

The Relationship Between Leadership Styles and Decision-Making: A Conceptual Study

Dipika Gupta^{1*}, Gunjan Gupta²

Abstract

Leadership plays a vital role in determining organizational effectiveness, particularly through its influence on decision-making processes. Effective decision-making is a fundamental managerial function that shapes organizational performance, strategic direction, and long-term sustainability. Leadership style, defined as the consistent pattern of behaviors adopted by leaders to influence and guide followers, significantly affects how decisions are formulated, communicated, and implemented within organizations. This conceptual paper examines the impact of three classical leadership styles—autocratic, democratic, and laissez-faire on decision-making effectiveness through a comprehensive review of existing theoretical and empirical literature. The study adopted qualitative conceptual research design using secondary sources, which integrates the existing views about leadership behavior and decision making in the organization. The analysis reveals that autocratic leadership facilitates rapid decision-making and strong control, making it suitable for crisis situations, although it limits employee participation and creativity. Democratic leadership promotes collaboration, employee engagement, and higher-quality decisions by incorporating diverse perspectives; however, it may delay decision-making in time-sensitive situations. Laissez-faire leadership encourages autonomy, flexibility, and innovation among competent employees but may result in ambiguity, poor coordination, and inconsistent outcomes due to limited guidance. The results indicate that there is no “right” leadership style for every situation in an organization. Instead, effective leadership requires a situational approach in which leaders adapt their style according to organizational objectives, task complexity, environmental conditions, and employee competence. The study contributes to the theoretical understanding of the relationship between leadership styles and decision-making while providing practical implications for managers and organizational leaders seeking to enhance decision quality, employee participation, and overall organizational effectiveness.

Keywords: Leadership styles, decision-making, autocratic leadership, democratic leadership, laissez-faire leadership, organizational behavior

*Author for Correspondence

Dipika Gupta
E-mail: dipikag714@gmail.com

¹Assistant Teacher, Department of Education, Rajkiya Uchch Madhyamik Vidyalaya Kunjalahi, Nautan, West Champaran, Bihar, India

²Assistant Teacher, Department of Education, Samvedna Project Girls Senior Secondary School Daudpur, Chhapra (Saran), Bihar, India

Received Date: June 22, 2026

Accepted Date: September 08, 2026

Published Date: September 09, 2026

Citation: Dipika Gupta, Gunjan Gupta. The Relationship Between Leadership Styles and Decision-Making: A Conceptual Study. International Journal of Behavioral Sciences. 2026; 3(2): 89–96p.

INTRODUCTION

Leadership is considered a key element in achieving organizational success. It involves guiding individuals, managing resources, and aligning efforts toward achieving shared goals [1]. Among the various roles performed by leaders, decision-making is one of the most critical, as it directly affects organizational outcomes and strategic direction [2–6]. Decision making is a very complex thinking and social process of choosing a course of action from a set of options [7]. It is affected by the organizational structure, environmental uncertainty and leadership behavior.

Leadership style, in particular, plays a crucial role in shaping how decisions are made, who participates in the process, and how effectively decisions are implemented [8].

Leadership style is the consistent pattern of behavior displayed by leaders in interacting with followers. Early research by Lewin, Lippitt, and White classified leadership styles into three primary categories: autocratic, democratic, and laissez-faire. These styles continue to serve as foundational frameworks for understanding leadership behavior and its impact on decision-making [9].

This paper is designed to examine the connection between leadership styles and decision making processes based on the literature reviewed theoretically and empirically [10]. It highlights how different leadership approaches influence decision outcomes and organizational effectiveness [11–13].

Research Questions

1. What are the key concepts and characteristics of major leadership styles?
2. How do leadership styles influence the decision-making process in organizations?
3. How does autocratic, democratic and laissez-faire leadership affect the effectiveness of decision making?
4. Which leadership styles are most suitable for different organizational situations?

Operational Definitions of the Key Terms

The following key terms are defined in the context of this study:

Leadership Style

Leadership style refers to the consistent pattern of behavior exhibited by a leader in influencing, guiding, and interacting with subordinates in an organizational setting [1]. In this study, leadership style is categorized into autocratic, democratic, and laissez-faire.

Autocratic Leadership

A style of leadership that concentrates the authority for decision making in the hands of the leader, and the leader makes decisions without the input of subordinates [14].

Democratic Leadership

Democratic leadership refers to a participative leadership style in which leaders involve employees in the decision-making process by seeking their opinions, suggestions, and feedback before making final decisions.

Laissez-Faire Leadership

Laissez-faire leadership is one form of leadership that engages minimum leadership influence and autonomy in decision making by employees [3].

Decision-Making

Decision Making involves the selection of the optimum option from a set of options in order to attain the desired ends [7].

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The objectives of this study are:

1. To understand the basic concepts and different types of leadership styles.
1. To examine how leadership styles are related to the decision-making process.
2. To explore how different leadership styles affect the effectiveness and quality of decision-making.
3. To identify suitable leadership approaches for different organizational situations and circumstances.

METHODOLOGY

This study is a conceptual study using secondary data. Data has been gathered from:

- Peer-reviewed journal articles
- Academic textbooks
- Research reports
- Reputable online sources

The analysis is qualitative and interpretive, aimed at the synthesis of the already existing theories and findings on leadership and decision making.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Concept of Leadership

Leadership can be said to be the power of a person to influence a group to attain a common objective. It is a process, and a relationship between leaders and followers [1]. The effectiveness of a leader is reliant on situational adaptability of behavior.

Recognized three basic leadership styles:

- Autocratic
- Democratic
- Laissez-faire

These styles differ in terms of authority distribution, participation, and decision-making processes.

Concept of Decision-Making

Decisions are made by choosing from a number of options the best one to take between all of them that will bring about the desired results [7]. It involves problem identification, evaluation of alternatives, and implementation of solutions (Table 1).

Effective decision-making requires:

- Rational analysis
- Information availability
- Ethical consideration
- Stakeholder involvement

Leadership plays a key role in guiding this process.

Table 1. Literature review.

Author(s)	Year	Focus area	Key findings
Lewin, Lippitt & White [14]	1939	Leadership styles	Identified autocratic, democratic, and laissez-faire leadership styles and their behavioral impacts
Simon [7]	1977	Decision-making theory	Introduced bounded rationality; decisions are not always fully rational
Yukl [11]	2002	Leadership & decision-making	Leadership behavior significantly affects decision quality and implementation
Avolio & Bass [2]	2004	Leadership effectiveness	Leadership style influences organizational performance and innovation
Goleman [20]	2000	Leadership styles	Emotional intelligence shapes leadership effectiveness and decision-making
Northouse [1]	2019	Leadership theory	Leadership is a relational and adaptive process
Robbins & Judge [9]	2017	Organizational behavior	Leadership style impacts employee motivation and decision outcomes
Hersey & Blanchard [10]	1988	Situational leadership	No single leadership style is effective in all situations

Leadership Styles and Decision-Making Autocratic Leadership

Autocratic leadership is characterized by centralized authority where the leader makes decisions independently without consulting subordinates [14].

Influence on Decision-Making

- *Speed*: High—decisions are made quickly.
- *Control*: Strong control over outcomes.
- *Clarity*: Clear direction and authority.

Limitations

- Low employee involvement.
- Reduced creativity and innovation.
- Potential for low morale and resistance

Autocratic leadership is effective in crisis situations or when employees lack experience.

Democratic Leadership

Democratic leadership involves participative decision-making where leaders encourage input from team members [14].

Influence on Decision-Making

- *Quality*: Higher due to diverse perspectives.
- *Engagement*: Increased employee satisfaction.
- *Creativity*: Encourages innovation

Limitations

- Time-consuming process
- Potential for conflict among team members
- Not suitable for urgent decisions

Democratic leadership is highly effective in complex problem-solving environments.

Laissez-Faire Leadership

Laissez-faire leadership is a hands-off approach where leaders provide minimal direction [14].

Influence on Decision-Making

- *Autonomy*: High level of independence.
- *Innovation*: Encourages creativity
- *Flexibility*: Suitable for skilled teams

Limitations

- Lack of guidance.
- Poor coordination
- Risk of inefficiency

This style is effective only when employees are highly competent and self-motivated.

The Table 2 above provides a comparative analysis of three major leadership styles—autocratic, democratic, and laissez-faire—based on four key dimensions: decision speed, participation, decision quality, and risk level. This comparison highlights how each leadership style influences the decision-making process and organizational outcomes (Table 2).

Table 2. Comparative analysis of leadership style.

Leadership Style	Decision speed	Participation	Decision quality	Risk level
Autocratic	High	Low	Moderate	Low short-term, high long-term
Democratic	Moderate	High	High	Low
Laissez-faire	Variable	Very High	Uncertain	High

Autocratic leadership is characterized by high decision speed and low participation, indicating that decisions are made quickly by the leader without consulting team members. This centralized approach is particularly effective in situations that require immediate action, such as crises or time-sensitive operations. However, the moderate decision quality suggests that the lack of diverse input may limit the depth and creativity of decisions. Additionally, while the risk level is low in the short term due to quick execution and clear authority, it becomes high in the long term because employee dissatisfaction, reduced innovation, and overdependence on the leader may negatively affect organizational sustainability [15].

In contrast, democratic leadership demonstrates a more balanced approach, with moderate decision speed and high participation [16].

This means that the leaders engage staff in the decision making process, to encourage discussion and collaboration. As a result, the decision quality is high, as multiple perspectives contribute to more informed and well-rounded outcomes [1]. The low risk level associated with this style reflects greater acceptance of decisions, improved employee morale, and stronger commitment to implementation. However, the moderate speed implies that this approach may not be suitable in urgent situations where quick decisions are required [17].

Laissez-faire leadership presents a unique profile, with variable decision speed and very high participation [18]. In this style, employees are given significant autonomy to make decisions independently [19–21]. While this can foster creativity and innovation, the uncertain decision quality suggests that outcomes depend heavily on the competence and motivation of team members [22]. The high risk level reflects the potential for lack of coordination, unclear direction, and inconsistent decision-making, particularly in environments where employees require guidance and structure.

DISCUSSION

The results show that the leadership role has a significant impact on the decision making process and its results. Autocratic leadership ensures efficiency but limits innovation. Democratic leadership improves decision quality but requires more time. Laissez-faire leadership promotes creativity but may result in lack of direction [23–29].

According to situational leadership theory, the style a leader should use must vary according to context. For example:

- Use autocratic style in emergencies
- Use democratic style for strategic decisions
- Use laissez-faire style for creative tasks

Modern organizations require leaders to balance control and participation to achieve optimal results [1].

CONCLUSION

This conceptual study examined the influence of leadership styles on organizational decision-making by reviewing and synthesizing established theories and empirical literature. The findings demonstrate that leadership style is a fundamental determinant of how decisions are formulated, communicated, and implemented within organizations. The review confirms that leadership behavior significantly affects decision speed, decision quality, employee participation, innovation, and the

overall effectiveness of organizational outcomes. Thus, leadership style should be viewed not merely as a managerial preference but as a strategic factor that directly contributes to organizational success.

The analysis of the three classical leadership styles reveals that each approach offers distinct strengths and limitations. Autocratic leadership facilitates rapid and decisive action, making it particularly suitable for crisis situations and environments requiring immediate responses. However, its centralized nature may restrict employee participation, creativity, and long-term commitment. Democratic leadership encourages collaboration, shared responsibility, and the integration of diverse perspectives, thereby improving decision quality, employee satisfaction, and acceptance of organizational decisions. Nevertheless, the participative process may reduce decision speed in situations demanding urgent action. Laissez-faire leadership gives people freedom and independence, which encourages competent and self-motivated team to be creative and innovative. However, insufficient guidance and supervision may lead to ambiguity, poor coordination, and inconsistent decision outcomes.

The review further supports the principles of situational leadership by emphasizing that no single leadership style is universally effective across all organizational contexts. Instead, effective leadership requires flexibility, adaptability, and the ability to align leadership behavior with organizational goals, task complexity, environmental conditions, and employee competence. Leaders who can appropriately balance authority, participation, and autonomy are more likely to achieve effective decision-making and sustainable organizational performance.

In conclusion, this study makes a significant contribution to the theory behind the relationship between leadership and decision making and offers practical suggestions for managers, administrators and organizational leaders. It also highlights the need for future empirical research to validate these conceptual relationships across diverse organizational settings and sectors, thereby strengthening the evidence base for leadership practices that promote effective and context-sensitive decision-making.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this conceptual review, several recommendations are proposed to enhance leadership effectiveness and improve organizational decision-making processes.

1. *Adopt a situational leadership approach:* Leaders should adopt a flexible leadership style that aligns with the specific organizational context, task requirements, environmental conditions, and the competence of team members. Consistent with Hersey and Blanchard's Situational Leadership Theory, adapting leadership behavior to changing circumstances can significantly improve decision-making effectiveness.
2. *Promote participative decision-making:* Organizations should encourage democratic and participative decision-making whenever appropriate. Involving employees in the decision-making process enhances decision quality, strengthens employee commitment, increases job satisfaction, and promotes a culture of collaboration and shared responsibility.
3. *Strengthen leadership development programs:* Leadership training initiatives should focus on developing adaptability, emotional intelligence, communication skills, conflict management, and evidence-based decision-making competencies. Such programs can prepare leaders to respond effectively to diverse organizational challenges and dynamic work environments.
4. *Ensure effective communication:* Clear, transparent, and timely communication should be maintained throughout the decision-making process. Open communication reduces misunderstandings, builds trust among employees, and facilitates the successful implementation of organizational decisions.
5. *Balance efficiency with inclusiveness:* Leaders should strive to balance the need for rapid decision-making with opportunities for employee participation. While autocratic approaches may be appropriate during emergencies, democratic practices should be encouraged for strategic and long-term decisions, and laissez-faire leadership should be applied selectively with highly competent and self-motivated teams.

6. *Encourage future research:* Since this study is conceptual and based on secondary sources, future empirical studies should examine the relationship between leadership styles and decision-making across different organizational sectors, cultures, and leadership levels. Comparative and longitudinal research can provide stronger evidence for identifying leadership practices that contribute to sustainable organizational performance.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Aghbar L, Elshurafa O, Lowrey JS. Book review: Northouse & Lee (2019) Leadership case studies in education. Canadian Journal of Educational Administration and Policy. 2019 Jun 2(189).
2. Avolio BJ, Bass BM. Multifactor leadership questionnaire (TM). Mind Garden, Inc. Menlo Park, CA. 2004:1–0.
3. Bass BM, Bass Bernard M. Leadership and performance beyond expectations. New York: Free press; 1985 May.
4. Bolden R. Distributed leadership in organizations: A review of theory and research. International journal of management reviews. 2011 Sep;13(3):251–69.
5. Day DV, Fleenor JW, Atwater LE, Sturm RE, McKee RA. Advances in leader and leadership development: A review of 25 years of research and theory. The leadership quarterly. 2014 Feb 1;25(1):63–82.
6. Den Hartog DN, De Hoogh AH. Empowering behaviour and leader fairness and integrity: Studying perceptions of ethical leader behaviour from a levels-of-analysis perspective. European journal of work and organizational psychology. 2009 Jun 1;18(2):199–230.
7. Simon HA. Foreword papers in honor of chester I. Barnard. International Journal of Public Administration. 1994 Jan 1;17(6):1021–31.
8. Eisenhardt KM, Zbaracki MJ. Strategic decision making. Strategic management journal. 1992 Dec;13(S2):17–37.
9. Robbins SP, Judge T. Organizational behavior. Pearson South Africa; 2009.
10. Raza SA, Sikandar A. Impact of leadership style of teacher on the performance of students: An application of Hersey and Blanchard situational model. Bulletin of Education and Research. 2018 Dec;40(3):73–94.
11. Borgmann L, Rowold J, Bormann KC. Integrating leadership research: A meta-analytical test of Yukl's meta-categories of leadership. Personnel Review. 2016 Sep 5;45(6):1340–66.
12. Judge TA, Piccolo RF. Transformational and transactional leadership: a meta-analytic test of their relative validity. Journal of applied psychology. 2004 Oct;89(5):755.
13. Johnson-Laird PN, Shafir E. The interaction between reasoning and decision making: An introduction. Cognition. 1993 Oct 1;49(1-2):1–9.
14. Lewin K, Lippitt R, White RK. Patterns of aggressive behavior in experimentally created "social climates". The Journal of social psychology. 1939 May 1;10(2):269–99.
15. Stogdill RM, Shartle CL. Methods for determining patterns of leadership behavior in relation to organization structure and objectives. Journal of Applied Psychology. 1948 Jun;32(3):286–291.
16. Ghiselli EE. Some perspectives for industrial psychology. American Psychologist. 1974 Feb;29(2):80–87.
17. Mintzberg H, Raisinghani D, Theoret A. The structure of "unstructured" decision processes. Administrative science quarterly. 1976 Jun 21(2):246–75.
18. Kent TW. Leading and managing: it takes two to tango. Management Decision. 2005 Aug 1;43(7-8):1010–7.
19. Nutt PC. Investigating the success of decision making processes. Journal of management studies. 2008 Mar;45(2):425–55.
20. Boyatzis RE, Goleman D, Rhee K. Clustering competence in emotional intelligence: Insights from the Emotional Competence Inventory (ECI). Handbook of emotional intelligence. 2000;99(6):343–62.
21. Hickson DJ, Butler RJ, Cray D, Mallory GR, Wilson DC. Decision and organization—processes of strategic decision making and their explanation. Public Administration. 1989 Dec;67(4):373–90.

-
22. Skogstad A, Einarsen S, Torsheim T, Aasland MS, Hetland H. The destructiveness of laissez-faire leadership behavior. *Journal of occupational health psychology*. 2007 Jan;12(1):80.
 23. Somech A. The effects of leadership style and team process on performance and innovation in functionally heterogeneous teams. *Journal of management*. 2006 Feb;32(1):132–57.
 24. Drucker PF. Management: The Problems of Success: (Keynote Address at 50th Anniversary Meeting of the Academy of Management, Chicago, Illinois, August 14, 1986). *Academy of Management Perspectives*. 1987 Feb 1;1(1):13–9.
 25. Yammarino FJ. Leadership Vistas: An Alternative Interpretation of the "Data". *Journal of Leadership Studies*. 1996 Apr;3(2):70–4.
 26. Argyris C. Organizational leadership and participative management. *The Journal of Business*. 1955 Jan 1;28(1):1–7.
 27. Vroom VH, Jago AG. The role of the situation in leadership. *American psychologist*. 2007 Jan;62(1):17.
 28. Wang G, Oh IS, Courtright SH, Colbert AE. Transformational leadership and performance across criteria and levels: A meta-analytic review of 25 years of research. *Group & organization management*. 2011 Apr;36(2):223–70.
 29. Gini A, Green RM. Three critical characteristics of leadership: Character, stewardship, experience. *Business and society review*. 2014 Dec;119(4):435–46.