STM JOURNALS

Research & Reviews: A Journal of
Microbiology & Virology

https://journals.stmjournals.com/rrjomv/

ISSN: 2230-9853 (Online)
ISSN: 2349-4360 (Print)
Volume 14, Issue 3, 2024
September-December
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/RRJoMV

Review RRJoMV

Bioremediation Strategies to Address the Menace of Oil Spills

Shubhashri Raychaudhuri[1], Shubhi Sharma[2], Indira P. Sarethy[3,*]

Abstract

Bioremediation is an innovative and effective process that leverages the capabilities of microorganisms to address and mitigate contamination in both soil and water environments. This technique is particularly valuable in the context of surface oil spills, where it presents significant advantages over traditional physical and chemical remediation methods. Unlike physical methods, which often involve the use of barriers and skimmers to remove oil from the surface, or chemical methods, which may include dispersants or other chemicals to break up the oil, bioremediation harnesses natural biological processes to degrade pollutants. The core principle of bioremediation involves using microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, and archaea that have the innate ability to degrade complex hydrocarbons found in oil into simpler, less harmful compounds. This microbial breakdown occurs through enzymatic activities, where specific enzymes target and decompose the oil molecules. One of the key advantages of bioremediation is its cost-effectiveness. Traditional methods can be expensive due to the need for specialized equipment and chemicals, whereas bioremediation can utilize inexpensive, readily available resources such as agro-residues. These residues, including agricultural by-products, can serve as nutrient sources that enhance microbial activity and accelerate the degradation process. Marine bacterial consortia play a pivotal role in this process. Among them, oil-degrading bacteria such as Pseudomonas putida are particularly effective in breaking down hydrocarbons. Fungi and archaea also contribute by complementing the bacterial action through their unique metabolic pathways. The use of these microorganisms in conjunction with fertilizers, which provide essential nutrients to support microbial growth, ensures a more robust and efficient bioremediation process. Overall, bioremediation offers a sustainable, environmental friendly solution for addressing the impacts of oil spills. By promoting the natural degradation of pollutants, it minimizes the long-term ecological damage and contributes to the restoration of affected ecosystems. This method not only enhances the effectiveness of response plans but also supports broader environmental conservation efforts.

Keywords: Bioremediation, surface slick, microorganism, Pseudomonas putida, fertilizers

INTRODUCTION

Large amounts of petroleum hydrocarbons can enter the environment through various means such as storage tanks, pipelines, drilling operations, and improper waste disposal practices leading to oil spills. These spills can occur due to accidents during transportation, exploration, production activities, or the use of outdated chemicals. Both terrestrial and marine environments are vulnerable to oil spills, posing risks to human health and ecosystems. Environmental consequences include degradation of recreational areas, depletion of non-renewable resources, contamination of drinking water, fire hazards, explosions, and substantial financial burdens [1–10].

Oil spills have far-reaching and diverse impacts on the economy, ecology, and society, affecting the

***Author for Correspondence**
Indira P. Sarethy
E-mail: indira.sarethy@mail.jiit.ac.in.

[1-2]Student, Department of Biotechnology, Jaypee Institute of Information Technology, Noida, India
[3]Professor, Department of Biotechnology, Jaypee Institute of Information Technology, Noida, India

Received Date: August 01, 2024
Accepted Date: September 01, 2024
Published Date: September 03, 2024

Citation: Shubhashri Raychaudhuri, Shubhi Sharma, Indira P. Sarethy. Bioremediation Strategies to Address the Menace of Oil spills. Research & Reviews: A Journal of Microbiology & Virology. 2024; 14(3): 43–56p.

environment and natural resources extensively in the long term. Prompt and effective response with trained crews and supportive logistics is essential in mitigating the consequences of oil spills. Methods for treating and removing oil spills are extensively studied across different environments. Given that petroleum is primarily transported by sea, oil spills predominantly occur in marine environments and along coastlines. Events like Deepwater Horizon and Exxon Valdez have had immeasurable consequences, affecting human health and wildlife on a large scale [8].

Marine oil spills disrupt oxygen penetration and light diffusion in the ocean's depths, endangering existing marine life with surface oil layers. Such spills threaten wildlife, contaminate seafood, and harm tourism. Heavier oil components sink, forming tarry coatings in sediments, requiring different treatment from surface spills. Direct treatment methods are ineffective for buried oil, as materials may not reach the contaminated seabed layers. Ground oil spills result in pollutants chemically or physically bound to soil or trapped in its matrix [2, 5, 9, 7, 10].

The severity of oil spill issues varies depending on the oil type, with heavy oil spreading slowly in soil and potentially penetrating deeper layers. Rapid response to spills enhances the chances of containment. Soil pollution, measured by total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) content, can reach 20–50 g/kg following a spill, posing risks to both the environment and human health. Gas stations and refineries are hotspots for soil pollution due to continual but small petroleum leaks exposing the soil [11–14].

Various laws, regulations, and directives address the prevention, preparedness, management, and compensation of oil spills. However, given the inevitability of unintentional spills, governments must be equipped to respond effectively. Governments are tasked with creating the National Contingency Plan (NCP), serving as the federal blueprint for responding to oil spills and hazardous material discharge. They also designate a competent national authority with response capabilities [13, 15].

The US Environmental Protection Agency prioritizes oil spill preparedness and prevention. While various methods exist for cleaning up oil spills – ranging from physical to chemical and biological – the focus of this chapter will be on bioremediation, considered the most promising technique [12, 16].

CONVENTIONAL METHODS TO CLEAN UP OIL SPILLS

Physical Approaches

a. *Containment Booms:* These are suspended barriers designed to trap oil and stop it from spreading. Booms can be used to encircle spills and direct them into areas designated for collection and disposal.
b. *Skimmers:* To physically remove the oil from the water surface, skimming machines are employed. They can use absorbent materials to soak up the oil or suck the oil into a collection tank.
c. *Vacuum trucks:* These vehicles are designed to extract a mixture of oil and water from impacted regions, isolating the oil and placing it inside the vessel for appropriate disposal [17–47].
d. *Sorbents:* To absorb and confine the oil, sorbent materials such as synthetic, natural, or specifically treated materials are utilized. To absorb oil, these can be placed on the water surface or near shorelines [48, 49].

Chemical Procedures

Chemicals known as dispersants are sprayed over the oil spilled surface to help it break up into smaller droplets that will more easily disperse into the water column. Although it speeds up the process of natural biodegradation, this may affect marine life.

a. *Emulsifiers:* To combine oil and water and create a stable emulsion, emulsifying agents are utilized. This may increase the persistence of oil in the environment but also make it easier to confine and retrieve.
b. *Bioremediation:* To accelerate the oil's natural biodegradation, certain bacteria or nutrients can be added to the spill site. By using bacteria and other living things, this process converts the oil into innocuous byproducts [50, 51].

WHY BIOREMEDIATION?

- *Natural Process:* To break down oil pollution, bioremediation uses the power of naturally existing microorganisms. These microbes, which include some types of fungus and bacteria, have developed the ability to break down the hydrocarbons present in crude oil. Therefore, compared to chemical techniques, which could release potentially hazardous materials into the environment, bioremediation is thought to be a more sustainable and environmentally benign strategy.
- *Minimal Environmental Disruption:* When opposed to physical techniques like booming and skimming or chemical dispersants, bioremediation usually has less of an effect on the surrounding environment. In contrast to these techniques, which could damage marine life and disturb ecosystems, bioremediation depends on environmental natural processes.
- *Cost-Effectiveness:* Compared to other cleanup techniques, bioremediation is far more economical. Without a lot of labor or expensive machinery, the right microorganisms can proliferate and break down the oil once they are brought to the spill site.
- *Long-Term Effectiveness:* Even after the original cleanup efforts have been completed, bioremediation can carry on for a considerable amount of time. The residual oil residues will be broken down by microorganisms, resulting in a more comprehensive and durable remediation process.
- *Decreased Waste Generation:* Bioremediation can completely break down oil into innocuous byproducts like carbon dioxide and water, as opposed to physical approaches that may produce vast amounts of oily waste materials that need to be disposed off. By doing this, the amount of waste generated during the cleanup process can be greatly decreased [52, 53, 54].

MICROORGANISMS FOR BIOREMEDIATION

Over 200 species of fungi, yeasts, and bacteria are known to degrade petroleum hydrocarbons, naturally occurring in soil, freshwater, and marine environments. Various hydrocarbon compounds, including methane and C_{40} molecules, are biodegradable. Around 79 bacterial species, 9 cyanobacterial taxa, 103 fungi, 14 algae, and 56 yeasts have been identified as capable of degrading hydrocarbon pollutants. Native soil bacteria can break down different petroleum hydrocarbon molecules, with specific populations targeting distinct compounds. Notably, strains of Pseudomonas isolated from aquifers and soil are among those known to degrade polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) [1, 17, 18].

Other microorganisms with the ability to degrade petroleum hydrocarbons are *Yokenella* sp., *Alcaligenes* sp., *Alcanivorax* sp., *Microbulbifer* sp., *Sphingomonas* sp., *Micrococcus* sp., *Cellulomonus* sp., *Dietzia* sp., *Roseomonas* sp., *Stenotrophomonas* sp., *Gordonia* sp., *Acinetobacter* sp., *Corynebacterium* sp., *Flavobacter* sp., *Streptococcus* sp., *Providencia* sp., *Sphingobacterium* sp., *Capnocytophaga* sp., *Bacillus* sp., *Enterobacter* sp., and *Moraxella* sp. [5].

Alcanivorax sp. Bacteria and *Cycloclasticus* sp. Can use aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons, as their carbon source, respectively. By lowering surface tension and boosting the uptake of crude oil, certain bacteria can aid in the production of biosurfactants, which can improve bioremediation. However, the degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons is influenced by various factors, including the form of oil pollutants and the availability of nutrients [2].

Certain fungi are capable of degrading petroleum hydrocarbons, although their degradation process is typically slower. Among the microorganisms with this ability are *Aspergillus sp., Amorphoteca sp., Penicillium sp., Graphium sp., Neosartorya sp., Fusarium sp., Paecilomyces sp., and Talaromyces sp.* Additionally, white rot fungi are known for degrading compounds such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) [19]. It has also been observed that certain yeasts, such as *Candida sp., Pichia sp., and Yarrowia sp.*, can break down the chemicals found in oil pollutants [5]. Certain researchers propose that fungi may be able to break down petroleum more effectively than bacteria under certain conditions. On the other hand, the bioremediation of marine contaminated sites by fungi is a relatively unknown process. Due to their adaptation to the local environment, native microorganisms found at the contaminated site are thought to be a feasible bioremediation technique for petroleum hydrocarbon pollutants. It is possible for microorganisms that possess the enzymatic capacity to degrade pollutants to be lacking, resulting in a very protracted process. Some bacteria, such as *Bacillus subtilis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, have been identified from soil that has been contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons [18].

Microorganism communities undergo selective genetic enrichment and progressive adaptation in response to hydrocarbon pollutants. Following adaptation, there is an increase in the number of bacteria that can break down hydrocarbons and in the bacterial cell plasmids that carry the genes necessary for hydrocarbon catabolism [21, 22]. The population of oil-degrading microorganisms in *Cycloclasticus pugetii* and *Alcanivorax* sp. is increasing [18]. Oil-decomposing microbes are added to the contaminated area when the environment's already-existing microbial population is deemed insufficient or incapable of degrading the pollution.

MECHANISM OF OIL SPILL DEGRADATION

Various models are commonly employed to examine the breakdown process of oil spills. Typically, microbial action initiates the degradation process of petroleum hydrocarbons by forming intermediate compounds, which are then further metabolized by different microbes. In the initial stage of degradation, a hydroxyl group is added to the alkane chain's end. While in PAH, this group can create alcohol when added to the unsaturated ring [17].

The compound undergoes oxidation to form an aldehyde, which is further oxidized to a carboxylic acid, shortening the chain length. Eventually, biomass, CO_2, and H_2O are generated. Oxygenation renders hydrocarbons more polar, water-soluble, biodegradable, and less toxic. In the degradation of aliphatic hydrocarbons such as n-alkanes, alcohols are initially formed, which are then sequentially oxidized and dehydrogenated to produce primary alcohols, aldehydes, and monocarboxylic acids [17].

Following β-oxidation, carboxylic acids release carbon dioxide, yielding fatty acids and acetyl coenzyme. Oxygenation of the hydrocarbon is the rate-limiting step, but once carboxylic acid is formed, it can be rapidly metabolized. Isoprenoid alkanes with branching, such as virgin, undergo oxidation to produce dicarboxylic acids. Methyl branching increases hydrocarbons' resistance to microbial degradation. Aromatic and PAH compounds undergo ring hydroxylation by mono- or dioxygenase enzymes, leading to ring cleavage and subsequent degradation, resulting in diol formation [5].

FACTORS AFFECTING BIOREMEDIATION EFFICIENCY

Environmental Factors

The pH significantly influences PAH and heavy metal bioremediation by affecting microbial activity and enzyme function. Variations in pH alter bacterial communities and impact heavy metal solubility and redox reactions. Certain bacteria can adapt to extreme pH conditions, but others are hindered, potentially limiting remediation effectiveness. Thus, adjusting pH in polluted sites could enhance remediation efforts [31, 32].

Because temperature increases the solubility and bioavailability of PAHs and heavy metals, it has a considerable impact on their bioremediation. Warm temperatures increase metabolism and enzyme activity, which in turn increases microbial activity and speeds up the bioremediation process [55–61]. Furthermore, the adsorption and desorption of contaminants on microorganisms or particles are influenced by temperature, whereas elevated temperatures augment the adsorption intensity and capacity. Because of changes between firmly bound and weakly bound fractions, the coexistence of PAHs may promote heavy metal adsorption. Through diverse mechanisms like ion exchange and complexation, humic and low molecular weight organic acids in soils and groundwater significantly impact migration, transformation, and bioavailability, hence playing a pivotal role in heavy metal and PAH bioremediation [33, 34, 35, 62].

Humic acids possess various functional groups like amino, sulfhydryl, carboxylic, phenolic, and quinine, which can form diverse bonds with heavy metal ions, affected by proton competition and electrostatic interactions. They also absorb polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), competing with soil minerals and enhancing PAH mobility and availability. This leads to accelerated microbial degradation of PAHs. After 40 days, soils treated with low molecular weight organic acids show 54%–75% higher concentrations of extractable PAHs compared to untreated controls [36].

Microbial Activity

The genes of organisms, screening circumstances, and microbe species can all have an impact on microbial activity. Heavy metals and PAHs can affect microbial communities. It also forces the formation of new microbial communities as they adjust to dangerous surroundings. The strains that are filtered out of these contaminated locations typically have a high level of ability to combat heavy metal and PAH pollution. Though they can be employed as exogenous strains in other contaminated environments and strengthened, their fight with native microbes is still remarkable [37].

Furthermore, resistance genes or degradation genes are typically present in the DNA of strains with a high capacity for cleaning up heavy metal pollution and PAHs. These genes can be isolated and recombined using genomic techniques to improve the effectiveness of remediation. For instance, the enzymes that code for ring hydroxylating dioxygenase (RHDase) and 1-hydroxyl-2-naphthoate dioxygenase (1-H2Nase), which are capable of being isolated from Arthrobacte sp. $SA0_2$ possessing a significant phenanthrene-degrading capacity, is crucial in the breakdown of PAH intermediates [38]. The potential for PAH and heavy metal cleanup by incompetent bacteria is enhanced by this genetic technique.

Matrix effect

The matrix effect primarily concerns how PAH and heavy metal concentrations influence their bioavailability. Adding sodium dodecyl benzenesulfonate (SDBS) elevates D9 fatty acid desaturase levels, boosting unsaturated fatty acid content, membrane fluidity, and transmembrane transport. Surfactants, commonly used in PAH and heavy metal remediation, activate genes like RHDase and 1-H2Nase, enhancing microbial cell surface hydrophobicity and intracellular breakdown of pollutants, and facilitating PAH release [39].

In recent years, there has been interest in surfactants, particularly biosurfactants. Studies have demonstrated that biosurfactants produced by *Candida sphaerica* can eliminate 95% of Fe, 90% of Zn, and 79% of Pb [40, 41].

BIOREMEDIATION TECHNIQUES

Biostimulation

Biostimulation, a technique enhancing natural bioremediation, involves adding limited nutrients to contaminated environments to boost the degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons. These nutrients include phosphorus, nitrogen, and carbon, along with some growth-inhibiting cosubstrates. Adjusting factors like temperature and aeration further accelerates the expansion and activity of oil-degrading microbes. This approach, also termed nutrient enrichment or fertilization, enhances microbial metabolic activity by providing electron donors and acceptors. However, moderation is the key to ensure microbial access to nutrients. Manipulating various factors such as nutrients, biosurfactants, and biopolymers optimizes natural biodegradation, defining biostimulation as the orchestration of these parameters [23, 24, 17, 20, 55].

Bioventilation, a technique that introduces oxygen into porous soil to enhance microbial growth and metabolism under aerobic conditions, is utilized to improve aeration. When bioventilation is employed, bioremediation rates increase to 85%, up from 64% under natural attenuation. However, adding nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) to open systems or marine environments presents challenges. To address this, animal waste containing uric acid, such as that from birds, reptiles, and insects, is used as an alternative nutrient source [55].

Uric acid, with its limited solubility in water, can attach to petroleum hydrocarbons and serve as a carbon and/or nitrogen source for bacteria. Interestingly, the introduction of nitrogen did not result in adverse effects like algal blooms. Moreover, the inclusion of fertilizers such as iron, phosphorus, and nitrogen significantly boosted the biodegradation rate, tripling or quadrupling it [17, 18].

Bioaugmentation

This is carried out in situations when the population of hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria is minimal or when it is necessary to break down a specific hydrocarbon that local microbes are unable to break down. Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, for instance, are typically difficult to break down [17]. This method involves supplementing the naturally occurring bacteria in the contaminated environment with microorganisms that have improved biodegradation capabilities. The target site is frequently populated with non-native microorganisms from other contaminated environments [17].

As an alternative, microorganisms from the target site are isolated, mass-cultivated in bioreactors in a lab setting, and then introduced as an inoculum. The term "autochthonous bioaugmentation" describes a technique wherein the native microorganisms of the contaminated site do the bioaugmentation, reapplying it to the site after enrichment. The time it takes for biodegradation to begin can be shortened by introducing microorganisms to the contaminated area [55]. The adaptation issue is avoided when the seeding is carried out by improved native species removed from the target place. The physiology and metabolic capacity of the additional microorganisms are the selection criteria. Bench scale bioaugmentation carried out under carefully monitored circumstances proved successful.

Natural Attenuation

Various physical, chemical, or biological processes that, in the right circumstances, act naturally without human intervention to reduce the mass, toxicity, mobility, volume, or concentration of contaminants in soil or other natural materials are at work in such a remediation approach. Biotransformation, dispersion, dilution, sorption, volatilization, and chemical or biological stabilization, transformation, or elimination of pollutants are some of these in situ processes. Any contaminated site must first be investigated to determine whether natural attenuation might be beneficial. Lighter chain hydrocarbons have been extensively reduced by it, whereas heavier chain hydrocarbons are less vulnerable.

As with any technique, the monitored natural attenuation method has drawbacks as well. Compared to active remediation, site characterization may be more complicated and expensive, and long-term monitoring will typically be required to achieve remediation objectives.

Phytoremediation

One of the key components of the emerging discipline of ecological engineering is phytoremediation, which is the use of green plants to clean and regulate contaminants in water, soil, and air. Site soil and water parameters, nutrient sustainability, meteorological, hydrology, viable ecosystems, and pollutant features control both in situ and ex situ uses. In many applications, phytotoxicity and restrictions on mass transport or bioavailability are crucial. Because they rely on sunlight and in situ nutrient recycling, most applications are low-cost; nonetheless, treatments over larger land areas and longer treatment times are typically restricted to root zones and shallow water. A wide range of pollutants that are often present at low concentrations and not immediately phytotoxic have been successfully treated with wetlands, grasslands, crops, and tree plantings.

Metals and metalloids, certain xenobiotic pollutants, salt leachate, sewage, sludge, and other typical wastes are examples of organic and inorganic waste. Depending on how acute the toxicity is, additional or backup treatment could be required to counteract the unpredictability of biological systems. Nevertheless, only a small number of phytoremediation methods have been sustainably enhanced by using the essential ideas of ecological engineering. Applications of simple plant and microbe ecosystems, as well as monocultures of hybrids and occasionally alien species, are possible but occasionally challenging to implement. We must investigate and apply self-engineering and self-design to the use of sustainable ecosystems for waste management.

Understanding the genetic and proteomic variety required to choose plants and other creatures with the best activities to transform or accumulate pollutants is essential to creating sustainable ecosystems. Most plant proteomes, which comprise over 10,000 proteins across all species, have not been sufficiently investigated to maximize and comprehend the variety of potential uses for phytoremediation. It is also necessary to comprehend the metabolism or breakdown of about 200,000 secondary plant metabolites.

A viable phytoremediation application is likely to be feasible if the structure and activity of a xenobiotic compound resemble those of a secondary metabolite. Certain xenobiotic substances might not have an equivalent in any secondary metabolite, hence genetic engineering might be required to manage these unique wastes sustainably. Plants appear to be the best organisms to introduce:

1. Mammalian genes that might be more active.
2. Microbial genes to increase the mineralization of organic pollutants [56].

SYNERGISTIC APPROACHES AND RECENT ADVANCEMENTS

1. *Synergistic Effects of Microbial Consortia:* Microbial consortia refer to communities of different microorganisms that work together to achieve a common goal, such as degrading pollutants. Synergistic effects occur when these microorganisms enhance each other's capabilities, leading to more efficient degradation processes. For example, one microorganism may produce enzymes that break down a pollutant into simpler compounds, which can then be utilized by another microorganism for further degradation. This cooperative interaction often results in faster and more complete pollutant removal compared to individual microorganisms acting alone.
2. *Genetically Engineered Microorganisms (GEMs):* Scientists can genetically modify microorganisms to enhance their ability to degrade specific pollutants. By introducing genes, encoding enzymes or metabolic pathways from other organisms into target microorganisms, researchers can create GEMs tailored for targeted pollutant degradation. These engineered microorganisms can be more efficient and selective in degrading pollutants, reducing the impact on non-target organisms and ecosystems. However, careful consideration of potential ecological and safety risks is necessary when deploying GEMs in environmental remediation.
3. *Emerging Technologies such as Nano-bioremediation:* Nano-bioremediation involves the use of nanoparticles (NPs) in conjunction with microbial processes to enhance the efficiency of pollutant degradation. NPs can serve as carriers for enzymes or other biomolecules involved in pollutant degradation, protecting them from degradation or inactivation in harsh environmental conditions. Additionally, NPs can facilitate the transport of pollutants to microbial cells or serve as catalysts for pollutant transformation reactions. This integration of nanotechnology with bioremediation strategies holds promise for improving the efficiency and efficacy of pollutant removal from contaminated environments [57, 58, 59].

INTEGRATED METHOD FOR BIOREMEDIATION OF OIL SPILL

As was previously indicated, physicochemical or biological technologies form the basis of the tactics used to clean up oil spills. These techniques could be applied singly or in combination. Providing electron acceptors and donors is a popular strategy that can improve petroleum hydrocarbon bioremediation. This primarily aids in the breakdown of substances that are halogenated. Providing electron acceptors accelerates non-halogenated chemicals' biodegradation. Acetate and hydrogen are common electron acceptors that are supplied either by direct or passive dissolution or via hollow membranes made of fiber. Some of the indirect hydrogen sources like ethanol and other organic substrates including butyric, lactic, and humic acids can be utilized [28].

While effective, this strategy faces challenges such as rapid reagent consumption and migration out of the polluted area, necessitating ongoing and costly reagent supplementation. In bioremediation of oil spills, anaerobic oxidation by active bacteria can be facilitated by an electrical current acting as both an electron donor and acceptor in bioelectrochemical systems (BES). This approach enables real-time monitoring of the degradation process and offers controlled conditions [20, 60].

Regulating the supply of electron donors is crucial to mitigate unwanted side effects. Effective implementation of bioelectrochemical systems (BES) in field applications requires careful consideration of system design, material selection, and radius of influence. While the electron transfer mechanism is well understood, knowledge of microbial processes in BES remains limited. Additionally, environmental factors can significantly influence the activity of pollutant-degrading microorganisms, posing challenges to real-world applications [27, 28, 60].

Understanding the microbial mechanism helps grasp the two simultaneous bioremediation processes: exoelectrogenic bacterial consortia utilizing electrodes and natural attenuation using native electron acceptors. By employing inexhaustible electron donors and acceptors, this technique demands less energy and chemicals, making it a cost-effective remediation approach. Microorganisms catalyze redox reactions on or near electrode surfaces in a system comprising separated anode and cathode matrices [60].

Microorganisms like Pseudomonas produce a chemical component, while electrons generated during organic molecule oxidation are collected by the anode. This anode is then submerged in benthic sediments or contaminated aquifers and coupled with a cathode in the water. Electrons gathered at the anode are transferred to the cathode, where they can reduce oxygen levels in oxygen-deficient water. The BES system efficiently eliminates compounds like alkanes and aromatic hydrocarbons found in oil spills. Reports suggest that biocatalyzing the oxidation of highly concentrated organic molecules is a thermodynamically favorable reaction, producing electricity while reducing pollutants [6, 28].

Benthic microbial electrochemical systems (BES) offer a viable substitute for the traditional remediation procedure in the bioremediation of submerged sediments, as the absence of an electron acceptor poses a significant challenge [6]. The beginning of the deterioration process is the process bottleneck in anaerobic environments, such as benthic habitats. An oxygenase catalyzes the addition of hydroxyl groups in aerobic conditions; this is a less effective mechanism under anaerobic conditions. In these scenarios, the anode not only accepts electrons but also generates oxygen and regulates pH to initiate the process. Salinity, ion species and concentration, pH, temperature, and electrode properties all influence its effectiveness. Oxidized compounds can be reduced by leveraging the cathode's reduction processes. However, BES methods suffer from inefficient mass transfer. Graphite is commonly used as an electron acceptor in BES within subsurface environments [25, 28, 39].

This technique is applicable in a microbial fuel cell, an electrochemical device utilizing exoelectrogenic bacteria to convert chemical energy into electrical energy. Originally designed for wastewater treatment, it has been adapted for the removal of challenging substances like petroleum hydrocarbons. This method enables energy generation while facilitating simultaneous pollutant biodegradation through secondary reactions. In microbial fuel cells, the reduction of oxygen involves transferring electrons produced by exoelectrogenic bacteria from the anode to the cathode via an external circuit [29, 30, 61]. This method eliminates the need for subterranean aeration and uses non-exhaustible electron acceptors. On the cathode, a semiaerobic metabolic pathway is maintained [60]. Electrodes colonized with mixed consortia have been applied in more recent methods due to their improved stability and performance in both degradation and power generation [26].

The durability of the system under various operating situations needs to be studied for the practical implementation of microbial fuel cells. Temperature is beneficial because low temperatures prevent the growth of methanogenic bacteria. Low temperatures, on the other hand, favor electrogenesis, whereas high temperatures enhance the system's thermodynamics, speed up the pace at which substrate is used, and boost mass transfer and activation energy to enhance biokinetics. The system's electrochemical performance is improved, and the electron transfer rate is increased with the application of exogenous redox mediators [30].

The toxicity of the redox mediator, its redox potential relative to the substrate, and the cell membrane's permeability to mediator molecules are key factors influencing the effectiveness of this system. However, before field deployment, various scenarios must be evaluated to confirm scalability and efficacy. An alternative method, the Oil-Spill Snorkel", offers a simpler approach for bioremediating soil and sediment contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons. This technique relies on a conductive electrode called the snorkel, positioned to establish an electrochemical connection as the main component of the device.

The electrode, or snorkel, is a conductive rod that bridges the gap between the aerobic and anaerobic zones. It functions as both the anode and the cathode. However, this approach does not allow for the monitoring or harvesting of electricity. An anode electrode buried in sediments accepts the electrons produced by the oxidation of pollutants. These electrons are moved to the cathode, which has aerobic conditions, via a snorkel. Water is formed there when oxygen is reduced [25, 28].

INTEGRATION WITH CONVENTIONAL CLEANUP METHOD

The combination of bioremediation with physical cleanup methods like dispersants and skimming provides encouraging opportunities for more successful oil spill recovery. By accelerating the breakdown of hydrocarbons and making the removal of pollutants from the environment easier, bioremediation can be used in conjunction with conventional techniques. For example, skimming and bioremediation together can help trap and remove oil from the surface while the oil components that are still in the water, are broken down by microbial activity. Similarly, big oil slicks can be broken up into smaller droplets by using dispersants, which increases the surface area available to microorganisms and speeds up the process of biodegradation [42, 43].

CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES IN INTEGRATING BIOREMEDIATION WITH CONVENTIONAL METHODS

Ensuring compatibility and preventing potentially harmful interactions between various cleanup approaches is one problem in integrating bioremediation with conventional procedures. Dispersants, for instance, have the potential to suppress microbial activity under some circumstances, which could reduce the efficacy of bioremediation. Logistics can also occur, such as figuring out when and how many bioremediation treatments to provide in tandem with actual cleanup activities.

Nonetheless, there are chances for cooperation, particularly when utilizing the complimentary advantages of various strategies. Remedial results that are more thorough and long-lasting can be achieved by combining bioremediation with physical techniques. Integrated solutions provide a comprehensive strategy for reducing the environmental effects of oil spills by addressing both the immediate cleanup of oil and the long-term degradation of toxins [43].

CASE STUDIES AND APPLICATIONS

7400 tonnes of paraffin oil spilled onto the United Kingdom’s coastline in 1907. Following it, there were roughly 140 significant leaks, causing seven million tonnes of oil to be released into the environment at large. But over 90% of oil pollution comes from man-made (not always accidental) sources, like regular ship operations, deballasting, and tank washing, or from natural sources like runoff from land-based sources [27].

In the Amoco Cadiz catastrophe in 1978, 320 km of coastline was covered by 227,000 tonnes of crude oil filling a depth of up to 20 inches [56]. A four-million-gallon tank holding diesel oil broke in 1988, and the oil spilled into the Monongahela River, causing the Ashland oil spill. Thousands of tonnes of oil leaked into the sea after an Alaskan ship slammed into a reef in 1989, causing the Exxon Valdez oil disaster [5]. A surrounding town suffered severe localized ecological harm due to the contamination of the sea and shoreline. Reportedly, about two hundred thousand seabirds were killed in this spill [1, 27].

ENVIRONMENTAL AND REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS:

Although bioremediation is a promising method for cleaning up contaminated locations, there are safety and environmental concerns to be aware of. The following are some important factors to remember.

1. *Introduction of non-native species:* Using non-native microbial species for bioremediation may cause ecological disruption if the organisms multiply uncontrollably or adversely affect native species.
2. *Generation of harmful byproducts:* Hazardous byproducts, like as gases or poisons, can occasionally be produced during bioremediation procedures. If these byproducts are not appropriately controlled, they could endanger human health or the environment.
3. *Impact on ecosystem dynamics:* Through bioremediation, the microbial composition and metabolic activities can be changed. However, this may have unanticipated effects on the dynamics and functioning of ecosystems, such as food webs and the cycling of nutrients [44].

REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS GOVERNING THE USE OF BIOREMEDIATION IN OIL SPILL CLEANUP

To protect the environment and public safety, regulatory agencies are essential in monitoring the use of bioremediation techniques in oil spill cleanup. Among the crucial elements are:

1. *Permitting and approval procedures:* Before using bioremediation techniques to clean up oil spills, regulatory bodies usually require permits or approvals. These procedures entail evaluating the possible dangers and effects on ecosystems and human health.
2. *Monitoring and reporting requirements:* Regulations frequently require the establishment of monitoring programs to track the success of bioremediation initiatives and the long-term effects on the ecosystem. Requirements for reporting guarantee accountability and openness.
2. *Environmental standard compliance:* When it comes to things like waste management, air emissions, and water quality, bioremediation initiatives must go by set environmental standards and norms [45].

FURTHER DIRECTIONS FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT IN BIOREMEDIATION

The field of bioremediation is still developing, with research efforts directed at increasing its efficacy, broadening the range of toxins to which it can be applied, and investigating novel approaches. Important future paths consist of the following:

1. *Microbial engineering and genomics:* Developments in these fields could lead to the creation of specialized microbial strains that are better able to degrade pollutants.
2. *Integration of bioremediation with other technologies:* To address complicated pollution scenarios and increase cleanup efficiency, bioremediation can be combined with complementing technologies like electrokinetics, nanotechnology, or phytoremediation.
3. *Investigation of novel bioremediation techniques:* To address difficult contaminants or settings, research is focused on investigating novel bioremediation techniques, such as bioelectrochemical systems, microbial fuel cells, and bioaugmentation using engineered microbial consortia [46].

ADDRESSING CHALLENGES AND IMPROVING SCALABILITY OF BIOREMEDIATION TECHNIQUES

Bioremediation has potential, but there are issues with scale of usage, efficiency, and practical use. To tackle these obstacles, coordinated research and innovation endeavors are necessary, encompassing:

1. *Optimization of bioprocess parameters:* Microbial activity and pollutant degradation rates can be increased by fine-tuning bioremediation process parameters such as nutrition availability, pH, temperature, and oxygen levels.

2. *Development of cost-effective solutions:* Studies are required to create bioremediation technologies that are suited for large-scale applications and that are both in situ and ex situ, with the ability to adapt to various environmental conditions and toxins.

Gaining a deeper comprehension of microbial ecology and interactions in contaminated environments can aid in the optimization of microbial consortia for increased resilience to environmental changes and degrading efficiency [47].

CONCLUSION

The issue of oil spills is not new; it has existed for more than a century. This issue poses a serious risk to the ecology, wildlife, and plants, whether it is found in soil or water. The eco-friendly and cost-effective method of bioremediation relies on microorganisms' capacity to break down petroleum hydrocarbons. Using local microorganisms, this technique seeks to biostimulate and bioenhance the pollutants' natural attenuation. As opposed to physicochemical techniques (including booms, skimmers, barriers and sorbents, dispersants, and controlled in situ burning), bioremediation is a more efficient process that does not cause any disturbance to the contaminated areas. Novel approaches for bioremediation including the addition of novel materials, using GEMs, and integration of electrochemical strategies with biological methods are new fields of research for bioremediation of oil spills.

REFERENCES

1. Atlas RM. Petroleum biodegradation and oil spill bioremediation. Mar Pollut Bull. 1995;31:178–182.
2. Bovio E, Giorgio G, Prigione V, Spina F, Denaro R, Yakimov M, Calogero R, Crisafi F, Cristina Varese G. The culturable mycobiota of a Mediterranean marine site after an oil spill: isolation, identification and potential application in bioremediation. Sci Total Environ. 2017;576:310–318.
3. Cheng Y, Wang L, Faustorilla V, Megharaj M, Naidu R, Chen Z. Integrated electrochemical treatment systems for facilitating the bioremediation of oil spill contaminated soil. Chemosphere. 2017;175:294–299.
4. Helmy Q, Laksmono R, Kardena E. Bioremediation of aged petroleum oil contaminated soil: from laboratory scale to full-scale application. Proc Chem. 2015;14:326–333.
5. Jain PK, Gupta VK, Gaur RK, Lowry M, Jaroli DP, Chauhan UK. Bioremediation of petroleum oil contaminated soil and water. Acad J Inc. 2011;5(1):1–26.
6. Li H, He W, Qu Y, Li C, Tian Y, Feng Y. Pilot-scale benthic microbial electrochemical system (BMES) for the bioremediation of polluted river sediment. J Power Sources. 2017;356:430–437.
7. Martin CW, Hollis LO, Turner RE. Effects of oil-contaminated sediments on submerged vegetation: an experimental assessment of Ruppia maritima. PLoS One. 2015;10(10):e013879.
8. Marzan LW, Sultana T, Mahbub Hasan M, Akter Mina S, Islam R, Rakibuzzaman AGM, Hassan Khan I. Characterization of furnace oil bioremediation potential of hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria isolated from petroleum contaminated sites of the Sundarbans, Bangladesh. J Genet Eng Biotechnol. 2017;15(1):103–113.
9. Ng YF, Ge L, Chan WK, Tan SN, Hong Yong JW, Tan TTY. An environmentally friendly approach to treat oil spill: investigating the biodegradation of petrodiesel in the presence of different biodiesels. Fuel. 2015;139:523–528.
10. Pontes J, Mucha AP, Santos H, Reis I, Bordalo A, Basto MC, Bernabeu A, Almeida CMR. Potential of bioremediation for buried oil removal in beaches after an oil spill. Mar Pollut Bull. 2013;76(1–2):258–265.
11. Rhykerd RL, Weaver RW, McInnes KJ. Influence of salinity on bioremediation of oil in soil. Environ Pollut. 1995;90:127–130.
12. US EPA, Oil Spills Prevention and Preparedness Regulations. (2013). Available at: https://www.epa.gov/oil-spills-prevention-and-preparedness-regulations. [Accessed on 29 November 2017].

13. Walker AH. Oil spills and risk perceptions. In: Oil spill science and technology. Elsevier. 2017; pp 1–70.
14. Xu J, Kong F, Song S, Cao Q, Huang T, Cui Y. Effect of Fenton pre-oxidation on the mobilization of nutrients and efficient subsequent bioremediation of crude oil-contaminated soil. Chemosphere. 2017;180:1–10.
15. Singh B, Bhattacharya A, Channashettar VA, Jeyaseelan CP, Gupta S, Sarma PM, Mandal AK, Banwari L. Biodegradation of oil spill by petroleum refineries using consortia of novel bacterial strains. B Environ Contam Toxicol. 2012;89(2):257–262.
16. Si-Zhong Y, Hui-Jun JIN, Zhi WEI, Rui-Xia HE, Yan-Jun JI, Xiu-Mei LI, Shao-Peng YU. Bioremediation of oil spills in cold environments: a review. Pedosphere. 2009;19(3):371–381.
17. Jafarinejad S. Oil-spill response. Petroleum waste treatment and pollution control. Elsevier, Oxford. 2017; pp. 117–148.
18. Gonzalez P, Sanchez Y. Bioremediation of oil spills. Escuela Tecnica Superior de ingenieros de Minas. 2011.
19. Baniasadi M, Mousavi SM, Zilouei H, Shojaosadati SA, lRastegar SO. Statistical evaluation and process optimization of bioremediation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon in a bioreactor. Environ Eng Manag J. 2018;17(8):1782–1790.
20. Balba MT, Al-Awadhi N, Al-Daher R. Bioremediation of oil-contaminated soil: microbiological methods for feasibility assessment and field evaluation. J Microbiol Method. 2018;32(2):155–164.
21. Lahel A, Fanta AB, Sergienko N, Shakya M, Estefanía López M, Behera SK, Rene ER, Park HS. Effect of process parameters on the bioremediation of diesel contaminated soil by mixed microbial consortia. Int Biodeter Biodegr. 2016;113:375–385.
22. Szulc A, Ambrożewicz D, Sydow M, Ławniczak Ł, Piotrowska-Cyplik A, Marecik R, Chrzanowski Ł. The influence of bioaugmentation and biosurfactant addition on bioremediation efficiency of diesel-oil contaminated soil: feasibility during field studies. J Environ Manag. 2014;132:121–128.
23. Soleimani M, Farhoudi M, Christensen JH. Chemometric assessment of enhanced bioremediation of oil contaminated soils. J Hazard Mater. 2013;254–255:372–381.
24. Kim S, Krajmalnik-Brown R, Kim JO, Chung J. Remediation of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated sites by DNA diagnosis-based bioslurping technology. Sci Total Environ. 2014;497–498:250–259.
25. Viggi C, Enrica Presta C, Bellagamba M, Kaciulis S, Balijepalli SK, Zanaroli G, Papini MP, Rossetti S, Aulenta F. The 'oil-spill snorkel': an innovative bioelectrochemical approach to accelerate hydrocarbons biodegradation in marine sediments. Front Microbiol. 2015; 6 (September):881.
26. Venkidusamy K, Megharaj M, Marzorati M, Lockington R, Naidu R. Enhanced removal of petroleum hydrocarbons using a bioelectrochemical remediation system with pre-cultured anodes. Sci Total Environ. 2016;539:61–69
27. Mapelli F, Scoma A, Michoud G, Aulenta F, Boon N, Borin S, Kalogerakis N, Daffonchio D. Biotechnologies for marine oil spill cleanup: indissoluble ties with microorganisms. Trends Biotechnology. 2017;35(9):860–870
28. Daghio M, Aulenta F, Vaiopoulou E, Franzetti A, Arends JBA, Sherry A, Suárez-Suárez A, Head IM, Bestetti G, Rabaey K. Electrobioremediation of oil spills. Water Res. 2017;114:351–370
29. Chandrasekhar K, Venkata Mohan S. Bio-electrochemical remediation of real field petroleum sludge as an electron donor with simultaneous power generation facilitates biotransformation of PAH: effect of substrate concentration. Bioresour Technol. 2012;110:517–525
30. Adelaja O, Keshavarz T, Kyazze G. The effect of salinity, redox mediators, and temperature on anaerobic biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons in microbial fuel cells. J Hazard Mater. 2015;283:211–217.
31. (factors)
32. Brito EM, De la Cruz Barrón M, Caretta CA, Goñi-Urriza M, Andrade LH, Cuevas-Rodríguez G, Malm O, Torres JP, Simon M, Guyoneaud R. Impact of hydrocarbons, PCBs, and heavy metals on bacterial communities in Lerma River, Salamanca, Mexico: investigation of hydrocarbon degradation potential. Sci of Totl Environ. 2015;521:1–10.

33. Bamforth SM, Singleton I. Bioremediation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: current knowledge and future directions. J. Chem. Technol. Biot. 2005;80:723–736.
34. Bandowe BAM, Bigalke M, Boamah L, Nyarko E, Saalia FK, Wilcke W. Polycyclic aromatic compounds (PAHs and oxygenated PAHs) and trace metals in fish species from Ghana (West Africa): bioaccumulation and health risk assessment. Environ. Int. 2014;65:135–146.
35. Liang C, Das K, McClendon R. The influence of temperature and moisture contents regimes on the aerobic microbial activity of a biosolids composting blend. Bioresour. Technol. 2003;86:131–137.
36. Chung M, Tsui MT, Cheung K, Tam NF, Wong M. Removal of aqueous phenanthrene by brown seaweed Sargassum hemiphyllum: sorption-kinetic and equilibrium studies. Sep. Purif. Technol. 2007;54:355–362.
37. Wang J, Chen C. Biosorbents for heavy metals removal and their future. Biotechnol. Adv. 2009;27:195–226.
38. Momose Y, Hirayama K, Itoh K. Competition for proline between indigenous Escherichia coli and E. coli O157:H7 in gnotobiotic mice associated with infant intestinal microbiota and its contribution to the colonization resistance against E. coli O157:H7. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 2008;94:165–171.
39. Van de Kreeke J, de la Calle B, Held A, Bercaru O, Ricci M, Shegunova P, Taylor P. IMEP-23: the eight EU-WFD priority PAHs in water in the presence of humic acid. TrAC. Trends Anal. Chem. 2010;29:928–937.
40. Li F, Zhu L, Wang L, Zhan Y. Gene expression of an arthrobacter in surfactant-enhanced biodegradation of a hydrophobic organic compound. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2015;49:3698–3704.
41. Luna JM, Rufino RD, Sarubbo LA. Biosurfactant from Candida sphaerica UCP0995 exhibiting heavy metal remediation properties. Process Saf. Environ. Prot. 2016;102:558–566.
42. Hu XJ, Wang, JS, Liu, YG, Li X, Zeng GM, Bao ZL, Zeng XX, Chen AW. Adsorption of chromium (VI) by ethylenediamine-modified cross-linked magnetic chitosan resin: isotherms, kinetics and thermodynamics. J. Hazard. Mater. 2011;185:306–314.
43. Mulligan CN, Yong RN, Gibbs BF, James S, Bennett H. Metal removal from contaminated soil and sediments by the biosurfactant surfactin. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1999;33:3812–3820.
44. Prince R C, Bhaskar I M, Pant A. Bioremediation of Oil Spills: A Review of Challenges and Methods. J Bioremed Biodegrad. 2018;9(2):1–9. doi:10.4172/2155-6199.1000456
45. Haritash AK, Kaushik CP. Biodegradation aspects of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs): A review. J Hazard Mat. 2009;169(1–3):1–15.
46. Lee K, Nedwed T. Bioremediation: Regulation and oversight. In Handbook of Hydrocarbon and Lipid Microbiology. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. 2012;3581–3591.
47. Pacwa-Płociniczak M, et al. Bioremediation as a future technology for the removal of pesticides from the environment: A review. Chemosphere. 2020;261:128099.
48. Azubuike CC et al. Bioremediation techniques–classification based on site of application: principles, advantages, limitations, and prospects. Wld JMicrobio Biotech. 2016; 32(11), 180.
49. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). "Oil Spill Response: A Student's Guide to Global Climate Change."
50. United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). "Oil Spill Response Methods."
51. United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). "Chemical Methods for Oil Spill Cleanup: A Review of Oil Spill Response Technologies."
52. National Research Council. "Oil Spill Dispersants: Efficacy and Effects."
53. National Research Council. "Oil in the Sea III: Inputs, Fates, and Effects." National Academies Press, 2003.
54. US Department of the Interior. "Bioremediation: Nature's Way to a Cleaner Environment."
55. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). "Bioremediation: A Tool for Oil Spill Response."
56. Lim MW, Von Lau E, Poh PE. A comprehensive guide of remediation technologies for oil contaminated soil – present works and future directions. Mar Pollut Bull. 2016;109(1):14–45
57. McCutcheon SC, Jørgensen SE, Phytoremediation, Editor(s): Sven Erik Jørgensen, Brian D. Fath, Encyclop of Ecol, Academic Press. 2008; 2751-2766. ISBN 9780080454054

58. Varjani, Sunita J, Brahmbhatt B. Microbial consortia for enhanced biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons. Environmental Sustainability. 2018;1(4): 307-348.
59. Kumar R et al. Genetically modified microorganisms for environmental bioremediation: challenges and future perspectives. Environ Sci Poll Res. 2018; 25(11) : 10184-10200.
60. Zhang W et al. Nanobioremediation: strategies for combined microbial and nanoparticle-based remediation of environmental contaminants. Clean Water. 2019; 2(1): 1-13.
61. Lu L, Huggins T, Jin S, Zuo Y, Ren ZJ. Microbial metabolism and community structure in response to bio-electrochemically enhanced remediation of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated soil. Environ Sci Technol. 2014; 48(7):4021–4029
62. Wang X, Cai Z, Zhou Q, Zhang Z, Chen C. Bioelectrochemical stimulation of petroleum hydrocarbon degradation in saline soil using U-tube microbial fuel cells. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2012; 109(2):426–433
63. Zhang W, Zheng J, Zheng P, Tsang DC., Qiu R. The roles of humic substances in the interactions of phenanthrene and heavy metals on the bentonite surface. J. Soils Sed. 2015;15; 1463–1472.