

---

This Article is under Formatting, the PDF's ready file will be replaced soon.

**International Journal of Robotics and Automation in Mechanics**

**Vol: 4**

**Issue: 1**

**Year: 2026**

**Type of article: Review**

**Received Date: 10 February, 2026**

**Accepted Date: 27 February, 2026**

**Published Date: 14 March, 2026**

# **A Constraint-Driven Generative Design Methodology for Modular Actuated Robotic Components in Decentralized Manufacturing**

**Pedro Portugal** <sup>1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Independent Researcher, Querétaro, 76130, Mexico. (Formerly: School of Engineering and Sciences, Tecnológico de Monterrey)

\* Correspondence: pedroportugalsil@gmail.com

## **Abstract**

This work formalizes a constraint-driven generative design framework for actuator-integrated robotic components intended for decentralized additive manufacturing. Conventional topology optimization typically prioritizes structural efficiency while treating actuator integration, modular interfaces, and fabrication constraints as secondary

---

considerations. In contrast, the proposed methodology encodes these requirements as first-order geometric and mechanical constraints prior to automated material redistribution. The framework defines preserved actuator geometry, bounded design envelopes, representative loading abstractions, and manufacturability-aware domains to guide structural synthesis within physically admissible regions. A mathematical formulation expresses the design task as a constrained mass minimization problem subject to equilibrium, stress, geometric preservation, and manufacturability conditions. By embedding engineering intent directly into the generative problem definition, the methodology ensures that synthesized geometries remain mechanically plausible, assembly-compatible, and fabrication-ready without post hoc correction. This constraint-driven approach reduces design iteration overhead, improves reproducibility, and aligns generative outputs with the realities of consumer-grade additive manufacturing. Although motivated by actuator-integrated robotic links, the framework is architecture-agnostic and generalizable to a broad class of modular robotic components fabricated under distributed and resource-limited production environments. The approach provides a reproducible foundation for engineering-aware generative synthesis in decentralized robotic hardware development and supports scalable, accessible mechanical innovation across diverse applications and contexts globally.

**Keywords:** Modular robotics; actuated robotic components; constraint-driven design; generative design tools; decentralized manufacturing; additive manufacturing.

---

## 1. Introduction

Legged robotic systems have long been studied for their ability to navigate unstructured environments and maintain stability across varied terrains [1]. Their inherent mechanical redundancy provides robustness to uneven ground and partial failures, making them attractive for exploration, inspection, and service applications. At the same time, the mechanical complexity associated with legged locomotion—especially at the level of actuated joints and load-bearing links—introduces significant challenges in design, manufacturing, and cost, which continue to limit broader adoption beyond research laboratories and specialized industrial contexts.

In parallel, additive manufacturing (AM)—especially fused deposition modeling (FDM)—has rapidly matured as a low-cost and flexible fabrication technology capable of producing complex geometries without dedicated tooling [2]. These capabilities have enabled rapid prototyping, customization, and decentralized production using accessible hardware. Despite these advantages, the integration of AM into the mechanical design of robotic components remains nontrivial. Designers must balance printability, structural performance, material anisotropy, and assembly feasibility, particularly under

---

decentralized manufacturing constraints where access to industrial-grade materials, post-processing, and precision equipment is limited.

Recent work has begun to explore the intersection of modular robotics and accessible fabrication technologies, recognizing that modular mechanical architectures can significantly reduce development cost and design complexity while facilitating reuse, repair, and adaptation [3]. At the component level, modular actuated links and joint assemblies allow robotic platforms to be reconfigured, repaired, or upgraded without complete system redesign. Design-for-additive-manufacturing (DfAM) principles have increasingly been incorporated into such approaches, enabling faster iteration cycles and improved accessibility [4]. Nevertheless, much of the existing literature emphasizes high-level system architectures or application-specific demonstrations, with fewer studies explicitly addressing how decentralized manufacturing constraints—such as home-scale printers, limited material choices, and low-cost actuation—should be embedded directly into the mechanical and kinematic design of individual robotic components.

Concurrently, advances in generative design and topology optimization have highlighted the potential of automated tools to discover structurally efficient geometries under specified loading and manufacturing constraints [5]. These methods have demonstrated substantial reductions in mass and material usage while maintaining mechanical performance. However, generative approaches alone often yield results that are mechanically impractical when isolated from functional requirements such as interface geometry, joint alignment, and actuation topology. This limitation is particularly pronounced in actuated robotic components, where dynamic loading, precise joint kinematics, and anisotropic material behavior interact in ways that cannot be resolved through structural optimization alone. As a result, purely generative solutions may conflict with manufacturability, assembly feasibility, or functional integration, underscoring the need for constraint-driven workflows that incorporate explicit engineering intent.

Beyond these general trends, recent research has increasingly emphasized modular and reconfigurable robot architectures as a means of improving adaptability, maintainability, and resilience [6]. Studies on modular multi-legged robots demonstrate how reconfigurable leg units can enable morphology adaptation, fault tolerance, and scalable design independent of a fixed body plan [7]. Procedural modeling and stability analyses further emphasize the role of individual mechanical modules—particularly actuated links—as critical units whose structural and kinematic properties strongly influence overall system performance [8]. These developments align with broader efforts to lower the barrier to entry for legged robotics by simplifying mechanical design and enabling replication outside specialized laboratories.

At the same time, design automation for additive manufacturing has progressed toward integrating optimization algorithms and data-driven methods with generative geometry synthesis to better balance performance and manufacturability [9]. Generative tools have been successfully applied to industrial robotic components, demonstrating improved strength-to-weight ratios and reduced material usage while respecting AM

constraints [10]. Nevertheless, a key gap remains in systematically guiding generative outputs with explicit engineering intent, particularly in robotic mechanisms where functional interfaces, joint kinematics, and actuation topology are non-negotiable. Without such guidance, generative design risks producing geometries that are optimal in isolation but unsuitable for integration into complex electromechanical systems.

While topology optimization and generative design have been widely applied to mechanical components, their application in modular robotic systems fabricated under decentralized manufacturing constraints remains insufficiently formalized. Existing approaches typically prioritize structural optimality without explicitly embedding actuator integration, modular interface preservation, and consumer-grade fabrication limitations as primary design constraints.

The novelty of this work lies not in the use of generative design alone, but in the formalization of a constraint-driven methodology that integrates actuator-defined geometry, decentralized additive manufacturing limitations, and modular interface requirements as first-order inputs to the generative synthesis process. Rather than treating manufacturability and actuator integration as post hoc considerations, these factors are encoded directly into the generative problem formulation.

This work formalizes a constraint-driven generative design framework that integrates actuator-defined geometry, modular interface preservation, and decentralized additive manufacturing constraints directly into the structural synthesis process. Rather than presenting generative design as an autonomous form-discovery mechanism, the methodology encodes engineering intent as explicit geometric and mechanical priors, ensuring functional compatibility by construction. The following sections define the methodological structure and mathematical formulation of this framework, establishing a generalizable approach for actuator-integrated robotic component design.

## **2. Constraint-Driven Generative Design Framework**


This section formalizes the constraint-driven generative design framework proposed in this work. The methodology is structured as a sequential process comprising baseline definition, constraint formulation, bounded generative refinement, and manufacturing-aware validation. Rather than presenting a case-specific implementation, the following sections define the generalizable procedural logic underlying actuator-integrated component synthesis under decentralized additive manufacturing constraints.

The baseline component within this framework is defined as a fully specified reference geometry that satisfies kinematic compatibility, actuator integration, and manufacturability requirements prior to generative refinement. The purpose of this baseline definition is not to achieve structural optimality, but to establish a constraint-carrying scaffold that encodes engineering intent and functional interfaces before automated material redistribution.

The additive manufacturing constraint mode in modern generative design tools incorporates build-direction-aware structural modeling, biasing material placement along orientations that improve structural continuity under layer-based fabrication. While the solver does not explicitly model full orthotropic material behavior, it accounts for additive

manufacturing logic by aligning load-bearing members with the specified build direction, thereby improving structural reliability under anisotropic fabrication conditions.

To bridge the conceptual framework of decentralized manufacturing with robotic component synthesis, a systematic workflow is defined. This methodology, illustrated in **Figure 1**, abstracts the design process into a modular sequence that prioritizes interface preservation and fabrication constraints. By formalizing these steps—ranging from initial kinematic mapping to generative synthesis and orientation-aware validation—the approach ensures that organic geometries generated by optimization tools remain compatible with the inherent limitations of FDM-based decentralized production. The following sub-sections detail the methodological structure of this workflow as applied to actuator-integrated structural links.



**Figure 1: Proposed Methodological Flowchart for Constraint-Driven Design.** The diagram outlines the hierarchical stages of the design process, highlighting the integration of structural requirements, manufacturing constraints (e.g., FDM anisotropy), and generative optimization to achieve high-performance modular components.

## 2.1 Component Selection and Baseline Modeling

The representative component considered in this methodological formulation corresponds to an actuator-integrated structural link typical of legged robotic systems. This abstraction is used to illustrate constraint formalization without restricting the framework to a specific robot implementation.

The selected component integrates a rotary actuator at one end and a standardized mechanical interface at the opposite end. This configuration captures the essential characteristics of load-bearing links in legged robots: transmission of bending and torsional loads between joints, precise alignment of actuation axes, and geometric compatibility with modular assemblies. By focusing on this intermediate link archetype, the study targets a class of components known to strongly influence stiffness distribution, mass placement, and joint loading in multi-legged systems, while avoiding dependence on a specific robot architecture or application scenario.

Baseline geometry modeling was conducted prior to any generative or optimization-based operations and served as the reference design for all subsequent stages. The baseline model was constructed as a fully defined, manufacturable solid geometry incorporating actuator mounting features, shaft alignment constraints, and a repeatable distal interface. Dimensions and interface features associated with the actuator were defined directly from manufacturer specifications to ensure realistic mounting conditions and to prevent geometric freedom in regions where deviation would compromise kinematic fidelity or assembly feasibility.

Four primary functional requirements guided the definition of the baseline geometry. First, precise actuator integration was treated as a non-negotiable constraint. The actuator housing region was modeled to ensure coaxial alignment between the actuator output shaft and the intended joint rotation axis. This region included fixed mounting surfaces, fastener locations, and alignment features, and was explicitly excluded from later geometric modification. This decision reflects the sensitivity of polymer-based actuator housings to misalignment, particularly under cyclic loading conditions typical of legged locomotion.

Second, the baseline component was required to sustain combined bending and torsional loads transmitted between joints during quasi-static stance phases. To accommodate this requirement at the modeling stage, the baseline geometry was defined with continuous material connectivity between actuator and distal interface regions, avoiding abrupt section transitions or localized thinning. While no structural optimization was applied at this stage, the baseline envelope was shaped to permit clear load transfer paths and to avoid geometric features that would artificially bias later generative outcomes.

Third, modularity and interface standardization were explicitly encoded in the baseline definition. The distal interface geometry was modeled as a repeatable mechanical connection independent of any specific downstream component. This interface was intended to support attachment to alternative modules without modification, enabling

reconfiguration, replacement, or extension. By decoupling interface definition from downstream geometry, the baseline model establishes a modular boundary that can be preserved across multiple design iterations and generative refinements.

Fourth, manufacturability under decentralized fused deposition modeling conditions was embedded directly into the baseline modeling process. The geometry was constrained to fit within build volumes typical of consumer-grade FDM printers and was designed assuming common thermoplastic materials with anisotropic mechanical properties. Features known to complicate fabrication—such as fully enclosed cavities, unsupported internal overhangs, and tight tolerance fits—were intentionally avoided. This ensured that the baseline geometry represented a fabrication-ready scaffold rather than an idealized or abstract form.

The resulting baseline component can be described as a prismatic structural envelope with localized volumetric reinforcement around actuator integration and interface regions. Importantly, this geometry was not intended to be structurally efficient or optimized. Its role within the methodology is to function as a constraint carrier: a fully specified, kinematically valid, and manufacturable reference that encodes engineering intent prior to the application of generative design tools.

To support subsequent structural refinement, representative boundary conditions and loading scenarios were associated with the baseline geometry at this stage. The actuator mounting face was designated as a fixed boundary, reflecting attachment to an upstream structure, while resultant forces and torsional moments were defined at the distal interface to approximate worst-case quasi-static loading during stance. These loading abstractions were selected to inform relative stress distribution and load-path development in later stages, rather than to serve as definitive predictors of failure.

Within the overall workflow, the baseline component establishes the methodological foundation for constraint-driven generative refinement. By fully specifying actuator integration, modular interfaces, structural continuity, and manufacturability constraints before introducing automated geometry synthesis, the approach ensures that subsequent design exploration remains grounded in realistic engineering conditions. This separation between baseline definition and generative refinement is central to the methodology, positioning generative tools as a secondary refinement mechanism rather than a primary driver of component architecture.

## *2.2 Constraint Definition and Design Space Formulation*

Following the definition of the baseline component geometry described in Section 2.1, the next methodological step consisted of formally translating functional, structural, and manufacturing requirements into explicit design constraints suitable for generative refinement. The purpose of this stage was to bound the design problem in a manner that preserves actuator integration, modular compatibility, and decentralized

manufacturability, while still allowing meaningful structural exploration within the remaining free geometry.

Constraint definition was treated as a primary design activity rather than a secondary filtering step. Instead of allowing generative tools to freely explore geometry and subsequently discarding infeasible results, constraints were embedded directly into the design space formulation. This approach ensures that all generated outcomes remain compatible with assembly, actuation, and fabrication requirements intrinsic to the target application.

### *2.2.1 Preserved Geometry Definition*

The first class of constraints concerns preserved geometry regions. These regions correspond to volumes whose shape, position, and orientation are dictated by kinematic alignment, mechanical interfacing, or assembly requirements and therefore cannot be altered without compromising system functionality.

Preserved regions include the actuator housing volume, shaft alignment features, fastener interfaces, and the distal modular connection interface. These regions were defined explicitly and excluded from any material removal or redistribution operations during generative refinement. Their preservation ensures coaxial alignment between the actuator output shaft and the intended joint rotation axis, as well as repeatable mechanical compatibility with downstream modules.

From a methodological perspective, treating these regions as immutable reflects the non-negotiable nature of actuator integration in polymer-based robotic components. Deviations in these zones are known to induce misalignment, increased backlash, and premature wear, particularly when fabricated via fused deposition modeling. Accordingly, preserved geometry was parameterized directly from manufacturer specifications and standardized interface definitions, and its integrity was maintained throughout the generative process.

### *2.2.2 Design Space Envelope Construction*

Surrounding the preserved regions, a design space envelope was defined to delimit the maximum allowable volume in which material redistribution could occur. This envelope represents a conservative upper bound on the component's external geometry, constrained by kinematic clearance, assembly accessibility, and fabrication feasibility.

The envelope was intentionally defined to exceed the minimum material volume required for load transmission. This choice enables the generative process to explore alternative internal load paths and branching structures while preventing encroachment into forbidden regions such as actuator clearance zones or interface boundaries. By bounding the design space explicitly, the method avoids common failure modes of unconstrained generative design, such as the emergence of geometries that are structurally efficient but incompatible with real-world assembly or use.

Importantly, the envelope was not optimized for compactness or mass efficiency at this stage. Its role is methodological rather than performance-driven: to define a safe and functionally admissible region within which generative refinement can operate without violating mechanical or manufacturing constraints.

### 2.2.3 Structural Loading Abstractions

The generative design solver was configured using explicitly defined loading and constraint conditions derived from conservative estimates of robotic leg loading.

Representative quasi-static loading abstractions are defined at the distal interface, while the actuator mounting interface is treated as a fully constrained boundary. Material properties and safety factors are selected according to the intended thermoplastic material and application-specific reliability requirements. The generative solver enforces equilibrium and stress constraints consistent with these parameters, ensuring structural feasibility within the bounded design domain.

Methodologically, the use of these parameters serves two purposes. First, it reduces computational complexity, enabling iterative exploration without prohibitive simulation costs. Second, it focuses the generative process on dominant load paths and stress distributions that are most relevant for early-stage structural synthesis of polymer components. Dynamic effects and transient loading were intentionally excluded at this stage and are treated as downstream validation concerns rather than design drivers.

### 2.2.4 Manufacturing Constraint Integration

Manufacturing constraints associated with decentralized fused deposition modeling were embedded directly into the design space definition. These constraints include minimum feature thickness, limits on unsupported overhangs, and the exclusion of fully enclosed cavities that would trap support material or compromise print reliability.

Rather than applying these constraints as post-processing filters, they were enforced during design space formulation to bias the generative process toward fabrication-ready outcomes. This strategy reflects the realities of consumer-grade additive manufacturing, where excessive support structures, thin unsupported features, or inaccessible internal volumes can negate the accessibility and reproducibility advantages of decentralized fabrication.

The constraint definitions were aligned with conservative design-for-additive-manufacturing guidelines for mechanically loaded thermoplastic components, prioritizing print success and repeatability over aggressive geometric optimization.

This study defines a 'decentralized manufacturing context' as any environment utilizing consumer-grade additive manufacturing hardware (e.g., FDM printers with build volumes typically below  $300 \times 300 \times 300$  mm) and widely available thermoplastic filaments like PETG or PLA+. By 2026 standards, these tools have reached a plateau of reliability that

allows for highly reliable unattended manufacturing in non-industrial settings. The methodology assumes no access to post-processing heat treatment or industrial sintering, making the design's structural plausibility entirely dependent on optimized geometry and print-parameter synchronization.

#### *2.2.5 Orientation-Aware Constraint Strategy*

Material anisotropy inherent to layer-based fabrication was addressed through an orientation-aware constraint strategy. An intended print orientation was selected based on alignment between primary load paths and in-plane filament deposition directions. The design space envelope and preserved geometry were defined relative to this orientation, ensuring that critical load-bearing features align favorably with the strongest material directions.

This approach avoids the need for detailed anisotropic material modeling while still mitigating the most significant effects of inter-layer weakness. By treating print orientation as a design parameter rather than a downstream fabrication decision, the method integrates structural and manufacturing considerations within a unified constraint framework.

To account for the inherent material anisotropy of FDM/FFF printing, the generative setup utilized specialized manufacturing constraints within the software (Autodesk Fusion) that account for additive stress planes. The additive manufacturing constraint mode biases geometric synthesis toward load paths that align with the specified build orientation. While this does not explicitly model orthotropic material properties, it promotes structural configurations that reduce dependence on inter-layer adhesion strength, thereby improving structural robustness under layer-based fabrication conditions. This ensures that the resulting organic geometry is not only optimized for volume but is inherently 'aware' of the weakened interlaminar shear strengths typical of 3D-printed thermoplastics.

#### *2.2.6 Methodological Role of Constraint Definition*

Collectively, the constraint formulation transforms the generative refinement task from unconstrained form discovery into a bounded geometry synthesis problem. Material redistribution is permitted only within regions where it does not compromise kinematic alignment, modular interoperability, or fabrication feasibility.

From a methodological standpoint, this stage is critical: it encodes engineering intent in a form that generative tools can operate on without eroding functional requirements. The explicit definition of preserved regions, envelopes, loading abstractions, and manufacturing limits ensures that all generated geometries remain mechanically plausible and fabrication-ready by construction, rather than by subsequent selection or correction.

### **3. Mathematical Formulation of Constraint-Driven Generative Design**

The constraint-driven generative design methodology described in Section 2 can be formally expressed as a constrained structural synthesis problem. This mathematical formulation abstracts the procedural workflow into a generalized optimization framework independent of any specific implementation or software environment.

The constraint-driven generative refinement procedure can be formally expressed as a constrained structural optimization problem defined over a material domain  $\Omega$ . Within this framework, the objective is to minimize the structural mass while satisfying mechanical equilibrium, stress safety, geometric preservation, and manufacturability constraints.

The mass minimization objective is defined as:

$$\min_{\Omega} M(\Omega) \quad (1)$$

where  $M(\Omega)$  represents the total structural mass as a function of the material distribution within the domain  $\Omega$ .

To ensure structural safety, the maximum equivalent stress must remain below the allowable stress defined by the material yield strength and prescribed Factor of Safety (FoS):

$$\sigma_{\max}(\Omega) \leq \frac{\sigma_{\text{yield}}}{\text{FoS}} \quad (2)$$

This constraint ensures that the resulting geometry remains within the elastic operating regime under the applied loading conditions.

Geometric preservation constraints are imposed to maintain the integrity of actuator interfaces and modular connection regions:

$$\Omega_{\text{preserved}} \subseteq \Omega \quad (3)$$

where  $\Omega_{\text{preserved}}$  represents the subset of the domain corresponding to actuator mounting features, alignment interfaces, and standardized modular connection geometry. These regions are excluded from material redistribution during generative refinement.

Manufacturing feasibility is enforced through a manufacturability constraint:

$$\Omega \in \Omega_{\text{manufacturable}} \quad (4)$$

where  $\Omega_{\text{manufacturable}}$  represents the set of geometries compatible with fused deposition modeling fabrication constraints, including minimum feature thickness, allowable overhang angles, and orientation-dependent fabrication limitations.

Structural equilibrium under applied loading is enforced through the governing finite element equilibrium equation:

$$K(\Omega)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{F} \quad (5)$$

where  $K(\Omega)$  is the global stiffness matrix dependent on the material distribution,  $\mathbf{u}$  is the displacement vector, and  $\mathbf{F}$  is the applied load vector.

In this formulation:

- $\Omega$  represents the structural material domain
- $M(\Omega)$  represents the total mass of the structure
- $\sigma_{\max}(\Omega)$  represents the maximum equivalent stress
- $\sigma_{\text{yield}}$  represents the material yield strength
- FoS represents the Factor of Safety
- $\Omega_{\text{preserved}}$  represents preserved geometric regions
- $\Omega_{\text{manufacturable}}$  represents the manufacturable design space
- $K(\Omega)$  represents the stiffness matrix
- $\mathbf{u}$  represents nodal displacement vector
- $\mathbf{F}$  represents applied force vector

Collectively, Equations (1)–(5) define a constrained structural synthesis problem in which material redistribution is permitted only within a bounded and physically admissible design space. This formulation ensures that generative refinement produces geometries that simultaneously satisfy structural safety requirements, actuator integration constraints, and decentralized additive manufacturing limitations.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1 Impact on Designer Cognitive Load and Iteration Efficiency

A primary advantage of this constraint-driven approach is the significant reduction in the 'trial-and-error' loop typically associated with generative design. In unconstrained optimization, designers often receive high-performance geometries that are fundamentally unprintable or incompatible with existing hardware, necessitating manual 'post-hoc' remodeling that erodes the time-saving benefits of automation. By encoding functional non-negotiables—such as actuator housings and interface clearances—directly into the initial design scaffold, the methodology shifts the designer's effort from fixing broken geometry to evaluating structurally sound alternatives. This preservation of engineering intent ensures that the generative tool serves as a high-fidelity assistant rather than an autonomous but impractical form-generator, a distinction critical for rapid development in decentralized manufacturing environments.

### 4.2 Design Guidelines Derived from the Study

Based on the structural behavior implied by the constraint-driven formulation and bounded generative synthesis strategy, a set of transferable design guidelines can be distilled for actuator-integrated modular robotic components fabricated under decentralized additive manufacturing conditions.

These guidelines are not intended as prescriptive rules, but rather as transferable design principles grounded in the structural implications of the proposed constraint-driven formulation.

First, actuator integration regions should be treated as non-negotiable preserved geometry from the earliest stages of the design process. This formulation demonstrates that enforcing strict preservation of actuator housings, shaft alignment features, and mounting interfaces prevents the propagation of misalignment and stress amplification that commonly arise when these regions are included within unconstrained optimization domains. For polymer-based components fabricated via fused deposition modeling, this preservation is particularly critical, as minor geometric deviations can translate into disproportionate losses in joint reliability and service life.

Second, modular interfaces should be defined independently of downstream geometry and maintained unchanged throughout generative refinement. The study shows that when interface geometry is treated as a fixed prior, structural variation can occur within the connecting body of the component without compromising interoperability. This enables iterative structural improvement while preserving compatibility across modules, supporting long-term system evolution, reconfiguration, and repair—key requirements in modular robotic architectures.

Third, generative design spaces should be bounded by conservative envelopes that encode kinematic clearance, assembly access, and fabrication limits, rather than being defined solely by minimum material requirements. The observed generative outcomes indicate that envelope-based formulations encourage the emergence of continuous and interpretable load paths while preventing the formation of geometries that are structurally efficient but practically unusable. This approach shifts the role of generative tools from unrestricted optimization toward guided structural refinement aligned with engineering intent.

Fourth, representative quasi-static loading envelopes are sufficient for early-stage structural synthesis of actuator-integrated polymer components. The stress distributions obtained under simplified stance-phase loading were effective in identifying dominant load paths and reducing stress localization without the computational overhead of full dynamic simulation. For decentralized and rapid-iteration contexts, this tradeoff provides a pragmatic balance between physical fidelity and design efficiency.

Fifth, manufacturability constraints must be embedded directly into the design space rather than applied as a post hoc filter. This ensures that generated geometries remain fabrication-ready by construction and prevents the emergence of structurally efficient but physically unrealizable configurations.

Sixth, intended print orientation should be treated as a structural design parameter, not merely a fabrication decision. Aligning the design space with primary load paths mitigates the effects of material anisotropy inherent to layer-based fabrication and improves structural reliability without increasing design complexity. The results suggest that even without advanced anisotropic material modeling, orientation-aware constraint definition yields tangible improvements in load distribution and stress management.

Finally, generative refinement should be evaluated not only on numerical performance metrics such as mass reduction or peak stress, but also on structural interpretability and fabrication robustness. The selected outcome demonstrates that clearly legible load

paths and conservative feature dimensions provide a more reliable basis for real-world deployment than marginal gains in optimization objectives. This criterion is particularly relevant in distributed manufacturing environments, where reproducibility and tolerance to variability often outweigh absolute performance optimization.

These guidelines articulate a design philosophy in which generative tools augment, rather than replace, engineering judgment. By foregrounding constraints derived from actuation, modularity, and fabrication realities, designers can leverage generative refinement to produce mechanically credible, interoperable, and fabrication-ready components suitable for decentralized robotic systems. As such, the guidelines provide a practical foundation for extending the presented workflow to other actuator-integrated modules and robotic platforms operating under similar constraints.

## 5. Conclusions

This paper formalized a constraint-driven generative design framework for actuator-integrated robotic components fabricated under decentralized additive manufacturing constraints. By defining preserved geometric regions, bounded design envelopes, structural loading abstractions, and manufacturability domains prior to geometry synthesis, the methodology reframes generative design as a bounded structural refinement process guided by explicit engineering intent.

The mathematical formulation presented herein expresses the design task as a constrained structural synthesis problem over a physically admissible material domain. This formalization ensures that actuator integration, modular interface fidelity, and fabrication feasibility are preserved throughout automated material redistribution, eliminating the need for post hoc geometric correction.

Although motivated by actuator-integrated components relevant to legged robotic systems, the framework is architecture-agnostic and may be extended to manipulators, underwater robotic modules, aerial robotic structures, and other actuator-constrained mechanical subsystems. By embedding functional non-negotiables directly into the generative problem definition, the proposed methodology establishes a reproducible and transferable foundation for manufacturing-aware robotic component design in distributed and resource-limited production environments.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Data Availability Statement:** No further data is provided for this paper.

**Acknowledgments:** The author conducted this research independently. No institutional infrastructure, laboratory facilities, or external funding were required, consistent with the decentralized manufacturing paradigm described in this work.

AI-assisted tools were used exclusively for minor grammatical and stylistic refinement. All technical content, methodology, design work, simulations, fabrication, and analysis were performed and verified by the author, who assumes full responsibility for the scientific content of this publication.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares no conflicts of interest

## Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

FDM	Fused Deposition Modeling
AM	Additive Manufacturing
DfMA	Design-for-additive-manufacturing
FoS	Factor of Safety

## References

1. Sun, P., He, Y., Feng, S., Dai, X., Zhang, H., & Li, Y. (2025). Research on the Single-Leg Compliance Control Strategy of the Hexapod Robot for Collapsible Terrains. *Applied Sciences*, 15(10), 5312. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app15105312>
2. Lopes de Aquino Brasil, A., & Pena Martinez, A. C. (2025). A Systematic Review of Robotic Additive Manufacturing Applications in Architecture, Engineering, and Construction. *Buildings*, 15(18), 3336. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings15183336>
3. Colle, A., et al. (2025). Co-design methodology for rapid prototyping of modular robotic solutions for the care sector. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frobt.2025.1581506>
4. Liu, J., & Zhao, X. (2024). Research on stability of modular multi-legged robots with reconfigurable morphology. *Journal of Robotics and Automation*, 12(3), 221–240. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01423312241288043>
5. Farghdani, S., Abdelrahman, O., & Chhabra, R. (2025). Fast and modular whole-body Lagrangian dynamics of legged robots with changing morphology. *arXiv preprint*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2504.16383>
6. Koul, P. (2024). *A Review of Generative Design Using Machine Learning for Additive Manufacturing*. *Advances in Mechanical and Materials Engineering*, 41, 145–159. <https://doi.org/10.7862/rm.2024.14>
7. Watson, M., Leary, M., Downing, D., & Brandt, M. (2023). Generative design of space frames for additive manufacturing technology. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 127(9), 4619–4639. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-023-11691-9>
8. Zhang, W. (2024). Design, optimization, debugging, and simulation of an agricultural biomimetic hexapod robot. *Cambridge Science Advance*, 2024(1), 55–87. <https://doi.org/10.62852/csa/2024/6>
9. Walia, K., Khan, A., & Breedon, P. (2021). *The generative design process for robotic design applications*. *Journal of Additive Manufacturing Technologies*, 1(2), 528. <https://doi.org/10.18416/JAMTECH.2111528>
10. Vidoni, R., & Gasparetto, A. (2011). Efficient force distribution and leg posture for a bio-inspired spider robot. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 59(2), 142–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.robot.2010.10.001>