

Flame-Retardant Polymeric Materials: Recent Advances and Future Directions

Pardeep Kumar^{1,*}, Dinesh Kumar², Sameer Sharma³, Kapil Kumar⁴

Abstract

This review explores essential flame-retardant polymers that play a vital role in various industries such as electrical & electronics, automobile, manufacturing, and firefighting. This review also highlights the latest progress in the design and synthesis of flame-retardant polymers, highlighting novel approaches such as the incorporation of nanomaterials, bio-based flame retardants, and the use of intumescent systems. Recent advancements include high-throughput screening, computational design, bio-based and sustainable flame retardants, self-healing materials, plasma and laser treatments, layer-by-layer deposition, nanocomposite coatings, and synergistic multi-component systems. These improvements balance fire resistance, mechanical strength, thermal stability, and processability. However, fabricating self-healing and intumescent materials and dispersing nanocomposites remains challenging. Traditional and sophisticated fire test methods reveal fire performance, thermal stability, and structural changes. Additionally, the challenges and limitations associated with traditional flame retardants, including toxicity and environmental impact, are discussed. Future research should balance flame retardancy, mechanical strength, processability, and affordability while focusing on life cycle evaluations, recycling, and eco-friendly disposal.

Keywords: Flame retardancy, polymers, fire resistance, environmental impact, sustainable materials, advanced technologies, characterization, testing, artificial intelligence, future directions.

INTRODUCTION

Flame-retardant polymers [1] are essential in various industries, including consumer electronics, transportation, construction, and firefighting. Synthetic polymers, and halogenated chemicals [2] like bromine and chlorine reduced flammability were in use in the mid-20th century. However, concerns about environmental and health effects led to the development of phosphorus-based flame retardants [3, 4, 5] which are environmentally friendly and have minimal environmental impact. Alumina, silica, and layered clays are added to inorganic fillers and nanocomposites to increase flame resistance [6]. Developing fire-resistant polymers remains challenging due to balancing flame retardancy, mechanical

*Author for Correspondence

Pardeep Kumar

^{1,2,3}Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, MMEC, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), Mullana, Ambala, Haryana, India

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Dewan V S IJET, Meerut, U.P., India

Received Date: March 21, 2024

Accepted Date: July 01, 2024

Published Date: July 22, 2024

Citation: Pardeep Kumar, Dinesh Kumar, Sameer Sharma, Kapil Kumar. Flame-Retardant Polymeric Materials: Recent Advances and Future Directions. Journal of Polymer & Composites. 2024; 12(Special Issue 5): S125–S132.

strength, thermal stability, and processability [7]. Additionally, standard flame-retardant chemicals [8] emit hazardous by products, raising concerns about sustainability. Researchers are exploring renewable flame-retardants [9,10] to meet the global need for eco-friendly materials. Innovative technologies like reactive flame retardants and nanotechnology may promote flame-retardant polymer development.

CLASSIFICATION

Flame retardants are chemicals used to prevent dangerous chemicals from burning [11]. They include HFRs, BFRs, CFRs, PFRs, organophosphates, red phosphorus, melamine

polyphosphates, nitrogen-based flame retardants, and guanidine. HFRs scavenge free radicals in flames, causing char formation, but may bioaccumulate organic pollutants and harm the endocrine system [12]. Organophosphates and phosphorus increase char formation [13], while nitrogen-based flame retardants dilute gases and release flame-scavenging free radicals [14].

Guanidines emit free radicals that scavenge flame radicals and generate char [15]. Metal hydroxides, oxides, nanocomposite-based flame retardants, and metal oxide nanoparticles also have fire-retardant properties [16, 17]. Further investigation is needed to address health, environmental, and dispersion issues [18]. Phosphorus-based flame retardants, nitrogen-based flame retardants, inorganic flame retardants, nanocomposite-based flame retardants, nanolay and layered double hydroxides, carbon nanotubes and graphene, and metal oxides and nanoparticles are some of the flame retardant types. Flame-retardant additives and characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Overview of flame-retardant additives and their properties.

Class	Subclass	Examples	Mechanism	Advantages	Disadvantages
Halogenated Flame Retardants (HFRs) [19, 20]	Brominated Flame Retardants (BFRs) [21]	Decabromodiphenyl ether (PBDE-10), Octabromodiphenyl ether (PBDE-85)	Release HBr radicals to scavenge free radicals in the flame, promote char formation	High effectiveness, cost-effective	Persistent organic pollutants (POPs), bioaccumulate, disrupt endocrine system, banned or restricted in many countries
	Chlorinated Flame Retardants (CFRs) [22]	Tris (2-chloroethyl) phosphate (TCEP), Pentachlorophenol (PCP)	Release HCl radicals to scavenge free radicals in the flame, promote char formation	High effectiveness, low cost	Persistent organic pollutants (POPs), bioaccumulate, toxic, some restricted or banned
Phosphorus-based Flame Retardants [23]	Organophosphates [24]	Triphenyl phosphate (TPP), Tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate (TCEP)	Promote char formation by dehydration and cross-linking, act as radical scavengers	Good smoke suppression, self-extinguishing, readily available	Some are toxic, can promote dripping, can reduce mechanical properties
	Red Phosphorus [25]	Red phosphorus	Acts as a heat sink, dilutes combustible gases, promotes char formation	Cost-effective, environmentally friendly	High loading required, reduces mechanical properties
	Melamine Polyphosphates (MPP) [26]	Ammonium polyphosphate (APP)	Decomposes to release ammonia and phosphoric acid, which dilute combustible gases and promote char formation	Environmentally friendly, good smoke suppression, self-extinguishing	Can be corrosive, reduce mechanical properties
Nitrogen-based Flame Retardants [14]	Melamine & Derivatives [27]	Melamine, melamine cyanurate	Dilute combustible gases, release free radicals that scavenge flame radicals	Environmentally friendly, good thermal stability	Limited effectiveness, can promote corrosion
	Guanidines [28]	Melamine dialkylguanidine (MDAG)	Release free radicals that scavenge flame radicals, promote char formation	Good smoke suppression, self-extinguishing	Limited effectiveness, can be expensive

Class	Subclass	Examples	Mechanism	Advantages	Disadvantages
Inorganic Flame Retardants [29]	Metal Hydroxides [30]	Magnesium hydroxide (Mg(OH) ₂), Aluminum hydroxide (Al(OH) ₃)	Endothermic decomposition absorbs heat, releases water vapor to dilute combustible gases	Non-toxic, good charring effect	High loading required, reduces mechanical properties
	Metal Oxides [31]	Zinc oxide (ZnO), Antimony trioxide (Sb ₂ O ₃)	Promote char formation, scavenge free radicals	Good smoke suppression, self-extinguishing	Toxicity concerns, antimony compounds restricted in some applications
Nanocomposite-based Flame Retardants [33]	Nanoclays & LDHs [32]	Montmorillonite, Hydrotalcite	Act as physical barriers, promote char formation, scavenge free radicals	Reduced loading compared to bulk additives	Potential health and environmental risks, dispersion challenges
	Carbon Nanotubes (CNTs) & Graphene [34]	Single-walled CNTs (SWCNTs), Multi-walled CNTs (MWCNTs), Graphene oxide (GO)	Enhance char formation, improve thermal conductivity	High cost, potential health risks, dispersion challenges	Research needed for safety and regulatory clarity
	Metal Oxide Nanoparticles [35]	Zinc oxide (ZnO), Aluminum oxide (Al ₂ O ₃)	Similar to bulk metal oxides, with improved dispersion	Improved effectiveness compared to bulk additives	Potential health and environmental risks, dispersion challenges

RECENT ADVANCES IN FLAME-RETARDANT POLYMER TECHNOLOGIES

Flame retardant (FR) technology advancements include high-throughput screening and computational design, bio-based and sustainable FRs, self-healing and intumescent materials, plasma and laser treatments, layer-by-layer deposition, nanocomposite coatings, synergistic effects of multi-component systems, composite structure design and optimization, and understanding interfacial interactions and fire performance [36]. Sustainable FRs made from natural components like lignin, cellulose, or proteins are eco-friendly, biodegradable, and renewable. Self-healing and intumescent materials are expensive, difficult to fabricate, and restricted in use. Layer-by-layer deposition creates multilayer coatings with alternating polymer and flame-retardant layers, while nanocomposite coatings use nanoparticles for flame retardancy but face issues like dispersion, cost, and health hazards. Multi-component systems integrate flame-retardant processes in one material but face challenges like complicated component interactions and incompatibility. Table 2 discusses the advancements in flame-retardant polymer development, outlining their descriptions, advantages, and challenges.

Table 2. Advanced flame-retardant technologies.

Technology	Description	Advantages	Challenges	Examples
High-throughput screening and computational design [37]	Identifying promising flame-retardant candidates through accelerated testing and virtual simulations.	Reduced development time, targeted design, prediction of flame retardancy and other properties.	High computational cost, accuracy of simulations.	In silico design of phosphorus-based FRs, machine learning for predicting flame retardancy of nanocomposites.
Bio-based and sustainable FRs [38]	Utilizing natural materials like lignin, cellulose, or proteins as flame retardants.	Environmentally friendly, potentially biodegradable, renewable source.	Lower flame retardancy compared to some traditional FRs, potential incompatibility with existing polymers.	Lignin-based epoxy composites, cellulose nanofibers for textile flame retardancy.
Self-healing and intumescent	Polymeric materials that repair damage	Enhanced fire resistance, extended	Complex design and synthesis, limited	Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) with encapsulated

Technology	Description	Advantages	Challenges	Examples
materials [39]	and form protective barriers during fire.	time to failure, potential for self-repair after fire exposure.	applicability, cost.	healing agents, intumescent coatings with expandable microspheres.
Plasma and laser treatments [40]	Surface modification of polymers to introduce flame-retardant functionalities.	Localized modification, potential for improved surface-bulk adhesion, precise control.	Expensive equipment, potential for material degradation, limited penetration depth.	Plasma etching for grafting flame-retardant groups, laser-induced microstructuring for enhanced char formation.
Layer-by-layer deposition [41]	Building multilayer coatings with alternating layers of polymer and flame-retardant materials.	Tailored flame retardancy with control over thickness and functionality, good adhesion.	Complex process, limited scalability, potential for incompatibility between layers.	Layer-by-layer deposition of polyelectrolytes and nanoparticles for flame retardancy.
Nanocomposite coatings [42]	Incorporating nanoparticles into coatings for enhanced flame retardancy and other properties.	High effectiveness at low loading, improved thermal insulation, synergistic effects with other FRs.	Dispersion challenges, potential cost and health risks of nanoparticles, long-term durability.	Silica nanoparticles in polyimide coatings, graphene oxide nanosheets for improved char formation.
Synergistic effects of multi-component systems [43]	Combining different flame-retardant mechanisms in one material for enhanced performance.	Reduced loading of individual FRs, improved flame retardancy, potential for other functional properties.	Complex interactions between components, optimization challenges, potential incompatibility.	Phosphorus-based FRs with nitrogen-based FRs, nanocomposites with inorganic flame retardants.
Design and optimization of composite structures [44, 45]	Engineering the composition and architecture of composites for optimal fire resistance.	Tailored flame retardancy and mechanical properties, lightweight structures, improved heat insulation.	Complex modeling and simulation, manufacturing challenges for complex structures, cost.	Sandwich panels with flame-retardant cores and skins, bioinspired composite structures with hierarchical architectures.
Interfacial interactions and fire performance [46]	Understanding the interactions between FRs, polymer matrix, and reinforcement in composites for better fire resistance.	Optimized interfacial compatibility, improved char formation and mechanical properties, prediction of fire behavior.	Complex characterization techniques, limited understanding of long-term interactions, dependence on specific materials and structures.	Microscopic analysis of interfacial interactions, modeling of fire performance in composite materials.

Rare earth metals [47–49] are also used for polymers as resourceful flame retarding material because of the unique electronic structure and chemical properties. In today's world, several techniques have been used for production of rare earth mixed composite flame retardants.

CHARACTERIZATION AND TESTING OF FLAME-RETARDANT POLYMERS

Flame-retardant polymers are rigorously characterized and tested to assure flame resistance. The Cone Calorimeter Test quantifies heat release, smoke generation, and mass loss in fire tests. Flame-retardant polymers have a higher Limiting Oxygen Index (LOI) Test, which establishes the minimal oxygen concentration needed to continue combustion based on performance, the UL 94 Test classifies materials as self-extinguishing or flame-resistant [50]. However, it may not adequately depict large-scale fire behavior or combustion by products. TGA, FTIR, SEM, and fire behavior modeling and simulation are advanced characterization methods. TGA quantifies breakdown and thermal stability by measuring weight loss as a function of temperature [51]. FTIR uses infrared absorption spectra to examine materials' chemical composition, revealing molecular changes during heating and flame-retardant additives. High-resolution SEM pictures of a material's surface morphology enable qualitative flame-retardant testing. Mathematical modeling and computer simulation [52] are increasingly utilized to anticipate and understand material fire behavior. Computer simulations of heat transmission, mass

loss, and gas-phase reactions predict flame propagation and material response in various fire situations. These approaches anticipate fire behavior and are cheaper and faster than experiments.

Thus, flame-retardant polymer characterization and testing use both traditional and sophisticated fire test methodologies. Standard tests determine flammability and fire resistance, whereas sophisticated characterization methods reveal material deterioration and structural changes. Enhanced characterization techniques enhance flame-retardant materials, assuring their efficacy in real-world fires.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND CHALLENGES

- Flame-retardant polymers face challenges in balancing fire resistance, material attributes, and environmental impact. So, they must balance mechanical strength, processability, cost, and environmental issues for widespread use. Balancing flame retardancy with structural integrity is challenging, and flammable additives should be researched in situations where both are important.
- Processability is crucial for flame-retardant technologies, and they should be affordable for broad use, especially in high-volume sectors. Future studies should optimize formulations for flame retardancy without increasing material expense.
- Environmental standards require a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of flame-retardant polymers' ecological impact. Recycling and effective disposal methods, including biodegradation or controlled incineration, are essential.
- AI and machine learning can help forecast ideal formulas and accelerate development. The future of flame-retardant polymers depends on a balanced approach, involving researchers and industry experts.

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

Flame-retardant polymeric materials are crucial for safety and sustainability in various industries. The transition from halogenated to phosphorus-based flame retardants signifies a shift towards greener materials. Innovative technologies, such as high-throughput screening and computational design, help solve complex problems and save lives. Bio-based flame retardants promote circularity and meet UL 94 and oxygen index requirements. Material scientists must balance fire resistance with other properties for safety and sustainability. Categorization of flame retardants into halogenated, phosphorus-based, nitrogen-based, inorganic, and nanocomposite groups helps clarify processes and trade-offs. Future research should balance fire resistance, mechanical strength, and environmental impact.

REFERENCES

1. Bar M, Alagirusamy R, Das A. Flame retardant polymer composites. *Fibers Polym.* 2015;16:705-17.
2. Pearce E, ed. *Flame-retardant polymeric materials*. Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.
3. Vahabi H, Laoutid F, Mehrpouya M, Saeb MR, Dubois P. Flame retardant polymer materials: An update and the future for 3D printing developments. *Mater Sci Eng R Rep.* 2021;144:100604.
4. Van der Veen I, de Boer J. Phosphorus flame retardants: properties, production, environmental occurrence, toxicity and analysis. *Chemosphere.* 2012;88(10):1119-53.
5. Salmeia KA, Gaan S, Malucelli G. Recent advances for flame retardancy of textiles based on phosphorus chemistry. *Polymers.* 2016;8(9):319.
6. Xu Z, Zhou H, Yan L, Jia H. Comparative study of the fire protection performance and thermal stability of intumescent fire-retardant coatings filled with three types of clay nano-fillers. *Fire Mater.* 2020;44(1):112-20.
7. Madyaratri EW, Ridho MR, Aristri MA, Lubis MA, Iswanto AH, Nawawi DS, et al. Recent advances in the development of fire-resistant biocomposites—A review. *Polymers.* 2022;14(3):362.
8. Gill R, Wang Q, Takaku-Pugh S, Lytle E, Wang M, Bennett DH, et al. Trends in flame retardant levels in upholstered furniture and children's consumer products after regulatory action in California. *Chemosphere.* 2024;11:141152.

9. Bhoiyate S, Ionescu M, Kahol PK, Gupta RK. Sustainable flame-retardant polyurethanes using renewable resources. *Ind Crops Prod.* 2018;123:480-8.
10. Howell BA, Daniel YG, Ostrander EA. Flame Retardants from Renewable Sources: Food Waste, Plant Oils, and Starch. In: *Green Polymer Chemistry: New Products, Processes, and Applications.* American Chemical Society; 2018. p. 405-21.
11. Osimitz TG, Kacew S, Hayes AW. Assess flame retardants with care. *Science.* 2019;365(6457):992-3.
12. Reynolds KJ, Zagho MM, Robertson M, Qiang Z, Nazarenko S. Environmental, Health, and Legislation Considerations for Rational Design of Nonreactive Flame-Retardant Additives for Polymeric Materials: Future Perspectives. *Macromol Rapid Commun.* 2022;43(24):2200472.
13. Chen J, Wang J, Ni A, Chen H, Shen P. Synthesis of a novel phosphorous-nitrogen based charring agent and its application in flame-retardant HDPE/IFR composites. *Polymers.* 2019;11(6):1062.
14. Morgan AB, Klatt M. Nitrogen-Based Flame Retardants. In: *Non-Halogenated Flame Retardant Handbook.* 2021. p. 236-70.
15. Ahmed L, Zhang B, Hatanaka LC, Mannan MS. Application of polymer nanocomposites in the flame retardancy study. *J Loss Prev Process Ind.* 2018;55:381-91.
16. Giri R, Nayak L, Rahaman M. Flame and fire retardancy of polymer-based composites. *Mater Res Innov.* 2021;25(2):104-32.
17. Asare MA, de Souza FM, Suthar VD, Gupta RK. Nanocomposite-based flame-retardant polyurethane foams. In: *Smart Polymer Nanocomposites.* Elsevier; 2023. p. 543-69.
18. Christou A, Stec AA, Ahmed W, Aschberger K, Amenta V. A review of exposure and toxicological aspects of carbon nanotubes, and as additives to fire retardants in polymers. *Crit Rev Toxicol.* 2016;46(1):74-95.
19. Lam JC, Lam PK. Occurrence and ecological risk of halogenated flame retardants (HFRs) in coastal zones. In: *Comprehensive Analytical Chemistry.* Elsevier; 2015. p. 389-409.
20. Xu G, Zhao X, Zhao S, Chen C, Rogers MJ, Ramaswamy R, et al. Insights into the occurrence, fate, and impacts of halogenated flame retardants in municipal wastewater treatment plants. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2021;55(8):4205-26.
21. Altarawneh M, Saeed A, Al-Harashsheh M, Dlugogorski BZ. Thermal decomposition of brominated flame retardants (BFRs): Products and mechanisms. *Prog Energy Combust Sci.* 2019;70:212-59.
22. Rauert C, Schuster JK, Eng A, Harner T. Global atmospheric concentrations of brominated and chlorinated flame retardants and organophosphate esters. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2018;52(5):2777-89.
23. Rabe S, Chuenban Y, Scharrel B. Exploring the modes of action of phosphorus-based flame retardants in polymeric systems. *Materials.* 2017;10(5):455.
24. Abdullah AM, O'Shea KE. TiO₂ photocatalytic degradation of the flame retardant tris (2-chloroethyl) phosphate (TCEP) in aqueous solution: A detailed kinetic and mechanistic study. *J Photochem Photobiol A Chem.* 2019;37:7130-7.
25. Wu Q, Lü J, Qu B. Preparation and characterization of microcapsulated red phosphorus and its flame-retardant mechanism in halogen-free flame retardant polyolefins. *Polym Int.* 2003;52(8):1326-31.
26. Lim KS, Bee ST, Sin LT, Tee TT, Ratnam CT, Hui D, et al. A review of application of ammonium polyphosphate as intumescent flame retardant in thermoplastic composites. *Compos Part B Eng.* 2016;84:155-74.
27. Liu Y, Wang Q. The investigation on the flame retardancy mechanism of nitrogen flame retardant melamine cyanurate in polyamide 6. *J Polym Res.* 2009;583-9.
28. Sai T, Ran S, Guo Z, Song P, Fang Z. Recent advances in fire-retardant carbon-based polymeric nanocomposites through fighting free radicals. *SusMat.* 2022;4:411-34.
29. Qian X, Song L, Bihe Y, Yu B, Shi Y, Hu Y, et al. Organic/inorganic flame retardants containing phosphorus, nitrogen and silicon: Preparation and their performance on the flame retardancy of epoxy resins as a novel intumescent flame retardant system. *Mater Chem Phys.* 2014;143(3):1243-52.

30. Piperopoulos E, Scionti G, Atria M, Calabrese L, Proverbio E. Flame-retardant performance evaluation of functional coatings filled with Mg (OH) 2 and Al (OH) 3. *Polymers*. 2022;14(3):372.
31. Zhang B, Zeng S. Improving the flame retardancy and smoke suppression of PVC membrane material by incorporating zinc borate-modified diantimony trioxide. 2023.
32. Sui Y, Dai X, Li P, Zhang C. Superior radical scavenging and catalytic carbonization capacities of bioderived assembly modified ammonium polyphosphate as a mono-component intumescent flame retardant for epoxy resin. *EurPolym J*. 2021;156:110601.
33. Gao D, Zhang Y, Lyu B, Wang P, Ma J. Nanocomposite based on poly (acrylic acid)/attapulgitite towards flame retardant of cotton fabrics. *CarbohydrPolym*. 2019;206:245-53.
34. Aschberger K, Campia I, Pesudo LQ, Radovnikovic A, Reina V. Chemical alternatives assessment of different flame retardants—a case study including multi-walled carbon nanotubes as synergist. *Environ Int*. 2017;101:27-45.
35. Laachachi A, Cochez M, Leroy E, Ferriol M, Lopez-Cuesta JM. Fire retardant systems in poly (methyl methacrylate): Interactions between metal oxide nanoparticles and phosphinates. *PolymDegrad Stab*. 2007;92(1):61-9.
36. He W, Song P, Yu B, Fang Z, Wang H. Flame retardant polymeric nanocomposites through the combination of nanomaterials and conventional flame retardants. *Prog Mater Sci*. 2020;114:100687.
37. Huang L, Fantke P, Ritscher A, Jolliet O. Chemicals of concern in building materials: a high-throughput screening. *J Hazard Mater*. 2022;424:127574.
38. Hobbs CE. Recent advances in bio-based flame retardant additives for synthetic polymeric materials. *Polymers*. 2019;11(2):224.
39. Sun FC, Fu JH, Peng YX, Jiao XM, Liu H, Du FP, et al. Dual-functional intumescent fire-retardant/self-healing water-based plywood coatings. *Prog Org Coat*. 2021;154:106187.
40. Lazar ST, Kolibaba TJ, Grunlan JC. Flame-retardant surface treatments. *Nat Rev Mater*. 2020;5(4):259-75.
41. Qiu X, Li Z, Li X, Zhang Z. Flame retardant coatings prepared using layer by layer assembly: A review. *Chem Eng J*. 2018;334:108-22.
42. Xavier JR. Multifunctional nanocomposite coatings for superior anticorrosive, flame retardant and mechanical properties in aerospace components. *Surf Interfaces*. 2023;38:102832.
43. Kicko-Walczak E, Rymarz G. Flame retardants nanocomposites-synergistic effect of combination conventional retardants with nanofillers of the flammability of thermoset resins. *J Mech Eng Autom*. 2015;5:510-8.
44. Chen F, Weng L, Wang J, Wu P, Ma D, Pan F, et al. An adaptive framework to accelerate optimization of high flame retardant composites using machine learning. *Compos Sci Technol*. 2023;231:109818.
45. Shah S, Kumar P, Panda SK, Kumar S. Mixed-mode thermo elastic delamination fracture behavior of composite skin stiffener containing interface delamination. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*. 2019; 8(6):5941-9.
46. Gong K, Yin L, Zhou K, Qian X, Shi C, Gui Z, et al. Construction of interface-engineered two-dimensional nanohybrids towards superb fire resistance of epoxy composites. *Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf*. 2022;152:106707.
47. Srikant, Sharma VK, Kumar V, Joshi RS, Jain S, Kumar P. A review of recent research on rare earth particulate composite materials and structures with their applications. *Transactions of the Indian Institute of Metals*. 2021:1-3.
48. Sharma VK, Kumar P, Akhai S, Kumar V, Joshi RS. Corrosion inhibition analysis on cerium induced hydrophobic surface of Al-6061/SiC/Al₂O₃ hybrid composites. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*. 2024;0(0). doi:10.1177/09544054231222048
49. Sharma VK, Kumar P, Akhai S, Kumar V, Joshi RS. Analyzing the tribological and mechanical performance of Al-6061 with rare earth oxides: An experimental analysis. *Proceedings of the*

-
- Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part E: Journal of Process Mechanical Engineering. 2023;0(0). doi:10.1177/09544089231160003.
50. Chen Z, Yang B, Song N, Chen T, Zhang Q, Li C, et al. Machine learning-guided design of organic phosphorus-containing flame retardants to improve the limiting oxygen index of epoxy resins. *Chem Eng J.* 2023;455:140547.
 51. Ullah S, Ahmad F, Al-Sehemi AG, Assiri MA, Raza MR, Irfan A. Effect of expandable graphite and ammonium polyphosphate on the thermal degradation and weathering of intumescent fire-retardant coating. *J Appl Polym Sci.* 2021;138(17):50310.
 52. Korolchenko DA, Eremina TY. Method of Mathematical Modeling for the Experimental Evaluation of Flame-Retardant Materials' Parameters. *Materials.* 2021;15(1):11.