

# The Role of Polymers and Composites in the Development of a Dental X-ray Film Holder

Amar Mohite<sup>1\*</sup>, Jayant Rajaram Pawar<sup>2</sup>, Rohan S. Phatak<sup>3</sup>

## Abstract

*The advancement of dental devices has been significantly influenced by the development of polymer-based materials and composite structures. This paper explores the role of polymer chemistry in the design and manufacturing of a dental X-ray film holder, highlighting its impact on performance, safety, and sustainability. The use of biodegradable, durable, and patient-friendly polymer composites enhances the functionality and comfort of these dental tools, making them more cost-effective and efficient for both practitioners and patients. Polymer-based materials contribute to improved mechanical properties, including enhanced strength, flexibility, and thermal stability, ensuring the device's reliability during repeated use. Additionally, these materials exhibit superior chemical resistance, making them highly suitable for sterilization and long-term application in dental environments. The incorporation of antimicrobial polymer coatings further minimizes the risk of bacterial contamination, reducing infection rates and promoting better oral hygiene. Moreover, the integration of eco-friendly polymer technologies in dental tools aligns with sustainable medical practices. By utilizing biodegradable and recyclable materials, the environmental impact of disposable dental products can be significantly reduced. This not only supports green initiatives within the healthcare sector but also addresses concerns related to medical waste management. This study highlights the numerous advantages of polymer-based materials in dental applications, emphasizing their role in improving patient compliance, enhancing safety, and promoting sustainability.*

**Keywords:** Polymer chemistry, dental X-ray film holder, biocompatible polymers, composites, biodegradable materials

## INTRODUCTION

Dental radiography is a crucial diagnostic tool in modern dentistry, allowing for precise imaging of dental structures and aiding in early diagnosis and treatment planning. Intraoral X-ray film holders play an essential role in positioning the film accurately within the patient's oral cavity, ensuring optimal image quality and diagnostic accuracy [1]. Traditional holders made of metal or rigid plastics present several challenges, including discomfort for patients, high sterilization costs, and limited durability.

Polymers and polymer composites have emerged as viable alternatives to conventional materials, addressing these limitations while enhancing overall functionality and patient experience [2]. The use of biocompatible polymers ensures that the material does not cause adverse reactions within the oral cavity, while their lightweight and flexible nature improves patient comfort. Furthermore, polymers offer superior resistance to moisture and

**\*Author for Correspondence**  
Amar Mohite

<sup>1</sup>Researcher, Department of Research, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Karad, Maharashtra, India

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Biotechnology, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Karad, Maharashtra, India

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacognosy, Krishna Institute of Pharmacy, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Karad, Maharashtra, India

Received Date: March 12, 2025

Accepted Date: April 08, 2025

Published Date: August 29, 2025

**Citation:** Amar Mohite, Jayant Rajaram Pawar, Rohan S. Phatak. The Role of Polymers and Composites in the Development of a Dental X-ray Film Holder. Journal of Polymer & Composites. 2025; 13(Special Issue 6): S323–S331p.

chemicals, making them suitable for repeated exposure to sterilization procedures or even disposable applications to minimize contamination risks.

These innovations have expanded the application of polymer-based dental tools, making them more efficient and sustainable. By leveraging polymer composites, manufacturers can design X-ray film holders that are not only cost-effective and durable but also environmentally friendly [3].

Additionally, the integration of nanotechnology in polymer composites has led to enhanced material properties, such as increased resistance to microbial colonization and greater mechanical resilience [4]. These advanced materials are capable of responding dynamically to environmental factors, adapting their structural integrity to varying pressures and temperatures. This further extends the usability and effectiveness of polymer-based dental tools. Such polymers can release therapeutic agents, aiding in tissue healing and reducing post-procedural complications. As a result, dental X-ray holders made from these materials not only serve as diagnostic aids but also contribute to oral health enhancement.

This shift towards polymer-based materials marks a significant evolution in dental radiography, with implications for enhanced clinical outcomes, improved patient compliance, and a more sustainable approach to dental tool manufacturing.

## POLYMER CHEMISTRY IN DENTAL APPLICATIONS

The development of polymer-based dental tools has revolutionized various aspects of dental practice, from prosthodontics to orthodontics and restorative dentistry [5].

Modern polymer chemistry has led to the synthesis of advanced polymers that offer improved mechanical properties, thermal stability, and biocompatibility [6]. Some polymers also incorporate antimicrobial properties, which help in reducing the risk of bacterial infections in dental applications. Additionally, the use of smart polymers capable of responding to environmental stimuli, such as temperature or pH changes, has further expanded their utility in dentistry.

### Common Polymers used in Dental Applications Include

- *Polyethylene (PE) and Polypropylene (PP)*: Used for their lightweight and chemical resistance, making them ideal for temporary dental devices and laboratory equipment [7].
- *Polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA)*: Known for its transparency and high impact resistance, widely used in dentures and temporary crowns.
- *Polycarbonate (PC)*: Utilized for its strength, flexibility, and aesthetic appeal, making it useful for orthodontic brackets and dental restorations [8].
- *Biodegradable Polymers*: These materials are particularly useful in the fabrication of temporary dental appliances and guided tissue regeneration membranes.
- *Silicone-Based Polymers*: Often employed in dental impression materials due to their high elasticity and precision in capturing dental impressions [9].

## DEVELOPMENT OF A POLYMER-BASED DENTAL X-RAY FILM HOLDER

The incorporation of polymer composites in X-ray film holders enhances their mechanical properties, usability, and overall efficiency in dental radiography. Unlike traditional metal or rigid plastic holders, polymer-based holders offer a combination of flexibility, strength, and cost-effectiveness that make them ideal for modern dental applications [10].

### Key Considerations in the Development of Polymer-Based Holders Include

- *Biocompatibility*: Ensuring the material is non-toxic and safe for intraoral use. The choice of biocompatible polymers prevents adverse reactions in patients and enhances overall safety [11].
- *Flexibility and Comfort*: Using elastomeric polymers to reduce patient discomfort and gag reflex. Flexible materials conform to the patient's mouth more easily, improving positioning and reducing strain.

- *Durability and Sterilization:* Selecting heat-resistant and autoclavable polymers to withstand repeated use. The durability of polymer composites ensures a long lifespan while allowing for thorough sterilization without material degradation.
- *Biodegradability:* Developing single-use, disposable holders made from eco-friendly polymers to reduce cross-contamination risks. The use of biodegradable polymers also addresses sustainability concerns and reduces medical waste.[12]
- *Mechanical Strength and Structural Integrity:* Ensuring that the material maintains its shape and provides sufficient support for the X-ray film while resisting breakage under pressure.
- *Advanced Manufacturing Techniques:* Leveraging 3D printing and injection molding technologies to produce customized, high-precision holders with minimal material waste.

These advancements in polymer-based dental X-ray film holders demonstrate how material science and polymer chemistry continue to refine and enhance dental diagnostic tools, ensuring better outcomes for both practitioners and patients.

### **Importance of Biocompatibility in the Selection of Polymers and Composites for Dental X-Ray Film Holders**

Biocompatibility is crucial when selecting polymers and composites for dental X-ray film holders because these devices come into direct or indirect contact with oral tissues and mucosa. Here's why it matters:

#### ***Patient Safety and Oral Compatibility***

- Materials must be non-toxic, non-irritating, and hypoallergenic to prevent adverse reactions such as oral mucosal irritation, inflammation, or allergic responses.
- The material should comply with ISO 10993 (Biological Evaluation of Medical Devices), ensuring it does not release harmful leachables.

#### ***Mechanical and Chemical Stability***

- Biocompatible materials should resist saliva, disinfectants, and temperature variations to maintain structural integrity.
- Degradation could lead to the release of harmful byproducts affecting oral health.

#### ***Comfort and Usability***

- Dental X-ray film holders need to be comfortable for the patient. A soft-touch, non-rigid material reduces patient discomfort during prolonged intraoral positioning.
- Surface properties should minimize bacterial adhesion to prevent cross-contamination.

#### ***Regulatory and Compliance Considerations***

- Materials must meet FDA, ADA, and ISO safety regulations for intraoral devices.
- Non-biocompatible materials could lead to product recalls, legal liabilities, or patient health risks.

### **ADVANTAGES OF POLYMER-BASED X-RAY FILM HOLDERS**

The shift to polymer-based X-ray film holders offers several benefits, making them an essential component in modern dental radiography [13]. These advantages are as follows:

#### **Reduced Infection Risks**

Single-use polymer holders eliminate the need for autoclaving, minimizing contamination and reducing the spread of infections [14]. The antimicrobial properties of certain polymers further reduce the chances of bacterial transmission, ensuring safer dental procedures. Additionally, some advanced polymer composites incorporate self-sterilizing agents that actively inhibit microbial growth, extending the lifespan of reusable devices. As research progresses, the integration of nanotechnology into

polymer-based dental tools could further enhance antimicrobial efficacy, making them even more effective in infection control protocols [15].

### **Enhanced Patient Comfort**

Flexible and softer polymers reduce irritation in the oral cavity [16]. The ergonomic design of polymer-based holders conforms to the shape of the mouth, making the process of taking intraoral X-rays less invasive and more comfortable for patients. Additionally, the incorporation of elastomeric materials helps in further cushioning the holder, reducing pressure points and the likelihood of discomfort. Innovations such as temperature-sensitive polymers allow holders to soften slightly upon contact with body heat, enhancing adaptability and patient experience [17]. Furthermore, patient-specific customization using 3D scanning and printing ensures a more precise and comfortable fit, improving compliance and accuracy during imaging.

Polymers and composites used in dental X-ray film holders enhance patient comfort by providing smooth, rounded edges that prevent irritation to the gums and soft tissues. Unlike metal, these materials can be designed with slight flexibility, allowing them to adapt to variations in mouth size and shape for a more comfortable fit. Additionally, polymers do not feel excessively cold like metal, eliminating the discomfort caused by temperature sensitivity. These features ensure a more pleasant experience for patients while maintaining effective positioning of the X-ray film or sensor.

### **Cost Efficiency**

Polymers are more affordable than metal alternatives and can be mass-produced efficiently.[18] Their lower manufacturing costs translate to cost savings for dental clinics and practitioners, allowing for widespread adoption [19-20].

### **Customizability**

3D printing technology enables rapid prototyping and customization using polymer composites. The ability to adjust the size, shape, and design of the holder based on individual anatomical differences ensures a better fit and enhanced stability during procedures. Furthermore, the integration of advanced software in dental practices allows for digital scanning and modelling of customized holders, optimizing their compatibility with various imaging techniques.

### **Durability**

Their impact resistance and flexibility reduce breakage, extending the lifespan of reusable models. Additionally, modern polymer composites are engineered to withstand high mechanical stress, ensuring stability under various clinical conditions. The incorporation of reinforced polymers enhances structural integrity, allowing the holders to maintain their shape even with frequent use. Some advanced polymer formulations also offer self-repairing properties, reducing microfractures and extending the device's usability. Furthermore, their lightweight nature improves practitioner efficiency by reducing fatigue during prolonged procedures, making them a practical and ergonomic choice for dental professionals.

### **Ease of Handling**

Polymers and composites used in dental X-ray film holders contribute significantly to ease of handling due to their lightweight nature, which reduces hand fatigue for dental professionals during procedures. Their durability and strength make them resistant to breakage, wear, and damage from disinfectants, ensuring long-term usability. Additionally, many of these materials can be molded with textured surfaces or rubberized coatings, enhancing grip and control even when handled with gloves.[21] These features not only improve the efficiency of dental practitioners but also make the process smoother and more precise.

### **Ergonomic Design**

Polymers and composites contribute to the ergonomic design of dental X-ray film holders by allowing for precision molding into shapes that fit comfortably in the mouth while ensuring accurate positioning

of the film or sensor. Their resistance to moisture and chemicals makes them easy to disinfect without degradation, promoting hygiene and durability.[22] Additionally, these materials can be manufactured in bright colors or semi-transparent forms, improving visibility and allowing for easy identification of different holders used for various types of radiographs. These features enhance both functionality and efficiency in dental procedures.

## **PERFORMANCE OF POLYMER OVER TIME**

Polymers and composites exhibit a range of performance characteristics over time, depending on their composition, structure, and exposure conditions. Here's a breakdown of how they generally perform in terms of wear resistance, deformation, and environmental factors:

### **Wear Resistance**

- Thermoplastics (e.g., nylon, PTFE, UHMWPE) often show good wear resistance due to their ability to absorb impact and self-lubricate, but prolonged exposure to abrasive forces can lead to surface degradation.
- Thermosets (e.g., epoxy, phenolic resins) are harder and more brittle, making them more resistant to abrasion but prone to cracking under repeated stress.
- Fiber-reinforced composites (e.g., carbon fiber, glass fiber composites) typically have excellent wear resistance when combined with tough matrices but can suffer from fiber exposure and degradation if the matrix wears away [23].

### **Deformation Over Time (Creep and Fatigue)**

#### ***Creep (slow deformation under load)***

- Thermoplastics tend to creep more over time, especially at high temperatures (e.g., polyethylene and polypropylene in load-bearing applications).
- Thermosets have better creep resistance due to their crosslinked structure.
- Composites resist creep better than neat polymers, especially when fibers are oriented in the load direction.

#### ***Fatigue (failure due to repeated stress cycles)***

- Polymers can experience microcracking and embrittlement over time, particularly under cyclic loading.
- Composites generally outperform pure polymers due to fiber reinforcement but can suffer from delamination under certain loading conditions.

### **Environmental Factors**

#### ***Temperature***

- Thermoplastics soften at high temperatures and can lose mechanical properties.
- Thermosets generally maintain strength up to their glass transition temperature but degrade at extreme heat.
- Composites can withstand higher temperatures but may degrade due to thermal expansion mismatch between fibers and the matrix.

#### ***Moisture Absorption***

- Hydrophilic polymers (e.g., nylon) absorb water, leading to swelling and loss of mechanical properties.
- Hydrophobic polymers (e.g., PTFE, polyethylene) resist moisture better.
- Composites may suffer from matrix swelling or fiber-matrix debonding in humid environments.

#### ***Chemical Resistance***

- Fluoropolymers (e.g., PTFE, PVDF) have excellent chemical resistance.
- Epoxy-based composites can degrade when exposed to strong acids or solvents.

- Polyester and vinyl ester composites offer good resistance to many industrial chemicals but can degrade over time.

## **FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

Further research in polymer chemistry can lead to the development of smart dental devices, such as antimicrobial polymer coatings and radiopaque polymer composites for enhanced imaging. Advanced polymer composites that exhibit self-healing properties or responsiveness to environmental stimuli could significantly enhance dental tool longevity and efficiency [24]. Moreover, the integration of nanotechnology in polymer-based materials could improve their antimicrobial effectiveness, further reducing the risk of infections in dental settings.

Another promising avenue is the incorporation of bioactive polymers that facilitate tissue regeneration, promoting faster healing after dental procedures. These bioengineered materials could revolutionize restorative and regenerative dentistry, offering solutions that go beyond mere imaging and support overall oral health.[25].

## **CHALLENGES**

The design of dental X-ray film holders presents several engineering challenges that must be addressed to ensure accurate imaging, patient comfort, durability, and ease of use. These challenges include:

### **Precision and Stability**

- The holder must position the X-ray film or sensor precisely in the patient's mouth to capture clear images.
- It must be stable enough to prevent movement during exposure, which could cause blurring.

### ***How Polymers & Composites Help***

High-performance polymeric materials such as polycarbonate (PC) and acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) offer a good balance of rigidity and impact resistance, ensuring structural integrity while maintaining lightweight properties [26].

### **Patient Comfort**

- The holder must fit comfortably in a variety of mouth sizes and shapes [27].
- Hard or sharp edges can cause discomfort or injury to soft tissues.

### ***How Polymers & Composites Help***

Soft elastomeric polymers (e.g., silicone rubber, thermoplastic elastomers) provide cushioned surfaces to enhance patient comfort. These materials can also be used in hybrid designs with rigid plastics.

### **Biocompatibility & Safety**

- The material should be non-toxic and resistant to degradation in the oral environment.
- It must not leach harmful chemicals when exposed to saliva or disinfectants.

### ***How Polymers & Composites Help***

Biocompatible polymers like medical-grade polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), and polyether ether ketone (PEEK) are commonly used due to their inert nature, preventing irritation or allergic reactions [28].

### **Sterilizability & Hygiene**

- The holder must withstand frequent cleaning and sterilization without degradation.
- It should resist bacterial growth.

### ***How Polymers & Composites Help***

Autoclavable materials such as PEEK and polysulfone (PSU) can withstand high temperatures and chemical disinfectants. Some polymer surfaces can also be engineered to resist microbial adhesion, reducing contamination risks [29].

### **Durability & Flexibility**

- The holder must withstand repeated use without breaking or deforming.
- It should have a certain degree of flexibility to accommodate different angulations and positioning.

### ***How Polymers & Composites Help***

Reinforced fiber-reinforced composites (e.g., carbon-fiber or glass-fiber reinforced polymers) improve mechanical strength while maintaining flexibility. This is crucial for components that require repeated bending or stress [30].

## **CONCLUSION**

The development of a polymer-based X-ray film holder demonstrates the significant impact of polymer chemistry in improving dental tools, patient care, and sustainability. These materials have facilitated the creation of lightweight, durable, and cost-effective devices that enhance diagnostic accuracy while minimizing patient discomfort. Additionally, the integration of antimicrobial and biodegradable polymers has further improved hygiene standards and reduced the environmental impact of dental waste. Future advancements in material science will continue to refine these devices, making dental diagnostics more efficient and accessible. Emerging trends, such as self-healing polymers, nanocomposites, and 3D-printed customized holders, hold great promise in advancing dental radiography technology. By leveraging the latest breakthroughs in polymer chemistry, manufacturers can develop tools that offer superior performance, increased sustainability, and enhanced patient safety. The adoption of new manufacturing techniques and sustainable materials will shape the future of dental diagnostics, ensuring that polymer-based dental tools remain at the forefront of medical advancements. Ultimately, the continuous development of these materials will lead to better patient outcomes, greater efficiency in dental practices, and a more environmentally responsible approach to healthcare.

## **REFERENCES**

1. Jannah M, Prakoso D, Erfansyah M. Evaluation of Dental Holder Innovation: Patient Comfort and Periapical Intra-Oral Radiographic Image Quality. *Jurnal Riset Kesehatan*. 2024 Dec 15;13(2):179-84.
2. Moshkbid E, Cree DE, Bradford L, Zhang W. Biodegradable alternatives to plastic in medical equipment: current state, challenges, and the future. *Journal of Composites Science*. 2024 Sep 1;8(9):342.
3. Zizhe L, Rauf S, Xu Z, Sagar RU, Faisal F, Tayyab Z, Rehman RU, Javed R, Surulinathan A, Zafar Z, Fu XZ. Advanced Fabrication Techniques for Polymer-Metal Nanocomposite Films: State-of-the-Art Innovations in Energy and Electronic Applications. *Chemical Science*. 2025.
4. Nasra S, Pramanik S, Oza V, Kansara K, Kumar A. Advancements in wound management: Integrating nanotechnology and smart materials for enhanced therapeutic interventions. *Discover nano*. 2024 Oct 2;19(1):159.
5. Doriana AF, Paul Serban P, Gabriel Valentin P. PMMA in dentistry: a modern solution for sustainable dental restorations. *Medicine and materials*. 2024:77-84.
6. Guo Z, Poot AA, Grijpma DW. Advanced polymer-based composites and structures for biomedical applications. *European Polymer Journal*. 2021 Apr 15; 149:110388.
7. Ashter SA. Applications of Polymers and Plastics in Medical Devices: Design, Manufacture, and Performance. William Andrew; 2022 Mar 9.

8. Tichá D, Tomášik J, Oravcová L, Thurzo A. Three-Dimensionally-Printed Polymer and Composite Materials for Dental Applications with Focus on Orthodontics. *Polymers*. 2024 Nov 12;16(22):3151.
9. Bud ES, Bocanet VI, Muntean MH, Vlasiu A, Păcurar M, Zetu IN, Soporan BI, Bud A. Extra-Oral three-dimensional (3D) scanning evaluation of three different impression materials—an in vitro study. *Polymers*. 2022 Sep 5;14(17):3678.
10. Kumar A, Jaiswal J, Tsuchiya K, Singh G. Recent Advances in Polymer-Composite Materials for Biomedical Applications. *Hybrid Composite Materials: Experimental and Theoretical Analysis*. 2024 May 19:153-93.
11. Khane Y, Albukhaty S, Sulaiman GM, Fennich F, Bensalah B, Hafsi Z, Aouf M, Amar ZH, Aouf D, Al-kuraishy HM, Saadoun H. Fabrication, characterization and application of biocompatible nanocomposites: A review. *European Polymer Journal*. 2024 May 31:113187.
12. Kapoor A, Raghunathan M, Lal B, Kumar P, Srivastava N, Devnani GL, Pal DB. Sustainable valorization of waste plastic into nanostructured materials for environmental, energy, catalytic and biomedical applications: A review. *Chemosphere*. 2024 Sep 7:143279.
13. Zhong Y, Yu X, Li W. Nanoscale scintillating materials for X-ray imaging: fundamentals and applications. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*. 2025;13(3):1036-62.
14. Holm R, Dunn D. Infection Prevention and Control of the Environment. *Certified Perioperative Nurse (CNOR®) Review*. 2022 Jul 28:261.
15. Choudhury M, Bindra HS, Singh K, Singh AK, Nayak R. Antimicrobial polymeric composites in consumer goods and healthcare sector: A healthier way to prevent infection. *Polymers for Advanced Technologies*. 2022 Jul;33(7):1997-2024.
16. Kapourani A, Kontogiannopoulos KN, Manioudaki AE, Pouloupoulos AK, Tsalikis L, Assimopoulou AN, Barmapalexis P. A review on xerostomia and its various management strategies: the role of advanced polymeric materials in the treatment approaches. *Polymers*. 2022 Feb 22;14(5):850.
17. Chattopadhyay J, Srivastava N, Pathak TS. Comprehensive review of 3D printing techniques emphasizing thermal characterization in biomedical prototyping. *Journal of Biomaterials Applications*. 2025 Apr;39(9):971-95.
18. Subudhi P, Punetha D. Progress, challenges, and perspectives on polymer substrates for emerging flexible solar cells: A holistic panoramic review. *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications*. 2023 Aug;31(8):753-89.
19. Mohanty AK, Wu F, Mincheva R, Hakkarainen M, Raquez JM, Mielewski DF, Narayan R, Netravali AN, Misra M. Sustainable polymers. *Nature Reviews Methods Primers*. 2022 Jun 16;2(1):46.
20. Moshkbid E, Cree DE, Bradford L, Zhang W. Biodegradable alternatives to plastic in medical equipment: current state, challenges, and the future. *Journal of Composites Science*. 2024 Sep 1;8(9):342.
21. Anagha A. Raut, G.R. Pathade. Development of Polymer-based Mulch Films for Disease Suppression. *Journal of Polymer and Composites*. 2024; 13(01):856-863.
22. Alluhaidan T, Qaw M, Garcia IM, Montoya C, Orrego S, Melo MA. Seeking endurance: Designing smart dental composites for tooth restoration. *Designs*. 2024 Sep 13;8(5):92.
23. Arti Zende, Rohit Ghanwat, Shilpa Ruikar, Girish Pathade. Encapsulation of Karela Extract in Polymer Nanoparticles. *Journal of Polymer and Composites*. 2024; 13(01):864-867.
24. Kulkarni PG, Paudel N, Magar S, Santilli MF, Kashyap S, Baranwal AK, Zamboni P, Vasavada P, Katiyar A, Singh AV. Overcoming challenges and innovations in orthopedic prosthesis design: an interdisciplinary perspective. *Biomedical Materials & Devices*. 2024 Mar;2(1):58-69.
25. Kayani K. Novel bio-based antimicrobial materials, their characterization and utilization in coatings and surface treatments.
26. Santulli C, Palanisamy S, Kalimuthu M. Pineapple fibers, their composites and applications. In *Plant Fibers, their Composites, and Applications* 2022 Jan 1 (pp. 323-346). Woodhead Publishing.

27. Palanisamy S, Kalimuthu M, Santulli C, Palaniappan M, Nagarajan R, Fragassa C. Tailoring epoxy composites with *Acacia caesia* bark fibers: Evaluating the effects of fiber amount and length on material characteristics. *Fibers*. 2023 Jul 17;11(7):63.
28. Karthik A, Bhuvaneshwaran M, Senthil Kumar MS, Palanisamy S, Palaniappan M, Ayrilmis N. A review on surface modification of plant fibers for enhancing properties of biocomposites. *ChemistrySelect*. 2024 Jun 4;9(21):e202400650.
29. Palaniappan M, Palanisamy S, Khan R, H. Alrasheedi N, Tadepalli S, Murugesan TM, Santulli C. Synthesis and suitability characterization of microcrystalline cellulose from *Citrus x sinensis* sweet orange peel fruit waste-based biomass for polymer composite applications. *Journal of Polymer Research*. 2024 Apr;31(4):105.
30. Palanisamy S, Kalimuthu M, Palaniappan M, Alavudeen A, Rajini N, Santulli C, Mohammad F, Al-Lohedan H. Characterization of *Acacia caesia* bark fibers (ACBFs). *Journal of Natural Fibers*. 2022 Nov 23;19(15):10241-52.