Journal of
Microwave Engineering & Technologies
ISSN: 2349-9001
Volume 13, Issue 1, 2026
January–April
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/JoMET
https://journals.stmjournals.com/jomet
Review JoMET

Electromagnetic Field Effects on Biological Systems and Safety Evaluation of Microwave Exposure

Heena Shaikh[1], Kazi Kutubuddin Sayyad Liyakat[2,*]

Abstract

The increasing use of wireless communication systems, radar technologies, and microwave-based devices has raised growing concerns regarding the potential biological effects of electromagnetic fields (EMFs) on living systems. This review paper investigates the interaction between electromagnetic field exposure, particularly in the microwave frequency range, and biological tissues, with a focus on safety implications and risk assessment. Microwave radiation, commonly emitted from mobile phones, Wi-Fi routers, and industrial equipment, can induce both thermal and non-thermal effects in biological systems depending on exposure intensity, frequency, and duration. The thermal effects are primarily associated with tissue heating due to energy absorption, which may lead to physiological stress and cellular damage at higher exposure levels. Non-thermal effects, although less understood, include alterations in cellular signaling, membrane permeability, oxidative stress, and potential DNA damage. Various experimental and epidemiological studies have reported mixed findings, highlighting the complexity of establishing a direct causal relationship between microwave exposure and adverse health outcomes. International safety guidelines established by organizations such as International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) and Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) define permissible exposure limits to ensure public health protection. This paper critically evaluates these standards, considering recent scientific evidence, and discusses advancements in dosimetry and bioelectromagnetic modeling techniques used for exposure assessment. Furthermore, it emphasizes the need for long-term studies and improved measurement methodologies to better understand chronic exposure effects. Overall, this review underscores the importance of continuous monitoring, regulatory compliance, and public awareness to ensure safe utilization of microwave-emitting technologies in modern society.

Keywords: Electromagnetic fields (EMF), microwave radiation exposure, biological effects, specific absorption rate (SAR), radiation safety assessment

***Author for Correspondence**
Kazi Kutubuddin Sayyad Liyakat
E-mail: drkkazi@gmail.com

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering, Brahmdevdada Mane Institute of Technology, Solapur, Maharashtra, India
[2]Professor and Head, Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering, Brahmdevdada Mane Institute of Technology, Solapur, Maharashtra, India

Received Date: April 29, 2026
Accepted Date: April 30, 2026
Published Date: April 30, 2026

Citation: Heena Shaikh, Kazi Kutubuddin Sayyad Liyakat. Electromagnetic Field Effects on Biological Systems and Safety Evaluation of Microwave Exposure. Journal of Microwave Engineering & Technologies. 2026; 13(1): 26–33p

INTRODUCTION

Electromagnetic Fields in Modern Society

In the contemporary technological era, electromagnetic fields (EMFs) have become an integral component of human life. Electromagnetic energy is widely utilized across multiple domains, including communication systems, medical imaging devices, household appliances, and industrial machinery.

The rapid expansion of wireless communication spectrum technologies, particularly in the radiofrequency (RF) and microwave spectrum, has significantly increased human exposure to artificially generated electromagnetic radiation [1].

Microwaves, typically defined within the frequency range of 300 MHz to 300 GHz, are extensively used in mobile communication systems, satellite transmissions, radar operations, and wireless networking. With the global proliferation of smartphones, Wi-Fi-enabled devices, and 5G infrastructure, the ambient electromagnetic environment has become increasingly complicated. Consequently, concerns regarding long-term exposure to electromagnetic radiation and its potential biological effects have gained considerable attention from researchers, regulatory agencies, and public health organizations [2].

EMFs are broadly classified into two categories: ionizing and non-ionizing radiation. Ionizing radiation, such as X-rays and gamma rays, carries sufficient energy to remove tightly bound electrons from atoms, leading to direct DNA damage. In contrast, non-ionizing radiation, which includes microwaves and radiofrequency fields, lacks the energy required for ionization but may still interact with biological systems through thermal and non-thermal mechanisms. Understanding these interactions is essential for evaluating safety and establishing exposure guidelines [3].

Evolution of Microwave Technology and Exposure Sources

The development of microwave technology dates back to the early 20th century, with significant advancements during World War II, particularly in the field of radar systems. Since then, the applications of microwaves have expanded dramatically. Microwave energy is a cornerstone of modern communication infrastructure, enabling high-speed data transmission and global connectivity.

The most common sources of microwave exposure in daily life include mobile phones, base transceiver stations, Wi-Fi routers, Bluetooth devices, microwave ovens, and satellite communication systems. Each of these sources emits electromagnetic radiation at different power levels and frequencies. Among them, mobile phones are considered one of the most significant contributors to localized exposure because of their proximity to the human head and body during use [4].

In industrial settings, high-intensity microwave radiation is used for heating, drying, and material processing. Occupational exposure in such environments may exceed typical environmental levels, making safety assessments particularly important for workers in these sectors.

Interaction of EMFs with Biological Systems

Biological systems are inherently electrical. Cellular communication, nerve impulse transmission, and metabolic processes rely on bioelectrical signals. When external EMFs interact with biological tissues, they may influence these natural processes depending on the field strength, frequency, duration, and modulation patterns [5].

The interaction between electromagnetic radiation and biological matter is complex and involves multiple mechanisms. At microwave frequencies, the most widely accepted interaction mechanism is dielectric heating, in which polar molecules, such as water, absorb electromagnetic energy and convert it into heat. This leads to an increase in tissue temperature, which, if excessive, may cause thermal stress and physiological changes in the tissue.

However, numerous studies have suggested the possibility of non-thermal effects. These effects occur at exposure levels that do not produce measurable increases in temperature but may still influence biological functions. Proposed non-thermal mechanisms include changes in cell membrane permeability, alterations in ion transport channels, oxidative stress generation, and modulation of gene expression. Although these mechanisms remain under scientific debate, they form an important area of ongoing research in bioelectromagnetics [5].

Importance of Safety Evaluation in Microwave Exposure

Given the widespread use of microwave-emitting devices, ensuring human safety is a critical concern. Regulatory bodies worldwide have established exposure limits to minimize potential health risks.

Organizations such as the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) and the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) have developed guidelines based on extensive scientific research [6].

These safety standards primarily focus on the specific absorption rate (SAR), which measures the rate at which the body absorbs electromagnetic energy. SAR limits are designed to prevent excessive tissue heating and maintain exposure within biologically safe thresholds. Despite these regulations, ongoing debates persist regarding the adequacy of the current limits, especially in relation to long-term, low-level exposure.

The rapid evolution of wireless technologies, including the deployment of 5G networks operating at higher frequencies, has intensified the need for updated safety assessments. Higher frequency waves exhibit different propagation characteristics and may interact differently with biological tissues than lower-frequency waves [7].

Need for Comprehensive Research and Review

Although extensive research has been conducted on the biological effects of EMFs, the results remain inconclusive in several areas. Some studies have reported minimal or no adverse effects at regulated exposure levels, whereas others have suggested potential risks associated with chronic exposure. This inconsistency highlights the complexity of biological interactions with electromagnetic energy and the need for further investigation.

Furthermore, advancements in computational modeling, bioelectromagnetic simulation, and experimental techniques have opened new avenues for understanding exposure mechanisms at the cellular and molecular levels. These developments provide opportunities to refine safety standards and improve risk assessment methodologies [8].

Therefore, a comprehensive review of the effects of EMFs on biological systems is essential to integrate existing knowledge, identify research gaps, and support evidence-based safety guidelines. Such analyses also play a crucial role in informing policymakers, engineers, healthcare professionals, and the public about safe usage practices.

LITERATURE SURVEY

Overview of Research on EMFs

Research on EMFs, particularly in the radiofrequency (RF) and microwave ranges, has expanded significantly over the last few decades owing to increasing exposure from wireless communication systems. Early investigations primarily focused on thermal effects, wherein the absorbed microwave energy leads to tissue heating. According to the ICNIRP guidelines, established biological effects in the RF range are mainly associated with temperature elevation in biological tissues due to energy absorption quantified through the SAR. These studies formed the basis for the initial safety regulations in occupational and public exposure scenarios. However, as wireless technologies have evolved from early mobile communication systems to modern 4G and emerging 5G networks, research interest has shifted toward understanding the effects of long-term and low-intensity exposure. Modern exposure conditions are more complex owing to continuous, low-power, and multi-frequency signals in everyday environments.

Thermal Effects on Biological Systems

Most early experimental studies have established that microwave radiation interacts with biological tissues primarily through dielectric heating. Water molecules within cells absorb electromagnetic energy, resulting in an increase in temperature. If the exposure exceeds the regulatory limits, this heating can cause protein denaturation, membrane disruption, and tissue damage. International guidelines, such as those developed by the ICNIRP and IEEE, are largely based on preventing harmful thermal effects by limiting SAR levels. These standards assume that avoiding significant temperature increases (approximately 1°C) is sufficient to prevent adverse biological outcomes. Consequently, most regulatory frameworks prioritize the thermal safety thresholds.

Non-Thermal Biological Effects

In addition to thermal mechanisms, a growing body of research has explored the non-thermal effects of microwave exposure. These effects occur at exposure levels that do not cause measurable heating but may still influence biological functions. Experimental studies have reported potential changes in the following:

- Cellular membrane permeability
- Ion channel activity
- Calcium efflux in nerve cells
- Oxidative stress and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production
- DNA strand breaks and genetic instability

Although these findings are widely discussed, there is still no scientific consensus regarding their mechanisms or long-term health significance. Some systematic reviews have highlighted inconsistent results across studies, with variations in experimental design, exposure conditions, and biological models.

Epidemiological Studies on Human Exposure

Epidemiological investigations have examined the potential associations between long-term mobile phone use and health outcomes, such as brain tumors, sleep disturbances, and cognitive effects. While some studies suggest weak correlations under high and prolonged exposure conditions, others have reported no statistically significant associations. International expert evaluations have concluded that the current evidence remains inconclusive due to limitations in exposure assessment, confounding factors, and variability in study populations. Consequently, precautionary approaches are often recommended in public health policies, even in the absence of definitive causal proof.

Advances in Dosimetry and Simulation Techniques

Recent research has significantly improved the accuracy of exposure assessment using computational modeling techniques, such as the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method. These models simulate electromagnetic wave propagation in human tissues and help estimate the SAR distribution in different anatomical regions. Modern dosimetry studies consider factors such as device proximity, frequency variation, tissue heterogeneity, and signal modulation. These advancements have improved our understanding of localized exposure patterns, especially in sensitive areas such as the head and eyes during mobile phone use.

Safety Standards and Regulatory Frameworks

International safety guidelines for microwave exposure have been established primarily by organizations such as the ICNIRP and IEEE. These frameworks define exposure limits based on the SAR and power density to ensure protection against known thermal effects. However, some researchers argue that the current standards may not fully account for potential non-thermal biological interactions or chronic low-level exposure scenarios. For example, concerns have been raised that SAR-based limits primarily address heating effects and may not capture subtle biological responses occurring at subthermal levels. This has led to ongoing discussions regarding whether the current exposure limits require revision, considering emerging scientific evidence and technological advancements.

Research Gaps Identified in Literature

A comprehensive review of existing literature reveals several gaps:

- Lack of long-term studies on continuous low-level exposure
- Inconsistencies in non-thermal effect findings

- Limited understanding of molecular-level interaction mechanisms
- Insufficient data on cumulative exposure from multiple devices
- Need for standardized experimental protocols

These gaps highlight the necessity for further interdisciplinary research that combines physics, biology, engineering, and medicine.

DISCUSSION

Interpretation of Electromagnetic Field Interaction with Biological Systems

The interaction between EMFs and biological systems is a multifaceted phenomenon governed by physical, chemical, and biological principles. In the microwave frequency range, electromagnetic energy interacts primarily with polar molecules, such as water, which is abundant in biological tissues. This interaction leads to dielectric heating, which remains the most established and widely accepted mechanism of energy absorption in living organisms [9].

However, the discussion of EMF–biological interactions cannot be limited solely to thermal effects. Biological systems are inherently electrochemical, and even weak external electromagnetic stimulation can influence cellular processes. Cell membranes, ion channels, and intracellular signaling pathways operate through electrical gradients, which may be sensitive to external perturbations depending on the field strength, frequency, and exposure duration.

The complexity of the biological response arises from the fact that tissues are not homogeneous conductors but layered dielectric structures with varying electrical properties. Consequently, energy absorption is non-uniform and depends heavily on tissue composition, geometry, and resonance effects [10].

Thermal Mechanism and Biological Implications

The thermal mechanism remains the most scientifically established effect of microwave irradiation. When electromagnetic waves penetrate biological tissues, part of their energy is converted into heat owing to molecular vibration and rotation, particularly in water-rich tissues.

This temperature elevation, if sufficiently high, can lead to:

- Protein denaturation
- Enzyme inactivation
- Disruption of lipid membranes
- Altered metabolic activity
- Cellular stress responses

At the systemic level, excessive heating affects thermoregulation, blood flow, and organ function. The human body has natural cooling mechanisms, such as sweating and increased blood circulation; however, under high exposure conditions, these mechanisms may become insufficient.

Notably, regulatory standards, such as those from the ICNIRP and IEEE, are primarily designed to prevent these thermal effects. The safety limits are based on preventing a significant temperature increase in tissues, typically around 1°C under controlled exposure conditions.

Non-Thermal Effects: Scientific Debate and Observations

One of the most debated areas in EMF research is the existence of non-thermal biological effects of EMF exposure. These effects occur at exposure levels that do not produce measurable heating but may still influence biological activity.

Several experimental studies have suggested potential non-thermal interactions, such as:

- Alteration of calcium ion exchange in nerve cells

- Increased production of ROS
- Changes in gene expression patterns
- Modulation of membrane permeability
- Possible DNA strand instability under prolonged exposure

Despite these observations, no universally accepted mechanism explains how low-intensity EMFs can consistently produce such effects. One of the major challenges is the reproducibility of many experiments that report conflicting results depending on the exposure parameters, biological models, and measurement techniques.

From a scientific standpoint, this inconsistency suggests that if non-thermal effects exist, they are likely highly dependent on specific conditions rather than being universal outcomes of exposure to EMF.

Biological System Sensitivity and Frequency Dependence

The biological response to EMFs is not uniform across all frequencies. Microwave frequencies interact differently with tissues than lower-frequency radio waves or higher-energy radiation.

At certain frequencies, resonance effects may occur, enhancing the energy absorption in specific tissues. For example, head tissues may exhibit higher localized absorption during mobile phone use because of proximity and frequency matching tissue dielectric properties.

Furthermore, different organs exhibit different sensitivities based on:

- Water content
- Blood perfusion rate
- Cellular density
- Electrical conductivity

This explains why some tissues, such as the eyes and brain regions, are considered more vulnerable to localized heating effects.

Long-Term Exposure and Cumulative Effects

One of the most important aspects of current EMF research is long-term exposure. While short-term exposure studies often show limited or no significant biological effects within safety limits, long-term exposure scenarios remain poorly understood.

Under real-world conditions, individuals are simultaneously exposed to multiple sources of microwave radiation, including:

- Mobile phones
- Wi-Fi routers
- Base stations
- Wearable devices

This cumulative exposure raises questions about potential biological accumulation effects, even if each source remains within the regulatory limits.

Long-term exposure concerns include:

- Chronic oxidative stress
- Sleep pattern disruption
- Subtle neurological changes
- Possible metabolic alterations

However, current evidence remains inconclusive, mainly due to the limitations of longitudinal human studies.

Safety Standards and Their Scientific Basis

The safety standards for microwave exposure are primarily based on the prevention of acute thermal damage. Regulatory bodies such as ICNIRP and IEEE define exposure limits using SAR, which measures the rate at which electromagnetic energy is absorbed by the body.

These standards assume that:

- Thermal effects are the primary risk factor
- Below certain thresholds, biological systems can safely regulate heat
- Non-thermal effects, if present, are negligible at regulated levels

Although these assumptions are supported by many experimental studies, some researchers argue that they may not fully capture complex biological responses under long-term or low-intensity exposure conditions. This ongoing debate highlights the importance of continuously updating safety guidelines based on emerging scientific evidence.

Technological Advancements and Changing Exposure Patterns

The rapid development of wireless communication technologies, particularly 5G networks, has significantly changed exposure patterns. Higher frequency signals used in modern communication systems have different penetration depths and interaction behaviors than earlier technologies.

Key changes include:

- Increased number of small-cell base stations
- Higher data transmission rates require denser network infrastructure
- More continuous and widespread exposure environments

These changes necessitate updated research models to accurately assess exposure risks in modern environments.

CONCLUSION

EMF exposure, particularly in the microwave frequency range, has been extensively studied because of its increasing presence in modern communication and medical technologies. The findings indicate that the biological effects depend on factors such as frequency, intensity, duration of exposure, and characteristics of the exposed tissue. At higher power levels, thermal effects are well established, leading to tissue heating and potential physiological changes in the target tissue. At lower levels, non-thermal effects remain a topic of ongoing investigation, with some studies suggesting possible cellular or biochemical responses, although conclusive evidence remains limited. Safety evaluations have led to the development of international exposure guidelines aimed at protecting human health. These standards are based on scientific evidence and incorporate safety margins to account for the uncertainties. Continuous monitoring and reassessment of these guidelines are necessary as new technologies and higher frequency applications become more widespread. Overall, while the current research supports the safety of microwave exposure within established limits, further long-term and interdisciplinary studies are required to fully understand the potential biological interactions. Ensuring safe exposure levels, improving measurement techniques, and enhancing public awareness are essential for minimizing risks and supporting the responsible use of electromagnetic technologies in society.

REFERENCES

1. Hardell L, Sage C. Biological effects from electromagnetic field exposure and public exposure standards. Biomed Pharmacother. 2008;62(2):104-109. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2007.12.004. PMID:18242044.

2. Belyaev I. Dependence of non-thermal biological effects of microwaves on physical and biological variables: Implications for reproducibility and safety standards. In: Giuliani L, Soffritti M, editors. Non-Thermal Effects and Mechanisms of Interaction Between Electromagnetic Fields and Living Matter. Bologna (IT): Ramazzini Institute; 2010 Jan. p. 187-218.
3. Cleary SF. Considerations in the evaluation of the biological effects of exposure to microwave radiation. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J. 1970;31(1):52-59. doi:10.1080/0002889708506206. PMID:5437802.
4. Lin JC. Health and safety practices and policies concerning human exposure to RF/microwave radiation. Front Public Health. 2025;13:1619781. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2025.1619781. PMID:40761932.
5. Altunkaynak BZ, Altun G, Yahyazadeh A, Kaplan AA, Deniz OG, Türkmen AP, Önger ME, Kaplan S. Different methods for evaluating the effects of microwave radiation exposure on the nervous system. J Chem Neuroanat. 2016;75:62-69. doi:10.1016/j.jchemneu.2015.11.004. PMID:26686295.
6. Sadeqi MR, Azizi NM. Health effects of electromagnetic radiation: A focus on radiofrequency (RF) and microwave radiation. J Nat Sci Rev. 2025;3(4):276-292. doi:10.62810/jnsr.v3i4.274.
7. Breckenkamp J, Berg G, Blettner M. Biological effects on human health due to radiofrequency/microwave exposure: A synopsis of cohort studies. Radiat Environ Biophys. 2003;42(3):141-154. doi:10.1007/s00411-003-0203-x. PMID:14508584.
8. Adair ER, Petersen RC. Biological effects of radiofrequency/microwave radiation. IEEE Trans Microw Theory Tech. 2002;50(3):953-962. doi:10.1109/22.989978.
9. Zhao X, Dong G, Wang C. The non-thermal biological effects and mechanisms of microwave exposure. Int J Radiat Res. 2021;19(3):483-494. doi:10.52547/ijrr.19.3.483.
10. Korolev IV, Fedorova EV, Borovkova AM. Evaluation of the impact of microwave electromagnetic fields on humans. In: Proceedings of the 7th International Youth Conference on Radio Electronics, Electrical and Power Engineering (REEPE); 2025; Moscow, Russia. 2025. p. 1-7. doi:10.1109/REEPE63962.2025.10971140.

