STM JOURNALS

International Journal of
Robotics and Automation
in Mechanics

Volume 2, Issue 2, 2024
July—December
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/IJRAM

https://journals.stmjournals.com/ijram

Review IJRAM

Optimization of Robotic Path Planning Algorithms for Autonomous Material Handling Systems

Amit Shishodia[1,*]

Abstract

For autonomous systems for handling materials (AMHS) to operate as efficiently as possible in industrial and logistical settings, robotic route planning is essential. This study examines many robotic route planning algorithms, emphasizing their use, ways of optimization, and difficulties in material handling systems. To improve the effectiveness, precision, and computational viability of these algorithms, the study also examines a number of optimization strategies, including machine learning, parallelization, heuristic search, and real-time adaptation approaches. The difficulties in designing path planning algorithms are specifically discussed, especially in dynamic and uncertain situations where the task needs and barriers are constantly shifting. We offer insights into how multiple approaches, including the A algorithm, rapidly exploring random tree (RRT), Dijkstra's algorithm, and machine learning-based techniques, may be modified for accuracy, efficiency, and real-time adaptability. To optimize path planning for dynamic situations, the study also examines the trade-offs that must be made between cutting computing complexity, improving real-time decision-making, and consuming the least amount of energy. Future directions in path planning optimization are discussed in the conclusion of the research, including the use of swarm robots, artificial intelligence (AI), and multi-agent systems in unmanned material handling systems. The trade-offs between computing complexity and path optimality are also examined, as is the effect of path planning on system performance, safety, and energy efficiency. To better enhance material handling systems autonomously, the study finishes by outlining new developments in the field, such as the integration of AI, multi-agent coordination, and swarm robotics. Finally, this work supports the shift to fully computerized and intelligent material handling operations by offering a thorough knowledge of how path planning algorithms may be improved to increase AMHS's efficacy and adaptability in a variety of industrial contexts.*

Keywords: Robotic path planning, autonomous material handling, optimization, algorithms, industrial automation, artificial intelligence, machine learning, navigation, logistics

INTRODUCTION

The rapid adoption of autonomous systems for handling materials (AMHS) is a result of the growing need for automation in a variety of industries, including manufacturing, warehousing, logistics, and healthcare. These systems move components, materials, and items within a building using autonomous robots, including drones, robotic arms, and Automated Guided Vehicles (AGVs). The robot path planning algorithm is one of the key elements that affects AMHS's performance of an AMHS. The process of finding the best or most practical way for a robot to move from its starting point to its destination while avoiding obstacles and reducing movement costs, such as time, energy, or distance, is known as path optimization [1].

***Author for Correspondence**
Amit Shishodia
E-mail: akushwa25@gmail.com

[1]Student, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Noida International University, Uttar Pradesh, India

Received Date: November 25, 2024
Accepted Date: November 29, 2024
Published Date: December 06, 2024

Citation: Amit Shishodia. Optimization of Robotic Path Planning Algorithms for Autonomous Material Handling Systems. International Journal of Robotics and Automation in Mechanics. 2024; 2(2): 15–20p.

As the surroundings become increasingly complicated in industrial settings, the necessity of effective path planning becomes increasingly critical. Owing to shifting impediments, fluctuating load circumstances, and layout modifications, these settings are frequently dynamic. In these settings, robots must be able to plan their routes in real-time, adapting to new impediments or modifications in the mission specifications. Although they work well in contexts that are static or less complicated, traditional path planning algorithms sometimes find it difficult to effectively handle these dynamic conditions. To guarantee that autonomous material handling systems can carry out their tasks in the most effective, secure, and efficient way possible, there is an increasing need to improve these algorithms.

The process of optimizing path planning procedures for AMHS entails striking the best possible balance between a number of variables, including safety, optimality, real-time flexibility, and computing complexity. In fast-paced industrial settings, delays in planning may result in inefficiencies, interruptions, or even safety risks; therefore, path planning algorithms must be precise and rapid. For instance, an AGV that takes too long to choose an excursion may cause the entire material handling process to be delayed, which would lower throughput and lead to operational inefficiencies. Additionally, robots need to be able to respond to unforeseen barriers such as moving people or other robots, which calls for a degree of flexibility that conventional approaches normally lack [2].

Researchers have investigated a range of plans to optimize path planning algorithms as the field of robotics develops further, including hybrid solutions, heuristic approaches, machine learning based approaches, and optimization algorithms. Because of their ease of use and capacity to ensure an ideal path in static contexts, computational heuristics such as A* and Dijkstra's method are frequently employed. However, these techniques can become computationally expensive in dynamic or large-scale environments. Rapidly exploring random trees (RRT) and other sampling-based algorithms have demonstrated potential in high-dimensional and continuous settings; nonetheless, they frequently compromise path optimality in favor of computation speed. More sophisticated methods that concentrate on optimization, including genetic algorithms and model predictive control (MPC), have their trade-offs in terms of computational complexity and real-time usage.

By reducing the journey time, inhibiting energy use, guaranteeing safety, and improving flexibility to real-time environmental changes, path planning algorithm optimization aims to improve AMHS performance. Because addressing complicated issues with high accuracy frequently demands significant computer resources, which may not be possible for real-time applications, optimization also entails striking a balance between path optimality and computational economy at large.

The goal of this work is to present an in-depth study of the different path planning algorithms utilized in autonomous material handling systems as well as the methods employed to improve these algorithms. Both traditional and modern approaches are discussed, along with their advantages and disadvantages. The study also examines the difficulties that academics and practitioners face when attempting to enhance these algorithms, such as handling dynamic settings, energy efficiency, making decisions in real-time, and safety. The study concludes by examining the future of autonomous material handling systems, with particular attention to new developments in swarm robotics, artificial intelligence (AI), and multi-agent systems, and how these could advance path planning minimization.

.

The development of safer, dependable, and adaptable autonomous material handling systems, which will be essential in executing the growing demands of automation in industrial sectors, is supported by this research's comprehension of the different strategies and difficulties associated with optimizing robotic path arrangement [3].

BACKGROUND

Robotics has dramatically enhanced material handling in sectors such as manufacturing, shipping, and storage. Systems for autonomous material handling may boost productivity, reduce human error, and enhance safety. However, the effectiveness of these computer path planning and navigation algorithms determines their efficiency.

Dynamic impediments, shifting layouts, environmental unpredictability, and constrained processing resources are some of the difficulties posed by the complexity of the real-world surroundings in which these robots operate. To guarantee that robots can carry out their missions successfully and efficiently in such circumstances, path planning algorithms must be developed.

In addition to improving speed and accuracy, path planning algorithm optimization aims to lower energy consumption, eliminate collisions, and instantly adjust to changing surroundings.

PATH PLANNING ALGORITHMS FOR AUTONOMOUS MATERIAL HANDLING SYSTEMS

Several path planning algorithms have been proposed to overcome the obstacles of autonomous material handling. Based on their approach, these algorithms can be divided into three groups: grid-based, sampling-based, and optimization-based procedures.

Grid-based Algorithm

Grid-based algorithms discretize the environment into a grid of cells, where each cell represents a unit of space. The robot moved from one cell to another while avoiding obstacles. The most widely used grid-based algorithms are as follows:

- *A* algorithm:* A* is one of the most popular path planning algorithms because of its efficiency and optimality. It uses a heuristic function to prioritize exploration toward the goal, balancing the cost of travel and the estimated distance to the goal. While A* guarantees an optimal solution, its computational complexity increases with the grid size, making it unsuitable for highly dynamic environments [4, 5].
- *Dijkstra's algorithm:* Dijkstra's algorithm is similar to A* but does not use a heuristic function. It explores the grid in a breadth-first manner, ensuring that the path with the minimum total cost is obtained. Although it guarantees optimality, it can be computationally expensive, especially in large grids.

Despite their popularity, these algorithms are limited by their inability to effectively handle real-time dynamic changes, making them less suitable for high-velocity environments, such as warehouses with constantly moving robots.

Sampling-based Algorithm

Instead of requiring the atmosphere to be discretized, sampling-based algorithms randomly sample locations and attempt to link them to explore the configuration space. These algorithms perform superbly in continuous settings and high-dimensional regions.

- *Rapidly exploring random tree:* Particularly in robotics, RRT is a useful sampling-based path planning technique. From the initial setup, a tree of random samples is grown in the direction of the objective. RRT is particularly helpful for high-dimensional robots and can effectively navigate complicated environments. Unfortunately, the best course may not always be identified [6].
- *Probabilistic roadmap (PRM):* By selecting points in the realm of configuration at random and then joining them to create a graph, PRM creates a roadmap. The robot then obtains a roadmap to locate it. Because PRM depends on preprocessing, it might not be as successful in real-time applications as it is in multi-query issues.

Although sampling-based algorithms perform better in complex and continuous spaces, they also have limitations related to path optimality and computational efficiency.

Optimization-based Algorithm

Optimization-based path planning algorithms seek to identify the optimal route by directly optimizing a function with its goals. These algorithms are particularly helpful for reducing particular costs, such as commuting time or energy use.

- *Model predictive control:* MPC is a well-liked optimization-based method for dynamic situations involving real-time path planning. It optimizes the path within a restricted horizon and forecasts the future state of the system. Safety zones and speed restrictions are examples of dynamic barriers and limitations that MPC can manage. Nevertheless, it requires substantial computing resources, rendering it less appropriate for systems with a constrained processing capacity.
- *Genetic algorithms (GA):* Natural selection serves as the inspiration for genetic algorithms, which are optimization methods. Through crossover, mutation, and selection, they develop a population of solutions. Path planning has made use of GAs, particularly in non-trivial settings. GAs may have sluggish convergence even though they are highly adaptable and may be tailored to certain problem areas [7].

Optimization-based algorithms are ideal for specific applications in which the objective function is well-defined. However, they often involve complex calculations that are computationally expensive.

OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES FOR PATH PLANNING

Optimizing path planning algorithms involves improving their performance in terms of speed, accuracy, and computational efficiency. Several techniques are used to achieve optimization:

Heuristic Optimization

Path planning algorithms may be made much more effective using heuristics. Combining domain-specific heuristics with A*, for instance, helps expedite the search process by driving the algorithm more efficiently toward the objective.

Parallelization and Distributed Computing

Path planning algorithms can be computationally intensive, particularly when dealing with large environments. By leveraging parallelization or distributed computing, the computational load can be distributed across multiple processors, thereby reducing the time required to compute the paths.

Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence

By enabling robots to learn the best governs based on interactions with their surroundings, machine learning techniques such as reinforcement learning (RL) may maximize path planning in dynamic situations. For instance, by learning from experience, RL agents may enhance their journey planning, negating the requirement for explicit model-based planning [8].

Real-time Adaptation

Real-time adaptation of path planning algorithms is crucial for handling dynamic environments with moving obstacles. Techniques such as receding horizon control (RHC) or online path replanning enable robots to adjust their paths dynamically, ensuring that they can navigate around unexpected obstacles or changes in the environment.

CHALLENGES IN OPTIMIZATION

Despite the progress made in optimizing robotic path planning algorithms, several challenges remain, particularly in real-world applications.

Dynamic Environments

Unpredictable movement or impediments are common in areas where autonomous handling systems for materials work. It is still difficult to optimize path planning algorithms to deal with such changes in real-time. Dealing with such surroundings requires adaptive algorithms that can change routes.

Computational Complexity

Although optimization techniques improve path planning, they can also introduce higher computational complexity. This becomes a bottleneck, especially for real-time applications, where decisions need to be made quickly. Determining a balance between computational efficiency and path quality is an ongoing challenge.

Energy Efficiency

The energy economy is crucial in material handling applications, particularly for robots that operate on batteries. The efficiency of autonomous material handling systems depends on perfecting path planning algorithms to reduce energy consumption, for instance, by avoiding abrupt changes in speed or by choosing shorter pathways.

Safety and Collision Avoidance

Ensuring that robots avoid collisions while optimizing their paths is a fundamental concern. Safety constraints must be integrated into path planning algorithms, which can often complicate optimization processes, especially in environments with numerous moving parts.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

As autonomous material handling systems continue to evolve, the optimization of path planning algorithms remains a central area of research. Several future research directions could be identified.

Integration with Multi-Agent Systems

Thus, it may be necessary for several robots to operate concurrently in factories and warehouses. Large-scale operations require the development of algorithms for multi-agent route planning, in which robots may cooperate to optimize their pathways and dynamically exchange data [9,10].

Use of Swarm Robotics

Inspired by the collective behavior of social insects, swarm robotics offers a promising approach for optimizing path planning. In a swarm of robots, each robot can independently plan its path while considering the paths of others, leading to efficient and flexible material handling solutions.

Artificial Intelligence Powered Path Planning

Robots will be able to navigate more difficult and dynamic situations because of the incorporation of cutting-edge AI such as deep learning and reinforcement learning into route estimation algorithms. AI systems can learn from the past and modify their planning techniques accordingly.

CONCLUSION

The optimization of robotic path planning algorithms is a critical factor in enhancing the performance of autonomous material handling systems (AMHS) in industrial environments. As industries increasingly adopt automation to improve operational efficiency, reduce labor costs, and enhance safety, the need for highly effective and adaptive path planning algorithms has become more pronounced. This paper has reviewed several key algorithms used in AMHS, including grid-based methods (e.g., A*, Dijkstra’s algorithm), sampling-based methods (e.g., RRT and probabilistic roadmaps), and optimization-based approaches (e.g., model predictive control, genetic algorithms). Each of these methods offers distinct advantages and limitations, and their effectiveness depends largely on the specific requirements of the application, such as environmental complexity, real-time adaptability, and system constraints.

Although these path planning algorithms have proven successful in various scenarios, there remain several challenges in their optimization. These include the need to handle dynamic environments with changing obstacles, the computational complexity of real-time path planning, and the energy efficiency of robotic movements. Moreover, the integration of safety constraints and the need for collision avoidance are paramount for ensuring that the system operates effectively without compromising worker safety or system reliability.

REFERENCES

1. LaValle S. Rapidly-exploring random trees: A new tool for path planning. Research Report 9811. 1998. Available from: https://msl.cs.illinois.edu/~lavalle/papers/Lav98c.pdf.
2. Hart PE, Nilsson NJ, Raphael B. A formal basis for the heuristic determination of minimum cost paths. IEEE Trans Syst Sci Cybern. 1968;4(2):100–7. doi: 10.1109/TSSC.1968.300136.
3. Dijkstra EW. A note on two problems in connexion with graphs. Numer Math. 1959;1:269–71. doi: 10.1007/BF01386390.
4. Raja P, Pugazhenthi S. Optimal path planning of mobile robots: A review. Int J Phys Sci. 2012 Feb 23;7(9):1314–20. DOI: 10.5897/IJPS11.1745.
5. Khatib O. Real-time obstacle avoidance for manipulators and mobile robots. Int J Robot Res. 1986;5(1):90–8. doi: 10.1177/027836498600500106.
6. Borenstein J, Koren Y. The vector field histogram—fast obstacle avoidance for mobile robots. IEEE Trans Robot Autom. 1991;7(3):278–88. doi: 10.1109/70.88137.
7. Shamgah L, Tadewos TG, Karimoddini A, Homaifar A. Path planning and control of autonomous vehicles in dynamic reach-avoid scenarios. 2018 IEEE Conference on Control Technology and Applications (CCTA), Copenhagen, Denmark. 2018. pp. 88–93. DOI: 10.1109/CCTA.2018. 8511519.
8. Silver D, Veness J. Monte-Carlo planning in large POMDPs. In: Lafferty J, Williams C, Shawe-Taylor J, Zemel R, Culotta A, editors. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 23 (NIPS 2010). Red Hook (NY): Curran Associates; 2010. Available from: https://papers.nips.cc/paper_files/paper/2010/file/edfbe1afcf9246bb0d40eb4d8027d90f-Paper.pdf.
9. Brock O, Khatib O. Elastic bands: Connecting path planning and control. [1993] Proceedings IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Atlanta, GA, USA. 1993, vol. 2. pp. 802–7. DOI: 10.1109/ROBOT.1993.291936.
10. Toth P, Vigo D, editors. Vehicle Routing: Problems, Methods, and Applications. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: SIAM; 2014.

