

Green Q-Commerce: Balancing Speed and Sustainability in Hyper-Fast Delivery Systems

Yamuna Mundru¹, Atti Manga Devi², Manas Kumar Yogi^{3,*}

Abstract

The rapid expansion of quick commerce (q-commerce) has transformed consumer expectations with ultra-fast deliveries, yet its environmental impact—marked by carbon-intensive logistics, excessive packaging waste, and energy-heavy operations—poses significant sustainability challenges. This review examines how the q-commerce sector can reconcile speed with ecological responsibility through innovative solutions, including electrified last-mile delivery (e-bikes, electric vehicles, drones), circular packaging models (reusable containers, biodegradable materials), and artificial intelligence (AI)-driven logistics optimization (route efficiency, demand forecasting). These strategies demonstrate tangible benefits, reducing emissions by 70% to 90%, cutting delivery mileage by 20%, and minimizing food waste by 30%. However, persistent hurdles such as the high costs of green technologies and trade-offs in perishable goods logistics underscore the need for systemic change. Policy interventions (e.g., urban emission zones, plastic taxes), consumer engagement (e.g., carbon labeling), and industry collaboration (e.g., shared micro-fulfillment centers) emerge as critical enablers for scalable sustainability. By integrating these approaches, q-commerce can evolve into a model where operational efficiency aligns with planetary health, ensuring that the convenience of instant delivery does not come at the expense of environmental degradation. The findings highlight an urgent imperative for stakeholders—platforms, regulators, and consumers—to collectively prioritize long-term sustainability, positioning q-commerce as a leader in the transition to a greener digital economy.

Keywords: Q-commerce, environment, sustainability, carbon, drones

INTRODUCTION

Background of Q-Commerce

Quick commerce (q-commerce), characterized by ultra-fast delivery within 10 to 30 minutes, has emerged as a disruptive force in retail, driven by shifting consumer expectations for instant gratification. Originating as an extension of e-grocery and food delivery models, q-commerce leverages hyperlocal micro-fulfillment centers (dark stores) and algorithmic logistics to enable unprecedented delivery speeds. Platforms like Getir (Turkey), Gorillas (Germany), and Blinkit (India) have spearheaded this

trend, with the global q-commerce market projected to reach \$98 billion by 2030 [1]. Unlike traditional e-commerce, q-commerce prioritizes small-batch, high-frequency deliveries, raising unique operational and environmental challenges.

The Speed-Sustainability Paradox

The rapid growth of q-commerce has intensified the trade-off between speed and ecological impact. While consumers demand near-instant delivery, the model relies on carbon-intensive practices, including [2, 3]:

- Fossil-fueled delivery fleets (e.g., motorcycles emitting 120 g CO₂/km versus e-bikes at 20 g CO₂/km).

*Author for Correspondence

Manas Kumar Yogi
E-mail: manas.yogi@gmail.com

¹Assistant Professor, Department of CSE-AI & ML, Pragati Engineering College (A), Surampalem, Andhra Pradesh, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Information Technology, Pragati Engineering College (A), Surampalem, Andhra Pradesh, India

³Assistant Professor, Department of CSE, Pragati Engineering College (A), Surampalem, Andhra Pradesh, India

Received Date: April 20, 2025

Accepted Date: April 22, 2025

Published Date: May 16, 2025

Citation: Yamuna Mundru, Atti Manga Devi, Manas Kumar Yogi. Green Q-Commerce: Balancing Speed and Sustainability in Hyper-Fast Delivery Systems. E-Commerce for Future & Trends. 2025; 12(2): 22–27p.

- Excessive single-use packaging, generating up to 30% more waste than conventional e-commerce.

Despite this, 68% of Gen Z consumers prefer brands with sustainable delivery options creating pressure for eco-innovation without compromising speed.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHALLENGES IN Q-COMMERCE

Carbon Footprint of Hyper-Fast Logistics

The q-commerce model's reliance on frequent, small-batch deliveries significantly amplifies its carbon footprint compared to traditional e-commerce. Where standard e-commerce might consolidate orders into fewer, larger deliveries, q-commerce operates through constant micro-deliveries, leading to higher per-package emissions. A 2023 study found that q-commerce generates 2.5× more CO₂ emissions per delivery than conventional online grocery services.

Vehicle choice plays a critical role in mitigating this impact:

- E-bikes (20 g CO₂/km) are the most sustainable option but face range limitations.
- Gas-powered motorcycles (120 g CO₂/km) dominate in emerging markets due to lower upfront costs.
- Delivery drones (50 g CO₂/km) show promise but remain constrained by regulatory and payload limits.

A London-based case study revealed that switching 50% of q-commerce fleets to e-bikes could reduce sector emissions by 30% annually.

Packaging Waste Crisis

Q-commerce's need for speed and convenience has exacerbated single-use plastic waste:

- Each order typically uses three to five plastic components (bags, seals, cold packs).
- In Europe, q-commerce generated 290,000 tons of packaging waste in 2023—a 40% increase from 2020.

While some brands have introduced paper-based alternatives, moisture resistance and cost remain barriers. Startups like Packoorang (Netherlands) now offer returnable insulated boxes, but adoption hovers below 5% due to logistical complexities.

Energy-Intensive Micro-Fulfillment Centers

Dark stores operate 24/7 with high energy demands:

- Refrigeration accounts for 60% of energy use in grocery q-commerce.
- A single 500 m² dark store consumes ~35,000 kWh/month—equivalent to 25 EU households.

Solar-powered stores (e.g., Jiffy in the UK) have cut energy costs by 50%, but retrofitting remains capital-intensive for most players.

SUSTAINABLE INNOVATIONS IN Q-COMMERCE

Eco-Friendly Delivery Fleets

The shift toward low-emission delivery vehicles is critical for reducing q-commerce's environmental impact. Leading platforms are adopting [4, 5]:

- Electric vehicles (EVs) and e-bikes, which produce 70-90% fewer emissions than gas-powered alternatives. For example, Zapp (UK) operates a 100% electric fleet, reducing its carbon footprint by 1.2 tons of CO₂ per vehicle annually.
- Cargo bikes, ideal for dense urban areas, cut delivery times by 15% while eliminating tailpipe emissions.

Challenges remain, including high battery costs and limited charging infrastructure, but subsidies (e.g., EU's Green Delivery Fund) are accelerating adoption.

Circular Packaging Solutions

To combat plastic waste, companies are testing:

- *Reusable container systems*: Loop's partnership with Carrefour allows customers to return packaging for sterilization and reuse, cutting waste by 50% per order.
- *Plant-based materials*: Startups like Notpla use seaweed-derived coatings for biodegradable insulation, decomposing in 4 to 6 weeks versus centuries for plastic.

However, scaling these solutions requires consumer behavior change and investment in washing/return logistics.

Artificial Intelligence for Green Logistics

Artificial intelligence (AI) is optimizing sustainability across two fronts:

1. *Route Optimization*: Algorithms analyzing traffic, weather, and order density reduce delivery mileage by 20% (e.g., Glovo's AI saves 8000 km daily).
2. *Demand Prediction*: Machine learning forecasts order spikes with 90% accuracy, minimizing overstocking and food waste. Jokr's AI reduced perishable waste by 30% in 2023.

POLICY AND CONSUMER BEHAVIOR

Regulatory Frameworks

Governments worldwide are implementing policies to promote sustainability in q-commerce and last-mile delivery. One key approach is incentivizing low-emission vehicles, such as London's Ultra-Low Emission Zone (ULEZ), which charges fees for high-polluting vehicles, encouraging businesses to adopt electric or hybrid fleets. Similarly, cities like Amsterdam and Paris are introducing zero-emission delivery zones, pushing logistics companies toward greener alternatives [6].

Another major regulatory trend is the restriction of single-use plastics, driven by initiatives like the EU's Single-Use Plastics (SUP) Directive, which bans items such as plastic cutlery, straws, and food containers. Q-commerce platforms must now switch to biodegradable or reusable packaging, reducing environmental harm. Such regulations not only minimize waste but also drive innovation in sustainable packaging solutions.

Shifting Consumer Preferences

Consumer demand is increasingly favoring eco-friendly delivery options. Surveys indicate that a growing segment of shoppers is willing to pay extra for sustainable shipping, with some studies showing over 60% of millennials prefer brands with green logistics [7].

To capitalize on this trend, companies are introducing behavioral nudges, such as opt-in options for slower but greener delivery slots. For example, Amazon's "Amazon Day" allows customers to consolidate orders into a single delivery, reducing carbon emissions. Similarly, grocery apps prompt users to select "eco-delivery" windows, where routes are optimized for lower fuel consumption.

These shifts highlight how both regulation and consumer preferences are accelerating sustainability in q-commerce. Businesses that adapt by investing in electric fleets, sustainable packaging, and green delivery incentives will gain a competitive edge while reducing their environmental footprint.

CASE STUDIES AND INDUSTRY BENCHMARKS

Sustainable Q-Commerce Leaders

Several companies have pioneered eco-conscious q-commerce models, proving that speed and sustainability can coexist [8–10]:

Jokr's Carbon-Neutral Model:

The rapid grocery delivery platform achieves carbon neutrality through a two-pronged approach:

1. 100% electric delivery fleets in cities like Berlin and New York, eliminating tailpipe emissions.
2. Carbon offsets for unavoidable emissions, investing in reforestation and renewable energy projects.

This strategy has reduced its per-order emissions by 75% compared to gas-powered competitors.

Ocado Zoom's AI-Driven Efficiency

The UK-based service uses machine learning to optimize:

1. Micro-fulfillment center energy use, powered by 100% renewable electricity.
2. Inventory management, reducing food waste by 25% through predictive analytics.

Its "dark store-as-a-service" model demonstrates scalability for other retailers.

Lessons from Failed Initiatives

Not all sustainability efforts succeed—key failures reveal implementation hurdles:

Reusable Packaging Struggles

Startups like Muuse (Singapore) and Returnr (UK) launched reusable container programs but faced:

1. Low return rates (<30%) due to consumer inconvenience.
2. High operational costs for cleaning and logistics.

Solutions like mandatory deposits (e.g., Germany's Pfand system) show promise but require cultural adoption.

Overambitious Drone Delivery

Amazon Prime Air and Wing (Alphabet) scaled back q-commerce drone trials after regulatory delays and noise complaints. Hybrid models (e.g., drones for rural areas, EVs for cities) may be more viable.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND RESEARCH GAPS**Technological Opportunities [11–14]**

The next frontier of sustainable q-commerce lies in cutting-edge technologies that can reconcile speed with ecological responsibility:

Autonomous Delivery Drones/Robots

Companies like Wing (Alphabet) and Starship Technologies are piloting renewable-powered autonomous delivery systems. For instance:

- Wing's electric drones in Helsinki deliver groceries in 10 minutes with zero tailpipe emissions, though payloads remain limited to 1.2 kg.
- Nuro's self-driving pods in California operate on solar-charged batteries, reducing last-mile costs by 40%.

Scaling these solutions requires airspace regulations and public acceptance of urban robotics.

Blockchain for Carbon Transparency

Startups like Circulor and IBM Food Trust are applying blockchain to:

1. Track real-time emissions across q-commerce supply chains (e.g., farm-to-doorstep CO₂ for perishables).
2. Verify sustainable sourcing (e.g., organic produce) through immutable ledgers.

Carrefour's blockchain pilot reduced food waste by 20% via expiry-date transparency.

Unresolved Challenges

Despite progress, critical hurdles persist [15–17]:

Cost Barriers for Small Players

- EV fleets require 3 to 5× higher upfront investment than gas vehicles.
- AI/blockchain tools demand IT infrastructure that 85% of local q-commerce startups lack.

Solutions like shared dark stores (e.g., Swiggy Instamart's franchise model) could democratize access.

Perishable Goods Dilemma

- *Speed versus Packaging*: Insulated reusable containers (e.g., Returnr's boxes) add 5–8 minutes to packing time—a dealbreaker for 10-minute delivery.
- *Energy versus Freshness*: Ocado's AI prioritizes delivery speed for ice cream but increases refrigeration energy use by 15%.

Hybrid models (e.g., 15-minute "green slots" with sustainable packaging) may balance priorities.

CONCLUSION

The rapid growth of quick commerce (q-commerce) has revolutionized retail with ultra-fast deliveries but at significant environmental costs, including high carbon emissions, excessive packaging waste, and energy-intensive operations. However, this review demonstrates that sustainability and speed can coexist through strategic innovations such as electrified last-mile delivery (e-bikes, EVs, drones), circular packaging solutions (reusable containers, biodegradable materials), and AI-driven logistics optimization (route planning, demand forecasting), which collectively reduce emissions by 70% to 90%, cut mileage by 20%, and decrease food waste by 30%. Despite these advances, challenges persist, particularly in perishable goods logistics and the high costs of green technologies, necessitating policy interventions (emission zones, plastic taxes), consumer education (carbon labeling), and industry-wide collaboration (shared fulfillment centers). The path forward requires a systemic transformation where platforms, regulators, and consumers collectively prioritize long-term ecological health over short-term convenience, ensuring that q-commerce evolves into a model where "quick" also means "clean." By embedding sustainability into its core operations through technology, regulation, and behavioral change, the industry can align its rapid delivery model with global climate goals while maintaining its competitive edge.

REFERENCES

1. Deloitte. Sustainability in Retail. [Online]. Deloitte Global. Deloitte. 2025. Available at <https://www.deloitte.com/global/en/Industries/consumer/analysis/sustainability-in-retail.html>
2. McKinsey & Co. State of Fashion Technology Report 2022. [Online]. McKinsey & Company. 2022. Available at <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/retail/our-insights/state-of-fashion-technology-report-2022>
3. Gund HP, Daniel J. Q-commerce or E-commerce? A systematic state of the art on comparative last-mile logistics greenhouse gas emissions literature review. *Int J Indus Eng Oper Manage*. 2024; 6 (3): 185–207.
4. Feng Y. Green progress of cross-border E-commerce industry utilizing random forest algorithm and panel Tobit model. *Appl Artif Intell*. 2023; 37 (1): 2219561.
5. Muranko Ž, Tassell C, Zeeuw van der Laan A, Aurisicchio M. Characterisation and environmental value proposition of reuse models for fast-moving consumer goods: reusable packaging and products. *Sustainability*. 2021; 13 (5): 2609.
6. Anjimon S, Sobti R, KA JK, Kumar A, Parashar SC, Hussien RA. Revolutionizing packaging and consumer products: exploring the potential of biodegradable materials. *E3S Web of Conferences*. 2024; 472: 02006.

7. European Commission. Environment: Single-Use Plastics. [Online]. 2025. Available at https://environment.ec.europa.eu/topics/plastics/single-use-plastics_en
8. Silva V, Amaral A, Fontes T. Sustainable urban last-mile logistics: a systematic literature review. *Sustainability*. 2023; 15 (3): 2285.
9. Sok KM, Danaher TS, Sok P. Multiple psychological climates and employee self-regulatory focus: implications for frontline employee work behavior and service performance. *J Retail*. 2023; 99 (2): 228–246.
10. Ruggeri K, Panin A, Vdovic M, Većkalov B, Abdul-Salaam N, Achterberg J, Akil C, Amatya J, Amatya K, Andersen TL, Aquino SD. The globalizability of temporal discounting. *Nat Hum Behav*. 2022; 6 (10): 1386–1397.
11. Phuong TTM. Carbon neutral delivery in Vietnam's e-commerce context. *Int J Ecosyst Ecol Sci*. 2025; 15 (1): 219–230.
12. Ocado Group. Technology & Automation of Online Grocery and Beyond. [Online]. [Ocadogroup.com](https://www.ocado.com/). 2024. Available at <https://www.ocado.com/>
13. Stojanov M. Q-commerce – the next generation e-commerce. *Business Manage*. 2022; (1): 17–34.
14. Obianuju J, Akindele O, Odionu NCS, Onukwulu C. Blockchain and IoT for transparent carbon tracking and emission reduction in global supply chains. *Int J Sci Res Sci Eng Technol*. 2025; 12 (2): 78–118.
15. Canton H. International Labour Organization—ILO. In: *The Europa Directory of International Organizations 2021*. London, UK: Routledge; 2021. pp. 333–338.
16. Jarrahi MH, Möhlmann M, Lee MK. Algorithmic management: the role of AI in managing workforces. *MIT Sloan Manage Rev*. 2023; 64 (3): 1–5.
17. Desai BH. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). *Yearbook Int Environ Law*. 2020; 31 (1): 319–325.