

A Review on Hybrid Inorganic–Polymer Analog Nanocomposites Incorporating Pozzolanic Wastes and nano-Additives

Rashika. M.^{1*}, Soundarya. M.K.²

Abstract

The recent progress of polymer matrix nanocomposites (PMNCs) reveals that the performance of matrix and filler is at the core of matrix–filler interaction, interfacial bonding, and well-controlled distribution of nanoscale reinforcements. Applying these concepts, we consider inorganic particulate systems as hybrid nanocomposites, in which a discontinuous inorganic matrix is effectively reinforced via synergistic co-incorporation of pozzolanic wastes and nano-additives. In the composite system described above, silica-, alumina-rich pozzolanic materials serve as reactive precursors to form binding phases, and nano-additives, such as nano-silica, behave as high surface area fillers, enhancing interfacial engineering and microstructural modification [1]. The mechanisms behind are addressed through structure–property relationships, focusing on C–S–H and C–A–S–H gel formation as matrix forming Phases analogous to polymer matrices. Nano-additives play a role in providing nucleation sites, increasing the rate of reactions, and improving interfacial transition zones (ITZ), and thus enhancing the efficiency of transferring the stress through the phases. The resulting dual system is reminiscent of polymer nanocomposites, where reduced porosity and improved particles packing is related to enhanced mechanical and durability properties. This review focuses on recent advances in via a polymer and composite materials scientist's point of view, establishing correspondences in interphase behavior, dispersion control, and load transfer mechanisms. The inclusion of sustainable pozzolanic wastes further renders these systems as green composites. In general, this study provides a common platform that connects inorganic particulate systems with polymer nanocomposite science, facilitating their categorization as new-generation hybrid composite materials with tailorable properties and wide engineering applications.

Keywords: Polymer nanocomposites, hybrid inorganic nanocomposites, nano-silica, pozzolanic materials, interfacial transition zone (ITZ), structure–property relationships, matrix–filler interactions, sustainable composites

*Author for Correspondence

Rashika. M.
E-mail: rashikamanoharan@gmail.com

¹Ph. D - Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

²Assistant Professor & HoD, Department of Civil Engineering, Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Received Date: April 10, 2026
Accepted Date: May 25, 2026
Published Date: July 07, 2026

Citation: Rashika. M., Soundarya. M.K. A Review on Hybrid Inorganic–Polymer Analog Nanocomposites Incorporating Pozzolanic Wastes and nano-Additives. Journal of Polymer & Composites. 2026; 14(4): 131–141p.

INTRODUCTION

Recent developments in polymer matrix nanocomposites (PMNCs) have proved that material performance is mainly determined by interactions between matrix–filler interfaces, interfacial bonding, and the dispersion of reinforcements at the nano-scale. These factors govern stress transfer, durability, and ultimate composite performance, and thus interphase engineering has become a pivotal aspect in the development of contemporary composite materials.

Based on these ideas, one can think of particulate inorganic systems as hybrid nanocomposites in which reactive binding phases and nano-additives

combine to produce a structured composite network. Silica- and alumina-rich pozzolanic materials can produce cementitious phases such as calcium silicate hydrate (C–S–H) and calcium aluminosilicate hydrate (C–A–S–H) in said systems, which play the role analogous to that of matrix phases in polymer composites. Nano-additives such as nano-silica, as high surface area fillers, promote nucleation, refine microstructure, and strengthen interfacial bonding [2].

The behavior of these composite systems is to a great extent governed by the same mechanisms as for polymer nanocomposites, e.g., filler dispersion, ITZ characteristics, matrix continuity. It has been demonstrated that better dispersion and interfacial bonding lead to improved packing of the particles, reduced porosity, and good mechanical and durability properties, whereas agglomeration of the reinforcement may bring about the contrary effect on the composite performance. From a structure–property view, the synergistic effect of pozzolanic materials with nano additives results in an enormous enhancement in microstructure and performance. The use of by-products such as fly ash also promotes sustainability by reducing dependence on traditional, highly polluting materials.

In view of the interfacial mechanisms, reaction kinetics, and structure–property relationships, this paper provides an integral review of inorganic matrix-based nanocomposites in terms of polymer and composite materials science. The intent of the work is to develop a common understanding that treats these systems as advanced hybrid composites whose properties can be tailored for sustainable applications.

Unlike conventional reviews that treat pozzolanic systems and nanocomposites separately, this study establishes a unified interpretation by applying polymer nanocomposite principles to inorganic particulate systems. The novelty of this review lies in:

1. developing a cross-disciplinary framework linking polymer and inorganic composites,
2. emphasizing interfacial engineering and structure–property relationships, and
3. integrating sustainability aspects through the use of industrial waste materials.

This approach provides new insights into material design strategies for next-generation hybrid composites. The whole processing of interaction between inorganic matrix, Pozzolanic waste and nano-additives [3] is shown in Figure 1.

Theoretical Framework of Hybrid Inorganic–Polymer Analog Nanocomposites

The behavior of hybrid inorganic nanocomposites incorporating pozzolanic wastes and nano-additives can be understood through a multi-scale theoretical framework linking composition, microstructure evolution, and macroscopic performance.



Figure 1. Conceptual framework of inorganic matrix-based nanocomposite.

At the chemical scale, pozzolanic reactions govern the formation of calcium silicate hydrate (C–S–H) and calcium aluminosilicate hydrate (C–A–S–H), which act as matrix-forming phases. These reactions are influenced by the availability of reactive silica and alumina, as well as calcium hydroxide content.

At the nano-scale, nano-additives such as nano-silica act as nucleation sites, accelerating hydration kinetics and promoting uniform gel formation. Their high specific surface area enhances interfacial bonding and reduces porosity through filler and packing effects.

At the microstructural level, the interaction between hydration products and particulate phases leads to densification, pore refinement, and strengthening of the interfacial transition zone (ITZ). The ITZ governs stress transfer efficiency and crack propagation resistance.

From a composite materials perspective, this system can be interpreted using polymer nanocomposite theory, where:

- Hydration products act as the matrix phase
- Particulate materials form the structural skeleton
- Nano-additives serve as reinforcing fillers

Thus, the overall performance is controlled by three key parameters: (i) reaction kinetics, (ii) dispersion of nano-additives, and (iii) interfacial bonding. This framework provides a unified basis for analyzing structure–property relationships in hybrid inorganic nanocomposites.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The design of hybrid nanocomposite systems has been largely inspired by that of polymer matrix nanocomposites (PMNCs), i.e., performance in such systems is tightly controlled by filler dispersion, interfacial interactions, and microstructural continuity. In this sense, particulate inorganic systems, modified by pozzolanic materials and nano-additives, can be considered as composite systems where the reactive binding phases and nano-scale reinforcements together define the macroscopic behavior.

The enhancement of strength by means of pozzolanic materials (e.g., fly ash) has been well documented due to the formation of calcium silicate hydrate (C–S–H) and calcium aluminosilicate hydrate (C–A–S–H) via secondary hydration reactions. These phases can be considered as matrix-forming phases that enhance particle bonding and the overall structural stability. Nevertheless, their relatively slow reaction rate has resulted in the addition of nano-additives, in particular nano-silica, to speed up these reactions [4]. Nano additives serve as nucleation centers and high surface area fillers which contribute to a homogenous gel formation, pore size reduction and microstructural densification.

This is similar to polymer nanocomposites, where the increased interfacial area and the associated stress transfer due to the presence of nanofillers are suggested as major performance enhancers. Microstructural analysis also reveals dense binding networks, with diminished porosity and enhanced particle arrangement. The interfacial transition zone (ITZ) is an important factor determining composite performance, since the load transfer between phases is governed by the ITZ. The fortification of this interphase region via nano-modification yields enhanced mechanical properties and durability. More recently, data-driven approaches (e.g., machine learning) have been applied to model complex interactions and improve material design.

The combined effect of pozzolanic materials and nano-additives is proven to have an enhancement in strength, durability, and sustainability. However, uniform dispersion of nano fillers and the control of agglomeration effects are still problems. In general, these systems may be adequately described as hybrid inorganic nanocomposites, whose behavior has been shown to closely follow that of polymer nanocomposites, particularly in the area of interfacial engineering and structure–property relationship. A selection of recent contributions on inorganic matrix-based nanocomposites is listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of previous studies.

Author	Year	Materials used	Inorganic matrix type	% Additive	Key findings
Wang et al.	2023	Nano-additives + ML	Mixed inorganic matrix's	1–3%	Accurate strength prediction using ML models
Li et al.	2024	Nano-silica + fly ash	Clay	2–5%	Dense gel matrix formation, improved strength
Huang et al.	2024	Nano-additives	Stabilized inorganic matrix	1–3%	Reduced pore connectivity, enhanced durability
Kumar et al.	2025	Fly ash + nano-silica	Soft inorganic matrix	15% FA + 2% NS	strength increased by ~40%
Ali et al.	2025	Industrial waste	Clayey inorganic matrix	10–25%	CO ₂ reduction and sustainable stabilization
Chen et al.	2026	Nano-silica + fibers	Silty inorganic matrix	1–3% NS	Improved strength and crack resistance

Although previous studies consistently report improvements in strength and durability with the incorporation of pozzolanic materials and nano-additives, significant variations exist depending on material type, dosage, and dispersion quality. For instance, studies using nano-silica (1–3%) demonstrate accelerated reaction kinetics and pore refinement, whereas higher dosages often lead to agglomeration and reduced efficiency.

Similarly, while fly ash improves long-term strength through secondary hydration, its slower reaction rate necessitates the inclusion of nano-additives for early strength development. Comparative analysis indicates that hybrid systems (pozzolanic + nano-additives) outperform single-modifier systems in terms of microstructural densification and durability.

However, inconsistencies in experimental methodologies and lack of standardization limit direct comparison across studies. This highlights the need for systematic investigation of composition–structure–performance relationships.

Research Gap

Despite extensive research, several gaps remain:

- Lack of standardized methodologies for nano-additive dispersion
- Limited understanding of long-term durability mechanisms
- Insufficient multi-scale modeling linking nano to macro behavior
- Variability in pozzolanic material composition affecting reproducibility

Addressing these gaps is essential for the practical implementation of hybrid nanocomposites.

REVIEW

Methodology

This review adopts a structured approach for the selection and analysis of relevant literature. Scientific databases including Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were used to identify peer-reviewed articles published between 2000 and 2026.

Keywords such as “pozzolanic materials”, “nano-additives”, “nano-silica”, “hybrid composites”, and “polymer nanocomposites analogy” were used for the search.

Inclusion criteria involved:

- Studies focusing on inorganic matrix systems with nano-modification
- Research addressing microstructure, mechanical, or durability properties
- Peer-reviewed journal publications

Exclusion criteria included:

- Studies lacking experimental validation
- Non-English publications

The selected studies were analyzed based on material composition, processing techniques, and performance outcomes to establish structure–property relationships.

MECHANISMS OF INORGANIC MATRIX-BASED NANOCOMPOSITE FORMATION (REVISED – HIGH IMPACT)

The formation of nanocomposites with inorganic matrices relies on intricate physicochemical phenomena among particulate phases, reactive pozzolanic-like material, and nanoscale additives. Such phenomena take place at different length scales from molecular chemical reactions to microstructural evolution and bonding at interfaces, and determine macroscopic properties. In terms of composite materials, these systems are very closely related to polymer nanocomposites, where the dispersion of the nano-fillers and the interfacial interactions with the [5].

Analogy with Polymer Nanocomposites

Nanocomposites based on inorganic matrices behave similarly to polymer nanocomposite systems, where a matrix phase controls the bulk response and reinforcements at the nano-scale provide improvements in modulus, strength, and durability. Reinforcement efficiency depends greatly on the homogeneous dispersion of the nano-additives since agglomeration may drastically degrade the performance.

In addition, the ITZ of these systems can be seen as the interphase region of polymer composites where stress transfer is a function of the quality of bonding and the microstructural continuity. The development of hydration products such as C–S–H and C–A–S–H forms a continuous binding network, similar to the polymer matrix, which facilitates the load transfer between the particles .

Application of Polymer Nanocomposite Principles

The principal rules for polymer nanocomposites, such as filler dispersion, interfacial bonding, and phase compatibility, can be directly applied to systems based on an inorganic matrix. Within this context, discrete inorganic entities constitute the structural phase, hydration products play the role of binding matrix, and nano-additives are treated as reinforcing fillers.

Homogeneous distribution of nano-additives increases the interfacial area and the efficiency of stress transfer, resulting in superior mechanical and durability performance. On the other hand, agglomeration creates localized flaws and diminished composite properties. Therefore, it is important to control the distribution of the nano-filler and the interfacial interaction in order to obtain the best of these hybrid systems [6].

Pozzolanic Reaction Mechanisms

The formation of the composite is the result of pozzolanic reaction, wherein amorphous silica (SiO₂) and alumina (Al₂O₃) react with calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)₂) under aqueous conditions. resulting in the production of cementitious phases such as calcium silicate hydrate (C–S–H) and calcium aluminosilicate hydrate (C–A–S–H), which then act as matrix-forming species.

These hydration products form a continuous binding network which increases particle cohesion and consequently the mechanical integrity of the composite system. From the standpoint of materials science, the transition of the particulate system into a consolidated composite through the formation of an in-situ matrix is the essence of this phenomenon. The pozzolanic reaction leading to the formation of C–S–H is depicted in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Mechanism of pozzolanic reaction.



Figure 3. Role of nano-additives.

Role of Nano-Additives in Reaction Kinetics

Nano-additives, and in particular nano-silica, are of great importance for the reaction kinetics and microstructure development. Thanks to the large specific surface area and high reactivity, nanoparticles provide nucleation sites for the formation of hydration products and enhance the rates of reaction [7].

Furthermore, nano-additives enhance pore refinement and microstructural densification by bridging micro-voids and by facilitating a more homogeneous distribution of gels. This leads to a more uniform composite with enhanced interfacial bonding and less porosity. Such behavior is consistent with polymer nanocomposites, where the performance-enhancing nano-fillers have increased interfacial area and improved stress transfer. The effect of the nano-additives on the refinement of the pores and on the nucleation is illustrated in Figure 3.

Interfacial Bonding and Interfacial Transition Zone (ITZ)

The Interfacial Transition Zone (ITZ) is crucial for the performance of nanocomposites based on inorganic matrices. This area, normally with higher porosity and weaker bonding, often controls failures in composite materials.




Figure 4. Microstructural comparison (before vs after stabilization).

The presence of nano-additives drastically changes ITZ through porosity reduction, bonding strength increment, and micro-structural continuity improvement. Reinforcement of this interphase region enables more efficient load transfer between the constituent phases, and hence leads to superior mechanical properties and durability. This behavior has a very close analogue in polymer composites, where interfacial engineering is critical to maximize composite performance.

MICROSTRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATION OF INORGANIC MATRIX-BASED NANOCOMPOSITES

The study of microstructure is crucial for performance analysis of the nanocomposites based on inorganic matrices, as their behavior at the macroscopic level is dependent on the arrangement of the particulate phases, the products of the binder, and the nano-additives. From a composite materials point of view, mechanical, durability, and transport properties are directly affected by such features as phase distribution, interfacial interactions, and porous architecture.

The use of pozzolanic materials and nano-additives induces extensive microstructural changes and converts loosely packed particulate systems into dense cohesive composites [8]. This process involves the development of continuous binding phases, pore structure refinement, ITZ (interfacial transition zone) improvement, and others, resulting in better composite performance. Densification or the transformation from a loose to dense matrix at the microstructural level is shown in Figure 4.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) Analysis

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) has been broadly employed to analyze the morphology and distribution of phases in nanocomposites. Observation of SEM showed that untreated systems were generally loosely packed heterogeneous matrices with large pore spaces and fragile particle-particle links. After treatment with pozzolanic materials and nano-additives, the microstructure undergoes a significant change.

The development of denser and more uniform gel-based phases, mainly C-S-H and C-A-S-H, improves particle bonding and minimizes structural heterogeneities. Nano-additives also play a role in filling micro-voids, as well as facilitating the even dispersion of binder phases. This compacted morphology represents the enhanced interfacial interactions and is similar to well dispersing polymer nanocomposites, in which uniform filler dispersion and robust interphase regions result in superior load transfer and mechanical properties [9].

Pore Structure and Particle Interaction

The pore structure is one of the determinants for the performance of nanocomposites, especially strength and durability. Resulting pozzolanic particle and nano-additive-induced pore refinement is a reduction in pore size, connectivity and an increase in the packing density. Nano-additives have also a double effect since they act as filler material packing the micro-voids but also as nucleation sites where more binding phases can be formed. This produces a dense, cohesive composite matrix with lower permeability and better protection against environmental attack.

Table 2. Comparison of stabilization methods.

Method	Strength	Cost	Sustainability
Cement	High	High	Low
Fly ash	Medium	Low	High
Nano-composite	Very High	Medium	Very High

Table 3. Effects of nano-additives.

Nano-Additive	Function	Effect
Nano-silica	Nucleation	Strength ↑
Nano-clay	Bonding	Plasticity ↓
CNT	Reinforcement	Stiffness ↑

From a structural property point of view, the improved particle contact and refined pore structure allow for better stress distribution and pore-scale defect minimization. These results are similar to those found in polymer nanocomposites, where the diminished free volume and enhanced filler–matrix interactions are responsible for the outstanding mechanical and functional properties. Stabilization comparison is displayed in Table 2.

Swelling and Shrinkage Behavior

Stability of dimensions is an important factor in the performance of particulate-based composite systems. Swelling and shrinkage are volume changes that are controlled mainly by the pore structure, particle interaction, and interfacial bonding. The addition of the pozzolanic materials ameliorates the volumetric instability by reducing the plasticity and by causing a dense microstructure through the formation of the stable binding phases. The effect is more pronounced with nano-additives since they enhance interparticle cohesion and reduce pore spaces, thus minimizing deformation moisture-induced. Together, these structural alterations impart even further enhancement to dimensional stability and resistance to cracking and related material loss. From a composite materials standpoint, this behavior suggests improved interfacial integrity and microstructural continuity - critical parameters for sustaining long-term performance [10]. The effects of various nano-additives on the mechanical, thermal, and functional properties of polymer composites are summarized in Table 3.

SUSTAINABILITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

The processing of pozzolanic waste/nano additive-based inorganic matrix hybrid nanocomposites is advantageous in terms of sustainable materials engineering. Unlike traditional binder systems, these are made up of industrial waste products like fly ash and slag that serve as active ingredients, enabling resource recovery and waste utilization. In the context of composite materials, such waste-based entities serve both as reactive fillers and as matrix-forming entities, and thus contribute to both enhancement of performance and environmental sustainability.

The incorporation of nano-additives also leads to better material efficiency due to improved reaction kinetics, interfacial bonding, and microstructural densification. Therefore, high-performance mechanical and long-lasting durability can be attained with less dependence on high-carbon primary material. This corresponds with current green composite trends in which performance maximization is done in concert with minimization of environmental impacts [11].

The refined microstructure, including refined pore system and improved interfacial transition zones (ITZ), also results in the lower permeability and higher resistance to exposure. These challenges lead to an increased service life, which is an essential parameter in assessing composite material overall sustainability. Therefore, such hybrid systems reduce the initial environmental impact while increasing performance in the long term and thus life-cycle sustainability. The pathway to sustainability is illustrated in Figure 6.




Figure 6. Sustainability impact diagram.

Carbon Emission Reduction

The environmental implications of these hybrid nanocomposites are beneficial, since the carbon emissions generated in the material production are reduced. The environmental impact of the production of regular binders is high in terms of energy and CO₂ emissions; thus, the substitution of ordinary cementitious binders with pozzolanic ones, either partially or totally, is acknowledged as an effective way to reduce CO₂ emissions.

From a composite materials perspective, the high efficiency of reactive fillers and nano-scale additives allows enhanced performance with less material consumption, which translates to reduced carbon footprint. Furthermore, the incorporation of industrial by-products reduces waste disposal and facilitates circular economy. In general, these features of less material use, emission, and enhanced toughness lead to sustainable hybrid composites, which can satisfy performance and environmental requirements for the application in advanced engineering [12].

FUTURE SCOPE AND RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future developments in hybrid inorganic nanocomposites will likely be focused on under composite systems, following principles of polymer nanocomposite design, while guided by polymer nanocomposite design strategies. One of the crucial tasks is the design of interphase regions, where the matrix–filler interactions, the nano-filler dispersion, and the interfacial bonding have to be fine-tuned to maximize the load transfer and durability. Polymer-based binder and functional nanofiller addition is a potential approach to improve composite performance. By incorporating different materials such as biopolymers, epoxy-based systems or synthetic polymers to enhance ductility, fracture toughness, or aging resilience, the transition to polymer-modified hybrid composites with multi-functional characteristics may be achieved.

Furthermore, data-driven techniques, like machine learning and artificial intelligence, could be potentially utilized to estimate structure –property relationships and to find optimal composite design. Such methods are able to capture, in essence, the complex interactions among the particulate phases, the binding products, and the nano-scale reinforcements in a performance-based materials design.

Novel ideas such as self-healing composites and smart materials also have a new application space. Responsive materials that destroy, damage, or adapt to the environment without human intervention are potentially transformative for extending lifetimes and reliability. From a materials perspective, future research should focus on advanced characterization, including rheological studies, viscoelastic response, and fracturing mechanics to elucidate the fundamental response of such systems. Moreover, extensive validation at different scales and the formulation of a standardized design will be essential for advancing from laboratory research to real-world application.

In summary, ongoing synergies between polymer science, nanotechnology, and sustainable materials science will allow these systems to evolve into high-performance hybrid nanocomposites with properties and a wide range of applications.

CONCLUSION

This review establishes that hybrid inorganic nanocomposites incorporating pozzolanic wastes and nano-additives can be effectively interpreted using polymer nanocomposite principles. The formation

of C–S–H and C–A–S–H gels, combined with nano-scale modifications, leads to significant improvements in strength, durability, and microstructural stability.

Critical analysis reveals that performance enhancement is governed by nano-additive dispersion, interfacial bonding, and reaction kinetics. Hybrid systems consistently outperform conventional systems due to synergistic effects between pozzolanic reactions and nano-scale reinforcement.

However, challenges such as nano-particle agglomeration, variability in waste materials, and lack of standardized methodologies remain key barriers.

Future research should focus on multi-scale modeling, advanced characterization techniques, and the development of standardized design frameworks.

Overall, these materials represent a promising class of sustainable, high-performance composites with significant potential for advanced engineering applications.

DISCUSSION

A comparative evaluation indicates that the performance of hybrid systems is highly dependent on the synergy between pozzolanic materials and nano-additives. Systems incorporating only pozzolanic materials show gradual strength gain, whereas nano-modified systems exhibit rapid early strength development. Hybrid systems combine both advantages, resulting in superior overall performance.

Furthermore, microstructural observations confirm that improved ITZ characteristics and reduced pore connectivity directly correlate with enhanced mechanical properties. This demonstrates a clear linkage between composition, microstructure, and performance, reinforcing the applicability of composite material theory in these systems.

REFERENCE

1. Tshikovhi A, Mishra SB, Mishra AK, Motaung TE. Effect of silica content in a bio-polymeric blended nanocomposite for efficient adsorption of mercury in basic aqueous solution. *Fibers Polym.* 2025;26:521–535.
2. Gencer Balkis B, Aksu A, Ersoy Korkmaz N, et al. Synthesis of silica-chitosan nanocomposite for the removal of pharmaceuticals from aqueous solution. *Int J Environ Sci Technol.* 2025;22:153–168.
3. Jose S, George JS, Jacob TA, Vijayan PP, Bhanu AVA, Nedumpillil NN, et al. Nanosilica incorporated coarse wool-epoxy hybrid biocomposites with improved physico-mechanical properties. *Front Mater.* 2023;10:1140602.
4. Kumar V, Tang X. New horizons in nanofiller-based polymer composites II. *Polymers (Basel).* 2023;15(21):4259.
5. Singh MK, Palaniappan SK, Arora G, et al. Recent advances in applications of nanocomposites: a brief overview. *Discov Mater.* 2026;6:58.
6. Hart JM, Carswell WD, García-Sakai V, Frick B, Boothroyd SC, Hutchings LR, et al. Structural and dynamic origins of Payne effect reduction by steric stabilization in silica reinforced poly(butadiene) nanocomposites. *Polym Compos.* 2026;47(1):532–542.
7. Jadhav S, Sarawade P. Recent advances and prospective of reinforced silica aerogel nanocomposites and their applications. *Eur Polym J.* 2024;206:112766.
8. Pamula UP, Rao TN, Prashanthi Y, Ganji P. Synthesis and characterization of ZnO@CTAB-SA polymer nanocomposites with biological and photocatalytic activity. *Front Nanotechnol.* 2025;7:1674700.

9. Singh MK, Rangappa SM, Misra M, Mohanty AK, Siengchin S. Recent advancements in nanostructured flame-retardants: types, mechanisms, and applications in polymer composites. *Nano Struct Nano Objects*. 2025;42:101468.
10. Tang CS, Shi B, Gao W. Strength and mechanical behavior of fiber-reinforced soils. *Geotext Geomembr*. 2007;25(3):194–202.
11. Ajayan PM, Schadler LS, Braun PV. *Nanocomposite science and technology*. Weinheim (Germany): Wiley-VCH; 2003.
12. Mittal V. Polymer nanocomposites: synthesis, characterization and modeling. *Prog Polym Sci*. 2009;34(10):1043–1075.