STM JOURNALS

Journal of
Mobile Computing, Communications & Mobile Networks

ISSN: 2349-901X
Volume 13, Issue 1, 2026
January–April
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/JoMCCMN

https://journals.stmjournals.com/jomccmn

Review JoMCCMN

Ethical Challenges in Natural Language Processing: A Comparative Study of Solutions Across Multiple Domains

Khushi Singh[1,*], Sheetal Singh[2], Aritro Chakraborty[3], Himanshu Singh[4], Sujeet Kumar[5]

Abstract

This comparative analysis investigates the ethical challenges associated with natural language processing (NLP) by reviewing and synthesizing insights from ten influential and widely cited publications in the field. As NLP technologies are increasingly integrated into domains such as healthcare, finance, education, and governance, ethical concerns related to algorithmic bias, data privacy, fairness, accountability, and system transparency have become more prominent. This paper systematically examines how different researchers conceptualize and address these ethical issues, highlighting both converging and diverging perspectives. Particular attention is given to contrasting approaches to data privacy, including consent, anonymization, and responsible data usage, as well as shared strategies aimed at improving transparency and reducing bias in NLP models. Additionally, the study explores the broader societal consequences of ethical decision making in NLP, such as impacts on marginalized communities and public trust in automated systems. By integrating diverse scholarly viewpoints, this analysis provides a clearer understanding of the current ethical landscape in NLP research and development. The findings aim to inform future research directions and support the design of more responsible, inclusive, and ethically grounded NLP applications.

Keywords: Ethical considerations, natural language processing (NLP), NLP ethics, bias, privacy, fairness, transparency, ChatGPT

INTRODUCTION

AI is growing rapidly, and the production of goods and services that use AI is greatly aided by deep learning algorithms and natural language processing (NLP). However, as NLP applications proliferate across sectors such as healthcare and finance, ethical problems are becoming increasingly significant. With an emphasis on ethical concerns in NLP, this comparative study examines ten seminal articles in the discipline. The intersection of ethics and NLP is essential because it addresses concerns regarding prejudice, fairness, privacy, openness, and responsibility. By highlighting similarities, differences, and new tendencies, this analysis hopes to advance a thoughtful and progressive discussion regarding the appropriate development and application of NLP in a globalized society.

***Author for Correspondence**
Khushi Singh
E-mail: khushi.singh1661@gmail.com

[1–3, 5]Student, Department of Computer Application, Echelon Institute of Technology Faridabad, India.
[4]Student, Department of Computer Application, GL BAJAJ Institute of Management Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Received Date: June 20, 2025
Accepted Date: October 08, 2025
Published Date: April 15, 2026

Citation: Khushi Singh, Sheetal Singh, Aritro Chakraborty, Himanshu Singh, Sujeet Kumar. Ethical Challenges in Natural Language Processing: A Comparative Study of Solutions Across Multiple Domains. Journal of Mobile Computing, Communications & Mobile Networks. 2026; 13(1): 1–7p.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature review offers a comprehensive overview of the moral dilemmas raised by the combination of ChatGPT and NLP technologies across various fields. Research on higher education, particularly in Latin America, highlights the

significance of ethical and cultural values and the need for clear institutional policies regarding privacy and data security. Research on bias in NLP identifies a range of sources and offers conceptual frameworks, such as intersectional bias evaluations and predictive bias models, to address systemic issues in language models [2, 3]. Ethical conundrums in authorship and peer review procedures are examined, with notable regional and disciplinary differences in the methods used to address these issues.

The literature review provides a thorough summary of the ethical conundrums associated with the integration of ChatGPT and NLP technologies across a range of domains. Research on higher education, especially in Latin America, emphasizes the importance of cultural and ethical values, in addition to the necessity of explicit institutional policies pertaining to data security and privacy [6]. To address systemic problems in language models, research on bias in NLP has identified a variety of sources and provided conceptual frameworks, such as intersectional bias evaluations and predictive bias models [1, 9]. Ethical dilemmas in the peer review and authorship processes are examined, with significant regional and disciplinary variations in the approaches taken to resolve these problems.

The reviewed studies adopted a mix of qualitative, conceptual, and benchmarking approaches to explore ethical concerns in NLP and AI [4]. Several studies have used content analysis and in-depth interviews to investigate how ethical issues are addressed in NLP research and practice [1, 2]. Others have focused on synthesizing existing knowledge to classify sources of bias and propose predictive frameworks for understanding and mitigating bias in NLP systems [3, 5].

Experimental designs are evident in studies that benchmark intersectional biases in NLP models through quantitative performance comparisons. In contrast, other studies have relied on comprehensive literature reviews to describe ethical challenges in educational and psychological contexts [6, 8]. One study employs qualitative data collection methods, such as surveys or interviews, to examine the ethical implications of large language models such as ChatGPT in higher education, with particular attention to regional perspectives in Latin America [7].

Wang et al. (2023) provided a comprehensive ethical analysis of ChatGPT's role in the healthcare sector, considering its informational, algorithmic, legal, and humanistic aspects [10]. The study recommends a regulatory framework that prioritizes fairness, transparency, non-maleficence, and medical integrity. To ensure the moral use of AI in medical settings, the need for ongoing guidelines and standards from global AI ethics organizations is addressed.

Responsibility, transparency, privacy, and bias mitigation were the primary ethical themes found in all studies. The techniques, which range from conceptual synthesis and literature reviews to empirical benchmarking and qualitative analysis, reflect the diverse nature of ethical research in NLP and AI applications [4].

COMPARISON FRAMEWORK

A wide range of approaches, viewpoints, and insights are revealed by the comparative study of ethical issues in NLP presented in the ten foundational papers. A thorough framework that considers important aspects that encapsulate the core of ethical considerations in NLP can be developed to enable an organized comparison across these studies. The following components are included in the proposed comparison framework.

Research Design

A comparative analysis of ten foundational papers on ethical issues in NLP uncovers a diverse and interconnected set of challenges, methodologies, and proposed solutions that span multiple domains, such as healthcare, education, psychology, and policymaking [10]. Numerous methodologies have been employed in the studies examined here, including conceptual modeling (e.g., frameworks to understand

predictive bias), qualitative research (e.g., developing and assessing AI ethics curricula), and empirical methods (e.g., assessing the presence of ethics statements in NLP publications and benchmarking language model biases) [4].

The following are some of the primary moral concerns brought up by literature:

- *Bias and fairness:* Studies have shown how NLP systems can exacerbate pre-existing societal prejudices, particularly intersectional harm that impacts marginalized groups (e.g., linguistic minorities, gender, or race).
- *Privacy and transparency:* Applications in sensitive fields, such as healthcare, raise concerns about the ethical use of patient data, the opacity of language model outputs, and the challenges of gaining informed consent.
- *Accountability and dual-use risks:* Some studies have examined the ethical implications of applying NLP technologies in social or psychological contexts, where misuse or unanticipated consequences could cause harm.
- *Inclusivity and language marginalization:* Several studies highlight how mainstream NLP research often ignores low-resource languages and underrepresented populations, leading to unequal technical gains.

In addition to identifying these ethical risks, the papers also propose a range of solutions.

- *Technical interventions:* The development of privacy-preserving models, debiasing algorithms, and benchmarks that reflect the variety of the real world are examples of technical interventions.
- *Procedural and structural reforms:* The section on procedural and structural reforms offers suggestions for developing interdisciplinary policy frameworks, incorporating participatory design methods, and fortifying ethical review processes in NLP research.

A recurring theme in the papers is the need to adopt multidisciplinary, context-aware strategies that go beyond purely technological fixes. Ethical NLP demands not only algorithmic fairness, but also meaningful stakeholder participation, transparent decision making, and governance frameworks that prioritize social values and equality. This synthesis highlights the importance of fusing technological accuracy with human-centered approaches to ensure that NLP technologies contribute to society in a positive and responsible manner.

Focus Areas

A comparative study of ten seminal publications revealed a wide range of ethical issues and areas of interest in NLP in several fields, such as politics, psychology, education, and healthcare. Bias, justice, privacy, accountability, openness, and ethical governance are the main ethical issues covered.

As summarized in Table 1, the selected papers span domains including healthcare, education, and NLP systems research, with diverse methodologies and ethical focus areas.

Bias and Fairness

Throughout the reviewed studies, significant attention was given to the presence of multiple forms of bias that can disproportionately affect marginalized populations. These biases include, but are not limited to, intersectional, semantic, label, and model-driven biases, all of which may influence how NLP systems interpret and generate language. Several studies have proposed structured frameworks and practical guidelines to help identify, understand, and mitigate such biases in NLP models [3, 4]. In addition, a conceptual framework was introduced to address predictive bias in NLP systems by clearly differentiating between disparities observed in outcomes and those arising from errors during the prediction process [5].

Table 1. Comparative overview of ethical approaches in key NLP papers.

Paper (author, year)	Methodology	Key findings	Solutions proposed
Hovy and Prabhumoye (2021) [3]	Conceptual framework	Bias sources throughout the NLP pipeline	Bias categorization and awareness
Shah et al. (2020) [5]	Conceptual + interviews	Error versus outcome disparities	Semantic debiasing techniques
Lalor et al. (2022) [4]	Benchmarking	Limitations in debiasing methods	Need for intersectional fairness metrics
Benotti and Blackburn (2022) [1]	Content analysis	Ethics sections often lack depth	Improve ethics review guidelines
Benotti et al. (2023) [9]	Interactive workshop	Lack of reviewer training	Socratic dialogue for ethics
Alfano et al. (2022) [8]	Literature review	Risks in psychometric NLP	Emphasize oversight
Akgun and Greenhow (2022) [6]	Curricular modules	Ethical gaps in AI literacy	Curriculum redesign
Huallpa (2023) [7]	Mixed methods	Cultural and regional impact	Institutional policy design
Ma (2023) [2]	Thematic analysis	Locality-sensitive hashing (LSH) and uncertainty in NLP	Trustworthy uncertainty-aware neural architecture (TUNA) framework
Wang et al. (2023) [10]	Regulatory proposal	AI in clinics needs standards	Legal + humanistic framework

**LSH: locality-sensitive hashing; TUNA: trust and uncertainty-aware framework.*

Alfano et al. analyzed ethical concerns regarding the psychological use of NLP, especially in areas such as automated personality assessments and emotion detection. They highlighted the risks of dual use, where tools intended for mental health evaluation could be misapplied for surveillance or manipulation [8].

Privacy and Data Security

Several studies have investigated the potential privacy risks associated with NLP applications, particularly in sensitive domains such as healthcare and education. Research emphasizes the use of privacy-preserving techniques, including differential privacy and encryption, to protect confidential information in these environments [2]. These approaches aim to minimize data exposure while maintaining the system's performance. Additionally, concerns have been raised regarding the security of student and patient data, especially the risk of re-identification when large language models, such as ChatGPT, are deployed in clinical settings and academic institutions. These risks highlight the need for stronger data governance policies and careful oversight when integrating NLP technologies into settings that handle personal and sensitive information.

Accountability and Transparency

The need for explainable models and clearly defined ethical limitations for AI applications is a frequent topic. Questions have been raised about the effectiveness of the ethics sections currently included in NLP articles, along with calls for stronger ethical engagement during the peer review and publication processes [9, 1]. It has also been argued that institutional regulations are necessary to promote transparency and establish consistent norms for ethical conduct in educational environments [7].

Ethical Use in Education and Policy

This research highlights the significance of curriculum development, teacher training, and student perspectives in preparing ethically aware and AI-literate users [6, 7]. It also emphasizes the importance of teaching learners to think critically about issues such as privacy, bias, and the broader implications of artificial intelligence. Additionally, the impact of NLP on policymaking was examined, with strong advocacy for the adoption of ethical frameworks to guide its responsible and accountable use [2].

Healthcare and Psychological Risks

In the clinical and psychological domains, the risks of misdiagnosis, profiling, and surveillance are particularly serious.

Wang et al. argued that NLP tools used in healthcare should be subject to stringent licensing, validation, and regulatory protections [10].

Alfano et al. revealed how NLP can be misused for manipulation and surveillance, especially in psychological testing [8].

Governance and Institutional Ethics

The use of context-sensitive, multidisciplinary governance frameworks to guide the ethical development and use of NLP technologies is supported by many articles.

The recommendations include interdisciplinary collaboration, participatory design, stakeholder inclusion, and systematic and ethical review processes.

These governance tactics aim to balance innovation, social responsibility, and risk mitigation.

All studies agree that a combination of technical accuracy and human-centered values is necessary for ethical NLP. To ensure that NLP technologies benefit society in inclusive, accountable, and transparent ways, the community must incorporate ethics into design, deployment, and review processes. Simply creating more equitable models is not sufficient.

METHODOLOGY

The reviewed articles used a range of methodologies to examine ethical issues in NLP. Several studies conducted manual content classification of ethical sections and cross-regional comparisons, highlighting key challenges and offering practical recommendations [1]. Other work addressed fundamental ethical concerns by introducing privacy-preserving techniques such as LSH and proposing the TUNA framework for measuring uncertainty [2]. A comprehensive approach to bias categorization was developed in some studies, with particular emphasis on demographic and annotation biases [3], while others focused on intersectional bias through performance evaluation and embedding-based analysis [4]. Additional research applied conceptual models, benchmarking methods, and expert interviews to identify and mitigate prediction bias using formal definitions and semantic de-biasing techniques [5].

Educational contexts have been addressed by highlighting biases and ethical issues in classroom settings through interactive AI curricular modules [6]. A mixed-methods study of Latin American students revealed that both cultural and policy factors influence the use of ChatGPT in higher education [7]. The ethical implications of NLP in psychology are examined, focusing on data-handling practices and potential dual-use concerns. Ethical research practices were taught through Socratic questioning and structured group discussions as part of an ethics-focused course [8, 9]. Additionally, transparency and patient safety were emphasized after evaluating the application of ChatGPT in healthcare from multiple ethical perspectives, including legal, algorithmic, humanistic, and informational viewpoints [10].

Collectively, these methods demonstrate a comprehensive, multidimensional approach to the ethical issues surrounding NLP, emphasizing privacy, equity, bias reduction, and the appropriate application of AI in various fields.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this comparative analysis of ten influential papers offers a comprehensive overview of the ethical challenges facing the field of NLP. Concerns about bias, privacy, fairness, and transparency have become more urgent as NLP technologies continue to penetrate important fields such

as healthcare, education, and policymaking. The combined knowledge from these studies points to a complicated and dynamic ethical environment that necessitates thoughtful, situation-specific responses, in addition to technical fixes.

Each reviewed paper adds a distinct viewpoint to the broader ethical discussion. For example, some studies propose conceptual frameworks that enable systematic bias identification and mitigation, whereas other foundational studies emphasize the necessity of integrating ethical considerations throughout the entire NLP pipeline. Research practice needs to be continuously improved, as evidenced by studies that highlight persistent flaws in the debiasing techniques and ethical review procedures currently used.

Crucially, several papers stress that multidisciplinary approaches are essential for the development of ethical NLP. Developing more equitable models is insufficient; strong institutional governance, transparent decision making, and meaningful stakeholder engagement are equally important. Research in healthcare and education further demonstrates how unethical behavior can have practical repercussions, such as increased inequality and data misuse.

As NLP tools become more autonomous, widely adopted, and integrated into daily life, the ethical issues raised here will become more serious. This analysis reaffirms the necessity of proactive and cooperative frameworks that give equal weight to human values and technological advancement. It is important to view ethical NLP as an ongoing, developing responsibility that influences the future course, credibility, and societal effects of AI rather than as a one-time compliance exercise.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

1. *Ethical guidelines and standards:* Develop and promote clear and standardized ethical guidelines for the development and deployment of NLP technologies. Collaborate with industry stakeholders, researchers, and regulatory bodies to establish universally accepted ethical standards that prioritize fairness, transparency, and accountability.
2. *Bias mitigation strategies:* Invest in research to enhance bias mitigation strategies throughout the entire lifecycle of NLP systems. Future studies should focus on developing advanced techniques to identify and mitigate biases, emphasizing addressing intersectional biases and ensuring fairness in diverse demographic contexts.
3. *Education and awareness:* Educational programs and initiatives should be implemented to raise awareness of ethical considerations among NLP researchers, practitioners, and reviewers. Encouraging the integration of ethics education into academic curricula to foster a culture of ethical awareness and responsibility in the development and application of NLP technologies.
4. *Inclusive research practices:* Promote inclusive research practices that consider regional and cultural variations in ethical considerations. Future research should investigate the impact of ethical guidelines on NLP research across different regions and tracks, recognizing the diverse perspectives that influence ethical decision making.
5. *Oversight and governance:* Advocating for the establishment of oversight mechanisms and governance structures to monitor the ethical implications of NLP technologies. Collaborate with industry, academia, and regulatory bodies to ensure ongoing ethical review and oversight, particularly in applications with significant societal impacts, such as healthcare and education.
6. *Privacy preservation:* Innovative approaches to preserve user privacy in NLP applications, especially in sensitive domains such as healthcare and education, should be explored. Future research should focus on developing privacy-preserving models and techniques that balance the need for data-driven insights with individual privacy issues.
7. *User involvement:* Encourage the active involvement of end users, including students, patients, and other stakeholders, in ethical decision-making processes related to NLP applications. Frameworks should be developed for incorporating user perspectives in the design, deployment, and evaluation of NLP technologies to ensure that ethical considerations align with societal values.

8. *Long-term societal impact assessment:* Conduct longitudinal studies to assess the long-term societal impact of NLP technologies. Investigate how ethical considerations evolve over time and identify potential, unforeseen consequences. This can inform the iterative refinement of ethical guidelines and standards to adapt to the changing technological landscape.
9. *Continuous dialogue:* Platforms for continuous dialogue and knowledge sharing should be established within the NLP community. Regular conferences, workshops, and forums dedicated to ethical considerations can facilitate the exchange of insights, best practices, and lessons learned, contributing to an ongoing dynamic conversation on responsible NLP development.

The NLP community can address present issues and foresee future advancements in the constantly changing field of natural language processing technologies by prioritizing these recommendations. This will help advance the field responsibly and ethically.

REFERENCES

1. Benotti L, Blackburn P. Ethics consideration sections in natural language processing papers. In: Goldberg Y, Kozareva Z, Zhang Y, editors. Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing; 2022 Dec; Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Stroudsburg (PA): Association for Computational Linguistics; 2022. p. 4509–16. doi:10.18653/v1/2022.emnlp-main.299.
2. Ma Y. A study of ethical issues in natural language processing with artificial intelligence. J Comput Sci Technol Stud. 2023;5(1):52–6. doi:10.32996/jcsts.2023.5.1.7.
3. Hovy D, Prabhumoye S. Five sources of bias in natural language processing. Lang Linguist Compass. 2021;15(8):e12432. doi:10.1111/lnc3.12432. PubMed PMID: 35864931.
4. Lalor J, Yang Y, Smith K, Forsgren N, Abbasi A. Benchmarking intersectional biases in NLP. In: Carpuat M, de Marneffe MC, Meza Ruiz IV, editors. Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies; 2022 Jul; Seattle, United States. Stroudsburg (PA): Association for Computational Linguistics; 2022. p. 3598–609. doi:10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.263.
5. Shah DS, Schwartz HA, Hovy D. Predictive biases in natural language processing models: A conceptual framework and overview. In: Jurafsky D, Chai J, Schluter N, Tetreault J, editors. Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics; 2020 Jul; Online. Stroudsburg (PA): Association for Computational Linguistics; 2020. p. 5248–64. doi:10.18653/v1/2020.acl-main.468.
6. Akgun S, Greenhow C. Artificial intelligence in education: Addressing ethical challenges in K-12 settings. AI Ethics. 2022;2(3):431–40. doi:10.1007/s43681-021-00096-7. PubMed PMID: 34790956.
7. Huallpa JJ. Exploring the ethical considerations of using ChatGPT in university education. Period Eng Nat Sci. 2023;11(4):105–15. doi:10.21533/pen.v11.i4.200.
8. Alfano M, Sullivan E, Ebrahimi Fard A. Ethical pitfalls for natural languages processing in psychology. In: Dehghani M, Boyd RL, editors. Handbook of Language Analysis in Psychology. New York: Guilford Press; 2022.
9. Benotti L, Fort K, Kan MY, Tsvetkov Y. Understanding ethics in NLP authoring and reviewing. In: Zanzotto FM, Pradhan S, editors. Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Tutorial Abstracts; 2023 May; Dubrovnik, Croatia. Stroudsburg (PA): Association for Computational Linguistics; 2023. p. 19–24. doi:10.18653/v1/2023.eacl-tutorials.4.
10. Wang C, Liu S, Yang H, Guo J, Wu Y, Liu J. Ethical considerations of using ChatGPT in health care. J Med Internet Res. 2023;25:e48009. doi:10.2196/48009. PubMed PMID: 37566454.

