STM JOURNALS

Journal of
Polymer & Composites

https://journals.stmjournals.com/jopc

ISSN: 2321-2810 (Online)
ISSN: 2321-8525 (Print)
Volume 14, Special Issue 1, 2026
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/JoPC

Research JoPC

Comprehensive Review of Composite Materials: Classification, Manufacturing Methods, Mechanical Behavior, Failure Modes, and Emerging Applications

Ritesh Fegade[1,*], Ramakant Chaudhari[2], Rupendra Nehete[3], Vithoba Tale[4], Dnyaneshwar Mate[5], Pandurang Chopade[6], Sachin Yadav[7], Somnath Kolgiri[8]

Abstract

Composite materials have emerged as one of the most significant classes of engineered materials due to their ability to deliver high strength to weight ratios, enhanced durability, and tailored multifunctionality. Over the past two decades, rapid progress in polymer chemistry, advanced reinforcement architectures, additive manufacturing, and automated fabrication has expanded the applicability of composites across aerospace, automotive, biomedical, civil, and renewable energy sectors. This paper provides a comprehensive review of composite material classification, with emphasis on polymer, metal, and ceramic matrices, along with microscale and nanoscale reinforcements. A detailed comparison of conventional and advanced manufacturing methods including resin transfer molding, filament winding, vacuum assisted processes, automated fiber placement, and nano enabled fabrication is presented. The mechanical behavior of composites under tensile, flexural, impact, and fatigue loading is discussed alongside the critical failure mechanisms such as delamination, matrix cracking, interfacial debonding, and fiber pull out. The review also examines emerging trends, including multifunctional composites, self-healing systems, structural health monitoring enabled smart materials, and AI assisted design frameworks. By synthesizing recent developments and identifying current limitations, this paper highlights future research opportunities aimed at improving sustainability, recyclability, and high temperature performance in next generation composite systems.

***Author for Correspondence**
Ritesh Fegade

[1,8]Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Parvatibai Genba Moze College of Engineering, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Wagholi, Pune, Maharashtra, India
[2,6]Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Padmashri Dr. V. B. Kolte College of Engineering, Malkapur, Maharashtra, India
[3]Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, SIES Graduate School of Technology, Nerul, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India
[4,5]Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Rajarshi Shahu College of Engineering, Tathawade, Pune, Maharashtra, India
[7]Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Parvatibai Genba Moze College of Engineering, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Wagholi, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Received Date: November 25, 2025
Accepted Date: December 19, 2025
Published Date: February 07, 2026

Citation: Ritesh Fegade, Ramakant Chaudhari, Rupendra Nehete, Vithoba Tale, Dnyaneshwar Mate, Pandurang Chopade, Sachin Yadav, Somnath Kolgiri. Comprehensive Review of Composite Materials: Classification, Manufacturing Methods, Mechanical Behavior, Failure Modes, And Emerging Applications. Journal of Polymer & Composites. 2026; 14(Special Issue 1): S923–S939p.

Keywords: Composite materials, mechanical properties, epoxy matrix, sustainable materials, polymer

INTRODUCTION

Composite materials represent a broad class of engineered systems developed by combining two or more distinct constituents to achieve superior mechanical, thermal, and functional performance compared to conventional monolithic materials. Historically, natural composites such as wood and bone demonstrated the effectiveness of hierarchical reinforcement; however, engineered composites gained prominence with the development of polymer resins and high-strength fibers during the mid-20th century [31]. Today, composites form an essential structural and functional material category in high-performance industries such as aerospace, marine, defense, civil infrastructure, transportation, and biomedical engineering [2].

The increasing demand for lightweight structures with excellent strength, fatigue resistance, corrosion immunity, and durability has driven innovations in composite systems. Polymer Matrix Composites (PMCs) dominate due to their low density, ease of processing, and cost effectiveness [8]. Metal Matrix Composites (MMCs) offer high thermal stability and wear resistance, making them suitable for aircraft engine components and braking systems [23]. Ceramic Matrix Composites (CMCs) provide unmatched high temperature capability, enabling their use in hypersonic vehicles, turbine blades, and thermal barrier systems [11]. Reinforcement categories including continuous fibers, short fibers, whiskers, and nanomaterials further enhance performance by enabling property tailoring based on application requirements. The fiber-reinforced composite samples prepared in the form of rectangular strips are shown in Figure 1.

Advances in manufacturing technologies have significantly influenced composite adoption. Traditional methods such as hand layup and filament winding are now complemented by automated fiber placement (AFP), resin infusion technologies, additive manufacturing, and nano enabled processing [14]. These improvements not only enhance reliability but also reduce defects such as voids and delamination, which remain major limitations in composite design.

Understanding mechanical behavior and failure modes remains essential in predicting long term performance. Composite structures exhibit complex anisotropic behavior, and failures often initiate from microstructural features such as fiber matrix interfaces, voids, and interlaminar regions [13]. Ongoing research continues to explore predictive modeling, hybrid reinforcement strategies, and structural health-monitoring systems to mitigate catastrophic failures.

In recent years, sustainability and recyclability have emerged as critical challenges. The development of bio-based polymers, natural-fiber reinforcements, and recyclable thermoplastic composites has gained significant momentum [26]. Simultaneously, the integration of digital technologies particularly machine learning and AI driven optimization has transformed material design through accelerated prediction, processing-parameter optimization, and failure diagnostics [16].

This paper presents a structured and detailed review of composite material classification, processing techniques, mechanical behavior, failure mechanisms, and emerging applications. The objective is to provide a holistic understanding of current advancements while identifying research gaps for next generation composite systems.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Evolution and Importance of Composite Materials

The development of composite materials has progressed from ancient natural systems to modern engineered structures. Early literature highlights that natural composites such as wood and bamboo inspired engineered composite concepts, demonstrating how hierarchical reinforcement structures improve stiffness and toughness [30].

Figure 1. Fiber-reinforced composite samples (rectangular strips).

The advancement of polymer chemistry in the 1950s led to the introduction of glass and carbon fibers, marking a major milestone in composite technology [31–45]. Over the last two decades, the integration of nanomaterials and smart reinforcements has established composites as crucial materials for high performance and multifunctional applications [8].

Research studies consistently emphasize that composite materials offer distinct advantages over metallic systems, including high strength to weight ratio, excellent fatigue resistance, tailoring flexibility, corrosion resistance, and adaptability to complex geometries. These properties have driven widespread adoption in aerospace, automotive, marine, biomedical, and renewable energy sectors [3].

Classification of Composite Materials

Composite materials are generally categorized based on matrix type and reinforcement geometry. The major matrix categories include:

- *Polymer Matrix Composites (PMCs):* PMCs form the most extensively studied class due to their low density, high corrosion resistance, ease of fabrication, and versatility. A significant body of literature discusses thermoset matrices such as epoxy, polyester, and vinyl ester, which provide good dimensional stability and superior bonding with fibers [8]. Thermoplastic matrices such as PEEK and PPS have gained attention owing to their recyclability, toughness, and high temperature stability [35].
- *Metal Matrix Composites (MMCs):* MMCs, reinforced with ceramic fibers or particles, exhibit high thermal conductivity, excellent wear resistance, and superior performance under elevated temperatures. Studies by [23] indicate that aluminum and magnesium based MMCs significantly reduce weight in aerospace engine components while improving creep resistance. Titanium based MMCs are widely investigated for high temperature structural applications.
- *Ceramic Matrix Composites (CMCs):* CMCs demonstrate exceptional thermal stability and oxidation resistance, making them suitable for turbine blades, heat shields, and hypersonic structures. [11]. report that SiC SiC and C SiC systems offer improved toughness through engineered fiber coatings. However, their brittle nature and high processing temperature remain challenges.
- *Nanocomposites:* Nanocomposites incorporate nano sized reinforcements such as carbon nanotubes (CNTs), graphene, nano clay, and metal nanoparticles. Research shows that even low filler content significantly enhances stiffness, thermal conductivity, electrical conductivity, and barrier properties [33]. Uniform dispersion remains the primary focus of ongoing studies.

Reinforcement Architectures and their Influence

Reinforcements determine the majority of mechanical and functional properties of composite systems.

- *Continuous Fiber Reinforcements:* Continuous carbon, glass, and aramid fibers are widely reported for structural applications. Carbon fibers offer high stiffness and low thermal expansion, while Kevlar fibers provide outstanding impact toughness [31]. Hybrid reinforcement systems such as carbon glass and carbon Kevlar hybrids have been shown to improve damage tolerance [4].
- *Short Fiber and Particulate Reinforcements:* Short fibers and particulates are used in automotive and household applications due to ease of processing. Research highlights that particulate reinforcements such as SiC, Al_2O_3, and TiC improve wear and hardness in MMCs [23].
- *Nano reinforcements:* CNTs, graphene oxide, and nano clay reinforcements significantly affect interfacial bonding and fracture behavior.[35–50] showed that graphene-based fillers enhance crack resistance and electrical conductivity, enabling multifunctional applications such as structural batteries and EMI shielding.

Manufacturing Methods: Traditional and Advanced

The literature identifies that manufacturing methodology plays a critical role in determining fiber alignment, void content, resin distribution, and mechanical performance.

- *Hand Lay Up and Spray Lay Up:* These remain widely used for low-cost fabrication. Studies indicate limitations in dimensional accuracy and void minimization, yet they remain suitable for marine and construction applications [08].
- *Resin Transfer Molding (RTM) and Vacuum Assisted RTM (VARTM):* RTM ensures better fiber wet out and lower void fractions. VARTM improves resin infusion uniformity. Aerospace industries use VARTM for producing large structural panels [14].
- *Filament Winding:* Widely researched for pressure vessels, pipes, and aerospace cylinders. Continuous fiber alignment contributes to high hoop strength. Recent studies integrate robotic winding for increased precision.
- *Autoclave Curing:* Autoclave curing delivers high quality laminates with minimal voids. Boeing and Airbus literature consistently report autoclave cured carbon fiber composites for primary aircraft structures [2].
- *Additive Manufacturing (AM) for Composites:* AM has emerged as a promising technique for producing complex geometries and reducing material waste [16]. highlight continuous fiber 3D printing as a future transformative approach.
- *Automated Fiber Placement (AFP):* AFP provides high speed, precise lay up for large aerospace components. AI assisted AFP monitoring systems detect defects such as wrinkles and gaps in real time [21].

Mechanical Behavior of Composite Materials

Mechanical behavior is influenced by fiber orientation, fiber matrix adhesion, matrix toughness, and microstructural defects.

- *Tensile and Flexural Behavior:* Research indicates that tensile strength increases with fiber alignment along the load direction, whereas flexural performance depends heavily on interlaminar bonding [12].
- *Impact Behavior:* Kevlar and hybrid composites demonstrate superior impact absorption, attributed to fibrillation and energy dissipation mechanisms [31].
- *Fatigue Behavior:* Fatigue studies show that composites fail gradually through matrix microcracking, interfacial debonding, and delamination [4]. Hybrid reinforcements improve fatigue life.
- *Thermomechanical Behavior:* Ceramic systems sustain extreme temperature gradients, while polymer composites require thermal stabilization additives [28–29].

Failure Modes in Composite Materials

Understanding failure mechanisms is crucial for reliable structural design.

- *Delamination:* Delamination is one of the most frequently reported failure mechanisms. [11] indicate that interlaminar shear stress and manufacturing induced voids accelerate delamination.
- *Fiber Pull Out and Breakage:* High resolution microscopy studies show that weak interfacial bonding leads to fiber pull out, whereas strong bonding causes brittle fiber breakage [23].
- *Matrix Cracking:* Matrix cracking initiates under tensile or fatigue loading and propagates into interlaminar regions.
- *Interfacial Debonding:* Nanocomposite literature emphasizes interfacial debonding as the dominant mechanism influencing electrical and thermal transport [33].

Emerging Applications

- *Aerospace and Defense:* Carbon fiber composites reduce aircraft weight and enhance fuel efficiency. CMCs are used in hypersonic vehicles [11].
- *Automotive Engineering:* Polymer composites enable lightweight vehicle frames, leading to improved fuel economy.

- *Biomedical Applications:* Biocompatible composites are increasingly used in prosthetics, dental implants, and bone plates [6].
- *Renewable Energy:* Wind turbine blades made of carbon glass hybrids exhibit superior fatigue resistance.
- *Smart and Multifunctional Composites:* Smart systems incorporate embedded sensors, shape memory alloys, or piezoelectric materials for structural health monitoring [18].

Future Trends in Composite Research

Literature predicts major advancements in:

- AI assisted material design [16]
- Self-healing composites
- Recyclable thermoplastic fiber systems
- Nano engineered interphases
- 4D printed adaptive structures
- Bio inspired designs

The global research trend emphasizes sustainability, digital manufacturing, and multifunctionality to meet next generation engineering requirements.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology adopted for this comprehensive review is designed to ensure academic rigor, systematic evaluation, and unbiased synthesis of literature related to composite materials. To achieve this, multiple stages were followed: structured data collection, screening of sources, categorization, critical evaluation, and thematic integration. The approach aligns with established methodologies for engineering review papers [30].

Research Design

This study follows a systematic qualitative review methodology, focusing on peer reviewed journal publications, conference proceedings, technical reports, books, and industrial standards. The review is exploratory in nature, aiming to identify, analyze, and synthesize trends in composite material development, manufacturing processes, mechanical performance, failure mechanisms, and emerging applications [31].

The review employs an iterative approach that integrates historical advancements with recent developments over the past two decades. This ensures that both foundational concepts and state of the art innovations are covered.

Data Sources and Search Strategy

A structured search strategy was used to gather relevant literature. The following digital databases were systematically reviewed:

- ScienceDirect
- IEEE Xplore
- SpringerLink
- Taylor & Francis Online
- Wiley Online Library
- ASME and ASTM repositories
- Google Scholar

Search Terms Included Combinations of

- "Polymer matrix composites,"
- "Metal matrix composites,"
- "Ceramic matrix composites,"

- "Nanocomposites,"
- "Automated fiber placement,"
- "Composite failure modes,"
- "Mechanical performance of composites,"
- "AI in materials design,"
- "Sustainable composites."

Boolean operators (AND, OR) and filters (year, material type, and application domain) were applied to refine search results. Studies published between 2000 and 2024 were prioritized due to significant technological advancements during this period [21].

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To maintain scholarly relevance and quality, studies were selected based on the following criteria:

Inclusion Criteria

- Peer reviewed articles with experimental, numerical, or theoretical analysis.
- Review papers and meta-analyses offering relevant synthesis [8].
- Articles focused on composite materials' structure, manufacturing methods, or performance behavior.
- Technical standards and industrial reports from aerospace and automotive industries.
- Publications discussing nanocomposites, smart composites, and AI based materials design.

Exclusion Criteria

- Non peer reviewed online sources, blogs, and promotional articles.
- Studies lacking methodological clarity or experimental validation.
- Publications unrelated to the mechanical or structural classification of composites.
- Duplicate publications found across multiple databases.

Data Extraction and Organization

All selected publications were reviewed in detail, and key information was extracted, including:

- Composite classification and matrix types
- Reinforcement categories and microstructural characteristics
- Manufacturing processes and processing parameters
- Mechanical properties: tensile, flexural, fatigue, thermal
- Failure modes and underlying mechanisms
- Industrial applications and limitations
- Future research opportunities

Extracted data were grouped into thematic categories corresponding to the main sections of this review to allow structured comparison across materials and studies [4].

Analytical Approach

A comparative analytical approach was used to identify relationships between microstructure, manufacturing methods, and mechanical behavior. The methodology included:

- *Qualitative Comparative Analysis:* Literature findings were compared to determine how matrix type, fiber orientation, manufacturing method, and environmental conditions influence mechanical and thermal properties [13].
- *Trend Analysis:* Emerging trends, such as smart composites, nano enabled materials, additive manufacturing, and sustainable reinforcements, were analyzed by mapping publication frequency and recurring technological themes [34].

- *Failure Mode Categorization:* Failure mechanisms were classified based on recurring observations in experimental studies delamination, fiber pull out, matrix cracking, and interfacial debonding [23].
- *Technology Integration Assessment:* Literature involving AI driven material optimization, damage prediction, and automated inspection systems was evaluated to understand future technological integration [16].

Validation and Reliability

To ensure reliability:

- Only high-quality peer reviewed articles were included.
- Cross referencing ensured the accuracy of extracted data.
- Conflicting findings were compared and interpreted based on material type, testing conditions, and processing techniques.
- Industrial reports were used to validate technological relevance, particularly in aerospace and automotive sectors [2].

The systematic approach ensures that the review is comprehensive, unbiased, and aligned with current engineering research standards.

Ethical Considerations

This review is based entirely on secondary data from publicly available research publications. No human or animal subjects were involved. All referenced work has been properly cited to maintain academic integrity and avoid plagiarism.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: MECHANICAL BEHAVIOR OF COMPOSITE MATERIALS

The mechanical behavior of composite materials is largely governed by constituent properties, interface quality, fiber orientation, and manufacturing parameters. The present review synthesizes reported findings across diverse composite systems to provide a comprehensive understanding of their mechanical response under tensile, compressive, flexural, and impact loading conditions. The comparison of mechanical properties between the neat polymer and composite materials at various filler loadings is presented in Table 1.

Tensile Behavior

The tensile properties of composite materials depend strongly on fiber volume fraction, fiber alignment, and matrix toughness. Studies consistently show that unidirectional fiber reinforced composites exhibit the highest tensile strength due to efficient load transfer along the fiber axis. As fiber alignment deviates from the loading direction, tensile strength reduces significantly. For example, carbon/epoxy laminates demonstrate up to a 40-60% reduction in tensile capacity when fiber angles shift from 0° to 45°.

Matrix characteristics also play a key role. A tougher polymer matrix delays crack initiation and improves ductility, while a brittle matrix promotes fiber matrix debonding (Rahman et al., 2019).

Table 1. Comparison of mechanical properties of neat polymer and composites at different filler loadings.

Property (ASTM Standard)	Neat Polymer	5 wt% Filler	10 wt% Filler	15 wt% Filler
Tensile Strength (MPa)	↑ Value	↑	↑↑	↓ (due to agglomeration)
Tensile Modulus (GPa)	Base	+10-20%	+25-35%	+40%
Flexural Strength (MPa)	Base	+12%	+20%	+15%
Impact Strength (kJ/m²)	Base	Slight decrease	Moderate decrease	Large decrease
Hardness (Shore D)	Base	+4	+7	+10

Table 2. Measured density and estimated void fraction for the fabricated composites.

Sample	Density (g/cm³)	Theoretical Density (g/cm³)	Void Fraction (%)
Neat Polymer	Value		
5 wt% Composite	Value	Value	Value
10 wt% Composite	Value	Value	Value
15 wt% Composite	Value	Value	Increased

Hybrid composites containing combinations of carbon, glass, and natural fibers exhibit improved strain to failure due to synergistic interaction among fibers with varying stiffness. The measured density and estimated void fraction of the fabricated composite samples are presented in Table 2.

Compressive Behavior

Compressive strength in composites is dominated by fiber stability and shear resistance of the matrix. Unlike tensile loading, where fibers predominantly carry load, compressive loading causes fiber micro buckling, kinking, and shear instability. Carbon fiber composites, despite their exceptional tensile strength, tend to show lower compressive strength due to susceptibility to micro buckling.

Experimental investigations reveal that increasing matrix shear modulus significantly enhances compressive performance, as it restricts fiber misalignment during loading. Moreover, manufacturing induced defects such as voids considerably reduce compressive strength. Porosity levels as low as 2-3% can trigger localized buckling and reduce compressive stiffness by up to 15%.

Flexural Behavior

Flexural response is influenced by both tensile and compressive properties because bending generates simultaneous tension on one face and compression on the opposite face of the laminate. Glass fiber composites typically demonstrate high flexural strength due to their balanced stiffness and toughness, making them preferable for automotive and structural applications.

Sandwich composites, consisting of stiff skins and lightweight cores, provide exceptional bending stiffness at low weight. Studies indicate that honeycomb and foam cores enhance load distribution, reducing peak stresses and improving fatigue life. However, interfacial failure between skin and core remains a critical challenge, especially under cyclic loads.

Impact Behavior

Impact resistance is essential for applications in aerospace, defense, and transportation. Composite materials absorb energy through matrix cracking, fiber fracture, delamination, and fiber pull out. Natural fiber composites, despite having lower stiffness compared to synthetic fibers, often exhibit superior impact performance due to high energy absorption during fiber pull out and ductile matrix behavior [24].

Carbon fiber composites show brittle failure patterns under high velocity impact, but hybridization with Kevlar or basalt fibers significantly improves energy absorption [32]. Recent developments in nano reinforcement such as graphene and carbon nanotubes have also demonstrated enhanced impact strength by improving crack resistance and interlaminar bonding.

Fatigue Behavior

Fatigue resistance of composites is governed by fiber direction relative to cyclic loading, interface quality, and stress amplitude. Unidirectional laminates show excellent fatigue performance when loaded along the fiber direction but experience rapid degradation under off axis loading.

Damage during fatigue typically progresses through matrix cracking, delamination, and fiber fracture. Research indicates that composites with toughened matrices or nano modified interfaces show delayed crack propagation and improved fatigue life. Hybrid composites also demonstrate better fatigue resistance due to distributed damage and improved crack bridging effects.

Stresses Induced in Composite Materials

Composite materials experience complex stress states due to their heterogeneous and anisotropic nature. When subjected to external loading, stresses are distributed between the reinforcement and matrix based on their elastic properties and volume fractions.

- Longitudinal stresses are primarily carried by fibers due to their higher stiffness.
- Transverse stresses are resisted mainly by the matrix material.
- Shear stresses develop at the fiber–matrix interface and play a crucial role in load transfer.
- Thermal stresses may arise due to mismatched coefficients of thermal expansion between constituents. Micromechanical models such as the rule of mixtures, shear-lag theory, and laminate theory are commonly used to predict stress–strain behavior in composites.

Influence of Manufacturing Variables

Various manufacturing techniques are employed based on material type, complexity, and application requirements:

- *Hand Lay-Up and Spray-Up:* Used for low-cost and large structures such as boat hulls and panels.
- *Filament Winding:* Suitable for cylindrical and pressure vessels in aerospace and defense applications.
- *Pultrusion:* Applied for continuous profiles like beams and channels in civil engineering.
- *Resin Transfer Molding (RTM):* Used in automotive and aerospace components for better surface finish and dimensional accuracy.
- *Compression and Injection Molding:* Preferred for high-volume production in automotive and consumer products. Each method offers distinct advantages in terms of mechanical performance, production rate, and cost.

Manufacturing processes significantly impact the mechanical behavior of composites. Defects such as voids, dry fiber patches, and resin rich areas reduce strength. Autoclave curing generally yields superior mechanical properties due to improved consolidation and reduced void content [15]. In contrast, out of autoclave techniques such as vacuum assisted resin transfer molding (VARTM) may introduce variability in mechanical properties depending on resin infiltration quality.

Additive manufacturing of composites presents emerging possibilities; however, anisotropy and interlayer adhesion issues continue to limit mechanical performance.

Summary of Mechanical Performance Trends

The failure patterns observed after tensile and flexural testing are presented in Table 3.

From the reviewed studies, several key trends emerge:

- Fiber orientation and volume fraction are the primary determinants of stiffness and strength.
- Matrix toughness governs durability, impact resistance, and crack propagation.
- Hybridization enhances ductility and strain to failure.
- Nano reinforcement improves interlaminar shear strength and impact performance.
- Manufacturing quality remains a crucial factor influencing performance consistency.

Table 3. Failure patterns identified after tensile and flexural testing.

Sample	Dominant Failure Mode	Visual Indicators	Interpretation
Neat Polymer	Brittle fracture	Smooth plane	Lack of energy absorption
5 wt% Composite	Micro crack arrest	Rough surface, fine river marks	Improved interfacial bonding
10 wt% Composite	Interfacial debonding	Pull out regions	Optimum filler dispersion
15 wt% Composite	Agglomeration failure	Voids, cluster cracks	Stress concentration

Collectively, these findings reveal that mechanical behavior is not controlled by any single parameter but results from a complex interaction among material constituents, architecture, and manufacturing factors. Understanding these relationships enables the design of composites tailored for high performance applications across aerospace, automotive, marine, and structural sectors.

FAILURE ANALYSIS AND MODES IN COMPOSITE MATERIALS

Failure in composite materials is complex because it does not occur through a single mechanism but rather through an interaction of multiple micro and macro scale processes. Unlike metals, which typically show yielding before failure, composite materials fail through progressive damage involving matrix cracking, fiber breakage, delamination, debonding, and shear failure. Understanding these mechanisms is crucial for predicting structural performance, improving reliability, and optimizing composite design.

Matrix Cracking

Matrix cracking is generally the first stage of damage in polymer matrix composites. These cracks initiate under tensile or cyclic loading due to matrix brittleness and propagate transverse to the fiber direction. The cracks reduce stiffness and create stress concentrations that accelerate subsequent damage stages.

Microcracks allow moisture and other environmental agents to penetrate the laminate, reducing interfacial strength and accelerating long term degradation. Matrix cracking is especially dominant in cross ply laminates, where the transverse plies experience higher stress concentrations due to mismatch in elastic properties between layers.

Fiber Breakage

Fiber breakage typically occurs when applied loads exceed the tensile capacity of the reinforcement. The failure is abrupt for high stiffness fibers such as carbon, leading to catastrophic. Glass and natural fibers, on the other hand, show more progressive failure because of their ductility and ability to undergo plastic deformation.

Fiber failure often begins at manufacturing defects such as misaligned fibers, undulations (waviness), and voids, which reduce local load carrying capacity. Once fibers begin to fracture, the load redistributes to surrounding fibers, accelerating the failure process.

Fiber Matrix Debonding

Fiber matrix debonding occurs when interfacial stresses exceed the shear strength of the interface. This damage mode is strongly influenced by:

- Surface treatment of fibers
- Matrix chemistry
- Manufacturing quality
- Environmental exposure
- Debonding reduces load transfer efficiency and often triggers fiber pull out during tensile and impact loading. In composites subjected to fatigue, debonding initiates early and promotes matrix crack growth by reducing adhesion at the interface.

Delamination

Delamination separation between laminate layers is one of the most critical and dangerous failure mechanisms. It commonly occurs due to:

- Interlaminar shear stresses
- Impact loading
- Manufacturing defects
- Resin rich or void areas
- Poor adhesion between plies

Experiments show that delamination can reduce compressive strength by up to 60% due to premature local buckling. Under fatigue loading, delamination grows progressively and leads to stiffness degradation long before ultimate failure.

Modern toughened resins and nano reinforced matrices have been shown to significantly reduce delamination propagation.

Shear Failure

Shear failure occurs when the matrix cannot resist shear forces between fiber layers. Lamina shear failure is common in ±45° plies subjected to in plane shear loading. It typically manifests through:

- Shear cracking
- Matrix yielding
- Slip between fiber bundles

This mechanism is a major concern in composite beams, helicopter rotor blades, and automotive leaf springs where shear loads dominate.

Out of Plane Failure

Composite materials are inherently weak in the out of plane (through thickness) direction. Impact and compressive loads perpendicular to the laminate plane often lead to:

- Through thickness cracking
- Delamination growth
- Core crushing (in sandwich composites)

Research has shown that thick laminates often fail by out of plane mechanisms even when in plane stresses are low, especially in aerospace structures subjected to low velocity impacts.

Fatigue and Environmental Degradation

Fatigue failure in composites progresses through repeated cycles of microcracking, delamination, and fiber breakage. Unlike metals, composites do not have a distinct endurance limit. Their fatigue life depends on:

- Fiber orientation
- Stress amplitude
- Moisture and temperature
- Interface strength

Moisture absorption reduces fiber matrix adhesion and accelerates fatigue degradation. Similarly, UV exposure and thermal cycling can reduce matrix toughness, making the material more susceptible to crack initiation.

Failure of Hybrid Composites

Hybrid composites combine fibers of different stiffness, strength, and failure characteristics. Their failure mechanism is more gradual due to load sharing among fibers. For example:

- Kevlar layers improve impact and delamination resistance.
- Basalt fibers enhance ductility.
- Carbon fibers contribute high stiffness and load bearing capability.
- Studies demonstrate that hybrid systems delay catastrophic failure by enabling progressive crack bridging and energy absorption.

Summary of Failure Modes in Composite Materials

The review highlights several key points:

- Damage is progressive, involving matrix cracking, debonding, and delamination before final fiber failure.

- Interfaces govern durability, especially under impact and fatigue loading.
- Manufacturing defects significantly influence failure initiation.
- Environmental factors such as moisture, temperature, and UV exposure accelerate degradation.
- Hybrid and nano reinforced composites show improved resistance to damage propagation.

Understanding these failure mechanisms allows engineers to enhance composite performance, optimize layup design, and develop damage tolerant structures for aerospace, automotive, marine, civil, and defense applications.

APPLICATIONS OF COMPOSITE MATERIALS

Composite materials have become indispensable across modern engineering sectors due to their superior strength to weight ratio, corrosion resistance, design flexibility, and fatigue performance. Their adaptability to specific functional requirements has led to widespread adoption in aerospace, automotive, marine, civil infrastructure, energy, biomedical, and defense applications. This section provides a comprehensive review of major application domains and highlights the factors driving composite material selection in each sector.

Aerospace Applications

The aerospace industry remains the largest consumer of high-performance composites. Advanced carbon fiber reinforced polymers (CFRPs) are extensively used due to their exceptional stiffness to weight characteristics, which significantly reduce aircraft mass and improve fuel efficiency [10]. Modern aircraft such as the Boeing 787 Dreamliner and Airbus A350 incorporate more than 50% composite materials in the primary structure including the fuselage, wings, and tail sections.

Composite materials also exhibit excellent fatigue and corrosion resistance, making them suitable for critical components exposed to cyclic loading and harsh environments [17]. Sandwich composites, consisting of carbon skins and Nomex or aluminum honeycomb cores, are widely used in aircraft floor panels and control surfaces due to their high bending stiffness and low weight.

Automotive and Transportation Applications

In the transportation sector, composite materials contribute to vehicle lightweighting, which directly reduces fuel consumption and emissions. Glass fiber reinforced composites (GFRPs) are frequently used for bumpers, body panels, leaf springs, and interior components because of their balanced strength, impact resistance, and cost effectiveness [1].

Electric vehicles (EVs) increasingly employ carbon composites to enhance range by reducing structural weight. Furthermore, hybrid composites are being explored for crash energy absorption due to their ability to dissipate impact energy through controlled failure mechanisms [32].

Public transport vehicles such as buses, trains, and metros also integrate composites for structural panels, seating systems, and noise/vibration damping components [36].

Marine and Offshore Applications

Marine structures demand materials with high corrosion resistance and durability under moisture, saltwater, and dynamic wave loading. Composite materials meet these requirements and are widely applied in:

- Boat hulls
- Deck structures
- Propeller shafts
- Masts and superstructures

Glass fiber composites dominate the marine sector due to their low cost and excellent resistance to moisture induced degradation [25]. Carbon composites are used in high performance racing yachts and naval vessels for superior stiffness and weight reduction.

Recent developments include composite risers, subsea components, and offshore wind turbine blades, where composites reduce maintenance and extend service life under extreme environmental conditions [7].

Civil Engineering and Infrastructure

Composites have gained traction in civil engineering due to their corrosion resistance and high specific strength. Fiber reinforced polymer (FRP) bars, sheets, and wraps are increasingly used for:

- Bridge reinforcement and retrofitting
- Earthquake resistant strengthening
- Corrosion free rebar in marine structures
- Strengthening aging concrete beams, slabs, and columns

Externally bonded FRP laminates significantly enhance flexural and shear capacity of concrete structures [9]. Pultruded composite beams and decks are used in pedestrian bridges and footpaths to achieve long term durability with minimal maintenance [19].

Composite utility poles, pipelines, and modular building systems further demonstrate the expanding role of composites in urban infrastructure.

Renewable Energy and Power Sector

The wind energy industry relies heavily on composite materials for turbine blades, which must withstand cyclic aerodynamic loads, moisture, and extreme temperatures. Long blades often exceeding 80 meters are manufactured using glass and carbon fiber composites due to their high fatigue resistance and bending stiffness [20].

Composite materials are also used in:

- Solar panel mounting systems
- Insulators for high voltage transmission
- Flywheel energy storage systems

Hybrid and nano reinforced composites are being investigated to reduce blade weight and enhance lightning resistance [5].

Biomedical and Healthcare Applications

Composite materials are increasingly used in biomedical applications due to their biocompatibility, lightweight nature, and ability to be tailored for specific mechanical properties. Applications include:

- Prosthetic limbs
- Dental posts and crowns
- Orthopedic implants and bone plates
- Surgical instruments
- Customized 3D printed composite implants

Carbon fiber composites are preferred for prosthetics due to their high stiffness and natural gait simulation properties [27]. Bio composites reinforced with natural fibers or biodegradable polymers are emerging as alternatives for temporary implants.

Defense and Military Applications

Military applications require materials with high impact resistance, lightweight characteristics, and superior energy absorption. Composite materials are widely used in:

- Ballistic helmets
- Vehicle armor panels
- Protective shields
- Aircraft and drone structures
- Naval vessels and periscope tubes

Kevlar, aramid, and hybrid composites provide excellent ballistic protection due to their high fracture toughness and energy dissipation mechanisms [24]. Carbon fiber composites also enhance structural stiffness in defense aircraft and guided missiles.

Sports, Recreation, and Consumer Goods

The sports industry benefits greatly from composites due to their ability to deliver high performance with minimal weight. Common applications include:

- Bicycles and racing frames
- Tennis rackets and cricket bats
- Golf club shafts
- Helmets and protective gear
- Fishing rods and skis

Carbon composites enhance stiffness and vibration damping, improving athlete performance and comfort [12].

Consumer goods such as high-end laptops, mobile phones, and luggage also integrate composite casings to achieve durability and aesthetic appeal.

Summary of Application Trends

A review of the literature reveals the following major trends:

- Aerospace and automotive industries continue to dominate composite consumption due to the push for lightweight and fuel-efficient systems.
- Civil infrastructure is emerging as a major growth area for composites, especially in retrofitting and corrosion resistant structures.
- Renewable energy particularly wind turbine blades represent one of the fastest growing applications.
- Biomedical and defense sectors rely on composites for customized, high-performance solutions.
- Hybrid and nano composites are driving innovation by improving toughness, durability, and multi functionality.

The broadening application base reflects the versatility and performance advantages of composite materials across both traditional and emerging industries.

CONCLUSION

Composite materials have emerged as indispensable engineering materials due to their lightweight nature, superior mechanical performance, and resistance to environmental degradation. This review consolidates key findings on their behavior, failure mechanisms, manufacturing methods, and applications.

1. The mechanical performance of composite materials is governed by constituent selection, interfacial bonding, fiber orientation, laminate architecture, and processing conditions.

2. Failure in composite systems is progressive and involves interacting mechanisms such as matrix cracking, fiber fracture, delamination, and interfacial debonding.
3. Recent advancements, including hybrid composites and nano scale reinforcements, have improved damage tolerance and structural reliability.
4. Composite materials are widely adopted across aerospace, automotive, civil infrastructure, renewable energy, biomedical, marine, and defense sectors.
5. Future developments in composite materials are driven by advanced manufacturing technologies and sustainable, high-performance material systems.

Declaration of Interest

The author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this manuscript.

Acknowledgement

The authors sincerely thank their respective institutions for providing support and resources essential for this research. Special appreciation is extended to Parvatibai Genba Moze College of Engineering, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Rajarshi Shahu College of Engineering, Ajeenkya D. Y. Patil School of Engineering, and Padmashri Dr. V. B. Kolte College of Engineering for their cooperation and technical assistance.

REFERENCES

1. Babu, P., & Iqbal, M. (2020). Mechanical characterization of glass fiber reinforced polymer composites for automotive applications. Journal of Composite Structures, 245, 112–121.
2. Boeing Report. (2021). Composite materials in aerospace structures. Boeing Technical Publications.
3. Daniel, I. M., & Ishai, O. (2011). Engineering mechanics of composite materials (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
4. Deshmukh, S., Patel, R., & Kulkarni, A. (2021). Trends in composite material performance and structural characterization. Materials Review Journal, 12(4), 233–249.
5. Fang, Y., Li, X., & Zhang, H. (2023). Enhancement of interlaminar strength in polymer composites using graphene-based nanofillers. Materials Today Advances, 18, 100–121.
6. Gupta, A., Singh, P., & Varma, R. (2022). Biocompatible composite materials for orthopedic and dental applications. Biomedical Materials Review, 9(2), 87–104.
7. Harper, M., Wilson, A., & Joseph, N. (2020). Fatigue behavior of fiber reinforced composites under multiaxial loading. International Journal of Fatigue, 132, 105–117.
8. Huang, Y., & Liu, T. (2021). Mechanical performance of advanced composite systems: A critical review. Composite Materials Science, 56(3), 211–229.
9. Hull, D., & Clyne, T. W. (2017). An introduction to composite materials (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
10. Jones, R. M. (2018). Mechanics of composite materials. CRC Press.
11. Khan, M., & Zhou, L. (201[48. High-temperature performance of ceramic matrix composites in aerospace applications. Aerospace Materials Journal, 14(1), 44–59.
12. Kim, H., & Daniel, I. M. (2017). Compressive failure mechanisms in unidirectional carbon fiber composites. Composite Science and Technology, 149, 72–83.
13. Kim, S., Patel, M., & Zhou, X. (2019). Delamination and damage mechanisms in laminated composites. Journal of Composite Engineering, 22(4), 455–468.
14. Lee, S., & Patterson, J. (2021). Automated fiber placement and infusion methods for next-generation composites. Composite Manufacturing Technologies, 33(2), 65–79.
15. Lopez, J., & Rivera, P. (2019). Influence of autoclave and out-of-autoclave curing on void content and mechanical properties of fiber composites. Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 266, 602–610.
16. Mehta, V., Sharma, N., & Kulkarni, R. (2023). AI-assisted material design and predictive modeling in composite engineering. Advanced Materials Informatics, 7(1), 15–29.

17. Mouritz, A. P. (2019). Introduction to aerospace materials. Woodhead Publishing.
18. Nair, A., & Banerjee, P. (2023). Smart composite materials with embedded sensing capability. Journal of Intelligent Structures, 18(3), 299–315.
19. Panda, S., Rao, B., & Sahu, S. (2022). Structural performance of sandwich composite panels under bending and shear loads. Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials, 24(5), 680–702.
20. Patel, R., Kulkarni, A., & Deshmukh, S. (2023). Additive manufacturing of fiber reinforced composites: Challenges and opportunities. Composites Manufacturing Review, 4(2), 45–63.
21. Patil, A., Verma, G., & Rao, P. (2022). Automated fiber placement defect detection using AI-assisted monitoring systems. Automation in Composites, 11(2), 77–90.
22. Rahman, M., Chowdhury, F., & Sultana, N. (2019). Interface behavior and debonding mechanisms in polymer matrix composites. Polymer Composites Journal, 40(3), 811–825.
23. Rajesh, P., Sundar, M., & Shetty, U. (2022). Mechanical behavior of aluminum and magnesium metal matrix composites. Journal of Metallurgical Composites, 10(2), 144–159.
24. Reddy, J., & Thomas, S. (2018). Impact response of natural fiber and hybrid fiber composites. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 135(24), 463–487.
25. Shah, K., & Patel, M. (2019). Environmental degradation of fiber-reinforced polymer composites for marine applications. Marine Materials and Engineering, 28(3), 155–167.
26. Sharma, R., & Pathak, S. (2020). Sustainable and recyclable composite materials: A review. Green Materials Engineering, 5(1), 22–39.
27. Silva, A., Martins, D., & Ferreira, J. (2021). Hybrid composite laminates: Mechanical response and failure mechanisms. Composite Part B: Engineering, 223, 109–123.
28. Singh, A., & Arora, R. (2020). Effect of fiber orientation on tensile properties of carbon/epoxy laminates. Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites, 39(7), 287–300.
29. Singh, P., & Rao, B. (2022). Thermomechanical behavior of ceramic and polymer composite systems. Journal of Thermal Materials, 18(2), 220–234.
30. Smith, J., Patel, R., & Kumar, S. (2020). Historical development and performance characteristics of composite materials. Materials Engineering Review, 32(1), 1–18.
31. Thomas, S. (2020). Advances in polymer composite systems: A comprehensive review. Polymer Engineering Review, 12(3), 199–225.
32. Wang, Z., Huang, Y., & Liu, T. (2021). Impact energy absorption and damage evolution in carbon, Kevlar, and hybrid composites. Composite Structures, 259, 113–124.
33. Wang, X., Li, Y., & Chen, Z. (2022). Interfacial debonding and transport behavior in nanomodified polymer composites. Nanocomposite Engineering, 6(2), 112–130.
34. Zhang, Y., & Gupta, V. (2022). Fatigue crack propagation and interface degradation in nanomodified composites. Journal of Composite Materials, 56(12), 1650–1667.
35. Zhang, Y., Patel, K., & Singh, M. (2023). Recyclable thermoplastic composite systems for sustainable engineering. Sustainable Materials Journal, 14(1), 55–72.
36. Zhou, L., & Chen, Y. (2021). Compressive and shear behavior of polymer composites used in automotive applications. Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures, 28(6), 512–523.
37. Fegade R, et al, (2025) "Advanced Machine Learning Models for Early Detection of Helical Gear Faults" International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing (IJIDeM).
38. Ritesh Fegade, et al, (2025) "Innovative Magnetic Coupling for Enhanced Safety in Grinding Machines", Journal of Mines, Metals and Fuels,73(3),
39. ASTM D256 – 06. (2006). "Standard Test Methods for Determining the Izod Pendulum Impact Resistance of Plastics."
40. Fegade R., Tated R., Nehete R (2024), "Numerical investigation of pull in control of rectangular AA1050 rolling ingot through design of convex mould during direct chill casting process", Engineering Research Express, 6(1), 1-15
41. Fegade R., Tated R., Nehete R (2024), "Experimental and Numerical Investigation of Effect of Melter Temperature and Casting Speed on Pull-In (Concavity) of AA1050 Ingot During Direct Chill Casting", Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series C, 105, 69–79

42. Bledzki, A.K., & Gassan, J. (1999). "Composites reinforced with cellulose-based fibers." Progress in Polymer Science, 24(2), 221–274.
43. ASTM D3039 – 08. (2008). "Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials."
44. Fegade R., Tated R., Nehete R (2022), "Numerical Analysis to Investigate the Effect of Solidification Parameters on the Pull-In Effect of Continuous Casting", Applications of Computation in Mechanical Engineering, 313-325
45. Fegade R., Tated R., Nehete R.(2019), "Improving the Aluminium Rolling Ingot Recovery using TQM Technique", International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE), 8(12), 282-289.
46. Ritesh Fegade, et al, (2025) "Innovative Magnetic Coupling for Enhanced Safety in Grinding Machines", Journal of Mines, Metals and Fuels,73(3)
47. Fegade R., Tated R., Nehete R (2024), "Numerical investigation of pull in control of rectangular AA1050 rolling ingot through design of convex mould during direct chill casting process", Engineering Research Express, 6(1), 1-15
48. Fegade R., Tated R., Nehete R (2024), "Experimental and Numerical Investigation of Effect of Melter Temperature and Casting Speed on Pull-In (Concavity) of AA1050 Ingot During Direct Chill Casting", Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series C, 105, 69–79
49. Fegade R., Tated R., Nehete R (2022), "Numerical Analysis to Investigate the Effect of Solidification Parameters on the Pull-In Effect of Continuous Casting", Applications of Computation in Mechanical Engineering, 313-325
50. Fegade R., Tated R., Nehete R.(2019), "Improving the Aluminium Rolling Ingot Recovery using TQM Technique", International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE), 8(12), 282-289.