

A Comprehensive Study on Rural Housing in India: A Review

Abdul Ghaffar¹, Tejas H. Gajapure², Prachi S. Dhawle³, Tirendra M. Meshram⁴

Abstract

Rural housing plays a pivotal role in the socio-economic development of India, as it directly influences the quality of life, health, and productivity of rural populations. Despite the launch of several governmental initiatives aimed at addressing rural housing shortages, a substantial segment of the rural population continues to reside in inadequate, unsafe, and non-durable dwellings that lack basic amenities. This paper presents a comprehensive review of the current scenario of rural housing in India, examining the key challenges such as affordability, accessibility, and the suitability of housing designs to local conditions. It evaluates major governmental schemes like the Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana–Gramin (PMAY-G) and their impact on rural housing development, while also analyzing the implementation gaps and limitations. Furthermore, the paper explores the potential of sustainable and cost-effective construction technologies—such as the use of local materials, eco-friendly practices, and low-cost alternatives—that can be adapted to meet the specific needs of rural communities. The review seeks to offer valuable insights for researchers, policymakers, architects, and development practitioners committed to improving rural habitation by proposing holistic, inclusive, and context-sensitive solutions.

Keywords: Rural Housing, India, Affordable Housing, Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana, Sustainable Construction, Rural Development.

INTRODUCTION

India, home to more than 1.4 billion people, remains a predominantly rural nation, with approximately 65% of its population residing in villages according to the latest census and government estimates. The rural landscape is diverse, comprising a broad spectrum of geographic, socio-economic, and cultural conditions that significantly influence the living standards of its inhabitants. One of the most pressing concerns in rural India is the issue of housing—both in terms of quantity and quality. The majority of rural families either lack access to proper housing or live in structures that are poorly built, overcrowded, and unsafe. This problem is compounded by poverty, lack of education, and limited exposure to modern construction practices and materials. Rural housing is not merely a matter of shelter—it is an essential pillar for holistic human development. Adequate housing has a profound

impact on an individual's health, safety, dignity, and economic productivity. A well-constructed and secure home provides protection from natural elements, reduces exposure to health hazards, and improves mental well-being. Furthermore, good housing infrastructure is closely linked with improved educational outcomes, particularly for children, as it provides a conducive environment for learning. It also influences employment opportunities, especially in agricultural economies where home and workspaces often overlap. Therefore, rural housing is an issue that transcends physical infrastructure and becomes a critical enabler for socio-economic transformation.

*Author for Correspondence

Abdul Ghaffar
E-mail: aghaffarsir@gmail.com

¹⁻³Research Scholar, Civil Engineering Department, Nagpur Institute of Technology, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

⁴Head of Department, Civil Engineering Department, Nagpur Institute of Technology, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Received Date: May 06, 2025

Accepted Date: June 09, 2025

Published Date: June 30, 2025

Citation: Tejas H. Gajapure, Prachi S. Dhawle, Tirendra M. Meshram, Abdul Ghaffar. A Comprehensive Study on Rural Housing in India: A Review. International Journal of Rural and Regional Development. 2025; 3(2): 30-38p.

Historically, the housing needs of rural populations have been overlooked or inadequately addressed due to systemic challenges such as insufficient funding, weak governance, and logistical barriers in remote areas. Over the years, the Government of India has recognized the importance of addressing this gap and has initiated several schemes aimed at improving rural housing conditions. Notable among these are the Indira Awaas Yojana (IAY), launched in 1985, and its successor, the Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana–Gramin (PMAY-G), launched in 2016. These programs seek to provide financial assistance for the construction of pucca (permanent) houses, with a focus on empowering marginalized groups such as Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs), and Below Poverty Line (BPL) families. Despite these initiatives, rural housing continues to face several constraints. Affordability remains a key issue, as even subsidized housing is sometimes beyond the reach of the poorest households. Inadequate land ownership documentation and disputes also prevent many families from accessing benefits. Additionally, the construction quality and sustainability of the houses provided under government schemes have often come under scrutiny. There is also a need for housing designs to be sensitive to local climatic conditions, cultural practices, and family structures. For instance, housing in flood-prone areas must incorporate elevation or water-resistant materials, while homes in tribal regions may need to align with traditional architectural styles and community spaces. Another major challenge lies in the lack of awareness and technical knowledge among rural populations. Many families construct or upgrade their homes without professional guidance, leading to structurally unsound buildings that may be unsafe during natural calamities. The shortage of trained masons, architects, and engineers in rural areas further exacerbates the issue. Capacity building and training programs for rural youth in construction skills can play a transformative role in bridging this gap while also creating employment opportunities.

In recent years, there has been a growing emphasis on sustainable and eco-friendly rural housing that utilizes locally available materials such as bamboo, adobe bricks, laterite stones, and stabilized mud blocks. These materials, when combined with modern building techniques, can offer affordable, durable, and climate-resilient housing solutions. Furthermore, initiatives to integrate renewable energy, water conservation systems, and sanitation infrastructure into rural housing can greatly enhance the quality of life while aligning with the goals of environmental sustainability. The COVID-19 pandemic further highlighted the urgency of improving rural housing. With large numbers of migrant workers returning to their villages during the lockdown periods, the pressure on rural households increased, and the inadequacies of existing housing infrastructure became even more evident. This situation calls for a renewed policy focus and innovative approaches to rural housing that are both inclusive and adaptive.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Various studies have been conducted to evaluate the progress and limitations of rural housing in India. Authors have analyzed the effectiveness of schemes like Indira Awaas Yojana (IAY), now revamped as Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana – Gramin (PMAY-G). Review highlights that while these schemes have increased housing availability, issues such as lack of quality control, slow implementation, and misuse of funds remain. Other studies have suggested the adoption of local materials and traditional building practices, along with modern sustainable technologies, to ensure both cost-effectiveness and environmental compatibility in rural construction.

In their comprehensive study titled "Rural Housing in India: An Overview of Problems and Solutions," Review emphasize the fundamental nature of housing as a basic human necessity, alongside food and clothing, vital for a dignified and healthy existence. The authors argue that adequate shelter not only offers protection and comfort but also serves as a source of economic security and social identity for individuals and families. The paper highlights that housing is internationally recognized as a human right, as affirmed in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948) by the United Nations, which considers access to housing—along with food, clothing, and medical care—a critical element of an adequate standard of living. The study references the perspectives of global organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO), the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat), the UN Commission on Human Rights, and the International Labour Organization (ILO), all

of which have repeatedly underscored housing as an essential component of human well-being and development. Furthermore, the authors point to the efforts of the Government of India, particularly through the Planning Commission's establishment of the Rural Housing Working Group during the Twelfth Five Year Plan (2012–2017), as a significant milestone in shaping policy approaches toward rural housing. This task force, under the guidance of the Department of Rural Development, sought to formulate a structured vision and long-term strategy to address the persistent housing deficit in rural regions of India. The paper calls attention to the complex interplay between rural poverty, land ownership, and housing access, and outlines the policy responses aimed at mitigating these challenges. The contribution of this study is crucial in providing a policy-level understanding of rural housing needs and framing the governmental response as both a social responsibility and a developmental imperative. Their work reinforces the importance of continued review and policy innovation in this area, particularly in light of India's vast rural demographic and the ongoing challenges related to housing affordability, quality, and inclusivity [1].

In their insightful work, "A Review of Rural Housing Schemes in India for Sustainable Habitats," critically examine the effectiveness of rural housing policies and programs in India within the framework of sustainable development. The authors highlight that while the gap between the housing stock and the number of rural households has been narrowing over the years—a sign of progress—the quality and appropriateness of rural housing remain key areas of concern. The study emphasizes that many housing schemes implemented under national policies, such as the National Housing Policy and the National Housing and Habitat Policy, are largely driven by quantitative goals aimed at covering the growing population, often without fully addressing the diverse socio-cultural and environmental needs of rural households. As a result, many dwelling units fail to meet the actual lifestyle requirements, spatial practices, and cultural values of rural communities. The authors argue that the implementation of housing schemes has also been marred by issues such as insufficient funding, delays, and corruption, which compromise both the structural quality and sustainability of the housing delivered. Importantly, the chapter advocates for a more integrated and participatory approach that involves community members not only as beneficiaries but as active partners in the planning, execution, and maintenance of housing projects. It calls for a balanced use of modern construction technologies alongside traditional knowledge systems to create housing that is not only cost-effective and durable but also environmentally adaptive. The inclusion of related amenities such as sanitation, water access, and energy solutions is considered vital to elevating the standard of living and promoting holistic rural development. The authors conclude that rural housing schemes can become truly effective and sustainable only when there is alignment between policy intent, local needs, technological choices, and transparent governance. Their work serves as an important academic resource for evaluating existing housing programs and identifying practical strategies for achieving inclusive, sustainable rural habitats in India [2].

In their paper titled "A Critical Review of Consideration of Housing Design in Rural Housing Policies and Programs in India," emphasize that housing is not only the second most fundamental human need after food but also an essential economic and cultural asset that reflects the level of development of a society. Referencing global perspectives from the United Nations (UNO, 1976), the authors note that housing plays a central role in the assessment of human well-being and social advancement. They highlight that traditional rural housing patterns in India are deeply rooted in the historical, cultural, and environmental context of each region—shaped by generations of interaction between communities and their surroundings. However, with the advent of post-independence housing schemes in India, such as the Indira Awaas Yojana and subsequent programs, the focus gradually shifted toward mass production and numerical targets, often neglecting critical elements of housing design. The paper critically examines how many rural housing initiatives, although well-intentioned, fail to incorporate region-specific architectural elements, spatial requirements, and climatic considerations, resulting in structures that are often alien to the local way of life and poorly integrated into the rural fabric. The authors argue that this oversight has led to a growing mismatch between policy-driven housing models and the lived realities of rural communities. They advocate for a design-sensitive approach in policy-making—one

that respects traditional knowledge, aligns with local materials and climate, and caters to the social dynamics of rural households. The paper further points out that without considering the nuances of design, rural housing schemes may provide shelter but fall short of delivering true livability, comfort, and cultural coherence. Their findings underline the importance of embedding architectural and planning expertise into housing policy frameworks to create rural homes that are not only functional but also sustainable, community-centric, and respectful of India's rich vernacular heritage [3].

In one of the early foundational studies on the subject, review provided a critical overview of the status and challenges of rural housing in India in his seminal work published in Habitat International. The paper emphasized that rural housing in India is not merely a matter of providing shelter but is intrinsically linked to the socio-economic structure of rural life, land ownership patterns, and traditional building practices. Bijlani highlighted the disparities between government housing policies and the actual needs of rural populations, observing that policies often failed to reflect the deep-rooted cultural, occupational, and environmental characteristics that define rural settlements. At the time, the rural housing scenario was marked by a significant shortage of quality homes, and most government efforts were limited in scale, primarily catering to economically weaker sections without adequately addressing issues of sustainability, participation, and long-term usability. The study argued for a more integrated and people-centered approach, where rural housing would be seen not as isolated units but as part of a broader strategy involving sanitation, water supply, access to workspaces, and social infrastructure. Bijlani advocated for the use of local materials, labor, and vernacular techniques—concepts that would later influence many contemporary sustainable housing models. Although written decades ago, Bijlani's work remains relevant today as it foresaw many of the issues that still persist in current housing initiatives, including poor alignment between centralized planning and grassroots realities. His analysis laid the groundwork for more context-sensitive housing strategies and continues to inform current discourse on rural development and habitat sustainability in India [4].

In their recent study, present a rigorous investigation into the quality indicators of rural housing in India, with a particular emphasis on how these contribute to the overall imageability—a concept referring to the mental perception and identity—of rural dwellings. Published in Environment, Development and Sustainability, their review critiques prior literature for being largely descriptive and lacking analytical depth regarding the typology and transformation of rural houses in India. To address this gap, the authors developed a theoretical framework to systematically categorize housing types and identify design characteristics that contribute to the identity and usability of rural homes. The study is grounded in empirical fieldwork conducted in Lakhna Village, Uttar Pradesh, where traditional and evolving architectural styles were closely examined using random sampling and structured field observations. Their findings indicate a clear transition from traditional vernacular styles—often built with mud, bamboo, and thatch—to more modern forms using brick, concrete, and other industrial materials. However, this shift often lacks contextual sensitivity, resulting in designs that compromise climatic adaptability, spatial utility, and cultural relevance. The authors highlight that factor such as changing socio-economic aspirations, exposure to urban lifestyles, and government intervention through housing schemes are accelerating these transformations, sometimes at the cost of functional and symbolic coherence. Importantly, the study proposes a holistic strategy for improving rural housing quality, one that integrates local perceptions, traditional wisdom, and design elements that enhance the visual and functional identity of rural homes. Their work adds valuable insights for policymakers, planners, and academics, emphasizing that improving rural housing requires not only physical infrastructure but also attention to psychological and cultural dimensions [5].

Review provides a comprehensive analysis of the housing sector's role in shaping rural development in India, as detailed in their study published in European Economic Letters. Their work underscores the fundamental role rural housing plays in the broader socio-economic context, arguing that adequate shelter in rural areas is not only a matter of human dignity but also a catalyst for improving livelihoods, education, health, and employment. The paper discusses how housing intersects with other sectors of rural development and identifies the need for policy convergence—integrating rural housing with

existing government schemes for infrastructure, health, and employment. The authors highlight how effective rural housing strategies require more than physical infrastructure; they must be part of an inclusive approach involving community participation, sustainability principles, and inter-sectoral coordination. Their data-driven analysis reveals that improved housing correlates strongly with enhanced access to education and healthcare, as well as increased employment opportunities and social mobility. By examining case studies and government-led initiatives, Sharma and Agarwal identify critical success factors in rural housing development, including policy clarity, funding adequacy, local involvement, and monitoring mechanisms. Importantly, they argue for the adoption of holistic planning models that integrate housing with environmental sustainability and economic empowerment. The study's insights add depth to current discourses on rural housing by linking it with long-term regional development goals and calling for scalable, community-oriented interventions that elevate living standards in rural India [6].

In her regional analysis of rural housing conditions across India provides a statistical and spatial assessment of the state of housing based on the 2011 Census data. Her study, published in the International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Review, categorizes housing stock into good, livable, and dilapidated conditions across seven broad geographical regions: northwestern, northern, eastern, northeastern, western, southern, and the islands. The review reveals that while 46% of rural houses were in good condition and 48% in livable condition, around 6% were identified as dilapidated. The northeastern region exhibited the highest percentage of dilapidated houses (9.90%), reflecting regional disparities in housing quality. The analysis also highlights that a significant majority—approximately 72.47%—of the occupied rural houses were used solely for residential purposes, emphasizing the essential role of housing in rural life. Seema further elaborates on the influence of social factors such as caste, class, and religion on the perception and construction of rural housing, arguing that a purely technical assessment of housing falls short without recognizing the cultural and socio-political dimensions. Her study underscores the urgent need for region-specific policy interventions that address both quantitative deficits and qualitative inadequacies in rural housing. By offering granular, region-wise insights, this paper makes a strong case for a decentralized approach to rural housing policy, tailored to the socio-economic realities and climatic conditions of each region [7].

In their influential study titled “Housing Shortages in Rural India”, critically examine the methodologies used by government agencies, particularly the Working Group on Rural Housing under the Twelfth Five-Year Plan, for estimating the rural housing shortage. The authors argue that official estimates—which place the rural housing shortfall at around 40 million units—significantly underreport the actual need by relying solely on structural materials like roof and wall types as the primary indicators. Instead, their research, which draws on detailed data from 15 village surveys across India, proposes a more holistic benchmark: a "minimum standard" house should include pucca materials, two rooms, electricity, water access (inside or nearby), and a functioning latrine. By applying these criteria to India's rural population, their estimate of the shortage jumps dramatically to approximately 140 million housing units. The study highlights a critical gap in current policy frameworks, pointing to India's lack of a comprehensive rural housing policy and absence of reliable monitoring mechanisms despite being a signatory to several international agreements affirming the right to adequate housing. This paper not only exposes the inadequacies of government estimations but also introduces a more robust, rights-based lens for evaluating housing conditions, thereby influencing both academic debate and policy discourse on rural development in India [8].

Review presents a comprehensive overview of the rural housing crisis in India, framing shelter as a fundamental human right and a core component of socio-economic well-being. In their conference paper, they emphasize the inadequacy of housing in many rural regions and critique the lack of a cohesive and effective national rural housing policy. Drawing on the United Nations' declaration of housing as a human right and the initiatives of agencies like UN-Habitat and the WHO, the authors underline the urgency of ensuring dignified living conditions. They examine the findings of the

Planning Commission's Working Group for the Twelfth Five-Year Plan and highlight challenges such as improper beneficiary targeting, policy fragmentation, and lack of financial and infrastructural support. The paper contributes to the discourse by advocating for an integrated and rights-based approach to rural housing in India that includes community participation, adequate funding, and strong implementation frameworks [9].

Rajkumar and Mohan critically examine the multifaceted crisis surrounding rural housing in India, drawing attention to the persistent neglect this sector has suffered when compared to its urban counterpart. Their analysis, grounded in Census 2011 data, reveals that more than 4.5 lakh families in rural areas remain houseless, with approximately 17.73 lakh individuals living without even the most basic roof cover, highlighting the alarming extent of deprivation in these regions. The authors argue that despite the visible urgency, rural housing has consistently been assigned a lower priority in the country's development discourse, and government policies have largely failed to address the deep-rooted structural issues. They provide an insightful overview of significant policy interventions, particularly the Indira Awaas Yojana (IAY), a flagship programme launched in 1985 aimed at providing housing for Below Poverty Line (BPL) families in rural areas. However, they contend that such schemes, though well-intentioned, often suffer from inadequate implementation, limited reach, and a lack of sustained focus, which undermines their potential impact. The paper further critiques the national housing policy framework for its urban-centric orientation and calls for the formulation of a separate, dedicated rural housing policy that takes into account the unique socio-economic, cultural, and infrastructural challenges that define rural India. In advocating for a comprehensive rural housing strategy, the authors emphasize the urgent need to incorporate basic amenities such as sanitation, electricity, and potable water into housing plans. They argue that a shift from fragmented programmatic responses to a more integrated, long-term policy vision is crucial for addressing rural housing shortages in a sustainable and inclusive manner. Their recommendations not only stress the importance of bridging the rural-urban housing divide but also highlight the broader developmental role that adequate rural housing can play in enhancing the quality of life and promoting social equity [10].

The issue of rural housing in India is often overlooked, receiving limited attention within national policy frameworks and rural development discussions. He argues that despite housing being a fundamental necessity for the social and economic well-being of rural communities, policy priorities have historically skewed toward urban areas, leaving rural populations disproportionately deprived. This neglect, he notes, is especially concerning given the compounded vulnerabilities faced by rural households, including lower and unstable incomes, seasonal unemployment, and limited access to basic infrastructure. Ananth points out that such conditions not only affect the physical quality of life but also have far-reaching implications for the sustainability of rural communities, as economic hardships drive younger populations to migrate to urban centers in search of livelihood opportunities, leaving behind the elderly and children. This demographic shift further erodes the socio-economic fabric and entrepreneurial potential of rural areas. Focusing on government interventions, Ananth evaluates the performance and impact of the Indira Awaas Yojana (IAY), a key rural housing scheme aimed at providing shelter to Below Poverty Line (BPL) families. Based primarily on secondary data, the paper explores the conceptual underpinnings of rural housing policies, examines the persistent housing shortages, and offers strategies for strengthening existing programmes. While acknowledging the role of IAY in mitigating shelter deficits to some extent, Ananth underscores its limited reach, fragmented execution, and the need for a more inclusive, needs-based approach. He concludes by calling for a paradigm shift in rural housing policy that integrates shelter provision with broader livelihood strategies and community development goals, thereby ensuring not just physical housing but holistic rural upliftment [11].

In their comprehensive qualitative study on rural housing in India, emphasize the persistent and multifaceted challenges facing rural shelter development, particularly for the poor who comprise a significant portion of India's population. The authors argue that rural housing has long been hampered by a web of systemic deficiencies, including poor delivery of materials and services, inadequate

infrastructure (roads, water, electricity, sanitation), and a general lack of access to institutional housing finance—factors that collectively differentiate the rural housing crisis from the urban one. Drawing on field visits to a diverse set of villages across India, the study paints a vivid picture of ground-level realities through case studies that detail every phase of the house construction process: from land acquisition and funding constraints to availability of skilled labor and use of cost-saving technologies. One of the critical observations made is the scarcity of non-agricultural village land for housing, further exacerbated by the absence of comprehensive land records, which deters formal financial institutions from investing in rural housing development. Moreover, the paper critiques the shortcomings of government initiatives like the Indira Awas Yojana (IAY), arguing that despite its broad reach, the programme struggles with implementation gaps and lacks sustainability due to weak administrative and infrastructural support. The authors explore how people in rural areas adapt—often relying on informal sources of labor, material, and finance—and note the complex, locally nuanced understanding of what constitutes "housing," which may include upgrading existing dwellings or using constructed spaces for multiple purposes beyond shelter. By incorporating insights from government officials, village residents, NGO professionals, and housing finance representatives, the study provides a holistic view of the socio-economic and institutional ecosystem shaping rural housing. Ultimately, the authors advocate for a more integrated and inclusive approach that considers not only construction needs but also land tenure security, access to credit, and infrastructural provisioning as essential to solving India's rural housing deficit [12].

Review explores the crucial role of government-led development schemes in transforming rural India, focusing on the persistent issues of poverty, inadequate housing, poor infrastructure, and limited employment opportunities that hinder growth in village economies. The authors underline the significance of rural development in the broader national context, especially for a country like India, where a substantial portion of the population resides in rural areas. Through an analytical review of major rural development programmes—such as the Pradhan Mantri Awaas Yojana-Gramin (PMAY-G) for housing, Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) for road connectivity, and the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) for employment—the study evaluates the extent to which these initiatives are addressing rural deprivation. The authors argue that these schemes have not only provided essential services and improved the standard of living for rural populations but have also laid the groundwork for long-term rural economic development. However, the study also acknowledges challenges in implementation and the need for sustained monitoring, financial support, and effective governance to ensure the full realization of these schemes' intended impacts. By integrating housing, infrastructure, and livelihood generation, these programmes reflect a multi-dimensional approach to rural development, which the authors view as essential for achieving inclusive and sustainable growth [13].

Review explore how post-disaster reconstruction efforts can serve as crucial inflection points for transforming rural housing in India by addressing the underlying vulnerabilities that plague traditional housing systems. Through three detailed case studies of rural habitat reconstruction following major disasters, the authors reveal a complex web of causal factors—such as inadequate design standards, lack of skilled labor, limited access to appropriate materials, and weak institutional frameworks—that make rural homes particularly susceptible to environmental hazards. Emphasizing the potential of reconstruction to catalyze long-term resilience, the paper underscores the importance of integrating multiple dimensions of habitat development, including access to affordable finance, community-based skill development, and adoption of safer, contextually appropriate construction techniques. The study argues for a paradigm shift from viewing disaster recovery as a short-term fix to leveraging it as an opportunity for sustainable and inclusive development. Central to their argument is the ethos of "build back better," which advocates using disaster recovery to create stronger, more adaptive communities. By linking resilience-building to broader issues of social infrastructure and local capacity, the authors highlight a holistic, systems-based approach that can substantially reduce the vulnerability of rural housing in India.

The pressing housing challenges in rural Assam, with a focused case study on the undivided Sonitpur district, revealing the stark inadequacies in housing and basic amenities among rural populations. Drawing on primary data from 300 purposively selected households representing diverse income groups, the study uncovers that around 10.15% of rural residents live in dilapidated dwellings, significantly higher than their urban counterparts (4.53%). The review identifies not only a critical shortage of quality shelter but also an acute deficiency in essential services such as sanitation, safe drinking water, and electricity in rural areas. These deficiencies are particularly pronounced among lower-income and marginalized groups, illustrating the intersection of socio-economic disparity with housing deprivation. The study emphasizes the role of housing as a cornerstone of rural development and underscores the necessity of targeted interventions that go beyond physical construction to address social equity in access to housing and amenities. By presenting empirical evidence, Mishra argues for inclusive housing policies that are sensitive to regional disparities and socio-economic diversity, urging that rural housing development must be integral to broader rural development strategies.

PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

The proposed methodology for this review-based study on rural housing in India is structured around a qualitative and analytical framework that synthesizes data from a wide spectrum of academic literature, government reports, and policy documents. The approach begins with a systematic literature review to identify existing studies, historical trends, and current practices related to rural housing in India, focusing particularly on aspects such as housing conditions, infrastructural deficiencies, affordability, and social inclusivity. Secondary data is sourced from reliable publications including Census of India reports, Ministry of Rural Development documentation, National Sample Survey Office (NSSO) datasets, and evaluations of flagship schemes like the Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana – Gramin (PMAY-G). These sources are critically examined to assess the effectiveness, coverage, and limitations of existing rural housing initiatives. A comparative analysis is also conducted to evaluate regional disparities in housing quality and access to basic services across various states. Special attention is paid to identifying gaps between policy intentions and on-ground implementation, as well as the socio-technical barriers faced by rural populations in achieving safe and durable shelter. To enrich the assessment, the study incorporates case examples of innovative, sustainable housing practices, particularly those utilizing low-cost, locally available materials and labor. The goal is to extract patterns and best practices that can inform future policy development and technical strategies. Ultimately, the methodology emphasizes an interdisciplinary perspective, integrating insights from civil engineering, social sciences, and rural development to propose inclusive, adaptable, and sustainable solutions for India's rural housing challenges.

CONCLUSION

Rural housing in India represents a complex and multidimensional challenge that demands a collaborative and sustained effort from government agencies, private sector stakeholders, academic institutions, and local communities. Although significant strides have been made through various government initiatives such as the Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana–Gramin and earlier schemes like the Indira Awaas Yojana, the effectiveness of these programs is often limited by issues related to implementation, quality control, and long-term sustainability. Many rural households still live in substandard or temporary structures that do not meet basic safety or comfort standards, which directly impacts their health, education, and socio-economic development. The need of the hour is a paradigm shift from one-size-fits-all housing models to more holistic, context-sensitive approaches that take into account regional climate conditions, availability of local resources, traditional construction practices, and cultural preferences. Promoting the use of eco-friendly materials, low-cost construction technologies, and energy-efficient designs can greatly enhance the sustainability and affordability of rural homes. At the same time, active community participation in planning and decision-making, combined with continuous capacity building and skill development at the grassroots level, is crucial to ensure the long-term success and acceptance of housing projects. Furthermore, robust mechanisms for monitoring, evaluation, and accountability must be institutionalized to improve transparency and the effective utilization of resources. In conclusion, achieving the goal of “Housing for All” in rural India will require an integrated approach that blends policy innovation, technological advancement, and

social inclusion to create housing solutions that are not only structurally sound and affordable but also sustainable and culturally appropriate.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Civil Engineering Department of Nagpur Institute of Technology for their unwavering support, valuable guidance, and encouragement throughout the course of this review. The department's academic environment and infrastructural resources played a significant role in facilitating the successful completion of this review. The authors are also deeply thankful to the faculty members and mentors who provided constructive feedback and shared their expertise, which greatly enriched the quality and direction of the study. Furthermore, the authors acknowledge with appreciation the contributions of numerous researchers, institutions, and organizations whose published works, data, and insights have been referenced and incorporated into this paper. Their scholarly efforts have served as a strong foundation and reference point for this study, helping to frame a comprehensive understanding of rural housing in India.

REFERENCES

1. A. Kumar and D. Kumar, "Rural Housing in India: An Overview of Problems and Solutions," *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT)*, vol. 11, no. 9, pp. 1–8, Sep. 2023. ISSN: 2320-2882.
2. A. Alam, L. Satpati, and I. Mandal, "A Review of Rural Housing Schemes in India for Sustainable Habitats," in *Accessible Housing for South Asia*, Springer Nature, pp. 127–143, Mar. 2022. [Online]. Available: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-19-1423-0_8.
3. Anand, M.C.; Sinsupan, T.; Madurapperuma, S.; Chariar, V.M. Learning from Habitat Reconstruction Initiatives—New Approach for Reducing Vulnerability of Rural Housing in India. *Sustainability* 2022, 14, 4359. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14074359>.
4. G. Rajkumar and K. J. Mohan, "Rural Housing in India – An Overview of Policy Imperatives," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Educational Research (IJMER)*, vol. 11, no. 11(3), pp. Nov. 2022. [Online]. Available: <http://ijmer.in/doi/2022/11.11.49>.
5. H. U. Bijlani, "Rural housing in India," *Habitat International*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 513–525, 1982. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-3975\(82\)90084-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-3975(82)90084-4).
6. P. Tyagi, B. Shrivastava, and N. Kumar, "Investigating rural housing quality indicators in the Indian scenario for inclusive imageability," *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, vol. 26, pp. 25609–25643, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-023-04337-w>.
7. Pandey, Himanshu and Agrawal, Vijay Kumar, A Study on Development Schemes of Rural India (September 2, 2022). *International Journal of Engineering and Management Research*, Volume 12, Issue 3, June 2022, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4207298>.
8. S. Daketi and R. Srikonda, "A Critical Review of Consideration of Housing Design in Rural Housing Policies and Programs in India," *International Journal of Advance Research, Ideas and Innovations in Technology (IJARIIT)*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 555–560, 2021. [Online].
9. Singh, Shamsher & Swaminathan, Madhura & Ramachandran, V. K., 2013. "Housing Shortages in Rural India," *Review of Agrarian Studies*, Foundation for Agrarian Studies, vol. 3(2), December.
10. Shashanka Bhide & D.B. Gupta & Tarujyoti Buragohain & D.V. Sethi & Shailender Kumar & S.K. Bathla, 2009. "Roof above the head Qualitative assessment of rural housing in India," *NCAER Working Papers* 101, National Council of Applied Economic Research.
11. Seema, "Housing Conditions in Rural India: A Regional Analysis," *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Innov. Res.*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 835–842, May 2018. [Online]. Available: <http://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR1805744.pdf>
12. T. Shivanna and R. N. Kadam, "Problems and Solutions of Rural Housing in India: An Overview," *International Conference on New Horizons in Science, Engineering and Management and Humanities (NHSEMH-18)*, IIMT College of Engineering, Greater Noida, India, Feb. 2018.
13. Vandana Sharma, Atul Kumar Agarwal. (2024). Development of Housing sector in Rural Areas. *European Economic Letters (EEL)*, 14(1), 443–450. <https://doi.org/10.52783/eel.v14i1.1043>.