STM JOURNALS

International Journal of
Mineral

Volume 2, Issue 2, 2025
July—December
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/IJMI

https://journals.stmjournals.com/ijmi

Review IJMI

Evaluating the Environmental Impact of Mining: Procedures, Outcomes, and Sustainable Remediation

V. Basil Hans[1,*], Swathi Bhat[2]

Abstract

Mining is necessary for economic growth and getting resources, yet also has a big impact on the environment. This article looks at the different ways that mining affects the environment, such as land degradation, deforestation, water pollution, air pollution, and loss of biodiversity. Mining on the surface and underground can cause soil erosion, damage ecosystems, and pollute groundwater and surface water with heavy metals and harmful compounds. Furthermore, the emission of particulate matter and greenhouse gases aggravates climate change and presents health hazards to adjacent communities. The report also talks about ways to reduce the damage done by mining, such as using sustainable mining methods, keeping an eye on the environment, and restoring mined lands. To minimise the ecological impact of mining and encourage sustainable resource management, it is important to find a balance between economic needs and environmental protection.

Keywords: Land degradation, water pollution, loss of biodiversity, air pollution, and sustainable mining

INTRODUCTION

Getting Started with Mining and the Environment

Mining for metals, coal, oil sands, industrial minerals, gemstones, and rocks has been very important for making money, getting energy, and making industrialisation possible. Mining is becoming more and more linked to environmental problems since the demand for new minerals is rising and the quality of the ore is going down. Lower-grade resources require larger mines, more waste-to-ore ratios, and more water and energy utilisation. Planetary Health is a good way to look at how people and ecosystems affect each other. Changes that affect land, freshwater, coastal areas, and the health of the planet are all examples of how people and ecosystems affect each other.

*Author for Correspondence
V. Basil Hans
E-mail: vhans2011@gmail.com

[1]Research Professor, Srinivas University in Mangalore, Karnataka, India
[2]Assistant Professor, St Aloysius deemed to be University, Mangalore, Karnataka, India

Received Date: October 10, 2025
Accepted Date: November 01, 2025
Published Date: November 05, 2025

Citation: V. Basil Hans, Swathi Bhat. Evaluating the Environmental Impact of Mining: Procedures, Outcomes, and Sustainable Remediation. International Journal of Mineral. 2025; 2(2): 12–24p.

Mining has a big effect on the environment, including biodiversity (habitat loss and degradation, risk of extinction), soil (degradation, contamination, loss, eutrophication, and sedimentation), health (disease, poisoning, and stress), and neocolonialism and social justice (both universal and local perspectives). To deal with these issues, we need three supporting pillars: a clear explanation of the direct and indirect causes; a clear description of the exposure routes that connect mining practices to health; and a list of the most important indicators. Larger selected assessments look at smaller parts or connections, like how mining affects the health of rivers and estuaries [1].

Definitions, Scope, and Importance

Mining has a big impact on social and technological change. It supports an economy that needs a lot of resources and modern materials that are important for low-carbon and new technologies. Remediation has become increasingly intricate, as mine closure frequently redistributes waste burdens instead of alleviating them. After the 2015 failure of a mine tailings dam in Brazil, there has been more scrutiny of the relationship between mining and public welfare. This has made it clear that we need to understand how mining can hurt the health of the planet as a whole, and how investment and control of extraction can help the economy stay strong and grow [2]. Environmental assessment provides systematic instruments to delineate interactions with the biosphere, assess critical transport pathways, establish regulatory thresholds, quantify pre-mining conditions, and calibrate baseline models for biogeochemical and toxicological trajectories across diverse temporal and spatial dimensions [1]. The primary focus is on the mining process itself—from footprint and tailings to production—encompassing context, regulatory instruments, and overarching frameworks, to establish fundamental configurations. This will be followed by an exploration of whether enhanced comprehension of multidimensional interactions among biophysical systems can lead to more effective regulation and utilisation of these resources.

Historical Context and Case Analyses

The mining business has seen contentious activities that have upset many different groups. Mining elements have worked together quickly to make the mining operation a truly sustainable enterprise, with responsible behaviour that is recognised by stakeholders, rather than just following the law. The mining sector's challenge includes both the industry's role in improving the lives of people in the area and the way people see the mines in relation to the people who live there and their safety, health, and well-being. The Zambian government sets rules that mining companies must follow in order to be socially responsible. There is also more and more support for sharing responsibilities between mining companies, their direct employer-led stakeholders, and the general mining communities [3]. Sifted sustainability evaluation criteria show that the conditions in the local community around the mine should be taken into account when judging the sustainability of the mining facility [4].

The choice to either stop or keep working on mining projects that have already been done has a big impact on future mining projects and the people who live nearby. Most of the resource tenements that people are currently applying for seem to be unused because of bad local conditions, such as an unacceptable status quo and social inertia caused by the detrimental effects of mining schemes that are already in place. For either the short-term extraction of a mine or the long-term cessation of its operation, it is important to have information and plans that can help improve local conditions. The mining industry in Zambia makes up 22% of the country's gross domestic product, 7% of its domestic revenue, 64% of its foreign exchange profits, and 12% of its formal jobs. After the purchase, the degradation of local circumstances makes it hard for mining projects to get more resources for decades or even centuries.

Mining Processes and Their Environmental Impacts

Finding, taking out, and processing resources for future use is what mining is all about. The mining sector mostly gets minerals, fossil fuels, coal, stones, and aggregates. The materials that are collected depend on geopolitics, rules, and new technologies. The materials that are taken out and their environmental impacts depend on the mining methods used, the recovery of raw materials, and the peculiarities of the deposits in the area. This part talks about mining methods and the most important effects they have on the environment. Finding good resources is an important part of mining, but the effects on the environment are often ignored [5].

The overall effect of mining on the environment is closely linked to how much it produces. The amount of pollution that is made is greatly affected by processing technology and strategy. Getting very coarse deposits out of the ground may make the extraction process easier, which would mean less pollution, less waste, and less water use. The extraction method with the least overall harm to the

environment focusses on controlling waste and pollution that happens during the process [2]. The processes examined include exploration, extraction, processing, tailings management, water utilisation, and air emissions, categorised by the degree of direct engagement (i.e., effect). Processing also affects the release of gases and heavy metals into living things through water-bound transport. These mechanisms work together to create pathways for mining activities that affect both the environment and people's health.

Methods for Exploration and Extraction

Mining activities leave environmental footprints that are in line with the resources needed and the methods used to get them. Exploration, extraction, processing, waste disposal, and the usage of water and air emissions that go along with these steps are the main steps in a typical mining sequence. The decisions taken in the first two processes affect the features of each step that comes after them. This is why it is important to look at the methods used for exploration and extraction to figure out how mining operations affect the environment. Section 2.3 talks about processing and emissions connected to it, whereas Section 2.4 talks about the effects of water use and air-shed emissions on water and air [5].

Exploration efforts are distinctive to each site and depend on the geology of the area. Traditionally, the method used is airborne, terrestrial, or marine geophysical and/or geochemical surveys that look for large amounts of minerals [6]. Most exploration projects don't hurt the environment, but some intrusive procedures, such drilling, trenching, and test pits, can hurt soils, plants, and geological structures. These kinds of projects usually don't cause air pollution, but when exploratory drilling is done in areas covered by dirt, a tiny quantity of fuel must be burned to power the drilling rigs and move them. There may also be some clearance along the roadways to drilling sites, and vehicles may potentially release more fuel-related emissions. So, exploration won't have much of an effect on the environment, especially on land ecosystems and air quality [7].

The way things are extracted depends on the type of commodity (such iron, gold, or platinum), where it is located, and the local environment. Surface operations include open-pit (strip), quarrying, open-cast, rock-cutting, and dredging, which often leave scars that can be seen. In Zambia's Copperbelt, where most mining is done underground (in shafts), a sealed red rock pit is still there. After a long period of surface mining, cleanup has usually not brought back the habitats that were there before mining. Underground operations are better, even if they need more energy and have lower recovery rates (60%–80%), especially when the environment is sensitive.

Managing Tailings and Processing Ore

Every year, the world makes several thousand million tonnes of solid mine waste, like tailings. The EU Mine Waste Directive (2006/21/EC) has made mine tailings a bigger environmental danger in Europe. It has set new rules for how to handle mine waste to make it more sustainable, avoid accidents, and lessen its effect on the environment. It's not too hard to set up networks to keep an eye on metal leakage from tailings facilities, but cleaning up mining tailings and reducing their long-term effects on the environment is still hard. Most remediation efforts have been about making sure that pyritic tailings are stable both physically and chemically. This is done by capping them with soil amendments, geotextile membranes, or rock backfill to slow down the rate of oxidation. Mine tailings are intricate and highly reactive substances, frequently found in geochemically dynamic settings; nonetheless, there is a scarcity of field-scale research assessing the effects of remediation methods on tailings geochemistry. One study looks into the long-term effects of remediation practices on the geochemistry of mine tailings at two sites that use different methods, focussing on As, Cd, and Pb because they are bad for the environment ([8]).

A lot of countries that have mined in the past have trouble managing mine waste. Historical mining wastes are both a menace and a chance for people who live nearby. They pose a threat when left unattended and require actions to mitigate environmental risks; however, they can also serve as a significant source of critical and valuable metals with potential economic advantages, as reprocessing

may diminish environmental liabilities for both public and private entities. In a lot of cases, past mine waste dumps still have recoverable grades by today's standards, and in other circumstances, they also have a lot of other metals that could be valuable.

Water Use, Pollution, and Effects on Water Flow

Water is necessary for everyday living, farming, industry, and long-term economic prosperity. It also causes severe problems for the environment, whether it's because of how much, how good, how hydrological, or how institutional its use is. Mining processes raise significant concerns regarding water quality and quantity. Mining operations emit contaminants such metals, poisonous sulphides, and salts that make freshwater supplies less safe for people, animals, and plants because they go above the limits imposed by local authorities. More than 80% of groundwaters also don't meet national criteria or any other standards that are available to verify their quality. Acid mine drainage, metalliferous mining, and the release of waste water are the main things that cause pollution. Regulatory restrictions, on the other hand, do not stop pollutants from getting into surface and groundwater, which makes them one of the largest hazards. The majority of existing hydrochemical research have predominantly focused on hilly and mountainous locations, with a scarcity of targeted investigations in areas encompassing alluvial aquifers. It is necessary to perform comparative research and furnish data concerning the physicochemical and bacteriological evaluation of water from different sources. Regulators don't give non-metallic mining the same level of importance as metallic mining, even though it has a big effect on both surface and groundwater. This shows how important it is to get data on the same.

Air Emissions, Energy Needs, and Effects on the Climate

The operation of coal mining in the Sahara desert has a lot of bad effects, notably on minerals in the air and water. However, it has not been evaluated in a systematic and thorough way using a better instrument like RIAM or even without one. Coal is the main source of energy in the country, and experts are becoming more interested in the negative effects of coal mining. In accordance with this, there exists a significant demand for efficient environmental evaluation instruments pertaining to coal mining and mineralisation, aimed at establishing sine qua non prerequisites for sustainable management [9].

Effects on the Environment on Human Health

Changes in mining effect biodiversity, soil quality, health, and social justice. Pollution and changes to ecosystems can cause health concerns in communities that are affected. Small-scale initiatives often expose more people to danger, and artisanal gold miners are a particularly dangerous activity. How transformative mining influences the loss of habitat and food sources has an effect on the health of nearby populations [10].

Less forest cover means less habitat, which can lead to more farming and hunting, which can put stress on wildlife populations. The geographical regulation of exposure links mining and transformation to health effects via pesticides and faecal contamination. Changes in land use can affect health in specific ways, such as through the building of new roads, the intensification of farming, and the growth of cities. Being close to mining operations makes people even more vulnerable since spills, accidents, and illegal dumping of waste can release dangerous compounds.

To lessen the effects of change on health, we need to know exactly how pollution spreads and how exposure spreads across space. Outlining these pathways creates a clear and testable framework that shows how pollutants migrate from mining activities to environmental media, people, and health outcomes. The monitoring techniques used to track changes in land cover in mining areas create baseline spatial datasets that assist us understand what conditions are needed to improve community access, exposure, and mobility.

Loss of biodiversity and damage to habitats

Extraction of mineral commodities can endanger ecological integrity by causing habitat loss, fragmentation, and modification. Mining activities frequently take place in locations with significant

biodiversity and are increasing in protected or biodiversity-rich areas. Extraction can cause land use to alter on its own, even in places that aren't concessions. Land clearing, drainage, and resource extraction can change, damage, or completely eliminate soil and higher layers, which can have effects on water, living things, and the climate. Mining, making tailings, and discharging them into shallow carbonate lakes like Mining-Influenced Waters (MIWs) cause frothy aggregates to form. Heavy metals and less visibility make photosynthesis less effective and hurt aquatic ecosystems by adding more sediment. A key migration route is closed, and lakes are drying up as more water flows away. Mining has caused around 40% of Zambia's forest loss. It changes sedimentation, dewatering, evaporation, transpiration, infiltration, and sub-surface flow times over hundreds of km. The arrival of more inhabitants has an indirect effect on large expanses of land.

Other bad things that happen are removing soil, changing drainage patterns that make lakes evaporate, building underground barriers that block important surface migratory routes, destroying spawning grounds, changing the flow of water courses, and flooding more wetlands downstream. The deposition of contaminants from mining significantly diminishes biodiversity. Concentrations as low as microgrammes per litre of Cu, Zn, As, Pb, Mn, and sulfide-reducing nitrate from mine-processing or disposal can actively kill aquatic vegetation. Hydrological changes raise the turbidity of water, and sediments that have built up over time severely harm aquatic ecosystems.

Extraction increases the pressure to cut down trees by clearing land directly and then establishing secondary communities, roads, and other infrastructure for resource extraction. Zinc, lead, nickel, and other minerals are positively correlated with deforestation on a national scale, regardless of social-development opportunities. This is due to infrastructure that takes advantage of economic drivers, mining regions that are easier to get to, and land openings from camps, roads, and trains. Mining extractive industries lead to a lot of direct deforestation over a five-year period because they bring in people and settlements [11].

Soil Erosion and Degradation

Globally, the mining industry is having problems with soil degradation. This can cause further problems, such as breaking up hydrologic circuits, erosion in nearby areas, and making the impacted areas poisonous to plants and animals [1]. The ground conditions in nearby areas often change after a mining operation, which makes surface runoff more likely to carry pollutants into bodies of water. Also, the materials taken from the soils near a mining site are used up, and the soil's natural shape changes.

There are more than a million abandoned mines around the world. They are no longer a health issue, but they still have pollution problems. Thirty years ago, when mercury mining began in the Philippines, this kind of thing happened. The country is still having economic problems, and the abandoned mines continue hurt local towns. Even when socio-economic considerations are taken into account, the damage done by prior mining activity cannot be lessened.

Health and Livelihoods in the Community

Mining impacts the environment, society, and economy in ways that affect the health of people living in the area. Contaminated drinking water, poor waste management, too much noise and dust, and lower safety standards are all bad effects that might make people more vulnerable to environmental threats. Changes in the local economy can make it easier or harder to get food, health care, education, and money. They can also make people more likely to get sick by making them more dependent on alcohol or sex work [12]. These effects, together with legal and illegal immigration, movement, and population relocation, lead to changes in health status and access to health care, and can also cause problems amongst different social groups [13]. The health of populations near mining sites must be evaluated within a systemic framework that encompasses an inventory of economic, environmental, legal, political, and social causes. These evaluations are further confounded by the fact that mining companies are frequently not legally accountable for the well-being of adjacent populations.

Environmental Justice Considerations That Aren't Direct

Mining technologies and practices have an effect on people's health and the communities around them. Taking out and refining different minerals can seriously damage land and water resources, with effects that aren't always clear. In the Democratic Republic of the Congo, which is rich in resources and communities, artisanal miners have been blamed for deaths caused by rain runoff. The government's inability to oversee activities has made poverty even worse [14]. When mining is given to outside companies, people in the community may not be directly responsible, but they still have to deal with the repercussions.

Section 3.1 talks about how pollution and environmental damage can affect those who live nearby and people who live far away. Because decisions on how to use technology come from people or groups outside of the sector, it is very important to understand the elements that affect how resources are used and how to make sure that environmental rules are followed.

Monitoring, Evaluating, and Modelling

Mining has an environmental impact that starts with exploration and extraction, goes through waste generation, processing, and pollutant transport, and ends with processing. The bigger the footprint that is made before processing starts, the bigger the possible pollutant impact for water or air. Monitoring, evaluation, and modelling methodologies can assist figure out how much contamination mining operations cause. This can lead to the creation of baseline contaminant concentrations, better governance systems, and the creation of science-based policy options. To set a regional environmental baseline, you need to map out all the land uses and keep an eye on pollutants in water, soil, and living things. You also need to quantify the effects of active mine sites. After determining pollutant concentrations, modelling can assess transport paths and transit timeframes. A growing number of adaptations of satellite- and ground-based remote-sensing approaches can help figure out changes in land cover and land use [15]. These changes can also be used to track processes like vegetation growth, land degradation, and urban expansion that can show how contaminants move and build up.

Assessments of the Environmental Baseline

Ecological characterisations are an important part of full mining evaluations because they look at how mining could hurt biological systems, soil quality, and human health. Strong appraisals figure out what the natural resources are like at a place before any work is done. This sets a baseline for environmental, health, and justice issues that may be used to judge future effects. These tactics that use many different scales and media show the big social and environmental problems that a project is connected to and that it creates. The impact of resource type, extraction technology, and processing regimes on specific compartments and pathways informs both evaluation and initiative selection [9]. For on-site chemicals, simulating the fate and movement of pollutants starting in both process and non-process areas helps organisations make better predictions. Better yet, monitoring temporal conductivity throughout operational parts makes it easier to get early alerts and fix problems. Space-filling designs also help with sensor installation [16]. Medium- and long-term initiatives create their own synergy. Remote sensing options that look at larger areas lower direct superstructure capital and other debts. Combining these with geographic information systems can save a lot of money on surveys of multiple sectors and give better insights into background conditions and the effects on people and landscapes [17].

Modelling the Movement and Exposure of Contaminants

Contaminant transport models are tools that help us figure out what happens to a contaminant when it is put into a system like soil or a river [18]. They also help us figure out how much of the contaminant is in the system and how its mass and concentration change over time. These models imitate the physical and chemical characteristics of pollutants as they move through the system. Pollutants change size and shape as they spread out, which affects how concentrated the contaminant becomes. Data from pollutant transport models can help with design [19]. Contaminant transport modelling helps you look at system

design or improvement alternatives and understand how system compilers work while you're working on the design and analysis of a project. There are several models for transporting contaminants. To choose the best one, you need to know what the modelling goals are and what each model is like. There is a wide range of how developed model solutions are. Some of the most advanced models use complicated numerical methods, while others use simpler ones.

Using Remote Sensing and GIS to Keep an Eye on Things

Remote sensing is a versatile way to keep an eye on mining activities and their impacts over large areas in a consistent way. Satellite sensors can see changes in land cover that happen near mine sites and keep an eye on the size of tailings impoundments [20]. Landsat- or SPOT-series satellites can be used to find out about changes in land cover and land use at different scales [21]. These kinds of changes are often the first to happen after mining starts and have the biggest effects on both the environment and people's health. Tracking the magnitude and spatial distribution over time of land-cover modifications connected with mining therefore effectively informs the proscriptions of the preceding section.

Policy, Management, and Mitigation

This section covers mining policies, methods, and governance that are good for the environment. The focus is on current projects instead than previous ones that might not be very helpful for the future. Section 4.2 talks about mitigation and management decisions that affect process selection and assessment methodologies that are necessary for effective and practical governance. Practices that cover the whole material cycle, not just mining, are especially important for trade-offs that have big effects on all phases of mining.

Cleaner technologies have greatly increased the number of ways to extract and process materials, and many of these possibilities are no-regret solutions [22]. Due to climate changes that can increase these flows and change responsibilities, coarse and fine tailings management, which are important parts of mining hydraulic models, need more attention. Minimising waste rock is important since it keeps extraction alternatives open and makes accounting easier. Mining has a huge impact on the environment, biodiversity, and society over the course of its life cycle. Long-term stewardship of dormant mine sites, which includes stopping pollution and helping the environment recover [6], is quite similar to both mining and post-mining actions.

Creating cleaner ways to mine

Mining is a big part of the modern economy, but most of its processes are exceedingly bad for people's health, ecosystems, and the world as a whole. So, cleaner technology, systems thinking, and circular economy methods are becoming more and more popular [23]. To save water, very polluting design methods that require a lot of water, including wet installation and mixture preparation, should be substituted by dry activities that use gravity to move bulk materials or electric currents to separate particles [2]. Systems thinking tools, integrated logistics, and circular economy ideas would cut down on the number of streams and vessels needed even more, making operations run more smoothly.

Water Treatment, Storage for Tailings, and Reclamation

Wastewater streams from mining and the tailings that are held in tailings impoundments can be quite bad for the environment. You may fix these problems by building new treatment plants, adding water treatment units to old pulp factories, and making sure that the tailings impoundments are managed properly. The use of numerical simulations along with the hydometric and chemical data-stacking method makes it possible to look at the whole wastewater rehabilitation system, from the mine site to the surface-water receiving environment. These studies demonstrate that the selection of management and recovery options for the tailings impoundment is as critical, if not more so, than the design of the wastewater treatment facilities [24].

Ways to Reduce Waste and Use a Circular Economy

Minimising waste and adopting circular economy strategies are effective methods for safeguarding raw materials while preserving a diverse array of future mining options [25]. Dematerialisation, prolonging product lifespan, and reuse can substantially influence the need for virgin materials. Many industries also have a lot of room to convert from mine-based resources to replacements. But some materials are obviously harder to replace than others. For many of them, there isn't much room for extending the life of the product, and it's also unlikely that substitutes will be used instead of virgin materials. A better understanding of recycling processes and improvements in important sub-sectoral activities, when paired with a structured system approach and better communication between sectors, can help mining and recycling work together more effectively. Along with these changes, we must also keep an eye on supply security and make sure that all materials are used in a way that makes sense. Strategies that create a clear separation between womb and tomb resources and that otherwise get in the way of bigger circular flows can have very bad outcomes and cause a lot of problems.

Regulatory Frameworks, Compliance, and Governance in the Community

Keeping an eye on environmental compliance in the mining industry is still a big problem because the effects can happen quickly and in many places [15]. Weak environmental management systems cause problems because of inconsistent rules, a lack of technical expertise, and a lack of money. Policy frameworks usually put development ahead of protecting the environment, which makes enforcement measures less effective. People agree that sustainable development is a good notion, yet reforms to institutions that might make it happen are often not made. There are national and local frameworks, like the Philippines' Council for Sustainable Development and Nepal's Environmental Protection Council. However, their recommendations are not formally included in mainstream policy, which makes them less powerful and gives the impression that progress is being made without any real pressure for change. In the end, environmental protection is generally handed down to lower levels of government that are more focused on development [17]. In China, the economic emphasis is shown in an environmental performance fine system where fines are less than the cost of compliance, officials can grant mining permits without the required approvals, and inspections of significant polluters are limited. The industry also poaches trained staff, which makes it harder to keep an eye on compliance. This means that younger officers who are in charge of crucial compliance decisions have limited experience.

Mercury contamination is also a big problem in Ghana's gold mining industry. There have been two intervention programs that tried to fix the problem. To help cut down on the use of mercury, any proposed solution must take into account context-sensitive measures and also acknowledge the shortcomings of top-down programs in order to achieve pro-poor sustainability goals.

Best Practices and Sustainability

International committees have defined what it means to explore and extract natural resources in a way that is sustainable. More and more people are looking at Sustainability Assessment Systems as a way to certify mining operations. Yes, industries that use metals and minerals are definitely looking at best practices and sharing new ideas with one other. People are looking for technology that make items with less of an impact on the environment as the world's demand for minerals keeps going up. People are paying special attention to the energy needed to turn raw materials into metals and how to control the water used to concentrate minerals. Also, new ideas that cut down on the amount of waste that is made are getting a lot of attention.

The conversation about the mineral extraction industry's sustainability and best practices should take into account not just the rules that govern it but also the balance between sustainability and competition. A framework for best practices should include the whole mining cycle, from discovery to production to closure. It should also take into account the interests of all stakeholders, including indigenous peoples.

Frameworks and certifications for sustainable mining

Many ideas on sustainable development have come up in relation to mineral resources, mining, and mineral processing. The International Council on Mining and Metals (ICMM) works to make the

mining and metals industry more sustainable. So, the mining sector itself decides what sustainability means. Mining corporations decide how long projects will last based on how they define sustainability. A significant proportion of intra- and transcontinental trade is attributable to the extraction activities of mining firms from soil and water resources [23].

In the broader field of corporate social responsibility (CSR), responsible mining or sustainable development concerning mineral resources, distinguished from other non-renewable resources, garners considerable interest from smaller mining and exploration firms in various developing nations. Mining is a big element of the world's economy and is important for the future. Non-renewable resources must be mined, either at greater ore grades and cheaper prices or lower ore grades and higher relative prices, even in the developed world [26].

Life-Cycle Assessment and Impact Scoping

Most early life-cycle studies have looked at things like energy use, resource extraction and the work that goes into restoring them, or lifecycle inventories that are used to figure out how sustainable something is. However, people don't usually look closely at how seabed mining might affect the environment. There is still no way to measure seabed mining activities. Before you can figure out the possible effects of a project, you need to have a clear idea of the project's phases and the possible causes of harmful activities. Dredging and offshore installation or excavation must follow internal norms and regulations to make sure that the water column and seabed are not disturbed too much [6]. Heavy gear is used to extract resources from the ground and move them to a processing vessel when mining nodules. The process creates plumes of pollution, some of which settle to form strata above the main deposits. There are three main types of possible effects: (1) mining operations on the seafloor, (2) resource removal, and (3) plume discharge after processing. These consequences are all connected. When habitats are lost, they can become fragmented, and entire populations can die off or even become extinct. Mitigation measures may reduce consequences and prevent tipping points, whereas restoration measures could aid recovery following mining effects [5].

Engagement with Stakeholders and Indigenous Rights

When mining projects are harmful, people in the communities where they will happen often feel very strongly about them, especially indigenous people. People don't trust mining firms because of their past actions, especially when it comes to how they affect people and the environment. Agreements with host governments may restrict operators' responsibilities to interact with impacted populations. Some mining firms also wrongly think that the rights of indigenous people, land ownership, and required consultations are different from those of non-indigenous populations. There is growing acknowledgement around the world of the right of every person, especially those from vulnerable communities, to have full access to information on the risks and effects of proposed initiatives. When mining firms ignore their social obligation to work with local communities in a meaningful way, they put their financial investments at risk, threaten the livelihoods of people in the area, or cause opposition that makes mining operations difficult [27].

Communities who don't have fundamental requirements like water, health care, education, or transportation, or that only have a minimum infrastructure on their ancestral lands, have a lot more trouble with sustainable development than those whose needs are met. There are national and international criteria that help figure out if mining ventures give communities and people fair benefits and pay. Mineral potential makes governments more likely to give out licenses for exploration and exploitation, but the lack of a lot of industry experience makes potential investors less likely to invest. Even if there are no rules about a practice, past impact evaluations can show what the environmental, carbon, or social effects of exploration and extraction are likely to be and, if they are big enough, how likely the community is to accept or fight them. A rising collection of knowledge about the effects of exploration and exploitation, along with a greater understanding of environmental and social dangers, strongly contradicts the common notion that exploration does not affect indigenous communities or the environment [3].

Trade-Offs in Economics and Society

It is very important and often hard to find the right balance between economic, technological, environmental, and social concerns when making mining decisions [27]. The International Council on Mining & Metals (ICMM) website has information about how businesses see mining. At the 2012 Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada Annual International Convention in Toronto, a representative said that more and more companies are realising that they should be responsible for social change and that it is important for business. The International Institute for Environment and Development has further information about mining. Section 4's rules make some areas unsuitable for mining right now, which is why more and more places are putting in place mandatory moratoriums on metal mining. El Salvador, Costa Rica, and the Philippines are all examples of places that have or are planning to have such restrictions.

For mining to bring long-term advantages, there must be a strong government, a responsible mining industry, and local citizens who are involved in open debates and decision-making about local projects. The fixed stock paradigm sees mineral resources like oil, coal, and copper deposits as non-renewable because they take a long time to produce. This means that the supply at any given time is a fixed stock that can only get smaller as it is used. The opportunity-cost paradigm values alternatives to natural resources highly because they are all different. As a result, manufacturing prices are likely to rise to a level that limits demand before further exhaustible resources can be taken from the earth's crust [28]. Advocates for the assertion that mining can facilitate sustainable development present two theoretical propositions: resource extraction and processing transform reserves of natural capital into human or constructed capital, and wealth generation prevails on an agenda that regards sustainable development as a remedy for poverty alleviation.

The Costs to the Environment vs the Benefits to the Economy

Mining has long been a direct and indirect source of economic growth, development, and a wide range of social services, such as health care, education, infrastructure development, and help with making a living. Mining can therefore be a catalyst for material welfare, particularly in emerging and developing nations. Nonetheless, the extraction and utilisation of mined resources might result in adverse environmental consequences that obstruct sustained economic endeavours. So, one must be careful while considering the pros and cons of mining [4, 5].

Long-Term Resource Stewardship, Risk, and Resilience

Barrick Resources runs a water treatment plant at a mine it bought in North Dakota and will do so forever. There is a lot of writing on how mining is causing more and more problems for people and the environment. Artisanal mining and small-scale agricultural also have a role, although the focus is on finding solutions for big businesses. As described here, [27] can make more money faster and without bothering nearby populations or having as many negative effects as irresponsible mining. Industrial mines can be good and bad for the people who live nearby, the government, and the environment. They create a lot of jobs, business opportunities, and tax income for the government, and they provide important materials for people now and in the future. Minerals are public resources, and choices regarding how to use them should be clear, open to input from the public, and open to review by civil society. There are problems because there is a huge power imbalance between mining companies with a lot of money and people who are not protected and don't know much about the situation.

Responsible mining that follows best practices tries to fix this problem. More and more, new mines are built in places that people want them to be, or they damage important parts of the community's life-support systems, like forests or wetlands. Thus, protecting these systems is the most important thing. The extraction of leaner ore bodies has worse effects since it requires more material to be moved and makes more waste for the same amount of metal. Many corporations still don't completely understand the idea of responsible mining, which is still changing. Businesses still feel pressure to get outcomes. Even businesses that have excellent standards have trouble with contractors not following the rules, and the fact that sites are far away means that the government can't watch them closely. So, under

responsible mining, the default rule is that mining must not harm systems that support life. The problem is also one of fairness between generations, since the current mining industry is leaving future generations with expensive problems and fewer resources to deal with them. The design of mining operations should therefore ensure maximum net advantages for inhabitants of the host country in the long run, while minimising social and environmental repercussions. If you don't do this, you risk privatising benefits and socialising costs. The conditions described here are strong.

New Ideas and Directions for the Future

Technological progress will make it easier to identify and reduce risks in mining, which will contribute to the growth of legally obligatory regulatory frameworks. New technologies in asset digitisation, mechanical sensing, telecommunications, and user-centred software will make things more open between contractors. Geological data management systems, information-sharing platforms, and big data analytics will all help with this by encouraging cooperation and making it easier to make decisions. Basic material provision is essential for sustainable energy; yet, the environmental and social risks associated with mining will remain significant [23, 27].

Advancements in Technology and Lowering Environmental Risks

Advances in technology and collaboration between many fields are helping us learn more about how mining affects the health of the world. GeoHealth leads the Mining and Planetary Health Collection, which summarises what we know now, lists the most important actions and research areas, and highlights successful efforts to preserve the environment and health. This call for papers asks for research on mining that looks at the links between Earth and environmental sciences and human, ecosystem, and planetary health.

Mining for metals, coal, oil sands, industrial minerals, gemstones, and rocks gives us money, energy, and materials that help society grow. But now there are more lower-grade resources, which means that there is more trash compared to ore and more demand for environmental management. Recent worries include the failure of the Samarco Fundão tailings dam in Brazil in 2015. This dam released about 33 million cubic meters of tailings that flowed 650 kilometres downstream, contaminating drinking water supplies, killing fish populations, and causing social unrest in affected communities, which still has not been resolved. Environmental awareness is developing in many places, which leads to repair and sustainable mining methods that are made easier by community support [29, 2].

Changes in Policy and Working Together with Other Countries

Mining is very vital for economic growth, but the industry has seen a lot of political and social upheaval because some think the rewards are unfair and the damage to the environment is too great. Mines are found all over the world, but the rules and institutions that govern them are often different from one place to the next. Where the rules are the least strict, the environment typically suffers and people's rights are violated. A clearer framework that doesn't have the same difficulties that have happened in other countries will help reduce the chances of problems happening.

For member states of the Council of Europe, making sure that mining is safe and responsible is an important issue for economic development. Mining workshops for both decision-makers and the general public would help the Council of Europe's efforts on mineral resource extraction even further. After the workshops are over, states can come up with laws and rules that are simpler and easier for everyone to understand. This will help them find unsafe and irresponsible actions by third parties in target countries. International cooperation on internationally accepted standards and rules for mineral extraction, especially when it comes to activities that harm the environment, will make it easier for states and jurisdictions to move between mining zones. This will help to create a more stable mining framework around the world [22].

CONCLUSION

One of the main goals of studying mining operations, their effects on the environment, and ways to reduce those effects is to show how these topics are all connected. Figure 1 shows how the choice of

mining technique affects various systems by using circular arrows. Figure 2 shows how processes, ecological consequences, and human health outcomes are related in a hierarchy. The many links reveal that mining can't be fully comprehended on its own. This is also true for the other issues covered in this book. The significance of cleaner technology (Section 5) and the life-cycle viewpoint (Section 6) is emphasised by the discovery that numerous consequences can be mitigated solely by examining how particular operations influence the entire mining life cycle. Chat systems are just as complicated as mining systems, thus learning more about how things work, their effects, and their social aspects in any field could help with other important global goals.

REFERENCES

1. Pretorius, S. & Hattingh, A. "The impacts of mining activities on the environment and the necessity for an environmental assessment strategy for such activity in South Africa," Town and Regional Planning, 55, pp. 16–23 (2009). doi: 10.38140/trp.v55i0.596.
2. K. A. Hudson-Edwards, "Mining and planetary health: a GeoHealth-led special collection," 2018. GeoHealth, 2018•Wiley Online Library
3. H. Mbewe, "Stakeholder Influence in Promoting Environmental Sustainability in the Zambian Mining Industry," 2017. •scholarworks.waldenu.edu
4. S. Que, L. Wang, K. Awuah-Offei, Y. Chen et al., "The Status of the Local Community in Mining Sustainable Development beyond the Triple Bottom Line," 2018. Sustainability 2018, 10(6), 1749; https://doi.org/10.3390/su10061749
5. M. Tost, B. Bayer, M. Hitch, F. Stephan Lutter, et al. "Metal Mining's Environmental Pressures: A Review and Updated Estimates on CO2 Emissions, Water Use, and Land Requirements," 2018. Sustainability August 2018 10(8) DOI:10.3390/su10082881
6. Cuvelier D, Gollner S, Jones DOB, Kaiser S, Arbizu PM, Menzel L, Mestre NC, Morato T, Pham C, Pradillon F, Purser A, Raschka U, Sarrazin J, Simon-Lledó E, Stewart IM, Stuckas H, Sweetman AK, and Colaço A (2018) Potential Mitigation and Restoration Actions in Ecosystems Affected by Seabed Mining. Front. Mar. Sci. 5:467. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2018.00467
7. L. Kaikkonen, R. Venesjärvi, H. Nygård, and S. Kuikka, "Evaluating the effects of seabed mineral extraction in deep sea and coastal marine ecosystems: Contemporary methodologies and suggestions for environmental risk assessment," 2018. Marine Pollution Bulletin Volume 135, October 2018, Pages 1183–1197 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.08.055
8. W. T. Perkins, G. Bird, S. R. Jacobs, and C. Devoy, "Field-scale study of the influence of differing remediation strategies on trace metal geochemistry in metal mine tailings from the Irish Midlands," 2015. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 23(6) from March 2016 DOI:10.1007/s11356-015-5725-7
9. W. Tianliang, Z. Aghalari, R. Mubanga, J. Eduardo Sosa-Hernandez et al., "Evaluating the environmental health consequences of coal mining operations in Iran: A Rapid Impact Assessment Matrix (RIAM) methodology for environmental safeguarding," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
10. K. A. Hudson-Edwards, "Mining and Planetary Health: A GeoHealth-Led Special Collection," 2018. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
11. J. Morley, G. Buchanan, E. T. A. Mitchard, and A. Keane, "Quasi-experimental analysis of new mining developments as a driver of deforestation in Zambia," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
12. G. S. Himmelsbach, H. R. Zabré, A. Leuenberger, A. M. Knoblauch et al., "Investigating the Effects of Mining on Community Health and Healthcare Delivery: Insights from Key Informants in Gold Mining Communities in Burkina Faso," 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
13. Ilunga, Y. 2013. A study of the social aspects of mining in Africa, focussing on Kakanda city in the Democratic Republic of Congo. The University of Cape Town. 2013. Open UCT at http://hdl.handle.net/11427/11695
14. R. White, "Resource Extraction Leaves Something Behind: Environmental Justice and Mining," 2013.
15. D. "An assessment of the environmental compliance monitoring capacity of the Department of Minerals and Energy, Eastern Cape" by Ann Watkins, 2009. Thesis for an MBA from Rhodes University

16. B. Loeffler, "ISER 2012 Working Paper No. 1," 2012. https://core.ac.uk/r
17. Tuokuu, F. (2019). Environmental Policy Evaluation in the Ghanaian Gold Mining Sector: Perspectives from Stakeholders.https://aura.antioch.edu/etds/468
18. A. Chegenizadeh, B. Ghadimi, and H. Nikraz, "The Prediction of Contaminant Transport through Soil: A Novel Two-Dimensional Model Approach," 2014.
19. B. S. Caruso, T. J. Cox, R. L. Runkel, M. L. Velleux et al. (2008). "Metals fate and transport modelling in streams and watersheds: state of the science and USEPA workshop review." September 2008 Hydrological Processes 22(19):4011–4021 DOI:10.1002/hyp.7114
20. W. Song, W. Song, H. Gu, and F. Li, "Advancements in Remote Sensing Monitoring of the Ecological Environment in Mining Regions," 2020. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
21. K. Pakzad, A. Müterthies, P. Goerke-Mallet, C. Melchers et al., "Monitoring wasserhaushaltsrelevanter Prozesse im Bergbau mittels Fernerkundung/Copernicus," 2018. https://fzn.thga.de/en/forschung/publikationen/
22. V. Popović, J. Živanović Miljković, J. Subić, A. Jean-Vasile et al., "Sustainable Land Management in Mining Areas in Serbia and Romania," 2015. 7(9):11857–11877, August 2015 DOI:10.3390/su70911857
23. S. Bandyopadhyay and S. Kumar Maiti, "Steering Restoration of Coal Mining Degraded Ecosystem to Achieve Sustainable Development Goal-13 (Climate Action): United Nations Decade of Ecosystem Restoration (2021–2030)," 2022. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
24. K. A. Guezennec, and F. Bru Bourgeois, "Numerical Simulation: an Effective Instrument for Water Management in Tailings Impoundments," 2008. The paper was given at the 10th International Mine Water Association (IMWA) Congress, which took place in Karlsbad, Czech Republic.
25. K. R. Moore, N. Whyte, D. Roberts, J. Allwood, and others wrote "The re-direction of small deposit mining: Technological solutions for raw materials supply security in a whole systems context" in 2020. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
26. W. Kwasi Boachie, "Evaluating Sustainable Development in Ghana's Mining Sector: A Corporate Perspective," 2012, Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development
27. R. Goodland, "Responsible Mining: The Key to Profitable Resource Development," 2012. DOI:10.3390/su4092099, August 2012, 4(9):2099–2126
28. M. Essah, "Addressing Community Development through Sustainable Mining Practices: The case of Abosso Goldfields (Damang) in Ghana," 2018.
29. K. A. Hudson-Edwards, "Mining and Planetary Health: A GeoHealth-Led Special Collection," 2018. DOI: 10.1029/2018GH000156

