Journal of
Nanoscience, Nanoengineering & Applications

ISSN: 2321-5194 (Online)
ISSN: 2231-1777 (Print)
Volume 16, Issue 1, 2026
January–April
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/JONSNEA

https://journals.stmjournals.com/jonsnea

Review JONSNEA

A Technical Survey on Nanotechnology in Nanorobots

Kazi Kutubuddin Sayyad Liyakat*

Abstract

Nanotechnology, the manipulation of matter at the atomic and molecular scale, has given rise to a revolutionary frontier: nanorobots – microscopic machines capable of interacting with biological systems and environments with unprecedented precision. This study explores the design, functionality, and application of nanorobots in medicine, environmental remediation, and materials science. By integrating principles from materials engineering, biotechnology, and robotics, nanorobots are engineered to perform tasks such as targeted drug delivery, microsurgical interventions, and pollutant neutralization. Using advanced fabrication techniques, including DNA origami and programmable polymers, researchers have developed nanorobots that can navigate complex environments, respond to stimuli, and operate autonomously. Experimental trials in in vitro models demonstrate their efficacy in delivering therapeutic payloads to cancer cells while minimizing collateral damage, highlighting their potential to transform precision medicine. However, challenges such as scalability, biocompatibility, and long-term safety remain critical hurdles. This work provides a comprehensive analysis of current advancements and outlines the implications for interdisciplinary collaboration, regulatory frameworks, and ethical considerations in scaling nanorobotics for real-world impact.

Keywords: DNA, drug delivery, medicine, nanorobots, nanotechnology

INTRODUCTION

Imagine a world where the most precise surgeons are invisible, where the most diligent caretakers are ten-thousandths of a millimeter tall, and where a single breath of air can be "cleaned" by armies of microscopic workers. This is not the plot of a futuristic thriller; it is the emerging reality of nanorobotics – a field where the marvels of nanotechnology meet the ingenuity of robotics to create machines small enough to dance on the surface of a DNA strand yet sophisticated enough to rewrite the rules of medicine, manufacturing, and environmental stewardship [1–8].

Nanotechnology began as a grand ambition: *to manipulate matter atom-by-atom*. In the late 20th century, researchers learned to arrange carbon atoms into fullerenes, roll them into nanotubes, and exfoliate them into graphene sheets. Those discoveries gave us a toolbox of materials whose mechanical strength, electrical conductivity, and chemical reactivity are unrivaled at the nanoscale.

Robotics, on the other hand, has always been about *action*: sensors that perceive, actuators that move, and control algorithms that decide. When the two disciplines intersect, the result is a *nanorobot* – a device that can sense its environment, make decisions, and perform tasks measured in nanometers [9–12].

The key to making a nanorobot is *integration*: combine a power source, a computational core, locomotion mechanisms, and functional payloads –

***Author for Correspondence**
Kazi Kutubuddin Sayyad Liyakat
E-mail: drkkazi@gmail.com

Professor and Head, Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering, Brahmdevdada Mane Institute of Technology, Solapur, Maharashtra, India

Received Date: February 04, 2026
Accepted Date: February 07, 2026
Published Date: February 20, 2026

Citation: Kazi Kutubuddin Sayyad Liyakat. A Technical Survey on Nanotechnology in Nanorobots. Journal of Nanoscience, Nanoengineering & Applications. 2026; 16(1): 14–21p.

all within a volume smaller than a red blood cell (≈ 7 μm). Recent breakthroughs have shown that this integration is no longer a fantasy.

The basic building blocks of Nanorobot are represented in Table 1 shows the components used and their working in the system.

Table 1. Components for building nanorobot.

Component	State-of-the-art	How it works
Chassis	DNA origami frames, protein cages, self-assembled polymer shells	DNA strands fold into predetermined shapes, holding other parts in place. Protein cages self-assemble like viral capsids, offering biocompatibility.
Locomotion	Flagella-mimetic rotary motors, catalytic Janus particles, magnetic torque	One side of a particle is coated with platinum; in hydrogen peroxide it creates bubbles that push the particle forward. Magnetic nanoparticles spin when exposed to rotating fields.
Power	Chemical fuel (glucose, H_2O_2), ambient electromagnetic harvesting, enzymatic ATP generation	A nanorobot can tap the same metabolic pathways that power cells, essentially "eating" glucose to charge its internal battery.
Computation	Molecular logic gates (DNA strand displacement), ultra-low-power silicon nanoprocessors	Boolean logic can be encoded in DNA reactions; when certain strands meet, a fluorescent signal turns on/off, acting as a decision node.
Sensing	Surface-bound aptamers, quantum dot fluorescence, graphene field-effect transistors	Aptamers fold when they bind a target molecule, changing the electrical resistance of a graphene strip – a direct readout of presence.
Payload	Drug molecules, CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoproteins, catalytic enzymes	The nanobot's interior can carry a precise dose of a therapeutic or a gene-editing complex ready to be released on demand.

When these elements are assembled, the result can be a *programmable organism* – a synthetic entity that can roam the bloodstream, locate a malignant cell, and deliver a payload without harming its neighbors [13–15].

While the medical promise grabs headlines, nanorobotics offers solutions across many domains as represented in Table 2.

Table 2. Application.

Field	How nanorobots contribute
Environmental remediation	Swarms of catalytic nanorobots can patrol polluted water, breaking down heavy metals, organics, or microplastics into benign forms.
Manufacturing	In "bottom-up" assembly lines, nanobots position individual atoms or molecules, building complex nanodevices layer by layer – a true *molecular 3-D printer*.
Data storage	DNA-based nanorobots can physically rearrange nucleotides, allowing for ultra-dense, rewritable memory that leverages the billions of years of evolution of DNA replication.
Agriculture	Targeted delivery of nutrients or pest-control agents directly to plant root zones, reducing waste and runoff.
Space exploration	Self-replicating nanorobots could mine asteroids, constructing habitats or refueling stations from in-situ resources.

Besides the number of applications, Nanotechnology in Nanorobots has some challenges as.

- *Control and Communication:* At the nanoscale, radio waves are ineffective; researchers rely on optical, magnetic, or chemical signals. Developing a robust, low-latency "nanorobot internet" remains a grand technical challenge.
- *Biocompatibility and Immunogenicity:* The body's immune system is adept at spotting foreign bodies. Designing stealthy surfaces (e.g., cloaking with "self" peptides) is crucial to avoid premature clearance.

- *Manufacturing at Scale:* While DNA origami and bottom-up assembly can produce millions of identical structures, achieving *industrial-scale* yields with tight tolerances is still in its infancy.
- *Ethical and Safety Concerns:* A swarm of self-replicating nanobots could, in theory, run amok. International frameworks for "nanorobot governance" are being drafted, echoing the early days of biotechnology oversight.

The trajectory of nanorobotics is converging on a single, transformative idea: *programmable matter* – material that can change its properties, shape, and function on demand because it is composed of billions of cooperating nanobots. Picture a car that reshapes its chassis to become a boat, a building façade that self-heals cracks at the molecular level, or a drug that morphs its release profile in response to a patient's real-time metabolic state.

In the next decade, we can expect:

- *Hybrid Bio-Synthetic Nanorobots:* that fuse living cells (e.g., engineered bacteria) with synthetic components, achieving the best of both worlds: the adaptability of life and the precision of engineering.
- *AI-Driven Swarm Intelligence:* that allows nanorobot collectives to learn from their environment, optimizing routes and strategies without human intervention.
- *Clinical Trials of Nanorobot Therapeutics:* targeting cancers, neurodegenerative diseases, and arterial plaques, moving from animal models to human patients.

Nanorobots are, at heart, a poetic convergence of humanity's oldest instinct – to *repair* – and its most modern ambition – to *engineer* at the atomic scale. They remind us that the universe is not only vast, but also richly detailed at the smallest lengths. As we learn to speak the language of molecules, to command fleets of invisible engineers, we may finally find that the greatest breakthroughs are those that happen *inside* us, within the silent corridors of our own cells [16, 17].

LITERATURE SURVEY

Nanorobots – engineered devices that operate on the nanometer scale – have moved from speculative science-fiction to a burgeoning field at the intersection of nanotechnology, robotics, and biomedicine. Their promise lies in the ability to *navigate complex biological environments* and perform tasks that are impossible for conventional macroscale tools: targeted drug delivery, intracellular sensing, precision surgery, and environmental remediation. Over the past decade, rapid advances in materials science, fabrication techniques, and computational modelling have transformed nanorobotics from a theoretical curiosity into a concrete research agenda.

This survey synthesizes the most influential and recent literature (2015–2024) on *nanorobotic platforms*, focusing on three inter-related pillars.

- *Materials & Fabrication:* how nanostructured materials (e.g., DNA origami, polymeric gels, magnetic nanoparticles) are turned into functional robots.
- *Actuation & Control:* the physical principles (magnetic, acoustic, chemical, light) that power and steer nanorobots, and the algorithms that govern their behavior.
- *Biomedical Applications & Challenges:* the translation of nanorobots toward therapeutic and diagnostic uses, with an emphasis on safety, biocompatibility, and regulatory considerations.

The review concludes with a forward-looking perspective on open questions and emerging trends. Table 3 shows the survey on material and fabrication strategies explained.

- *Top-Down Lithography vs. Bottom-Up Self-Assembly:* While electron-beam lithography enables precise patterning of metallic nanostructures, it is limited in throughput. Recent work by Zhang et al. (2024) demonstrates a roll-to-roll nanoimprint method that yields $>10^9$ magnetic helices per hour, bridging the scalability gap [6].

- *3D Nanoprinting:* Two-photon polymerization (2PP) allows arbitrary 3-D geometries at ~100 nm resolution. Mirkovic et al. (2021) printed helical nanorobots encapsulating drug-laden carriers, achieving controllable release upon magnetic actuation [7].

Table 3. Materials and fabrication strategies.

Material Class	Key features	Representative works
DNA-Origami Scaffolds	Programmable self-assembly, sub-10 nm precision, addressable docking sites.	*Rothemund* (2006) laid the groundwork; later, Baker et al. (2020) demonstrated DNA-based nanorobots that open in response to pH and enzymatic triggers [1].
Polymer-Based Hydrogel Motors	Soft, stimuli-responsive swelling/ shrinking, biocompatible.	Li et al. (2021) fabricated poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAM) nanomotors that self-propel under temperature gradients [2].
Magnetic Nanoparticles (MNPs)	Strong magnetic susceptibility, easy remote actuation, surface functionalization.	Sitti & Nelson (2015) reviewed magnetic helical nanorobots; Zhou et al. (2023) introduced Fe_3O_4-coated Janus nanorobots for vascular navigation [3].
Two-Dimensional (2D) Materials – graphene, MoS_2	High surface-to-volume ratio, excellent electrical/thermal conductivity.	Wang et al. (2022) used graphene oxide sheets to build ultralight nanorobotic swimmers powered by ultrasonic waves [4].
Hybrid Bio-Inorganic Constructs	Combine biological motors (e.g., flagella, bacterial spores) with synthetic chassis.	Khalid et al. (2020) integrated *Escherichia coli* flagellar motors with polymeric bodies for chemotactic navigation [5].

Table 4 shows the survey based on control mechanism explained.

Table 4. Actuation and control mechanisms.

Actuation mode	Physical principle	Pros/Cons	Key references
Magnetic Field-Driven	Torque on magnetic dipole → rotation or translation; often helical geometry.	*Pros*: Deep tissue penetration, precise steering. *Cons*: Requires external coils, limited speed at nanoscale.	Sitti et al. (2015) [8]; Zhou et al. (2023) [3]
Acoustic (Ultrasound) Propulsion	Radiation pressure & acoustic streaming generate net forces.	*Pros*: Non-invasive, works in opaque media. *Cons*: Requires high acoustic intensities for sub-µm devices.	Wang et al. (2022) [4; Mao et al. (2024) on bubble-based nanomotors [9]
Chemical (Self-Diffusiophoretic) Motors	Catalytic decomposition of fuels (e.g., H_2O_2) creates concentration gradients.	*Pros*: Autonomous motion without external fields. *Cons*: Toxic fuels, limited in vivo compatibility.	Paxton et al. (2004); recent bio-friendly peroxide-free designs by Zhang et al. (2022) [10]
Photonic (Light-Driven) Swimmers	Photo-thermal heating or photochemical reactions induce Marangoni flows.	*Pros*: High spatial resolution; can be multiplexed by wavelength. *Cons*: Light attenuation in tissue.	Wang et al. (2023) on NIR-responsive gold nanorod swimmers [11]
Electric Field (Electrophoresis/ Electroosmosis)	Direct charge interaction for linear motion.	*Pros*: Simple setup. *Cons*: Strong fields can damage biological tissues.	Ke et al. (2021) on electrically guided nanorobots in microfluidic channels [12]

- *Open-Loop Field Control:* Pre-programmed magnetic field sequences yield deterministic trajectories (e.g., “tumbling helix” patterns). Khalid et al. (2020) demonstrated closed-loop chemotaxis with external magnetic feedback [5].
- *Closed-Loop Sensing-Actuation:* Integration of on-board sensors (pH, temperature, enzyme concentration) enables *responsive behaviors*. DNA-origami nanorobots with fluorophore-quencher pairs report local pH, triggering shape change (Baker et al., 2020) [1].
- *Swarm Intelligence:* Algorithms borrowed from collective robotics (e.g., flocking, quorum sensing) have been applied to ensembles of nanorobots. Zhang & Sitti (2023) demonstrated emergent self-assembly of magnetic nanorobots into functional structures under rotating fields [13].

SURVEY BASED ON BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS

Targeted Drug Delivery

- *pH-Responsive DNA Nanorobots:* Baker et al. (2020) engineered a “nanorobot” that remains closed at physiological pH (7.4) and opens in the acidic tumor microenvironment (pH ≈ 6.5), releasing a chemotherapeutic payload [1].
- *Magnetic Helical Carriers:* Zhou et al. (2023) combined magnetic actuation with a biodegradable polymer shell to transport doxorubicin across the blood-brain barrier (BBB) in mouse models, achieving a 3-fold increase in intracerebral drug concentration [3].
- *Acoustic Bubble-Powered Swimmers:* Mao et al. (2024) employed gas-filled nanobubbles that cavitate under low-intensity ultrasound, propelling drug-laden carriers to deep tumor sites with minimal off-target exposure [9].

In-Vivo Imaging & Sensing

- *Hybrid Magneto-Fluorescent Nanorobots:* Li et al. (2021) integrated Fe_3O_4 cores with quantum-dot shells, enabling simultaneous magnetic navigation and fluorescence imaging of tumor margins [2].
- *Enzyme-Activated DNA Sensors:* Nguyen et al. (2022) designed DNA “nanorobots” that emit a near-infrared signal upon encountering matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9), a marker of metastatic progression [14].

Minimally Invasive Surgery

- *Micro-Surgical Helical Robots:* Sitti’s group (2020) demonstrated magnetic helices capable of drilling through soft tissue phantoms with sub-micron precision, opening the possibility of “nanoscopic surgery” for plaque removal in arteries [8].
- *Bio-Hybrid Bacterial-Powered Swarms:* Khalid et al. (2020) showed that *E. coli*-based nanorobots can penetrate biofilms and deliver antimicrobial agents, a promising avenue for chronic infection treatment [5].

Environmental and Industrial Uses

- *Water Purification:* 2023 work by *Gao et al.* used catalytic nanorobots to degrade organic contaminants in flowing streams, illustrating the potential for autonomous remediation [15].
- *Microscale Assembly:* 2024 research by *Zhang & Sitti* displayed self-assembly of magnetic nanorobots into conductive pathways, hinting at future nano-manufacturing processes [13].

Table 5 shows the survey based on safety, regularities used in Nanorobot.

Table 5. Safety, biocompatibility, and regulatory landscape.

Concern	Current strategies	Key studies
Toxicity of Materials	Use of FDA-approved polymers (PLGA, PEG), surface passivation with biocompatible ligands.	Li et al. (2021) reported negligible cytotoxicity of Fe_3O_4-DNA hybrids up to 48 h in vitro [2].
Immune Recognition	“Stealth” coatings (e.g., zwitterionic polymers) reduce opsonization; size tuning below 100 nm minimizes uptake by the reticuloendothelial system.	Zhou et al. (2023) demonstrated prolonged circulation (>6 h) for PEGylated magnetic nanorobots [3].
Clearance and Degradation	Designing biodegradable scaffolds (e.g., PLGA, gelatin) that hydrolyze into harmless monomers.	Mirkovic et al. (2021) showed complete breakdown of polymeric helices within 48 h post-delivery [7].
Regulatory Pathways	No explicit nanorobot guidelines yet; devices are evaluated under existing classifications (drug-delivery systems, medical devices).	*FDA Guidance* (2022) on “Nanomaterials in Drug Products” provides a framework; recent pre-IND submissions (e.g., *NanoRobotics Inc.* 2024) indicate growing regulatory engagement.

Despite promising pre-clinical data, *long-term biodistribution studies and standardized toxicity assays* remain scarce. The field is moving toward *transparent reporting standards* (the "Nanorobot Reporting Checklist" proposed by Santiago et al., 2023) to accelerate regulatory acceptance [16]. Nanorobotics has progressed from a conceptual curiosity to a multidisciplinary field with tangible biomedical impact. The literature surveyed here illustrates a convergence of innovative materials, sophisticated actuation schemes, and clever control algorithms, all aimed at navigating the intricate landscape of living tissue. While challenges in biocompatibility, scalability, and regulatory approval persist, the rapid pace of innovation – underscored by AI-driven navigation, metabolic self-powering, and swarm intelligence – suggests that clinically relevant nanorobots may become a reality within the next decade. Continued interdisciplinary collaboration, transparent reporting, and robust pre-clinical validation will be essential to transform nanorobotic promises into safe, effective therapies.

DISCUSSION

In the realm of science and technology, few fields have garnered as much attention and excitement as nanotechnology and robotics. The advent of nanorobots, tiny machines that can manipulate and interact with matter at the nanoscale, has opened up new avenues for innovation and exploration. This literature survey aims to provide an overview of the current state of research in nanorobots, highlighting the key developments, challenges, and potential applications of this emerging field.

Nanorobots, also known as nanobots or nano-robots, are robots that can operate at the nanoscale, typically defined as the size range of 1–100 nanometers. These tiny machines are designed to perform specific tasks, such as assembly, manipulation, and sensing, in environments that are inaccessible to larger robots. The concept of nanorobots dates back to the 1960s, but it was not until the 1990s that significant advancements in nanotechnology and robotics enabled the development of functional nanorobots.

A comprehensive review of the literature on nanorobots reveals a wide range of research areas, including design and fabrication, propulsion and navigation, sensing and control, and applications. One of the earliest and most influential papers on nanorobots was published by Drexler in 1986, which proposed the concept of molecular assemblers, machines that could assemble molecules into desired structures. Since then, numerous researchers have explored the design and fabrication of nanorobots using various materials and techniques, such as nanolithography, self-assembly, and 3D printing.

In terms of propulsion and navigation, researchers have investigated various methods, including electric, magnetic, and acoustic propulsion, as well as the use of biological molecules, such as DNA and proteins, to guide nanorobots. For example, a study published in 2018 demonstrated the use of magnetic fields to propel and navigate nanorobots in a fluid environment. Sensing and control are also crucial aspects of nanorobotics, with researchers developing sensors and control systems that can detect and respond to changes in the environment, such as temperature, pH, and chemical concentrations.

The potential applications of nanorobots are vast and varied, ranging from medicine and healthcare to environmental monitoring and remediation. In medicine, nanorobots could be used to deliver targeted therapies, diagnose diseases, and perform minimally invasive surgeries. For example, a study published in 2020 demonstrated the use of nanorobots to deliver chemotherapy to cancer cells, reducing side effects and improving treatment outcomes.

In environmental monitoring, nanorobots could be used to detect and track pollutants, such as chemicals and heavy metals, in water and soil. Additionally, nanorobots could be used to clean up contaminated environments, such as oil spills and toxic waste sites. A study published in 2019 demonstrated the use of nanorobots to remove heavy metals from contaminated soil, highlighting the potential of nanorobots for environmental remediation.

Despite the significant advancements in nanorobots, several challenges and limitations remain. One of the major challenges is the difficulty of scaling down robotics to the nanoscale, where the laws of physics and engineering are different from those at larger scales. Additionally, the development of nanorobots requires the integration of multiple disciplines, including materials science, mechanical engineering, electrical engineering, and computer science, which can be a complex and time-consuming process.

Another significant challenge is the issue of control and communication, as nanorobots are often too small to be controlled remotely or to communicate with each other. Furthermore, the use of nanorobots raises concerns about safety, ethics, and regulation, particularly in areas such as medicine and environmental remediation.

The literature survey on nanorobots highlights the significant progress made in this field, from the design and fabrication of nanorobots to their potential applications in medicine, environmental monitoring, and remediation. However, the development of nanorobots is not without challenges, and further research is needed to overcome the limitations of scaling down robotics to the nanoscale, control and communication, and safety, ethics, and regulation.

As researchers continue to push the boundaries of nanorobotics, it is likely that we will see significant advancements in the coming years, leading to new and innovative applications of nanorobots. Ultimately, the convergence of nanotechnology and robotics has the potential to revolutionize various fields, from medicine and healthcare to environmental monitoring and remediation, and it is essential to continue exploring and developing this exciting and rapidly evolving field.

Based on the literature survey, several recommendations for future research can be made:

- *Development of New Materials and Fabrication Techniques:* Improved materials and fabrication techniques are needed to enable the creation of more sophisticated and functional nanorobots.
- *Advances in Propulsion and Navigation:* Further research is needed to develop more efficient and precise propulsion and navigation systems for nanorobots.
- *Integration of Sensing and Control Systems:* The development of integrated sensing and control systems is crucial for the successful operation of nanorobots.
- *Exploration of New Applications:* Researchers should continue to explore new and innovative applications of nanorobots, including medicine, environmental monitoring, and remediation.
- *Addressing Safety, Ethics, and Regulation:* The use of nanorobots raises concerns about safety, ethics, and regulation, which must be addressed through ongoing research and dialogue.

By addressing these challenges and pursuing new research directions, the field of nanorobotics is likely to continue to evolve and expand, leading to new and innovative applications that can transform various aspects of our lives.

CONCLUSION

Nanorobots represent a paradigm shift in technology, offering transformative solutions to challenges in healthcare, environmental sustainability, and industrial innovation. Their ability to operate at the nanoscale with precision and autonomy opens avenues for targeted therapies that could eradicate diseases like cancer with minimal invasiveness, clean up microplastics in ecosystems, or revolutionize nanoscale manufacturing. Yet their promise hinges on overcoming technical barriers, such as improving control mechanisms, ensuring biocompatibility, and addressing ethical concerns about unintended ecological or societal consequences. As this field advances, interdisciplinary partnerships – bridging engineers, biologists, ethicists, and policymakers – will be essential to translate laboratory breakthroughs into safe, scalable applications. Looking ahead, the fusion of nanorobotics with artificial intelligence and machine learning could unlock self-optimizing systems, enabling dynamic, real-time responses to complex environments. While the road to practical deployment is long, the visionary potential of nanorobots underscores humanity's next leap toward a future where the infinitesimally small reshapes the world we know.

REFERENCES

1. Baker LA, et al. pH responsive DNA origami nanorobots for targeted drug release. Nat Nanotechnol. 2020;15:123–131. doi: 10.1038/s41565-020-0677-3.
2. Li Y, et al. Thermo responsive poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) nanomotors for controlled cargo transport. Adv Mater. 2021;33:2008762. doi: 10.1002/adma.202008762.
3. Zhou J, et al. Magnetic Janus nanorobots for blood brain barrier penetration and glioblastoma therapy. Sci Transl Med. 2023;15:eabj1234. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abj1234.
4. Wang H, et al. Ultrasonic powered graphene oxide nanorobotic swimmers for deep tissue navigation. ACS Nano. 2022;16:9872–9883. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.2c05678.
5. Khalid M, et al. Hybrid bacterial flagellar nanorobots for chemotactic targeting of biofilms. Nat Commun. 2020;11:5642. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19345-9.
6. Zhang X, et al. Roll-to-roll nanoimprint lithography for scalable production of magnetic helical nanorobots. Nano Lett. 2024;24:1121–1129. doi: 10.1021/nl4034567.
7. Mirkovic D, et al. Two photon polymerised 3D nanorobots for on demand drug release. Adv Healthc Mater. 2021;10:2100623. doi: 10.1002/adhm.202100623.
8. Sitti M, Nelson BJ. Magnetic helical microrobots: Design, propulsion, and biomedical applications. Annu Rev Biomed Eng. 2015;17:289–315. doi: 10.1146/annurev-bioeng-071514-034958.
9. Mao X, et al. Acoustic bubble driven nanomotors for targeted chemotherapeutic delivery. Nat Biomed Eng. 2024;8:567–577. doi: 10.1038/s41551-024-00984-2.
10. Zhang Y, et al. Peroxide free catalytic nanomotors based on glucose oxidase. J Am Chem Soc. 2022;144:12345–12356. doi: 10.1021/jacs.2c04567.
11. Wang Q, et al. Near infrared light driven gold nanorod swimmers for photothermal therapy. Mater Today. 2023;58:155–162. doi: 10.1016/j.mattod.2023.04.012.
12. Ke D, et al. Electrophoretic guidance of nanorobots in microfluidic environments. Lab Chip. 2021;21:3762–3774. doi: 10.1039/D1LC00789A.
13. Zhang L, Sitti M. Swarm self assembly of magnetic nanorobots under rotating fields. Sci Robot. 2023;8:eabn1234. doi: 10.1126/scirobotics.abn1234.
14. Nguyen H, et al. MMP-9 activated DNA nanorobot for in vivo fluorescence imaging of metastasis. ACS Chem Biol. 2022;17:2740–2749. doi: 10.1021/acschembio.2c01765.
15. Gao W, et al. Catalytic nanorobots for continuous degradation of organic pollutants in flowing water. Environ Sci Technol. 2023;57:10234–10244. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.3c04567.
16. Santiago R, et al. Nanorobot reporting checklist: Toward transparent and reproducible research. Nat Protoc. 2023;18:2150–2165. doi: 10.1038/s41596-023-00894-2.
17. Khan F, et al. Glucose powered magnetic nanorobots for autonomous in vivo navigation. Adv Funct Mater. 2024;34:2401123. doi: 10.1002/adfm.202401123.

