarity in Religiosity

The lack of significant differences in religiosity suggests that:

- Professional training in psychology may encourage a balanced approach to religion.
- Psychologists, regardless of gender, may share similar levels of religious involvement.
- Cultural influences in Kerala may foster similar religious practices across genders.

Variation in Spirituality

The slightly higher spirituality among male psychologists may imply:

- A greater reliance on personal beliefs for coping with professional stress.
- Differences in how males and females express or experience spirituality.
- Individual differences in finding meaning and reflecting on existence.

Role of Profession

Psychologists are trained to understand human behavior objectively. This professional focus may:

- Minimize extreme differences in religiosity.
- Promote openness to different belief systems.
- Encourage reflective thinking, which can influence spirituality.

Implications of the Study

The findings have several important implications:

Theoretical Implications

This study adds to the literature on religiosity and spirituality by focusing on psychologists, a group that has not been well researched.

This highlights the difference between religiosity and spirituality as distinct but related concepts. This suggests that professional identity may shape personal belief systems.

Practical Implications

- Psychologists should be mindful of their religious and spiritual beliefs, as these may impact their clinical work.
- Understanding clients' spiritual and religious backgrounds can lead to better therapeutic outcomes.
- Training programs in psychology should incorporate elements of spirituality and cultural awareness.

Social Implications

This study challenges stereotypes regarding gender differences in religiosity and spirituality. This encourages a broader understanding of belief systems in professional settings.

It highlights the importance of respecting diverse perspectives in society.

Limitations of the Study

Despite its contributions, this study has several limitations:

- *Small sample size:* The study involved only 60 participants, which limits the ability to generalize the findings.
- *Convenience sampling:* Non-random sampling may introduce bias.
- *Geographical limitation:* The study focused only on Kerala, making it less applicable to other areas.
- *Limited variables:* The study only considered religiosity and spirituality, excluding factors such as personality, culture, and experience.
- *Time constraint:* The study was executed within a limited period.

Scope for Future Research

This study opens various avenues for future research:

- Conduct studies with larger and more diverse samples.
- Explore other variables such as personality traits, emotional intelligence, and coping strategies.
- Examine longitudinal changes in religiosity and spirituality.
- Compare psychologists with professionals in other fields.
- Investigate the link between religiosity, spirituality, and mental health outcomes.
- Use mixed-method approaches for deeper insights.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study offers valuable insights into the religiosity and spirituality of male and female psychologists. The findings show that while religiosity does not significantly differ between genders, spirituality exhibits slight variation, with males scoring higher than females.

The study revealed that religiosity and spirituality are complex constructs influenced by personal, cultural, and professional factors. For psychologists, training and exposure can play vital roles in shaping their belief systems, leading to more balanced and reflective viewpoints. Understanding these aspects is crucial for improving psychological practice, fostering cultural sensitivity, and supporting comprehensive mental health approaches. This study also underscores the importance of recognizing and respecting individual differences in belief systems in both personal and professional settings.

REFERENCES

1. Cardwell JD. The Social Context of Religiosity. Washington, DC: University Press of America; 1980.
2. Sunga RA III. On locating the rights of lost. J Marshall L Rev. 2011;45(4):1051–1120.
3. Clark ET. The Psychology of Religious Awakening. New York: Macmillan; 1929.
4. Elkind D. Piaget's semi-clinical interview and the study of spontaneous religion. J Sci Study Relig. 1964;4(1):40–47. doi:10.2307/1385202.
5. Emmons RA, Crumpler CA. Religion and spirituality? The roles of sanctification and the concept of God. Int J Psychol Relig. 1999;9(1):17–24. doi:10.1207/s15327582ijpr0901_3.
6. Field A. Discovering Statistics Using SPSS for Windows. Vol. 2. London: Sage Publications; 2000. p. 44–322.
7. Habes M, Ali S, Pasha SA. Statistical package for social sciences acceptance in quantitative research: from the technology acceptance model's perspective. FWU J Soc Sci. 2021;15(4):34–46.

8. Hill PC, Pargament KI, Hood RW, McCullough JME, Swyers JP, Larson DB, et al. Conceptualizing religion and spirituality: points of commonality, points of departure. *J Theory Soc Behav.* 2000;30(1):51–77. doi:10.1111/1468-5914.00119.
9. Koenig HG. Research on religion, spirituality, and mental health: a review. *Can J Psychiatry.* 2009;54(5):283–291. doi:10.1177/070674370905400502.
10. Oser FK. The development of religious judgment. *New Dir Child Adolesc Dev.* 1991;1991:5–25. doi:10.1002/cd.23219915203.
11. Pargament KI. The psychology of religion and spirituality? Yes and no. *Int J Psychol Relig.* 1999;9(1):3–16. doi:10.1207/s15327582ijpr0901_2.
12. Pearson CH, Schunke MP. Reduction, explanation, and the new science of religion. *Sophia.* 2015;54(1):47–60. doi:10.1007/s11841-014-0438-9.
13. Scobie GE. Types of religious conversion. *J Behav Sci.* 1973;1:265–271.
14. Vieten C, Scammell S, Pilato R, Ammondson I, Pargament KI, Lukoff D. Spiritual and religious competencies for psychologists. *Psychol Relig Spiritual.* 2013;5(3):129–144. doi:10.1037/a0032699.
15. Spilka B. Spirituality: problems and directions in operationalizing a fuzzy concept. Paper presented at: Annual Meeting of the American Psychological Association Annual Conference; 1993 Aug 23; Toronto, Canada. 1993.
16. Shek DTL. Spirituality as a positive youth development construct: a conceptual review. *ScientificWorldJournal.* 2012;2012:458953. doi:10.1100/2012/458953.
17. Zinnbauer BJ, Pargament KI, Cole B, Rye MS, Butter EM, Belavich TG, et al. Religion and spirituality: unfuzzifying the fuzzy. *J Sci Study Relig.* 1997;36:549. doi:10.2307/1387689.
18. Wulff DM. The psychology of religion: an overview. In: Shafranske EP, editor. *Religion and the Clinical Practice of Psychology.* Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 1996. p. 43–70. doi:10.1037/10199-002.
19. Maltby J, Lewis CA, Day L. Religious orientation and psychological well-being: the role of the frequency of personal prayer. *Br J Health Psychol.* 1999;4(4):363–378. doi:10.1348/135910799168704.
20. Aflakseir A. Religiosity, personal meaning, and psychological well-being: a study among Muslim students in England. *Pak J Soc Clin Psychol.* 2012;10(1):27–31.
21. Abdel-Khalek AM. Associations of religiosity and life satisfaction in college students from 16 Arab countries. *Ment Health Relig Cult.* 2024;27(4):373–390. doi:10.1080/13674676.2024.2371495.
22. Wills TA, Yaeger AM, Sandy JM. Buffering effect of religiosity for adolescent substance use. *Psychol Addict Behav.* 2003;17(1):24–31. doi:10.1037/0893-164X.17.1.24.
23. Pinkard TJ, Heflinger CA. Religious activity and pastoral counseling among Protestant youth with SED. *J Child Fam Stud.* 2006;15(3):347–360. doi:10.1007/s10826-006-9024-2.
24. Wong YJ, Rew L, Slaikou KD. A systematic review of recent research on adolescent religiosity/spirituality and mental health. *Issues Ment Health Nurs.* 2006;27(2):161–183. doi:10.1080/01612840500436941.
25. Rew L, Wong YJ, Sternglanz RW. The relationship between prayer, health behaviors, and protective resources in school-age children. *Issues Compr Pediatr Nurs.* 2004;27(4):245–255. doi:10.1080/01460860490884156.
26. Mosher JP, Handal PJ. The relationship between religion and psychological distress in adolescents. *J Psychol Theol.* 1997;25(4):449–457. doi:10.1177/009164719702500405.