

Not Just Cleanliness: Exploring Common Misinterpretations of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder

Somoshri Banerji¹, Prashant Mahajan^{1*}, Arti Kushwah², Kajal Keshri³

Abstract

Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder (OCD) is a complex psychiatric condition that is frequently misunderstood and misrepresented by the public, media, and even within some healthcare settings. One of the most pervasive and enduring myths surrounding obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is its frequent and narrow overidentification with behaviors related solely to cleanliness, orderliness, or excessive tidiness. This misconception often overshadows the broader and more complex spectrum of symptoms experienced by individuals living with the disorder, including intrusive thoughts, compulsive checking, fears of harm, and various mental rituals. As a result, many people who suffer from less visible or non-stereotypical forms of OCD may go unrecognized or misunderstood, both by the public and even by some healthcare professionals. This article aims to explore the most common misinterpretations and oversimplifications of OCD, delve into their historical and cultural origins, and examine the harmful consequences these misconceptions can have for those trying to seek appropriate diagnosis and treatment. Special emphasis is placed on the clinical diversity of OCD presentations, the persistent impact of stigma and misinformation, and the crucial importance of promoting accurate public awareness and education.

Keywords: OCD, misinterpretation, cleanliness myth, stigma, public perception, mental health education

INTRODUCTION

Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder (OCD) is a psychiatric condition characterized by intrusive thoughts (obsessions) and repetitive behaviors or mental acts (compulsions) performed to reduce anxiety caused by these thoughts [1]. Despite significant research and clinical efforts to understand OCD, public perception remains clouded by stereotypes and misconceptions. Most notably, OCD is often equated with excessive cleanliness or perfectionism, marginalizing individuals whose symptoms do not fit this narrow mold.

The consequences of such misinterpretations are substantial. Misdiagnosis, delayed treatment, social isolation, and internalized stigma are common outcomes. This article investigates how and why OCD is misinterpreted and outlines strategies for improving public and clinical understanding of the disorder.

OCD has long been subjected to trivialization and misunderstanding, often reduced to a personality quirk or preference for order. These reductive portrayals contribute to a broader cultural narrative that fails to recognize the severe psychological distress experienced by those with OCD. As a result, individuals grappling with

*Author for Correspondence

Prashant Mahajan

E-mail: mahajanp483@gmail.com

¹Research Scholar, Department of Neuroscience, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, United States

²Research Scholar, Department of Medicine, Nims University, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

³Research Scholar, Department of Medicine, Galgotias University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

Received Date: May 27, 2025

Accepted Date: June 08, 2025

Published Date: June 12, 2025

Citation: Somoshri Banerji, Prashant Mahajan, Arti Kushwah, Kajal Keshri. Not Just Cleanliness: Exploring Common Misinterpretations of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder. Research & Reviews: A Journal of Medicine. 2025; 15(2): 36–41p.

distressing obsessions – such as fears of harming others, sexual or blasphemous thoughts, or compulsions involving checking or hoarding – may go unnoticed or feel invalidated. This can contribute to significant emotional suffering, particularly when such symptoms are dismissed by peers, family members, or even healthcare providers who are influenced by inaccurate stereotypes.

Furthermore, the rise of digital media and social platforms has added complexity to the issue. On platforms, like TikTok or Instagram, content creators frequently misuse the term “OCD” to describe being neat or organized, further diluting the clinical significance of the disorder. This casual misuse of psychiatric terminology, often referred to as “psychobabble,” contributes to the normalization of incorrect conceptions of mental health conditions and can have a harmful impact on awareness, help-seeking behavior, and empathy.

In clinical contexts, the repercussions of misinterpretation are equally concerning. When the prevailing notion equates OCD solely with tidiness, clinicians may overlook other symptom dimensions, particularly those involving taboo content or mental rituals. This diagnostic overshadowing can delay appropriate treatment, exacerbate comorbid conditions, and hinder recovery. Therefore, it is essential to promote a multidimensional understanding of OCD that reflects its complex, heterogeneous nature.

Through a critical examination of how OCD is misinterpreted – across media, culture, and clinical settings – this article aims to highlight the urgent need for more accurate representation and deeper public and professional education. Addressing these misconceptions is a necessary step in fostering a more supportive and informed environment for individuals living with OCD.

CLINICAL OVERVIEW OF OCD

OCD is classified under the Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders section in the DSM-5 [1]. It is marked by the presence of obsessions – recurrent and persistent thoughts, urges, or images that are intrusive and unwanted – and compulsions – repetitive behaviors or mental acts that the individual feels driven to perform in response to an obsession. The goal of these compulsions is typically to reduce the distress caused by obsessions or to prevent a feared event or situation, even though these actions are not realistically connected to what they are intended to prevent.

The clinical presentation of OCD is heterogeneous, with symptom dimensions including contamination/cleaning, symmetry/ordering, taboo thoughts (e.g., sexual, religious, or aggressive content), and harm/checking [2]. While the contamination subtype often dominates public imagery of OCD, studies suggest that taboo and checking dimensions are equally or more distressing, though less likely to be disclosed due to shame or fear of judgment [3].

OCD affects approximately 2–3% of the population worldwide, with symptoms often beginning in adolescence or early adulthood [4]. The disorder tends to follow a chronic course if left untreated, and it is frequently comorbid with other psychiatric conditions, such as major depressive disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, and tic disorders [5]. The presence of comorbidities complicates diagnosis and management, making a thorough clinical assessment essential.

Importantly, the internal experience of OCD can be egodystonic, meaning the thoughts and compulsions are experienced as inconsistent with the individual’s values and self-concept. This egodystonia differentiates OCD from other conditions, such as obsessive-compulsive personality disorder (OCPD), where traits are more egosyntonic [1]. Misunderstanding this distinction can lead to improper diagnosis and treatment, as OCPD is often mistaken for OCD due to overlapping terminology and superficial behavioral similarities.

Neurobiological research has implicated dysfunctions in cortico-striato-thalamo-cortical (CSTC) circuits, especially involving the orbitofrontal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, and caudate nucleus, in the pathophysiology of OCD [6]. These findings support the biological underpinnings of the disorder

and reinforce the need for both pharmacological and psychotherapeutic interventions, such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), particularly exposure and response prevention (ERP).

Given the complexity and variability in its presentation, OCD demands a nuanced diagnostic approach that goes beyond surface-level behaviors and addresses the core anxieties and internal conflicts driving the compulsions. Proper recognition of this variability is vital to ensure that those affected receive the appropriate diagnosis and evidence-based treatment.

ORIGINS OF THE CLEANLINESS STEREOTYPE

The media has played a central role in shaping the public image of OCD. Television shows, films, and social media platforms often depict OCD through characters who are obsessively clean or orderly, ignoring the more distressing and taboo aspects of the condition [7].

Characters, such as Adrian Monk (from the TV series “Monk”) and Sheldon Cooper (“The Big Bang Theory”) have reinforced the cleanliness stereotype, despite being fictional representations of obsessive traits rather than accurate portrayals of clinical OCD [8]. Such portrayals, though sometimes well-intentioned, tend to emphasize eccentric behavior that aligns with audience expectations rather than the distress and dysfunction that characterize actual OCD. This selective depiction contributes to a skewed understanding of the disorder and often conflates obsessive-compulsive personality traits with OCD – a separate and distinct diagnosis.

Moreover, the format of visual media favors dramatic, easily understood tropes, which reinforces surface-level stereotypes. Cleanliness and order are more visually evident than mental compulsions, such as repetitive counting or silent neutralizing thoughts. As a result, portrayals tend to favor these visible behaviors. Even news articles and documentaries occasionally reduce OCD to a single thematic concern, failing to communicate the complexity of the condition.

In many cultures, behaviors related to ritual purity and hygiene are socially reinforced, leading to the pathologization of normative practices or the dismissal of pathological symptoms as mere quirks [9]. In societies with strong religious or spiritual beliefs, compulsions, such as excessive praying or moral scrupulosity may be misinterpreted as piety rather than signs of psychiatric distress. This cultural lens can delay diagnosis and reinforce the belief that certain OCD symptoms are not worthy of medical attention. Similarly, communities that stigmatize mental illness may downplay symptoms, attributing them to character flaws or habits rather than mental health conditions.

The interaction between media narratives and cultural interpretations creates a feedback loop that sustains the stereotype of OCD as a “cleanliness disorder.” Breaking this cycle requires both culturally sensitive education and a commitment to nuanced, realistic representations in public discourse.

CONSEQUENCES OF MISINTERPRETATION

The widespread misinterpretation of OCD, particularly its reduction to mere cleanliness or perfectionism, has far-reaching consequences for individuals, clinical care, and public health. One of the most immediate consequences is a delayed or missed diagnosis. Many individuals with OCD do not recognize their symptoms as pathological, especially when obsessions are taboo (e.g., sexual, religious, or aggressive thoughts), and thus avoid seeking help [10]. Conversely, those with other conditions, such as generalized anxiety or autism spectrum disorder may be misdiagnosed with OCD due to superficial similarities, leading to inappropriate treatment strategies [11].

Stigma is another major consequence. Misunderstood portrayals of OCD often depict it as quirky or humorous, which invalidates the suffering of those living with the disorder and discourages open discussion or help-seeking [7]. The internalization of this stigma can exacerbate distress, reduce self-esteem, and contribute to depression or suicidality, both of which are elevated in OCD populations [12].

Additionally, ineffective treatment stemming from a narrow view of OCD can result in poor outcomes. For example, patients who present with checking compulsions, but no evident cleanliness behaviors may be overlooked or misdirected to non-evidence-based interventions. A limited understanding among healthcare professionals can perpetuate these gaps, especially in primary care settings where psychiatric training is minimal [13].

In broader terms, societal misinterpretations reinforce a cycle of misinformation through social media, entertainment, and casual language, which collectively desensitize the public to the real impairments caused by OCD. This trivialization can also impact research funding and policy decisions, as OCD may not be perceived as a severe mental illness warranting urgent intervention or resources [14]. Ultimately, dismantling these misinterpretations is essential for advancing public education, enhancing clinical accuracy, and supporting individuals in accessing timely and effective care.

TOWARDS ACCURATE UNDERSTANDING

Public education campaigns that highlight the diversity of OCD symptoms are crucial. Psychoeducation can correct myths and promote early intervention. Media professionals should collaborate with mental health experts to ensure responsible and accurate portrayals of OCD [10]. Encouraging balanced representations can change societal perceptions and reduce stigma [15]. Medical and psychiatric training must emphasize the heterogeneity of OCD and the potential for misdiagnosis when clinicians rely on stereotypes. Case-based learning and exposure to diverse clinical presentations can help address this gap [16].

CASE VIGNETTES

To illustrate the real-world consequences of OCD misinterpretation, this section presents multiple anonymized clinical vignettes that highlight the psychiatric complexity and variability of OCD.

- *Case 1:* A 24-year-old woman experiences intrusive images of harming loved ones. She avoids knives and sharp objects and engages in mental rituals to “neutralize” these thoughts. Her symptoms go undiagnosed for years as she does not display typical cleaning behaviors.
- *Case 2:* A 32-year-old man compulsively prays and seeks reassurance over blasphemous thoughts. Family members attribute his behavior to heightened religiosity, delaying psychiatric consultation.
- *Case 3:* A 19-year-old college student develops an obsession with symmetry. He spends hours rearranging books and objects until they feel “just right.” Teachers and peers dismiss it as perfectionism, preventing early mental health intervention.
- *Case 4:* A 40-year-old woman checks appliances repeatedly before leaving home due to fears of causing a fire. Her family labels her cautious rather than recognizing the anxiety and distress behind her compulsions.
- *Case 5:* A 27-year-old man has persistent intrusive sexual thoughts involving children, causing extreme guilt and avoidance of social situations. Misunderstood by clinicians unfamiliar with taboo obsessions, he is initially misdiagnosed with a personality disorder.
- *Case 6:* A 30-year-old schoolteacher washes her hands over 100 times a day due to contamination fears. Colleagues interpret her behavior as germ-consciousness, and it takes years before she is referred to psychiatry.
- *Case 7:* A 22-year-old woman mentally repeats phrases to counteract thoughts of her parents dying. Her internal compulsions are invisible to others, including her therapist, delaying proper OCD diagnosis.
- *Case 8:* A 35-year-old man with hoarding behaviors is considered messy and irresponsible. His OCD, rooted in obsessive fears of losing important information, goes unrecognized until a crisis prompts evaluation.
- *Case 9:* A 29-year-old woman has a compulsion to confess every minor wrongdoing to her partner, fearing moral failure. Her partner views her as overly honest rather than understanding her scrupulosity.

- *Case 10:* A 17-year-old student experiences obsessional doubts about her sexual orientation, which she finds distressing. Misinterpreted as identity confusion, her OCD is overlooked despite classic features of “sexual orientation OCD.”

These diverse vignettes illustrate the importance of recognizing the full psychiatric spectrum of OCD. Misinterpretations rooted in stereotypes – whether related to cleanliness, religiosity, or morality – can delay accurate diagnosis, increase suffering, and complicate treatment pathways.

Recommendations

- Implement community-based awareness programs on OCD.
- Introduce school curricula modules on mental health literacy.
- Promote research into under-recognized OCD symptom dimensions.
- Encourage cross-cultural studies to understand varying presentations and interpretations.

CONCLUSIONS

OCD is far more than a disorder of cleanliness or order. The narrow public understanding of OCD contributes to stigma, delays in treatment, and reduced quality of life for many sufferers. By challenging these stereotypes and promoting a nuanced view of OCD, stakeholders, including clinicians, educators, media, and policymakers – can foster a more informed and compassionate approach to mental health.

REFERENCES

1. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 5th ed. Arlington (VA): American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013.
2. Abramowitz JS, Taylor S, McKay D. Obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Lancet*. 2009 Aug 8;374(9688):491–9. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60240-3. PMID: 19665647.
3. Williams MT, Farris SG, Turkheimer E, Pinto A, Ozanick K, Franklin ME, et al. Myth of the pure obsessional type in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Depress Anxiety*. 2011 Jun;28(6):495–500. doi:10.1002/da.20820. PMID: 21509914; PMCID: PMC3227121.
4. Ruscio AM, Stein DJ, Chiu WT, Kessler RC. The epidemiology of obsessive-compulsive disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2010 Jan;15(1):53–63. doi:10.1038/mp.2008.94. PMID: 18725912; PMCID: PMC2797569.
5. Nestadt G, Grados M, Samuels JF. Genetics of obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*. 2010 Mar;33(1):141–58. doi:10.1016/j.psc.2009.11.001. PMID: 20159344; PMCID: PMC2824902.
6. Pauls DL, Abramovitch A, Rauch SL, Geller DA. Obsessive-compulsive disorder: An integrative genetic and neurobiological perspective. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2014 Jun;15(6):410–24. doi:10.1038/nrn3746. PMID: 24840803.
7. Fennell D, Liberato ASQ. Learning to live with OCD: Labeling, the self, the stigma. *Deviant Behav*. 2007;28(4):305–31. doi:10.1080/01639620701233274.
8. Pavelko RL, Myrick JG. That’s so OCD: The effects of disease trivialization via social media on user perceptions and impression formation. *Comput Human Behav*. 2015;49:251–8. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2015.02.061.
9. Sharma P. Culturally sensitive psychotherapy in India: Integrating traditional and Western approaches. *Pract Innov*. 2024. Advance online publication. doi:10.1037/pri0000257.
10. Glazier K, Swing M, McGinn LK. Half of obsessive-compulsive disorder cases misdiagnosed: vignette-based survey of primary care physicians. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2015 Jun;76(6):e761–7. doi:10.4088/JCP.14m09110. PMID: 26132683.
11. Mercer SW, Maxwell M, Heaney D, Watt GC. The consultation and relational empathy (CARE) measure: Development and preliminary validation and reliability of an empathy-based consultation process measure. *Fam Pract*. 2004 Dec;21(6):699–705. doi:10.1093/fampra/cmh621. PMID: 15528286.
12. Torres AR, Prince MJ, Bebbington PE, Bhugra D, Brugha TS, Farrell M, et al. Obsessive-compulsive disorder: prevalence, comorbidity, impact, and help-seeking in the British National

- Psychiatric Morbidity Survey of 2000. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2006 Nov;163(11):1978–85. doi:10.1176/ajp.2006.163.11.1978. PMID: 17074950.
13. Veale D, Roberts A. Obsessive-compulsive disorder. *BMJ*. 2014 Apr 7;348:g2183. doi:10.1136/bmj.g2183. PMID: 24709802.
 14. López-Solà M, Pujol J, Wager TD, Garcia-Fontanals A, Blanco-Hinojo L, Garcia-Blanco S, et al. Altered functional magnetic resonance imaging responses to nonpainful sensory stimulation in fibromyalgia patients. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2014 Nov;66(11):3200–9. doi:10.1002/art.38781. PMID: 25220783; PMCID: PMC4410766.
 15. Sieff EM. Media frames of mental illnesses: The potential impact of negative frames. *J Ment Health*. 2003;12(3):259–69. doi:10.1080/0963823031000118249.
 16. Stobie B, Taylor T, Quigley A, Ewing S, Salkovskis PM. “Contents may vary”: A pilot study of treatment histories of OCD patients. *Behav Cogn Psychother*. 2007 Jul;35(3):273–82. doi:10.1017/S135246580700358X.