STM JOURNALS

Journal of
Polymer & Composites

ISSN: 2321-2810 (Online)
ISSN: 2321-8525 (Print)
Volume 13, Special Issue 6, 2025
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/JoPC

https://journals.stmjournals.com/jopc

Review JoPC

3D Printing and Composite Fabrication Technologies in Health Assistive Devices for Disabled Peoples: Social and Legal Fabrics

Urmila Yadav[1], Bhupinder Singh[2,*], Saurabh Chandra[3]

Abstract

As 3D printing and fabric technologies have become more common, the way disabled people get health care has changed. It can even print cheap, personalized devices that can be found all over the world and power themselves in just one day after doctors design them and take them. This has given people in different countries a lot more chances to help without having to leave their own country. This makes it possible to provide prosthetics, orthotics, and motorized mobility in any size that is needed, especially advanced custom-made ones that are the most affordable. This gives people what they need to get by in life. Since people became civilized and have questioned the morality of both social and legal systems. These kinds of things let people be a part of society and show that they are cheap, well-known, and easy to get. All of these things are important if products that work with sound systems are going to be sold. At the intersection of technology, quality of life issues for the disabled, and the law, there needs to be a way for technical innovation to help people with disabilities gets more rights. This article looks at the problems that make it hard to get potential benefits and how these rules work. It shows that 3D printing is a key link between new ideas in medicine and fair growth for everyone

.

Keywords: 3D Printing, assistive devices, disability healthcare, social justice, legal frameworks

INTRODUCTION

The rapid growth of 3D printing and composite technology is completely changing how healthcare works now [1]. Assistive devices for disabled people are one of the things that will benefit. People with disabilities, whether physical or mental, have not been able to get low-cost custom medical devices like prostheses, orthoses, hearing aids, walking sticks, or wheelchairs in the past. Traditional industry is based on making things in large quantities [2]. This means that the things are usually either too expensive or don't fit the user well. Additive manufacturing, which is also called 3D printing, makes custom products by adding layers [3]. It is faster and more precise. You can make it stronger and change the strength-to-weight ratio by mixing it with other things [4]. This gives designers more options and lets them make useful devices from the start of their development. Customization is very important [5]. There are legal problems that aren't easy to see. For instance, the government does do a good job of regulating this area, there are rules about how to break down products and things you can put on your body, and all biological systems products must follow the same rules. People with disabilities have different physical needs [6]. For example, a prosthetic limb must be made to fit the user's body type as well as their needs, lifestyle, living situation,

***Author for Correspondence**
Bhupinder Singh

[1]Associate Professor, School of Law, Sharda University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India
[2]Professor, School of Law, Sharda University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India
[3]Associate Professor, School of Law, Bennett University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

Received Date: September 26, 2025
Accepted Date: October 06, 2025
Published Date: November 11, 2025

Citation: Urmila Yadav, Bhupinder Singh, Saurabh Chandra. 3D Printing and Composite Fabrication Technologies in Health Assistive Devices for Disabled Peoples: Social and Legal Fabrics. Journal of Polymer & Composites. 2025; 13(Special Issue 6): S624–S629p.

nationality, and other things. With 3D printing, you can get this level of customization without having to pay the high price of traditional manufacturing. Both fast prototyping [7]. This means that just hearing what a user thinks about how to use a gadget can change the design plan for new gadgets. These quick prototypes are definitely in line with adaptive design [8]. Design and production are now open to everyone, which gives disabled people a new kind of power. It lets them break free from the strict healthcare and commercial systems that have long been used to control them [9].

This change also has big effects on society and the law. The use of 3D printing methods is part of bigger talks about bioethics, healthcare regulation, disability rights, social justice, and intellectual property law. These technologies improve society by breaking down barriers and stigma that prevent people from going to school, getting a job, or using public transportation. This challenges the idea that people are dependent on others [10]. Still, people are worried about unequal access: will only rich people or countries be able to buy this kind of equipment? What do these new developments mean for low-income neighborhoods and other groups that aren't healthy? This is the bigger conversation about social justice that goes beyond technology and calls for fair and open innovation [11].

TECHNICAL LANDSCAPES

The laws that govern 3D printing for healthcare purposes are still new and not very well organized. No one has answered questions about product liability for devices that don't work, getting regulatory approval for biocompatible materials, the licensing and intellectual property rights of open-source assistive device designs, or following current disability rights laws. Businesses and users don't know who is responsible, liable, or safe without clear rules [12]. If the 3D-printed limbs break and cause more damage, who should be held responsible: the designer, the printer(s), the practitioner, or the user who changed the files for the product? If the designs for assistive technology are freely available in digital repositories, does current intellectual property law encourage innovation by encouraging collaboration, or does it stifle it with restrictive ownership? These issues demonstrate the necessity of fortifying technological advancement through a legal framework that safeguards individuals and ensures clarity in new concepts [13].

Principles Of 3d Printing and Fabrication Technologies in Disability Healthcare

The concepts underlying 3D printing and other fabrication technologies have significantly transformed the delivery of healthcare to individuals with disabilities. Now, it's easy and quick to make assistive equipment that fits them perfectly and meets their needs Because of this, a wide range of anatomical and functional traits can be used to create very personalized solutions for caring for disabilities. Some of these solutions are custom-made prostheses or thoses, hearing aids, and other devices that help people.

The most important new ideas is personalization, which is made possible by combining advanced software platforms with medical imaging technologies like CT, MRI, and ultrasound. The first step is to digitize and break up a patient's anatomical data so that a computer can make a 3D model of it. Doctors won't have to do any extra work to make this model; they just need to shape the assistive device to fit their needs [14]. 3D printing for healthcare has used strong and comfortable biocompatible polymers, metals like titanium, and thermal-forming composites. The most common technologies used are selective laser sintering (SLS), stereolithography (SLA), fused deposition modeling (FDM), and digital light processing (DLP). Each one has its own strengths that can be used in different parts of healthcare.

The other important idea is speed prototyping. It lets designers and doctors change assistive devices over and over again based on what users say. This quick way of solving problems helps healthcare providers for the disabled meet the growing need quickly by coming up with new ideas in a short amount of time and cutting down on wasted time, money, and resources [15]. 3D printing can also make design and production easier for everyone, which means that point-of-care solutions can be used in remote or underserved areas of the world and that secure finance structures that make products affordable can be removed.

Manufacturing technology also includes important parts like accuracy, material and safety issues, and post-processing. After being printed, devices are cleaned, cured, disinfected, and tested in a clinical setting to make sure they meet medical standards. In disability health care, where a broken gadget could kill someone, both accuracy and repeatability are very important. More research is being done on bioprinting and regenerative medicine. The ideas for how 3D printing can be used in disability healthcare personalization, quick prototyping, access to care, safety, and the use of modern materials are getting better. The ideas that support the ethical high ground are moving forward, and there is real hope that we can now work together to improve the quality of life, life expectancy, and fairness in care for people with disabilities who can't get it [16].

Legal And Ethical Frameworks in Composite Fabrication Technologies for Healthcare

When technology, law, and society are at odds like this, the answer should be clear. It is insufficient to merely praise the technological progress of 3D printing and composite fabrication; a rigorous examination is necessary regarding the beneficiaries, the consistently marginalized, and the methods through which regulatory, ethical, and policy frameworks can guarantee an inclusive healthcare ecosystem. Assistive items are not just things to buy; they are tools that help people exercise their rights and get involved in their communities. In these cases, the transfer of technology should be based on the ideas of universal access, fair distribution of resources, and legal responsibility [17].

The 3D printing and composites community in assistive healthcare is not only a scientific breakthrough, but it is also a place where new ideas, human rights, the law, and social justice come together. To keep this momentum going, we need to keep working on multi-agency governance frameworks, techno legal changes, and the implementation of social programs that include everyone. It can only hope that these technologies will work as well as we want them to. Not through isolated invention, but as instruments of empowerment, equity, and dignity for individuals with disabilities across all sectors of society [18].

Composite Fabrication Technologies and Global Collaborations for Policy Harmonization: Moving Towards Sustainable and Equitable Adoption

Making new composites embedded technology has become an important part of making assistive tools more competitive by giving them strong, light, and useful solutions. Composites are stronger, more flexible, and more resistant to wear than other materials because they are made by mixing two or more materials together. These qualities are very important for prosthetics, orthotic devices, and mobility aids. New ideas for the sustainable use of resorbable and recyclable composites, like self-reinforced polyethylene terephthalates (PET), have shown a lot of promise for places with few resources. They make custom manufacturing affordable without sacrificing quality or safety. These kinds of materials can be made using complicated methods that mix vacuum bagging, heat curing, and weaving. This lets you quickly make patient-specific devices that meet high clinical standards [19]. Evidence from partnerships in low- and middle-income countries, such as the study of young patients affected by landmines in India, shows that using new methods to make composite materials can improve the comfort and gait of prosthetic patients [20]. This opens up more opportunities for inclusive health innovation across material and cost barriers [21].

Socio-Legal Challenges

Technological innovation is important, but so is working together with other countries and making sure that policies are the same in all of them [22]. These are the things that will make it possible for everyone to use assistive technologies in a way that is fair and long-lasting. People are worried about assistive technologies, especially those made of composites, because of broken regulatory systems, inconsistent safety rules, and intellectual property rights, as well as different levels of access to production facilities. International groups like the World Health Organization's Global Cooperation on Assistive Technology (GATE) and multi-stakeholder alliances like ATscale are very important for getting projects from different countries, sectors, and fields to work together [23]. These efforts make it easier to share ideas, set common standards for assistive devices, and push for health policies that

include people with disabilities and follow the UN CRPD [24]. By coordinating standards, outcome measurements, and services frameworks on a global scale, these kinds of alliances help to get rid of duplicate research, make the procurement process easier, and deliver assistive technology that is both clinically effective and culturally relevant [25].

Proposed Legal and Technical Solutions

Policy coherence also meets the needs of social justice by pushing for universal health care that includes assistive technology as a key part of development that includes people with disabilities. Key informants spoke about creating training and policies that are cheap, user-designed, and adaptable to different needs [26]. By the way, coordinated action around the world helps the private sector get involved and come up with new ideas by upholding ethical standards, protecting intellectual property rights, and making sure patients are safe. Also, the combination of new technologies for making composites with good policies is expected to make it easier to close the gap between developed and developing regions in disability healthcare [27]. The improvement of composite production technologies, along with better global cooperation and unified policies, makes it easier for all people with disabilities to get their hands on sustainable, high-quality assistive devices [28]. Holistic development means breaking down the barriers between legal clarity and social equality to create a care ecosystem that focuses on translation and promotes the large-scale transformation of assistive technology, making them a part of care around the world.

The switch to composite fabrication technology makes this new healthcare setting stronger. Composites that mix different materials in different layers might be very useful for making assistive technologies that need to be able to handle a lot of stress, like prosthetic limbs, wheelchairs, or orthopedic support frames. Composite engineering is becoming more and more common thanks to 3D printing. This is not only a technological advance, but also a change in how healthcare is designed to be more human-centered. We are now in the age of electronics that are more than just big, mass-produced tools. They are instead personalized extensions of ourselves that are adaptable, expressive, and reflective. These kinds of advances in the social community help people with disabilities be seen as more than just bodies with problems; they can also be seen as people with abilities and potential.

CONCLUSION

3D printing could help by making assistive devices that are cheap and easy to use, but the results might not be the same in every country and society, which could make things even worse. It's easy to use these skills in wealthy countries with good healthcare systems. But in poor parts of the world, it might not be possible to get the right people, materials, or government support to make it widely used. This difference can cause a "digital divide" between groups in society, which can leave some groups behind. There should be a global moral code that covers all kinds of disabilities. States, international organizations, and non-state actors must collaborate to invest in long-term programming while upholding the rights and dignity of individuals with disabilities.

REFERENCES

1. De Souza Pereira, J., Santos Xavier, A. S. M., da Silva Monteiro, R., Vianna Cruz, V., de Souza Pereira, M. F., Dutra Tholl, A., Felisbino, J. and Alves Machado, W. C. (2024). 3D-printed orthoses and prostheses for people with physical disability in rehabilitation centers: a scoping review. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 25, 783. doi: 10.1186/s12891-024-07875-3
2. Abtew, M. A., Atalie, D., Dejene, B. K. and McBee-Black, K. (2025). Intelligent and electronic textile materials for adaptive apparel: Innovations, functional design, and future directions. Journal of Industrial Textiles. doi: 10.1177/15280837251346789
3. Thorsen, R., Bellantonio, L., Ferrari, A., Galli, M., Marques, E. and Squadrone, R. (2024). A workflow including people with cerebral palsy in co-creation of assistive devices using 3D printing. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology, 19(1), 42-51. doi: 10.1080/17483107.2023.2177754

4. Degerli, Y. I., Dogu, F. and Oksuz, C. (2022). Manufacturing an assistive device with 3D printing technology - a case report. Assistive Technology, 34(1), 121-125. doi: 10.1080/10400435.2020.1791278
5. Knoedler, L., Knoedler, S., Kauke-Navarro, M., Knoedler, C., Hoefer, S., Baecher, H., Gassner, U. M., Machens, H.-G., Prantl, L. and Panayi, A. C. (2023). Three-dimensional medical printing and associated legal issues in the US legal system. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Global Open, 11(4), e4965. doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000004965
6. Rockmanac. (2025). Medical equipment manufacturing company uses composites for creating medical devices. Available at: https://rockmanac.com/medical-equipment-manufacturing-company-uses-composites-for-creating-medical-devices/ [Accessed 26 September 2025].
7. Kirillova, A., Bushev, S., Abubakirov, A. and Sukikh, G. (2020). Bioethical and legal issues in 3D bioprinting. International Journal of Bioprinting, 6(3), 272. doi: 10.18063/ijb.v6i3.272
8. Pettersson, A. B. V., Ballardini, R. M., Mimler, M., Li, P., Salmi, M., Minssen, T., Gibson, I. and Mäkitie, A. (2023). Legal issues and underexplored data protection in medical 3D printing: A scoping review. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology, 11, 1102780. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2023.1102780
9. Noh, Y., Jeon, S., Lee, S., Yu, J., Park, K., Chae, K., Park, J., Kim, J., Kwon, S. and Gim, J. (2022). Environmental and human health risks of plastic composites: A review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 372, 133735. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133735
10. Dias, W. D., Soares, J. H., Guimarães, L. C., Martínez, N. E., Luz, T. R., Lima, Y. M. C. S. S. and Huebner, R. (2024). Exploring additive manufacturing in assistive technologies for persons with disabilities. Extrica. Available at: https://www.extrica.com/article/24119 [Accessed 26 September 2025].
11. Hosseini, E. S., Dervin, S., Ganguly, P. and Dahiya, R. (2021). Biodegradable materials for sustainable health monitoring devices. ACS Applied Bio Materials, 4(1), 163-194. doi: 10.1021/acsabm.0c01139
12. Anđić, B., Pejović Milovančević, M., Buđevac, N., Soldatović, I. and Glomazić, A. (2024). The effects of 3D printing on social interactions in inclusive education. International Journal of Inclusive Education, 28(1), 42-58. doi: 10.1080/1034912X.2023.2223495
13. Tabassum, T., Ishtiak, T. and Chowdhury, M. A. (2023). A review of 3D printing technology—the future of sustainable construction. Materials Today: Proceedings, 93, 330-338. doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2023.07.337
14. Savage, S., Flores-Saviaga, C., Rodney, R., Savage, L., Schull, J. and Mankoff, J. (2022). The global care ecosystems of 3D printed assistive devices. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 6(CSCW2), 1-27. doi: 10.1145/3537676
15. Benham, S., Rasmussen, K.-A. M., Chabot, M., Raffel, A. and Janes, W. E. (2025). 3D printing expansion to improve assistive technology: Supporting client-centered care. OTJR: Occupational Therapy Journal of Research. doi: 10.1177/15394492251326058
16. Harmon, S. H. E. and Laurie, G. T. (2018). Body extension and the law: Medical devices, intellectual property, and the person. Medical Law Review, 27(2), 249-275. doi: 10.1093/medlaw/fwy032
17. Kirillova, A., Bushev, S., Abubakirov, A. and Sukikh, G. (2020). Bioethical and legal issues in 3D bioprinting. International Journal of Bioprinting, 6(3), 272. doi: 10.18063/ijb.v6i3.272
18. Nagarajan, Y. R., Deshpande, A. S. and Shetty, N. (2024). Assessing PET composite prosthetic solutions: A step towards sustainable prosthetics manufacturing. Heliyon, 10(22), e39644. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e39644
19. Pettersson, A. B. V., Ballardini, R. M., Mimler, M., Li, P., Salmi, M., Minssen, T., Gibson, I. and Mäkitie, A. (2023). Legal issues and underexplored data protection in medical 3D printing: A scoping review. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology, 11, 1102780. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2023.1102780
20. Rajam, S. (2018). 3D printing – An analysis of liabilities and potential benefits within the Indian legal framework. NUJS Law Review, 11(3), 347-372. Available at: https://nujslawreview.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/11.3-Shardha-Rajam-3D-PRINTING.pdf

21. Savage, S., Flores-Saviaga, C., Rodney, R., Savage, L., Schull, J. and Mankoff, J. (2022). The global care ecosystems of 3D printed assistive devices. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 6(CSCW2), 1-27. doi: 10.1145/3537676
22. Scholz, M. S., Blanchfield, J. P., Bloom, L. D., Coburn, B. H., Elkington, M., Fuller, J. D., Gilbert, M. E., Muflahi, S. A., Pernice, M. F., Rae, S. I., Trevarthen, J. A., White, S. C., Weaver, P. M. and Bond, I. P. (2011). The use of composite materials in modern orthopaedic medicine and prosthetics: A review. Composites Science and Technology, 71(16), 1791-1803. doi: 10.1016/j.compscitech.2011.08.017
23. Tan, Q., Wu, C., Li, L., Shao, W. and Luo, M. (2022). Nanomaterial-based prosthetic limbs for disability mobility assistance: A review of recent advances. Journal of Nanomaterials, 2022, 3425297. doi: 10.1155/2022/3425297
24. Das, S., Kar, S. P., Sil, S., Molla, A. R., Rajak, R., & Chaudhuri, A. K. (2024). A Multifaceted Approach to Understanding Mental Health Crises in the COVID-19 Era: Using AI Algorithms and Feature Selection Strategies. In *AI-Driven Innovations in Digital Healthcare: Emerging Trends, Challenges, and Applications* (pp. 97-119). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
25. Dandotiya, M., & Ghosal, I. (2024). An impact of cyber security and blockchain in healthcare industry: An implementation through AI. In *Next-generation cybersecurity: AI, ML, and blockchain* (pp. 117-133). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
26. Dandotiya, M., Rahi, P., Mehbodniya, A., Webber, J., & Ghosal, I. (2025). A deep perspective of blockchain applications in healthcare sector and Industry 4.0. In *Artificial Intelligence in Biomedical and Modern Healthcare Informatics* (pp. 31-43). Academic Press.
27. Ghosal, I., Saxena, D., Rajak, R., Gulati, K., & Kaloria, S. (2025). AI-Driven Sustainable Supply Chain Framework for Polymer Composite Production. *Journal of Polymer and Composites, 13*(05), 219-235
28. Kar, S. P., Molla, A. R., Das, S., Rajak, R., Sil, S., & Chaudhuri, A. K. (2024). Identification of Insecurity in COVID-19 Using Machine Learning Techniques. In *Medical Robotics and AI-Assisted Diagnostics for a High-Tech Healthcare Industry* (pp. 239-256). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

