STM JOURNALS

International Journal of
Mechanical Dynamics and
Systems Analysis

Volume 2, Issue 2, 2024
July–December
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/IJMDSA

https://journals.stmjournals.com/ijmdsa

Review IJMDSA

Enhancing Workplace Safety Through Behavior-Based Safety (BBS): A Case Study and Experimental Analysis

Manikandan Hareendran[1,*], Santhu Varghese Thomas[1], Vivek Kanjiangat[2]

Abstract

Behavior-based safety (BBS) is a method designed to enhance workplace safety by concentrating on the actions and behaviors of workers. It works by encouraging a proactive safety culture where everyone is actively engaged in preventing accidents. The approach emphasizes the importance of identifying and addressing unsafe behaviors before they lead to incidents. This article explores the principles of BBS, describes an experimental case study conducted at a manufacturing facility, and presents the outcomes and implications. The case study used behavioral observation, feedback, and training as interventions to encourage safe behaviors among employees. Results demonstrated a statistically significant reduction in unsafe acts and incidents, indicating the effectiveness of BBS as a safety management strategy.

Keywords: Behavior-based safety (BBS), Workplace safety, Safety culture, Behavioral observation, Safety management strategy

INTRODUCTION TO BEHAVIOUR-BASED SAFETY CONCEPTS

Behavior-based safety (BBS) is a safety strategy that aims to prevent accidents and injuries by encouraging safer actions and habits among employees. Instead of only reacting to incidents, it focuses on changing behaviors to create a safer work environment. Unlike traditional safety programs that emphasize equipment or environmental controls, BBS addresses human actions, recognizing that the vast majority of workplace incidents are linked to behavior rather than solely to environmental hazards or mechanical failure [1].

BBS is grounded in behavioral psychology and uses techniques such as observation, feedback, positive reinforcement, and training to encourage safe behaviors and discourage unsafe ones. The aim is to build a safety-oriented culture where employees are empowered to make safe choices, recognize potential risks, and support each other in maintaining a safe work environment. This approach often follows the ABC (antecedents, behavior, consequences) model of behavior.

- *Antecedents:* These are events or conditions that trigger behavior. In a safety context, this could be a warning sign, a training session, or safety protocols that set expectations for how to act in specific situations [2].
- *Behavior:* The observable actions of individuals. BBS focuses on identifying and modifying Behaviours that lead to unsafe acts or conditions.

***Author for Correspondence**
Manikandan Hareendran
E-mail: manikandan.h@mangalam.in

[1]Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Mangalam College of Engineering (APJAKTU), Kottayam, Kerala, India
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Birla Institute of Technology and Science, Pilani, Rajasthan, India

Received Date: November 12, 2024
Accepted Date: November 21, 2024
Published Date: November 25, 2024

Citation: Manikandan Hareendran, Santhu Varghese Thomas, Vivek Kanjiangat. Enhancing Workplace Safety Through Behavior-Based Safety (BBS): A Case Study and Experimental Analysis. International Journal of Mechanical Dynamics and Systems Analysis. 2024; 2(2): 25–28p.

- *Consequences:* Consequences are the outcomes of a person's actions, and these outcomes can either encourage or discourage the same behavior in the future. For instance, positive feedback for wearing personal protective equipment (PPE) reinforces compliance, while addressing unsafe actions can discourage repeating them [3].

Core Components of Behavior-Based Safety

- *Behavioral observation:* Observers monitor employees as they work to identify safe and unsafe behaviors. Observation data helps identify areas that need improvement and allows us to monitor progress or changes over time. Feedback and Coaching: Providing real-time, constructive feedback is crucial in BBS. Positive reinforcement for safe Behaviours encourages adherence, while constructive feedback for unsafe behaviors helps individuals recognize and rectify them [4–6].
- *Employee engagement:* Engaging employees in safety initiatives increases ownership and accountability. This includes involving employees in creating observation checklists, conducting peer observations, and suggesting ways to enhance safety.
- *Training and reinforcement:* BBS relies on continuous education to equip employees with the knowledge and skills needed for safe behavior. Training is complemented by reinforcement strategies, such as rewards or recognition that incentivize consistent adherence to safety practices.
- *Data collection and analysis:* Data from observations and incident reports helps identify trends, evaluate program effectiveness, and make adjustments to improve safety initiatives.

Benefits of Behavior-Based Safety

BBS helps foster a culture of continuous safety improvement, where employees take proactive steps to ensure not only their safety but also the safety of their peers. Organizations that implement BBS often see reductions in accidents, lower incident rates, and increased employee morale as safety becomes a shared responsibility. BBS emphasizes the role of behavior in workplace safety, empowering employees to make safe choices through awareness, training, and feedback, and creating a sustainable safety culture that prioritizes risk reduction [7].

LITERATURE REVIEW

Training and reinforcement: Ensuring employees understand safe practices and receive positive reinforcement for adhering to them.

Prior studies highlight that BBS, when implemented effectively, can reduce unsafe behaviors by up to 30% to 50% and lower incident rates significantly. However, success depends on management commitment, employee involvement, and consistent monitoring.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design

The experimental case study was conducted in a medium-sized manufacturing facility with 150 employees. The facility reported frequent minor incidents related to unsafe behaviors, such as improper use of PPE and disregard for safety protocols in machinery operation. The study followed a design where data was collected before the intervention and then again after the BBS intervention was implemented [8].

Data Collection

Data was collected over 6 months, split into three phases:

1. *Baseline phase (months 1–2):* Observers recorded the frequency of unsafe behaviours and incident reports without intervention.
2. *Intervention phase (months 3–4):* The BBS program was introduced, including training, observational feedback, and incentives for safe behavior.
3. *Follow-up phase (months 5–6):* Observers continued to record behaviors to evaluate the intervention's lasting impact.

Intervention

The BBS intervention included:

- *Behavioral observation:* Supervisors and peer observers conducted daily observations to identify safe and unsafe behaviors.
- *Feedback sessions:* At the end of each shift, workers received individual feedback on observed behaviors, emphasizing positive reinforcement [9–11].
- *Incentive program:* Rewards were given monthly to employees demonstrating consistent safe behavior.

Measurement

Metrics included:

- *Unsafe behavior frequency:* The percentage of observed unsafe behaviors per total observations.
- *Incident rate:* Number of incidents reported monthly.
- *Employee compliance:* Use of PPE and adherence to safety protocols, measured by random checks.

Unsafe Behavior Frequency

The frequency of unsafe behaviors reduced from a baseline average of 42% to 16% during the intervention phase as shown in Table 1. In the follow-up phase, unsafe behavior frequency remained low at 18%, indicating sustained behavioral changes.

Incident Rate

The monthly incident rate dropped from 6 incidents at baseline to 2 incidents during the intervention and follow-up phases, demonstrating a 66% reduction in incident frequency as shown in Table 2.

Employee Compliance

Observational checks on PPE usage showed improved compliance rates, rising from 58% at baseline to 92% during the intervention phase. Compliance slightly declined to 87% in the follow-up phase, yet remained significantly higher than baseline levels as shown in Table 3.

Table 1. Unsafe behaviour frequency average.

Phase	Unsafe behavior frequency (%)
Baseline (months 1–2)	42%
Intervention (months 3–4)	16%
Follow-up (months 5–6)	18%

Table 2. Incident rate.

Phase	Monthly incident rate
Baseline (months 1–2)	6
Intervention (months 3–4)	2
Follow-up (months 5–6)	2

Table 3. Employee compliance.

Phase	Personal protective equipment (PPE) compliance (%)
Baseline (months 1–2)	58%
Intervention (months 3–4)	92%
Follow-up (months 5–6)	87%

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results demonstrate the effectiveness of BBS in reducing unsafe behaviors and incidents in a manufacturing setting. By addressing unsafe behaviors through systematic observation, feedback, and reinforcement, BBS created a more safety-conscious workforce. The sustained improvement in safe behavior during the follow-up phase suggests a lasting Behavioural change, a critical outcome in BBS effectiveness. Several factors contributed to the success:

- *Employee involvement:* Involving employees in observations increased buy-in and accountability.
- *Consistent feedback:* Regular feedback reinforced safe behaviors and corrected unsafe practices in real time.
- *Incentives:* Recognition and rewards motivated employees to adhere to safety practices consistently.

CONCLUSION

This case study validates BBS as a valuable strategy for enhancing workplace safety by actively engaging employees in safe behavior practices. Implementing BBS can effectively reduce unsafe behaviors, lower incident rates, and promote a sustainable safety culture. Future studies could explore BBS across various industries and evaluate long-term impacts, particularly in high-risk environments where safety is critical.

Recommendations for Practitioners

- Establish clear BBS protocols, including systematic observations and feedback.
- Involve employees in designing and executing BBS programs.
- Use incentives carefully to avoid dependence and promote intrinsic motivation for safety.

Limitations and Future Work

The study's limitations include its reliance on self-reporting and observational bias, as observations were conducted by supervisors within the same facility. Future research could expand the sample size and incorporate automated observation tools for more objective measurements.

REFERENCES

1. Cooper MD. Behavioral safety interventions a review of process design factors. Profess Safety. 2009; 54 (2): 37–45.
2. Geller ES. The Psychology of Safety Handbook. Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press.
3. McSween TE. The Values-Based Safety Process: Improving Your Safety Culture with a Behavioral Approach. New York, NY, USA: Wiley-Interscience.
4. Krause TR, Hidley JH, Hodson SJ. The Behavior-Based Safety Process: Managing Involvement for an Injury-Free Culture. New York, NY, USA: Van Nostrand Reinhold; 1997.
5. Neal A, Griffin MA. A study of the lagged relationships among safety climate, safety motivation, safety behavior, and accidents at the individual and group levels. J Appl Psychol. 2006; 91 (4): 946–953.
6. Zohar D. A group-level model of safety climate: testing the effect of group climate on microaccidents in manufacturing jobs. J Appl Psychol. 2000; 85 (4): 587–596.
7. Depasquale JP, Geller ES. Critical success factors for behavior-based safety: a study of twenty industry-wide applications. J Safety Res. 1999; 30 (4): 237–249.
8. Christian MS, Bradley JC, Wallace JC, Burke MJ. Workplace safety: a meta-analysis of the roles of person and situation factors. J Appl Psychol. 2009; 94 (5): 1103–1127.
9. Haines F. Corporate Regulation: Beyond 'Punish or Persuade.' Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press; 1997.
10. Pidgeon N, O'Leary M. Man-made disasters: why technology and organizations (sometimes) fail. Safety Sci. 2000; 34 (1–3): 15–30.
11. Guastello SJ. Do we really know how well our occupational accident prevention programs work? Safety Sci. 1993; 16 (3–4): 445–463.

