

Electromagnetic Force-Based Train

Ranjeet Gupta^{1,*}, Tej Prakash Verma²

Abstract

The swift advancement in transportation technology encouraged scientists to seek out faster and more eco-friendly propulsion techniques. The majority of transportation systems depend on mechanical engines and wheel-axle setups that generate friction, noise, and energy dissipation. Because of these drawbacks, there is potential for propulsion approaches. A contemporary technique is propulsion, which utilizes electromagnetic forces rather than traditional mechanical movement. This research paper addresses the design and operation of a train system that uses propulsion. The system leverages the interaction principle between electromagnets and permanent magnets to create movement. When current flows through the coils, it generates a field. This magnetic field interacts with the magnets mounted on the train, resulting in a linear force that propels the train along the track. Controlling the flow of current to the coils allows for management of the train's speed and direction. The mechanical inefficiencies in the suggested system are significantly less than those in trains that rely on combustion engines or frictional wheel contact. Because the system contains a limited number of moving elements, deterioration is minimal, leading to reduced maintenance. Key elements of the proposed design consist of coils, permanent magnets, driver circuits, power sources, and a basic control unit to oversee its functioning. The practicality and efficiency of the electromagnetic propulsion mechanisms are the main concerns in small-scale transportation systems. During testing, observed results have been smoother in operation, with less friction and better energy efficiency. Though the prototype is developed at a small scale, the concept can be extended to future applications such as high-speed railways, maglev trains, and advanced urban transport systems.

Keywords: Arduino-based control system, coil-based linear motion, electromagnetic propulsion, Lorentz force law, magnetic attraction and repulsion

INTRODUCTION

Transportation plays an energetic role in modern society. Conventional trains are powered by diesel or electric engines that convert rotational motion into mechanical energy. However, wear and tear, mechanical friction, energy losses, and environmental pollution are disadvantages of these methods. Electromagnetic propulsion is a promising alternative [1–5]. It is based on the fundamental laws of electromagnetism, particularly Faraday's law, the Lorentz force law, and Fleming's left-hand rule.

*Author for Correspondence

Ranjeet Gupta

E-mail: rgranjeetgupta9517@gmail.com

¹Student, Electrical Engineering Department, Bansal Institute of Engineering and Technology, Lucknow, India.

²Assistant Professor, Electrical Engineering Department, Bansal Institute of Engineering and Technology, Lucknow, India.

Received Date: December 13, 2025

Accepted Date: January 15, 2026

Published Date: January 28, 2026

Citation: Ranjeet Gupta, Tej Prakash Verma. Electromagnetic Force-Based Train. Trends in Mechanical Engineering & Technology. 2026; 16(1): 37–45p.

Electromagnetic systems can produce direct linear motion instead of depending on a rotating motor. This linear movement is ideal for railway systems and can replace outdated wheels with frictionless or low-friction mechanisms [6–10]. The ability of Maglev (Magnetic Levitation) trains to move at extremely high speeds and float above tracks has garnered attention in recent years. These trains use electromagnetic propulsion as their primary driving force. Encouraged by this concept, our project aims to develop a limited electromagnetic propulsion train model that establishes the same basic principles [11–15].

The purpose of this research paper is to explain:

1. The concept of electromagnetic propulsion
2. The design of the propulsion system
3. The construction and working of the train model
4. The practical applications and advantages of this technology

LITERATURE REVIEW

Various studies have been conducted on electromagnetic propulsion and maglev technology. In Japan and China, maglev trains have been tested at speeds exceeding 600 km/h. These trains use linear induction and synchronous motors to generate thrust. Research has proven that electromagnetic propulsion significantly reduces friction because trains levitate above the track. Yamamoto et al. (2015) demonstrated that electromagnetic propulsion provides better acceleration than traditional DC motors [1]. Kumar and Singh (2018) determined that the maintenance cost of electromagnetic propulsion trains is lower than that of diesel engines because of the fewer moving parts [9]. However, the high setup cost of this technology is a barrier to its general use. Therefore, further research is required to develop low-cost and efficient electromagnetic propulsion systems. Our project is a small-scale approach to understanding and optimizing this advanced transportation system.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology of this project is divided into the following main parts:

Conceptual design of the train

1. Development of an electromagnetic propulsion system
2. Circuit design and implementation
3. Testing and analysis

The operation of maglev trains is based on the electromagnetic attraction and repulsion between coils and permanent magnets affixed to the train wheels or body. A magnetic field is generated when a current flows through a coil. The polarity of the magnetic field can be changed by altering the polarity of the current. Motion can be produced by the ability of a shifting magnetic field to attract or repel adjacent permanent magnets. By placing a series of electromagnets along the track and permanent magnets on the train, a continuous forward force can be achieved [16–20].

MATHEMATICAL MODELING OF ELECTROMAGNETIC PROPULSION

The electromagnetic propulsion mechanism can be mathematically explained using the Lorentz force law. When a conductor carrying a current is placed in a magnetic field, it experiences a mechanical force expressed as:

$$F = B \cdot I \cdot L$$

Where,

F is the force acting on the conductor (Newtons)

B is the magnetic flux density (Tesla)

I is the current flowing through the conductor (Amperes)

L is the length of the conductor in the magnetic field (meters)

This equation shows that the propulsion force increases directly with the magnetic field strength and the current magnitude. In the proposed system, each phase contains eight coils, which increases the effective magnetic field (B) owing to the superposition of the fields. Therefore, a larger thrust is generated at each activation step [21–23].

The switching sequence implemented using the Arduino and BTS7960 driver modified the direction of the current (I), which in turn alternated the direction of the force (F). By controlling the timing and

polarity, a traveling magnetic wave is generated along the track of the capsule. The permanent magnets on the train respond to this dynamic field, resulting in a continuous forward motion. The mathematical principle confirms the feasibility of using coil groups for linear propulsion [24–28].

WORKING PRINCIPLE

The electromagnetic propulsion train operates according to the Lorentz force law and Fleming's left-hand rule. When a current-carrying conductor is placed in a magnetic field, a force is applied. The direction of the force is indicated by Fleming's left-hand rule as follows:

- First finger → Magnetic field (N to S)
- Second finger → Current (Positive to Negative)
- Thumb → Force (Motion of the train)

In our model:

- Electromagnets are placed on the track
- Permanent magnets are placed on the train
- A power supply energizes the coil
- A microcontroller or switching circuit controls the current sequence

As the coils are sequentially energized, they create a moving magnetic field. This acts like a wave that pulls the train forward [29, 30].

HARDWARE COMPONENTS USED

The following major components are used in this system (Table 1)

Each coil was carefully wound to produce a sufficiently strong magnetic field when energized. The driver module controlled the polarity and current flow in each coil.

CIRCUIT DESIGN AND PHASE-BASED CONTROL LOGIC

Continuous linear propulsion is achieved in the proposed electromagnetic propulsion train system, in which a phase-based multi-coil structure is used, wherein each phase consists of a group of eight coils (left and right sides). These coils were placed symmetrically on both sides of the track to produce a stronger and more uniform magnetic field. An Arduino microcontroller together with a BTS7960 dual H-bridge motor driver was used to control the direction and sequence of the current supplied to each phase [31, 32].

Permanent magnets are attached near the wheels or the bottom surface of the train. The mutual magnetic field of the eight coils in a particular phase interacts with the permanent magnets to generate attractive or repulsive forces when energizing the coils. A traveling magnetic field produced along the track by successively energizing the phases pulls and propels the train forward [33, 34].

Table 1. Hardware and components.

Component	Description
Copper coil	Creates an electromagnetic field
Permanent magnet	Provides a constant magnetic field
BTS7960 motor driver	Controls current to coils
Bridge rectifier	Convert AC to DC
Arduino	Controls sequencing
DC power supply (12 V/24 V)	Provides power
Train model	Prototype vehicle
Wooden track	Base for train

Coil Arrangement Per Phase

The track was divided into different sections, and each section was treated as one electrical phase. Coils are placed on both the left and right sides of the track to provide better thrust and an identical force distribution.

- Each phase = 8 coils (left + right combined).
- Typically, four coils are placed on the left side and four on the right side.
- These eight coils are connected in a parallel or series–parallel combination so that they behave as a single electromagnetic unit.
- All coils belonging to one phase are connected to the output channel of the BTS7960 H-bridge motor driver.

Therefore, although multiple coils are physically present on the track, they work as one phase unit electrically and are controlled together by the Arduino.

Example connection

- Phase A (8 coils) → BTS7960 Channel 1 (OUT1–OUT2)
- Phase B (8 coils) → BTS7960 Channel 2 (OUT3–OUT4)

If more phases are required (C and D), another L298 driver can be used.

Phase-Based Alternate Sequencing of Coil Groups

The input pins of the BTS7960 driver received control signals from the Arduino. At this moment, the driver modifies the polarity of the voltage applied to the eight-coil group. Consequently, attractive and repulsive forces are generated.

The working sequence is shown in Table 2.

In each step, all eight coils of the active phase were energized simultaneously, thereby creating a strong and uniform magnetic field. The previous phase is turned OFF before the next phase is triggered, thereby preventing any backward force from occurring. This produces unidirectional thrust and smooth motion of the train. This working principle is similar to that of a linear synchronous motor, wherein the stator is spread along the track and is energized in a sequence to generate a moving magnetic wave.

Arduino and BTS7960 Control Logic (Concept Code)

The following theoretical code shows how the Arduino controls one phase (8-coil group) through the L298 driver. Electrically, it is one phase; physically, it represents eight coils connected together.

Table 2. Working sequence based on attraction and repulsion.

Step	Phase/coil group	Current direction	Effect
1	Phase A (8 coils)	Forward (+)	Train is attracted
2	Phase A	OFF	Magnetic field removed
3	Phase B (8 coils)	Forward (+)	Train pulled forward
4	Phase B	Reverse (–)	Repulsion provides extra push
5	Phase B	OFF	Phase reset
6	Phase C (if used)	Forward (+)	Attraction
7	Phase C	Reverse (–)	Push
8	Phase C	OFF	Reset
9	Phase D (if used)	Forward (+)	Pull
10	Phase D	Reverse (–)	Push
11	Phase D	OFF	Reset
12	All phases	Repeat cycle	Continuous motion

```
int A1 = 8;
int A2 = 9;
int B1 = 10;
int B2 = 11;

void setup() {
  pinMode(A1, OUTPUT);
  pinMode(A2, OUTPUT);
  pinMode(B1, OUTPUT);
  pinMode(B2, OUTPUT);
}

void loop() {
  // Phase A group (eight coils) – Forward

  (Attraction)
  digitalWrite(A1, HIGH);
  digitalWrite(A2, LOW);
  delay(100);

  // Phase A OFF digitalWrite (A2, LOW);
  digitalWrite(A1, LOW);

  // Phase B group (eight coils)–forward (pull)
  digitalWrite(B1, HIGH);
  digitalWrite(B2, LOW);
  delay(100);

  // Phase B group: Reverse (repulsion push)
  digitalWrite (B1, LOW);
  digitalWrite (B2, HIGH);
  delay (100);

  // Phase B OFF
  digitalWrite(B1, LOW);
  digitalWrite(B2, LOW);

  The same pattern can be repeated for Phases C and D.
}
```

This control logic confirms that

- 8 coils in one phase.
- Each phase is controlled as a single unit.
- Arduino + BTS7960 performs alternate forward–reverse sequencing.
- A traveling magnetic field is generated.
- Continuous linear propulsion is achieved.

POWER CONSUMPTION AND EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS

In electromagnetic propulsion systems, electrical energy is directly converted into linear motion without intermediate mechanical conversion. Thus, such systems are inherently more efficient.

The overall efficiency is determined by.

$\eta = F \cdot v / P_{in}$ Where:

η = System efficiency

F = Generated force

v = Velocity

P_{in} = Input power

The major losses in the proposed system include.

1. Copper losses (I^2R losses) in coils.
2. Core losses due to alternating magnetic fields.
3. Switching losses in the driver circuit.

Despite these losses, electromagnetic propulsion exhibits better performance than mechanical propulsion, particularly at high speeds. The absence of frictional losses from wheels and gears is a major factor in improving efficiency. Coil heating was observed during the tests at higher voltages. This is primarily due to resistive heating and can be minimized by using thicker copper wires, better insulation, and improved cooling mechanisms.

COMPARISON WITH CONVENTIONAL PROPULSION SYSTEMS

A comparison of electromagnetic propulsion with conventional mechanical propulsion systems is presented below to clarify the benefits of electromagnetic propulsion.

This comparison demonstrates that electromagnetic propulsion offers notable advantages in terms of high-speed capability, efficiency, and reduced noise. The elimination of mechanical components results in long-term dependability and minimal maintenance (Table 3). Electromagnetic propulsion has substantial advantages in terms of efficiency, noise reduction, and high-speed capability. The elimination of mechanical components results in long-term dependability and minimal maintenance.

Safety Considerations

Safety is crucial in any train system. In this electromagnetic propulsion train, some basic safety issues were considered. The magnetic field near the track is maintained within a safe range because it is mainly around the coils. To prevent the coils from overheating, a temperature limit was set such that the system automatically turned off if the heat became too high. The BTS7960 motor driver also protects the circuit from incorrect connections. In an emergency, all the coils can be switched off simultaneously using a main switch, and the train will gradually come to a stop. Proper insulation was used for all coils and wires to prevent electric shock or short circuits. Owing to these safety measures, the system can operate safely and in a controlled manner.

RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

The model was established at different voltage levels (6, 9, and 12 V) (Table 4).

Table 3. Comparison between conventional trains and electromagnetic propulsion trains.

Parameter	Conventional train	Electromagnetic propulsion train
Propulsion method	Engine, motor, gears	Magnetic attraction and repulsion
Mechanical friction	High (wheels and axles)	Very low
Noise	High	Extremely low
Maintenance	Frequent	Minimal
Acceleration	Limited	High (no mechanical inertia)
Speed potential	< 200 km/h	> 500 km/h (Maglev standards)
Energy losses	Mechanical + thermal	Mainly electrical (resistive)
Environmental impact	Pollution depends on the engine	Environment friendly

Table 4. Results and observations between voltage and speed.

Voltage	Speed	Observation
6 V	Low	Train moves slowly
9 V	Medium	Stable movement
12 V	High	Fast but slight heating

The best performance was achieved at 9–12 V. The system produced smooth, vibration-free motion. There was no direct physical contact between the coils and the train body for movement, which significantly reduced friction. Heating of the coils was observed after prolonged use. This can be reduced by:

- Using heavier wire
- Adding a heat sink
- Providing cooling gaps

ADVANTAGES OF ELECTROMAGNETIC PROPULSION

- Very low friction
- Silent operation
- Higher speed capability
- Less mechanical wear
- Environment friendly
- More efficient than combustion engines
- Suitable for high-speed trains

APPLICATIONS

- Maglev trains
- Hyperloop systems
- Metro and bullet trains
- Industrial conveyor systems
- Automated guided vehicles (AGV)
- Future electric transportation

LIMITATIONS

- High initial cost
- Requires an advanced control system
- Needs strong magnetic materials
- Heating problem at high current
- Not yet commonly used in all countries

FUTURE SCOPE

The electromagnetic propulsion system can be further improved. If superconducting coils are used, energy losses can be reduced because their electrical resistance is almost zero, which will also increase thrust. Artificial intelligence can be used to control the switching arrangement and speed automatically. IoT-based sensors can be used to easily observe the temperature of the coils, current flow, and the overall system conditions.

In addition, regenerative braking can be applied to improve energy during the braking process. Wireless power transfer coils can be embedded in the track such that the train does not require a separate on-board battery. If this system is designed on a larger scale for real railways, it can become a strong and effective solution for future transportation systems.

CONCLUSION

This study successfully demonstrated how electromagnetic propulsion can be used to move a train model without conventional engines. The system exhibited efficient, smooth, and controlled motion via

electromagnetic interactions. The model demonstrates that this technology can replace traditional mechanisms in the near future, particularly for high-speed and intelligent transportation systems. Electromagnetic propulsion is not merely a concept but a practical and powerful solution for next-generation train systems.

Acknowledgement

I express my sincere gratitude to my guide, teachers, and institution for their continuous support and guidance throughout this project.

REFERENCES

1. Gkoumas K, Christou M. A triple-helix approach for the assessment of hyperloop potential in Europe. *Sustainability*. 2020;12(19):7868. doi:10.3390/su12197868.
2. Boldea I. *Linear Electric Machines, Drives and Maglevs*. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 2013.
3. Kenjo T. *Linear Motors and Maglev Technology*. Oxford (UK): Oxford University Press; 2014.
4. Krishnan R. *Electric Motor Drives: Modeling, Analysis and Control*. New Delhi (India): PHI Learning; 2001.
5. Fitzgerald AE, Kingsley C, Umans SD. *Electric Machinery*. New York (NY): McGraw-Hill; 2003.
6. Meins R. *Electromagnetic Field Based Propulsion Systems*. Amsterdam (Netherlands): Elsevier; 2017.
7. Thornton RD. Efficient and affordable maglev opportunities in the United States. *Proc IEEE*. 2009;97(11):1901-1921. doi:10.1109/JPROC.2009.2030251.
8. Lim J, Lee CY, Oh YJ, Jo JM, Lee JH, Lee KS, Choi S. Equivalent inductance model for the design analysis of electrodynamic suspension coils for hyperloop. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11(1):23499. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-02907-7. PubMed: 34873219.
9. Kumar V, Verma A. Electromagnetic propulsion based rail transport system. *Int J Eng Res Technol*. 2020;9(4):210–214.
10. Truxal JG. *Electromagnetic Field Theory*. New York (NY): McGraw-Hill; 1962.
11. Sayed S, Gupta A. Arduino based linear motor control system. *Int J Electr Comput Eng*. 2019;9:1050–1056.
12. Mohan N. *Power Electronics: Converters, Applications and Design*. Hoboken (NJ): John Wiley & Sons; 2003.
13. Gieras JF. *Linear Induction Drives*. Oxford (UK): Oxford University Press; 2000.
14. Eastham AR. *Novel Synchronous Machines and Drives*. Piscataway (NJ): Wiley-IEEE Press; 2010.
15. Hamrita TK, Durrence JS, Vellidis G. Precision farming practices. *IEEE Ind Appl Mag*. 2009;15:34–42. doi:10.1109/MIAS.2009.931816.
16. Lu Q, Li Y, Ye Y, Zhu ZQ. Investigation of forces in linear induction motor under different slip frequency for low-speed maglev application. *IEEE Trans Energy Convers*. 2013;28(1):145-153. doi:10.1109/TEC.2012.2227114.
17. Qiu J, Song S, Liao M, Duan X, Zhou X, Wang R, Wang D. Armature structure research of an electromagnetic reconnection launcher. *IEEE Trans Plasma Sci*. 2022;50(8):2403-2411. doi:10.1109/TPS.2022.3185682.
18. Jin Z, Liu H. Electromagnetic propulsion systems for next-generation trains. *Int J Railw Technol*. 2019;8(1):25–39.
19. Lipo TA. *Introduction to AC Machine Design*. Madison (WI): Wisconsin Power Electronics Research Center; 2017.
20. Bose BK. *Modern Power Electronics and AC Drives*. Upper Saddle River (NJ): Prentice Hall; 2002.
21. Kaye RJ, Brawley EL, Duggin BW, Cnare EC, Rovang DC, Widner MM. Design and performance of a multi-stage cylindrical reconnection launcher. *IEEE Trans Magn*. 1991;27(1):596-600. doi:10.1109/20.101101.
22. Zhang Y. Electromagnetic propulsion control techniques using Arduino. *Int J Eng Res*. 2021;10(3):55–60.
23. Levi E. Multiphase electric machines for variable-speed applications. *IEEE Trans Ind Electron*. 2008;55(5):1893–1909. doi:10.1109/TIE.2008.918488.

24. Zhang, T., Guo, W., Su, Z. & Cao, B. Investigation of magnetic field arrangement on launching performance of multistage synchronous induction coilgun. *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*45(7), 1436–1442 (2017).
25. Widner, M. M. WARP-10: A numerical simulation model for the cylindrical reconnection launcher. *IEEE Trans. Magn.*27(1), 634–638 (1991).
26. Vas P. *Electrical Machines and Drives: A Space-Vector Theory Approach*. Oxford (UK): Oxford University Press; 1992.
27. Salon SJ. *Finite Element Analysis of Electrical Machines*. Boston (MA): Springer US; 1995. doi:10.1007/978-1-4615-2349-9.
28. Pyrhönen T, Jokinen T, Hrabovcova V. *Design of Rotating Electrical Machines*. 2nd ed. Hoboken (NJ): John Wiley & Sons; 2013.
29. Madde R, Morley T, Lanucara M, Abello R, Mercolino M, De Vicente J, et al. A common receiver architecture for ESA radio science and delta-DOR support. *Proc IEEE*. 2007;95:2215–2223. doi:10.1109/JPROC.2007.905184.
30. Chaturvedi DK, Sinha AP, Malik OP. Short term load forecast using fuzzy logic and wavelet transform integrated generalized neural network. *Int J Electr Power Energy Syst*. 2015;67:230–237. doi:10.1016/j.ijepes.2014.11.027.
31. Lau HK. Analysis of track-based linear motor systems using FEM. *IEEE Trans Magn*. 2018;54(3):1–8.
32. Microchip Technology Inc. *ATmega328P Datasheet*. Chandler (AZ): Microchip Technology; 2016.
33. Infineon Technologies AG. *BTS7960B High-Current 43 A Half-Bridge Datasheet*. Neubiberg (DE): Infineon Technologies; 2015.
34. Ward MA. Efficient control techniques for multi-coil linear motors. *Int J Electr Eng*. 2016;23(2):115–124.