

Modeling the Spread of Dengue: A Mathematical Perspective

Harsh Kumar^{1,*}, Vanshika Parashar²

Abstract

Dengue fever, a mosquito-borne illness caused by the dengue virus and mainly spread by *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes, continues to pose a serious global health challenge, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions. In recent years, mathematical modeling has become an essential tool for understanding how the disease spreads and for developing effective ways to control it. These models allow researchers to simulate different transmission scenarios and test the impact of various public health interventions before implementing them in the real world. This study takes a closer look at the complex process of dengue transmission, emphasizing how mathematical models help clarify the relationship between humans and mosquitoes. By using real-world epidemiological data and considering factors like mosquito biting behavior, virus incubation periods, human mobility, transmission rates, seasonal climate variability, and environmental conditions such as rainfall and temperature, the research offers valuable insights into how the disease spreads and how it can be controlled. Additionally, the inclusion of vector population dynamics and human immunity status adds depth and realism to the models. The results highlight how mathematical models can be used to predict outbreaks, evaluate the success of intervention strategies such as vector control, vaccination, and public education, and support public health decision-making aimed at reducing the burden of dengue. Overall, this research underscores the critical role of interdisciplinary approaches that integrate biology, mathematics, and public health to effectively combat dengue and other vector-borne diseases in a rapidly changing global landscape.

Keywords: Dengue fever, mathematical modeling, *Aedes aegypti*, disease transmission, public health, epidemiology.

INTRODUCTION

Dengue fever, a viral illness spread by mosquitoes, continues to be a major health concern worldwide especially in tropical and subtropical areas. According to the World Health Organization, nearly half the global population is at risk, with millions falling ill each year. Symptoms can vary widely, from a mild fever to severe conditions like haemorrhagic fever or dengue shock syndrome, which can be life-threatening. The main culprit behind dengue's spread is the *Aedes aegypti* mosquito, which flourishes in crowded urban areas where stagnant water offers plenty of places to breed.

*Author for Correspondence

Harsh Kumar
E-mail: harshsingh834004@gmail.com

^{1,2}B.Sc. Student, School of Applied and Life Sciences,
Uttaranchal University, Dehradun, India

Received Date: May 05, 2025

Accepted Date: May 08, 2025

Published Date: May 15, 2025

Citation: Harsh Kumar, Vanshika Parashar. Modeling the Spread of Dengue: A Mathematical Perspective. Research & Reviews: Discrete Mathematical Structures. 2025; 12(2): 1-4p.

Understanding how dengue spreads is complex, involving interactions between people, mosquitoes, and environmental factors. To make sense of this, researchers turn to mathematical models. These models help simulate how the disease moves through populations, assess the impact of different prevention strategies, and even predict potential outbreaks. This study focuses on how such models are used to better understand dengue transmission and support effective public health planning and response.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The use of mathematical models to understand how infectious diseases spread has a long history, with early breakthroughs by researchers like Ross and Macdonald. Their pioneering work helped shape our understanding of vector-borne diseases by modeling how diseases move between humans and vectors, like mosquitoes, using differential equations. Today, dengue modeling has become far more sophisticated. Modern models now take into account a wide range of factors, such as the different stages of a mosquito's life cycle, weather conditions, and even human behavior. Deterministic models which rely on fixed parameters are commonly used to evaluate the effectiveness of control measures like insecticides or removing mosquito breeding sites. On the other hand, stochastic models add an element of randomness, helping us better understand how unpredictable factors might influence the course of an outbreak or the success of small-scale interventions [1].

Recent progress in this field also includes models that factor in both space and time, recognizing that local environments, how crowded an area is, and how people move around can all affect how dengue spreads. Agent-based models have become particularly valuable in this context, as they simulate the behavior and interactions of individual people and mosquitoes, giving a much more detailed picture of how the disease might spread in real life. Still, despite all these advancements, researchers face ongoing challenges. Estimating model parameters accurately, validating models with real data, and capturing the messy complexities of real-world situations in theoretical frameworks remain significant hurdles [2].

METHODOLOGY

This study uses a deterministic compartmental model built on the classic susceptible-infected-recovered (SIR) framework, enhanced to account for mosquito dynamics. The human population is grouped into three categories: susceptible, infected, exposed and recovered. Likewise, mosquitoes are classified into susceptible, exposed, and infected stages [3, 4].

The model considers key factors such as the rate at which mosquitoes bite humans, the likelihood of disease transmission in both directions (from mosquito to human and vice versa), mosquito lifespan, and the incubation period of the virus in both humans and mosquitoes [5-7]. It also incorporates environmental conditions like temperature and rainfall that impact mosquito breeding and how quickly the virus replicates. The mathematical representation of the model is given below:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{dN_s(t)}{dt} &= \alpha - N_s V_i \frac{\pi_1 \theta_2}{N} + \omega N_r - \mu N_s \\ \frac{dN_i(t)}{dt} &= N_s V_i \frac{\pi_1 \theta_2}{N} - \gamma N_i - \mu N_i \\ \frac{dN_r(t)}{dt} &= \gamma N_i - \omega N_r - \mu N_r \\ \frac{dV_s(t)}{dt} &= \beta - V_s N_i \frac{\pi_2 \theta_1}{N} - \delta V_s \\ \frac{dV_i(t)}{dt} &= V_s N_i \frac{\pi_2 \theta_1}{N} - \delta V_i \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

With initial condition

$$N_s(0) = N_{s_0} > 0, N_i(0) = N_{i_0} > 0, N_r(0) = N_{r_0} > 0,$$

$$V_s(0) = V_{s_0} > 0, V_i(0) = V_{i_0} > 0$$

$$\text{and} \quad N = N_s + N_i + N_r, \quad V = V_s + V_i$$

Table 1. Description of Variables and Parameters

S.N.	Notion	Description
1	N_s	Susceptible human population at time t
2	N_i	Infected human population at time t
3	N_r	Recovered human population at time t
4	V_s	Susceptible mosquito population at time t
5	V_i	Infected mosquito population at time t
6	N	Total human population
7	V	Total mosquito population
8	α	Human recruitment rate
9	β	Mosquito recruitment rate
10	μ	Death rate of human population
11	δ	Death rate of mosquito population
12	γ	Recovery rate of an infected human
13	π_1	Probability of viral transmission from an infected mosquito to susceptible human
14	π_2	Probability of viral transmission from an infected human to susceptible mosquito
15	θ_1	The bite rate by susceptible mosquito
16	θ_2	Infected mosquito bite rate
17	ω	Decline rate in human immunity to disease

The values for the model parameters (Table 1) are drawn from reliable sources, including epidemiological studies and environmental monitoring reports. Using these inputs, we carried out numerical simulations to assess how different intervention strategies such as insecticide use, vaccination efforts, and public health campaigns affect the spread of dengue [8-10].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our simulations offer important insights into how dengue spreads and how it can be controlled. A key indicator we examined is the basic reproduction number (R_0), which reflects the average number of people one infected individual can pass the virus to. Without any interventions, the model predicts an R_0 greater than 1, suggesting ongoing transmission and the risk of widespread outbreaks. The findings underscore the crucial role of mosquito control in managing dengue. By eliminating breeding sites and using insecticides, we can significantly reduce mosquito populations, which in turn brings down the R_0 . We also found that higher temperatures speed up the mosquito life cycle and virus replication, making outbreaks more likely in warmer conditions.

Although a widely available dengue vaccine is still in development, our simulations show that even partial vaccination coverage could meaningfully reduce the number of infections. In scenarios where vaccination rates are high, the R_0 drops significantly, even in areas prone to frequent outbreaks. Public awareness campaigns also play a vital role by encouraging behaviors like proper waste disposal and using protective clothing, communities can reinforce the impact of medical and vector control strategies. That said, the model does have some limitations. It assumes that everyone in the population mixes evenly and that environmental conditions remain constant both of which are oversimplifications. Real-world dynamics, including varying climate conditions, local differences in mosquito populations, and human movement patterns, are more complex. Future work should aim to incorporate these factors for a more realistic picture. Additionally, since dengue shares its mosquito vector with other diseases like Zika and chikungunya, understanding how these viruses interact could further improve disease forecasting and prevention strategies.

CONCLUSION

Mathematical modeling proves to be a valuable tool in understanding how dengue spreads and in assessing the effectiveness of different control strategies. By combining data from both health studies and environmental monitoring, these models offer practical insights that can guide public health decisions and help allocate resources more effectively. This study highlights the need for ongoing research to improve these models and keep them updated with the latest data. As diseases like dengue continue to evolve, so must our tools to fight them. For these models to make a real impact, collaboration is key bringing together scientists, health officials, and communities to work toward smarter, more targeted disease prevention and control.

REFERENCES

1. Bowman, L. R., Donegan, S., & McCall, P. J. (2016). *Is dengue vector control deficient in effectiveness or evidence?: Systematic review and meta-analysis*. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 10(3), e0004551. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004551>
2. Ferguson, N. M., Cummings, D. A. T., Fraser, C., Cajka, J. C., Cooley, P. C., & Burke, D. S. (2006). *Strategies for mitigating an influenza pandemic*. Nature, 442(7101), 448–452. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04795>
3. Lourenço, J., & Recker, M. (2014). *The 2012 Madeira dengue outbreak: Epidemiological determinants and future epidemic potential*. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 8(8), e3083. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003083>
4. Esteva, L., & Vargas, C. (1998). *Analysis of a dengue disease transmission model*. Mathematical Biosciences, 150(2), 131–151. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-5564\(98\)00092-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-5564(98)00092-1)
5. WHO (World Health Organization). (2023). *Dengue and severe dengue*. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/dengue-and-severe-dengue>
6. Brady, O. J., Gething, P. W., Bhatt, S., Messina, J. P., Brownstein, J. S., Hoen, A. G., ... & Hay, S. I. (2012). *Refining the global spatial limits of dengue virus transmission by evidence-based consensus*. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 6(8), e1760. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001760>
7. Johansson, M. A., Hombach, J., & Cummings, D. A. T. (2011). *Models of the impact of dengue vaccines: A review of current research and potential approaches*. Vaccine, 29(35), 5860–5868. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.06.042>
8. Aguiar, M., & Stollenwerk, N. (2016). *The impact of serotype cross-protection on vaccine trials in dengue*. BMC Infectious Diseases, 16(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-016-1615-1>
9. Massad, E., Coutinho, F. A. B., Burattini, M. N., & Lopez, L. F. (2001). *The risk of yellow fever in a dengue-infested area*. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 95(4), 370–374. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0035-9203\(01\)90005-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0035-9203(01)90005-2)
10. Messina, J. P., Brady, O. J., Golding, N., Kraemer, M. U., Wint, G. R., Ray, S. E., ... & Hay, S. I. (2019). *The current and future global distribution and population at risk of dengue*. Nature Microbiology, 4(9), 1508–1515. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0476-8>