STM JOURNALS

International Journal of
Robotics and Automation
in Mechanics

Volume 3, Issue 1, 2025
January–June
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/IJRAM

https://journals.stmjournals.com/ijram

Review IJRAM

Advancements in Humanoid Robot Locomotion: A Review of Control Strategies and Kinematic Models

Shubham Mishra[1,*]

Abstract

Humanoid robot locomotion has significantly improved over the past few decades, driven by improvements in control strategies and kinematic models. Researchers aim to develop robots that can walk, run, and navigate complex terrains with efficiency and stability. This review explores recent developments in humanoid locomotion, highlighting control strategies such as model predictive control, reinforcement learning, and central pattern generators. Additionally, it examines kinematic models, including inverted pendulum models and zero moment point (ZMP) methods, which play a crucial role in motion planning and stability. The paper discusses challenges, prospects, and potential applications in healthcare, disaster response, and service industries. Furthermore, advancements in sensor technologies and real-time computational capabilities have enhanced the adaptability of humanoid robots, allowing them to function in dynamic environments with greater precision. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and biomechanics has also contributed to the refinement of locomotion techniques, leading to improved efficiency and energy optimization. Additionally, researchers are exploring hybrid control strategies that combine physics-based modeling with deep learning techniques, enabling robots to learn and adapt in real-time. The increasing use of cloud-based computing and edge AI is further enhancing the processing speed and decision-making capabilities of humanoid robots, making them more autonomous and responsive. In the coming years, advancements in material science and actuator technologies are expected to improve the durability and flexibility of humanoid robots, allowing for more natural and efficient movement. These innovations will facilitate the deployment of humanoid robots in real-world scenarios, contributing to their use in fields such as elderly care, rehabilitation, search-and-rescue, and hazardous environment exploration.

Keywords: Humanoid robot locomotion, bipedal walking control, kinematic modeling, reinforcement learning in robotics, zero moment point (ZMP) stability, energy-efficient robotic motion

INTRODUCTION

Humanoid robots are designed to closely resemble human forms and functionalities with a primary focus on replicating human movement patterns. Locomotion, one of the most crucial aspects of humanoid robotics, presents significant challenges owing to the intricate nature of bipedal walking. Unlike wheeled robots, which operate on stable platforms, humanoid robots must maintain balance while walking and adapt to changes in terrain, external forces, and dynamic environmental conditions. Achieving stable and adaptive locomotion is essential for humanoid robots to perform real-world tasks, interact with humans, and navigate complex environments.

The development of effective locomotion mechanisms for humanoid robots involves

***Author for Correspondence**
Shubham Mishra
E-mail: shubhammishra7860@gmail.com

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Electrical Engineering, Jaipur Engineering College & Research Centre, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Received Date: March 06, 2025
Accepted Date: June 10, 2025
Published Date: June 20, 2025

Citation: Shubham Mishra. Advancements in Humanoid Robot Locomotion: A Review of Control Strategies and Kinematic Models. International Journal of Robotics and Automation in Mechanics. 2025; 3(1): 24–30p.

overcoming nonlinear dynamics and ensuring real-time adaptability. The bipedal movement of humans results from complex neuromuscular coordination, which enables smooth walking, running, and even recovery from disturbances. Mimicking this level of coordination in robots requires the integration of advanced control strategies, kinematic models, and sensory-feedback mechanisms. Researchers in humanoid robotics continually explore various approaches, including model-based and learning-based control techniques, to improve stability and efficiency [1].

One of the fundamental challenges in humanoid locomotion is the maintenance of dynamic balance. Unlike static stability, in which a robot remains stable while at rest, dynamic stability ensures that a humanoid remains upright while in motion. Achieving this requires precise coordination between the joint actuators, sensors, and control algorithms. Many humanoid robots utilize zero moment point (ZMP) control, which ensures that the robot's center of pressure remains within its support polygon, thereby preventing falls. In addition, other balance strategies, such as the capture point method and Hybrid Zero Dynamics, have been developed to enhance robustness and adaptability.

Kinematic modeling plays a crucial role in defining the movement and posture of humanoid robots. Forward and inverse kinematics help determine joint angles and limb positioning, enabling robots to perform tasks such as walking, climbing stairs, and avoiding obstacles. In particular, inverse kinematics is essential for ensuring that the robot’s limbs move in a coordinated manner to achieve the desired motion trajectory. Researchers have also employed dynamic modeling techniques, such as Lagrangian and Newton-Euler methods, to predict and optimize motion patterns based on external forces and internal constraints [2, 3].

Recent advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning have contributed to improvements in humanoid locomotion. Deep reinforcement learning allows robots to learn walking strategies through trial-and-error, thereby enhancing their ability to adapt to new environments. Machine learning algorithms process real-time sensor data, enabling robots to adjust their gait dynamically. Additionally, bio-inspired approaches such as central pattern generators (CPG) and neuromuscular models seek to replicate human-like walking by mimicking biological processes.

CONTROL STRATEGIES FOR HUMANOID LOCOMOTION

Model Predictive Control

Model predictive control (MPC) is a widely used approach in humanoid robotics for optimizing gait trajectories while ensuring stability. This method allows robots to predict their future states based on the current motion and external conditions, enabling dynamic adjustments for smoother and more stable walking. By formulating locomotion as an optimization problem, the MPC ensures that the robot’s movements are not only efficient but also adaptive to changes in the environment. Recent advancements in MPC have led to significant improvements in real-time adaptability, allowing humanoid robots to navigate complex and uneven terrain more effectively. These enhancements include the integration of high-speed computational algorithms that improve decision-making accuracy and responsiveness. Additionally, researchers have incorporated sensory-feedback mechanisms, such as force sensors and vision-based systems, to enhance the ability of robots to predict and react to environmental variations. This proactive control approach is particularly useful for applications involving autonomous robots in unstructured environments such as disaster response or industrial automation. By continuously updating motion trajectories, MPC enables humanoid robots to maintain stability even when encountering disturbances, such as sudden changes in ground inclination or external forces. Future developments in MPC will focus on improving energy efficiency, computational efficiency, and robustness, ensuring more natural and human-like robotic locomotion [4].

Reinforcement Learning

Reinforcement learning (RL) is an advanced machine learning technique that has significantly influenced humanoid locomotion by allowing robots to learn optimal walking strategies through experience. Unlike traditional control methods that rely on predefined rules, RL enables robots to develop adaptive movement patterns through trial-and-error. In this approach, robots interact with their environment and receive rewards or penalties based on their actions, gradually refining their walking mechanisms to enhance their efficiency, stability, and agility. Deep reinforcement learning, a subset of RL that utilizes neural networks, has been particularly successful in enabling robots to perform complex locomotion tasks such as obstacle avoidance, rapid terrain adaptation, and precise foot placement. Robots can develop robust strategies without physical risks by training in simulated environments before real-world deployment. Recent studies have explored hybrid RL models that combine supervised learning techniques with reinforcement learning to improve learning speed and reduce computational costs. Additionally, researchers are investigating how multi-agent RL can enable teams of humanoid robots to collaborate on tasks, such as cooperative navigation and object manipulation. As RL techniques continue to evolve, they hold great potential for creating humanoid robots with highly adaptive and autonomous locomotion capabilities, making them suitable for real-world applications, such as healthcare, search-and-rescue, and industrial automation [5].

Central Pattern Generators

Central pattern generators are biologically inspired neural circuits that are responsible for generating rhythmic locomotion patterns in animals and humans. In humanoid robotics, CPG models replicate these natural movement patterns to achieve efficient and coordinated walking motion. Unlike conventional control methods that rely heavily on sensor feedback, CPG-based locomotion operates through self-sustained oscillatory signals, enabling smooth and energy-efficient movements. Researchers have developed hybrid CPG models that incorporate sensory-feedback mechanisms, allowing robots to adapt to environmental changes in real-time. This integration enhances stability by enabling humanoid robots to adjust their gait when encountering unexpected obstacles or varying terrain. In addition, CPG-based approaches facilitate more natural and fluid walking motions, closely mimicking human neuromuscular coordination. Recent advancements in CPG research have focused on optimizing the interaction between neural oscillators and robotic actuators to improve locomotion efficiency. Furthermore, CPGs have been successfully applied to multilegged robots, enhancing their adaptability and performance in challenging environments. The combination of CPGs with machine learning techniques has also shown promising results in the development of autonomous walking behaviors. Future developments aim to refine CPG models for better adaptability, robustness, and energy conservation, making them crucial components in the evolution of humanoid robot locomotion [6].

Zero Moment Point Control

Zero moment point control is a fundamental strategy in humanoid locomotion that ensures dynamic stability during walking and other activities. The ZMP concept revolves around maintaining the center of pressure within the support polygon of the robot, preventing it from tipping over. Traditional ZMP-based control systems rely on precise motion planning to maintain the robot’s balance; however, recent advancements have introduced predictive adjustments and sensory integration for enhanced stability [7, 8]. By incorporating force and pressure sensors, humanoid robots can detect and respond to changes in surface conditions, allowing them to walk smoothly on uneven terrains. Researchers have also developed adaptive ZMP controllers that adjust footstep locations and body posture dynamically, improving the ability of the robot to handle external disturbances, such as sudden pushes or shifts in weight distribution. Additionally, integrating ZMP control with deep learning techniques has enabled humanoid robots to refine their balance strategies over time, making them more robust in real-world environments. The continuous development of ZMP-based methods aims to enhance humanoid locomotion by making them more resilient, flexible, and human-like. Future research should focus on improving energy efficiency and expanding ZMP applications to more complex movement scenarios, such as running, climbing stairs, and handling dynamic interactions with humans (Table 1).

Table 1. Performance comparison of control strategies for humanoid locomotion.

Control strategy	Stability	Energy efficiency	Adaptability to terrain	Computational complexity	Real-world application readiness
Model Predictive Control (MPC)	High	Moderate	Moderate	High	Widely Used in Research
Reinforcement Learning (RL)	Moderate	High	High	Very High	Limited (Due to Training Complexity)
Central Pattern Generators (CPGs)	Moderate	High	Moderate	Low	Applied in Some Humanoids
Zero Moment Point (ZMP) based Control	Very High	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Common in Humanoid Robots
Inverted Pendulum Model (IPM)	High	Moderate	Low	Low	Used for Theoretical Modeling

This table provides a high-level comparison of different control techniques based on critical performance parameters.

KINEMATIC MODELS FOR HUMANOID LOCOMOTION

Humanoid robots require effective locomotion models to replicate their human-like movement patterns. Various kinematic models can help in designing efficient walking algorithms and balancing mechanisms. These models allow robots to achieve stability while walking, running, or navigating uneven terrains. One of the widely used approaches is the inverted pendulum model (IPM), which simplifies motion planning by approximating human gait. Additionally, advancements in humanoid robotics have led to the development of refined models such as the linear inverted pendulum model (LIPM). These models play a crucial role in optimizing dynamic movement and ensuring energy-efficient locomotion. By implementing such frameworks, researchers can enhance the adaptability of a robot, enabling smoother transitions between steps. Understanding kinematic models is essential for designing advanced control systems and improving humanoid robot mobility in real-world environments. The development of these models continues to evolve, integrating more precise calculations and algorithms to effectively mimic the natural walking patterns of humans [9–11].

Inverted Pendulum Model

The inverted pendulum model is a fundamental approach in humanoid robotics that approximates human walking by modeling a robot as an inverted pendulum. This model simplifies gait dynamics by considering the robot’s center of mass as a pivot point, enabling an easier analysis of motion and balance. IPM is widely used because of its effectiveness in predicting step trajectories, maintaining stability, and reducing the complexity of humanoid locomotion calculations. By assuming that the body moves like an inverted pendulum, the model captures the essential aspects of human walking, such as step length and speed. Researchers have used the IPM for motion planning, balance control, and energy-efficient gait generation. Despite its simplicity, this model does not fully account for variations in joint movements or terrain adaptations. However, this serves as a foundational concept for more advanced locomotion frameworks. Several humanoid robots integrate IPM principles to achieve natural and stable movement patterns.

Linear Inverted Pendulum Model

LIPM is an extension of the IPM, designed to improve computational efficiency while maintaining realistic gait analysis. Unlike the standard IPM, which allows the center of mass to fluctuate in height, LIPM assumes a constant center of mass height throughout the walking cycle. This simplification reduces the mathematical complexity of motion planning, rendering it suitable for real-time control applications in humanoid robots. By maintaining a fixed height, LIPM facilitates smoother trajectory planning and improves balance control during dynamic movements. This model is extensively used in robotics research to optimize bipedal locomotion, particularly in applications that require fast and stable gait adjustments. Additionally, LIPM enhances walking stability on flat surfaces, allowing robots to generate consistent step patterns. Although this model does not fully capture the intricacies of human locomotion, it remains a crucial tool for humanoid robot motion planning and control system development.

CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite the remarkable progress in humanoid locomotion, several challenges continue to hinder its full potential. One of the primary concerns is energy efficiency, as humanoid robots require substantial power to perform complex movements, often leading to limited operational time. Reducing energy consumption while maintaining high performance is a critical area of research. Another significant challenge is computational complexity. Advanced algorithms are required to process vast amounts of sensory data, ensuring precise movement and real-time decision-making. Developing more efficient computational models is essential for improving responsiveness and adaptability in dynamic environments.

Real-time adaptability is a crucial factor in humanoid robotics. Navigating unpredictable terrains, avoiding obstacles, and adjusting to sudden changes require sophisticated control mechanisms. Future research will likely integrate AI with biomechanics-inspired models to enhance autonomous navigation, thereby making robots more resilient under diverse conditions. Advancements in actuation systems and material technologies are expected to play a significant role in improving humanoid robots. The development of lightweight, flexible, and durable materials can contribute to improved mobility and reduced energy consumption. As technology evolves, interdisciplinary approaches combining robotics, AI, and biomechanics will be instrumental in overcoming these challenges and pave the way for more efficient and capable humanoid systems.

Recommendations

To advance humanoid robot locomotion and overcome existing challenges, future research and development should focus on the following key areas.

1. *Enhancing Control Strategies*
   - Further improvements in MPC and RL techniques will help humanoid robots adapt to dynamic environments more efficiently.
   - Hybrid control approaches that integrate CPGs with machine learning models should be explored to improve gait adaptability.
2. *Improving Kinematic and Dynamic Models*
   - Refining IPM and LIPM can lead to more accurate motion planning and stability.
   - Developing new biomechanically inspired models that more closely mimic human movement can enhance the efficiency of humanoid robots.
3. *Energy Efficiency and Power Management*
   - Research should focus on optimizing power consumption using energy-efficient actuators and lightweight materials.
   - Regenerative braking and energy recovery systems should be integrated to maximize the battery life and operational duration.
4. *Sensor Integration and Perception*
   - Advanced sensors, including LiDAR, IMUs, and vision-based systems, should be improved for better real-time decision-making.
   - Multisensor fusion techniques should be explored to enhance environmental perception and obstacle avoidance.
5. *Adaptability to Complex Terrains*
   - Robots should be trained to navigate uneven surfaces, stairs, and rough terrains using AI-based adaptive locomotion strategies.
   - Improved footstep planning and real-time correction algorithms can enhance stability in unpredictable environments.

6. *Human–Robot Interaction (HRI)*
    - Implementing better communication interfaces and feedback mechanisms will make humanoid robots more user-friendly.
    - Ensuring safe physical interactions, particularly in assistive robotics, is crucial for widespread adoption.
7. *Testing and Real-World Applications*
    - Increased testing in real-world scenarios, such as healthcare, industrial automation, and disaster response, will validate advancements in robotic locomotion.
    - Collaboration among researchers, industry, and policymakers should be encouraged to accelerate the development of practical humanoid robots.

CONCLUSION

Humanoid robot locomotion has made significant progress over the years, driven by advancements in control strategies and kinematic modeling. Researchers and engineers continue to explore innovative techniques for enhancing the stability, efficiency, and adaptability of robotic movements. This review emphasizes the crucial role of MPC, RL, CPGs, and ZMP-based control strategies in improving humanoid gait. Additionally, kinematic models, such as IPM and LIPM, have been instrumental in understanding and optimizing humanoid motion. Despite these advancements, several challenges remain, including maintaining balance on uneven terrain, reducing energy consumption, and improving real-time decision-making for dynamic environments. Addressing these challenges requires further research on sensor integration, machine learning algorithms, and hardware efficiency. With continued progress in these areas, humanoid robots will become increasingly capable of performing complex tasks in various fields ranging from healthcare and rehabilitation to industrial automation and search-and-rescue missions. The future of humanoid robotics holds immense potential for promising transformative applications that will enhance human lives and industrial operations worldwide.

REFERENCES

1. Kajita S, Kanehiro F, Kaneko K, Fujiwara K, Harada K, Yokoi K, et al. Biped walking pattern generation by using preview control of zero-moment point. 2003 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (Cat. No.03CH37422), Taipei, Taiwan. 2003. Vol. 2. p. 1620–6. doi: 10.1109/ROBOT.2003.1241826.
2. Huang Q, Yokoi K, Kajita S, Kaneko K, Arai H, Koyachi N, et al. Planning walking patterns for a biped robot. IEEE Trans Robot Autom. 2001;17(3):280–9. doi: 10.1109/70.938385.
3. Miura H, Shimoyama I. Dynamic walk of a biped. Int J Robot Res. 1984;3(2):60–74. doi: 10.1177/027836498400300206.
4. Pratt J, Chew CM, Torres A, Dilworth P, Pratt G. Virtual model control: An intuitive approach for bipedal locomotion. Int J Robot Res. 2001;20(2):129–43. doi: 10.1177/02783640122067309.
5. Collins SH, Ruina A, Tedrake R, Wisse M. Efficient bipedal robots based on passive-dynamic walkers. Science. 2005;307(5712):1082–5. doi: 10.1126/science.1107799. PMID: 15718465.
6. Lee SH, Goswami A. Reaction mass pendulum (RMP): An explicit model for centroidal angular momentum of humanoid robots. Proceedings 2007 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Rome, Italy. 2007. Vol. 4. p. 4667–72. doi: 10.1109/ROBOT.2007.364198.
7. Wensing PM, Orin DE. Generation of dynamic humanoid behaviors through task-space control with conic optimization. 2013 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Karlsruhe, Germany, 2013. p. 3103–9. doi: 10.1109/ICRA.2013.6631008.
8. Kuo AD. Stabilization of lateral motion in passive dynamic walking. Int J Robot Res. 1999;18(9):917–30. doi: 10.1177/02783649922066655.
9. Mistry M, Buchli J, Schaal S. Inverse dynamics control of floating base systems using orthogonal decomposition. 2010 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Anchorage, AK, USA. 2010. p. 3406–12. doi: 10.1109/ROBOT.2010.5509646.

10. Park HW, Wensing PM, Kim S. Online planning for autonomous running jumps over obstacles in high-speed quadrupeds. Proceedings of Robotics: Science and Systems; 2015 Jul; Rome, Italy. doi: 10.15607/RSS.2015.XI.047.
11. Sreenath K, Park HW, Poulakakis I, Grizzle JW. A compliant hybrid zero dynamics controller for stable, efficient and fast bipedal walking on MABEL. Int J Robot Res. 2011;30(9):1170–93. doi: 10.1177/0278364910379882.