

Design of Bioreactors for Tissue Engineering

Vikrant Singh^{1*}, Rohit Yadav²

Abstract

Utilizing ultra-scale down and microfluidic technology, micro and mini bioreactors are well characterized for usage in bioprocess research in from before the manufacture. Through use of bioreactors to study regular and pathophysiology, on the other hand, must be extremely distinct, and the physiological environment has an impact on bioreactor construction. The basic elements required for bioprocesses bioreactor to handle three major areas related to biological systems are examined in this review. All of these projects aim to recreate the in vitro model as accurately as possible so that they're being utilized to research cellular and molecular changes that occur physiology in order to develop tissue-engineered transplants for therapeutic use, at the molecular level, understanding disease pathogenesis, establishing potential therapeutic targets thus allowing adequate pharmaceutical testing on a truly realistic organoid, allowing for better medication design while also reducing the number of animals used in research. Also discussed is the use of bioreactor systems for the growth of clinically important types of cells. In contrast to cell growth, additional physical cues are required for the development of functioning three-dimensional tissue analogues. Bioreactors for musculoskeletal tissue engineering, as a result, are discussed.

Keywords: Bioreactors, technology, tissue engineering, therapeutics, pathophysiology, cell signalling

INTRODUCTION

Bioreactor technology is technology which is required for tissue engineering. Bioreactors are commonly to use during tissue growth to offer a tissue-specific physiological in situ microenvironment. Bioreactors always had the ability to improve the operational productivity of the tissue engineering approach, in addition to this most obvious use. Bioreactor technologies for tissue-specific attempts have been made to date. Some of these technologies are already available on the market. Various functional tissues of various sorts were produced and cultivated in vitro using bioreactor technology. Despite these efforts and accomplishments, therapeutically viable tissue-engineered implantations have yet to emerge [1–3]. We examine bioreactor systems' potential applications in tissue engineering and give essential criteria and guidelines for bioreactor development. Bioreactors are utilized in three methods for tissue engineering, to create an in vitro

***Author for Correspondence**
Vikrant Singh

¹Student, Department of Biotechnology, Manipur University, Indo-Myanmar Road, Canchipur, Imphal, Manipur, India

²Student, Department of Biotechnology, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

Received Date: April 25, 2022

Accepted Date: May 18, 2022

Published Date: June 01, 2022

Citation: Vikrant Singh, Rohit Yadav. Design of Bioreactors for Tissue Engineering. International Journal of Industrial Biotechnology and Biomaterials. 2022; 8(1): 1–4p.

replica of the condition whereby cells survive in vivo to better understand organelle and cellular components, where cells are grown for future clinical uses. Examples include clinical uses, genetic and cellular treatments, and imitating a sick condition to research pathophysiology, to identify novel therapeutic targets and evaluate potential of new medicines in a more realistic environment than traditional in vitro production [4, 5].

Bioreactors have a variety of additional applications. Small and microbioreactors are frequently used during production to create novel

production methods prior to full-scale fabrication, and research facility applications. This review will be on the design of bioreactors that are intended to imitate the functional characteristics of an in vivo condition.

DESIGN OF A BIOREACTOR

Perfusion Systems Bioreactor

Perfusion reactors, mimic in vivo environment quite accurately. Perfusion systems are used in the most effective and successful microbioreactors [6]. Others provide a microgravity condition, while others provide simple downwards or cross flow. Rotating wall cultured cells techniques and fluidization bioreactors are examples of the latter, which achieve more mass transfer. However, the circulation must be maximized, appropriate perfusion leads to improved tissue-specific expressions, whereas excessive perfusion can have an apparently by eliminating some key paracrine proteins, has an influence not just on cellular proliferation, but also with the cell survival and performance. To improve the replanting of decellularized lung tissue with C10 lung tissue and tissue and organs mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) and evaluate an influence on development of the re-cellularized construct, researchers used the rotational cell culture system (RCCS) [7–9].

Shear Stress

While the dynamic condition is advantageous, the fact that it provides some shear stress considering the nature of the flow does have an effect on performance. It is beneficial in some tissues, including the vascular system, but this does not always reflect the physiological status in others. Flow of blood through all the venous system in the liver is 1200 mL/min; nevertheless, the functional cells of the liver, known as hepatocytes, are shielded from shearing by the sinusoidal endothelial cell fenestrae. Only the most advanced bioreactors can ability to adapt quickly.

Cellular Signalling and Mechano-transduction

Such loads cause mechano-transduction of cellular signalling pathways at a much more molecular level. Focused adhesions, cell-to-cell interactions, integrin and cadherin, and nuclear deformation are all examples [10]. Based on how they are having received a stimulation including such surface rigidity, sensing the surrounding ecology (milliseconds to seconds), altering expression of genes (minutes to hours), Improvements in cellular activity and functioning (days) and possibly tissue growth (weeks) could occur anywhere between seconds to weeks (weeks) [11]. Proteins like cell adhesion kinases and YAP (yes-associated protein) are involved in such mechanisms. Tissue differentiation can be triggered by mechanical stimulation. The mechano-differentiation of stem cells subjected to a load is one example.

Oxygenation

Another aspect of bioreactor design that is commonly overlooked is the delivery of appropriate oxygen pressures, especially in bioreactors which use culture media as when the nutrition supply is used. Although oxygen penetration through aqueous solutions is poor. Unlike the oxygen-carrying capability of blood that usually circulates throughout the body. Despite thin layers of liquids in the fluid channel could assist microbioreactors avoid, total control over oxygen delivery and consumption is difficult to achieve. The introduction of fluorescent oxygen sensors has aided advancement in this area, despite the technology's limitations, is not sufficiently robust whenever the perfusion fluid contains high protein content, such as plasma [12–15]. Because the oxygen metabolism needs of cardiac myocytes and hepatocellular cells differ, entire organ bioreactors have not been used. In large tissue engineering, a diffusional supply of oxygen is insufficient to meet these needs [16].

Bioreactors are Being Used to Create Implantable Tissue Constructs

Decellularized aortae from fetal pigs were implanted with canine endothelial cells and left for 3 days in a mostly stable environment and increase cell adhesion, followed by 7 days of continuous bioreactor culture until being transplanted into the internal carotid artery [17–19]. The bioreactor

required a constant liquid flow of 20 mL/min, which increased by 10 mL/min every day until it reached 60 mL/min; simultaneously constant (10 mmHg) and progressive (60 mmHg) pressure are applied as in vivo. These grafts were reformed 6 months following installation, presenting as regular arteries with entire endothelial layer derived from of the implants, native to the animals, and endothelial progenitor cells derived from of the plasma. There is really potential in the area leading to a shortage of organ transplants, research efforts to "grow" organs for transplantation, and advancements in decellularization/recellularization procedures [20].

CONCLUSION

Significant advancements in bioreactor construction and operation have been accomplished over the last decade. Systems have been designed that enable for the maintenance of stable and repeatable culture conditions. The creation of practical systems that can anticipate performance based on the natural cell niche from in vivo physiological requires specific bioreactor construction. Whereas more advanced bioprocess approaches are much more likely to represent the natural physiological condition, simplified creations are much more probably to be organizationally rigorous, so a compromise between bioreactor complexity and the key functional variable of a desired outcome is always essential.

REFERENCES

1. Martin I, Wendt D, Heberer M. The role of bioreactors in tissue engineering. *Trends Biotechnol.* 2004;22(2):80–6. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2003.12.001.
2. Carrier RL, Rupnick M, Langer R, Schoen FJ, Freed LE, Vunjak-Novakovic G. Perfusion improves tissue architecture of engineered cardiac muscle. *Tissue Eng.* 2002;8(2):175–88. doi: 10.1089/107632702753724950.
3. King JA, Miller WM. Bioreactor development for stem cell expansion and controlled differentiation. *Curr Opin Chem Biol.* 2007;11(4):394–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2007.05.034.
4. Crabbé A, Liu Y, Sarker SF, Bonenfant NR, Barrila J, Borg ZD, et al. Recellularization of decellularized lung scaffolds is enhanced by dynamic suspension culture. *PLOS ONE.* 2015;10(5):e0126846. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0126846.
5. Kulig KM, Vacanti JP. Hepatic tissue engineering. *Transpl Immunol.* 2004;12(3–4):303–10. doi: 10.1016/j.trim.2003.12.005.
6. Nahmias Y, Berthiaume F, Yarmush ML. Integration of technologies for hepatic tissue engineering. *Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol.* 2007;103:309–29. doi: 10.1007/10_029.
7. Wolff J. Das Gesetz der Transformation der Knochen. *Dtsch med Wochenschr.* Hirshwald; Berlin, Germany. 1893;19(47):1222–4. doi: 10.1055/s-0028–1144106.
8. Davis H. Conservative surgery. New York: Appleton; 1867.
9. Gonzalez-Molina J, Selden BFC. Extracellular fluid viscosity enhances cell-substrate interaction and impacts on cell size and morphology. London, UK: TCES; 2016. p. 74.
10. McFetridge PS, Abe K, Horrocks M, Chaudhuri JB. Vascular tissue engineering: bioreactor design considerations for extended culture of primary human vascular smooth muscle cells. *ASAIO J.* 2007;53(5):623–30. doi: 10.1097/MAT.0b013e31812f3b7e.
11. Groeber F, Engelhardt L, Lange J, Kurdyn S, Schmid FF, Rücker C, et al. A first vascularized skin equivalent as an alternative to animal experimentation. *ALTEX.* 2016;33(4):415–22. doi: 10.14573/altex.1604041.
12. Egger D, Spitz S, Fischer M, Handschuh S, Glösmann M, Friemert B, et al. Application of a parallelizable perfusion bioreactor for physiologic 3D cell culture. *Cells Tissues Organs.* 2017;203(5):316–26. doi: 10.1159/000457792.
13. Weinbaum S, Cowin SC, Zeng Y. A model for the excitation of osteocytes by mechanical loading-induced bone fluid shear stresses. *J Biomech.* 1994;27(3):339–60. doi: 10.1016/0021–9290(94)90010–8.
14. Hung CT, Mauck RL, Wang CC, Lima EG, Ateshian GA. A paradigm for functional tissue engineering of articular cartilage via applied physiologic deformational loading. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 2004;32(1):35–49. doi: 10.1023/b:abme.0000007789.99565.42.

15. Seidel JO, Pei M, Gray ML, Langer R, Freed LE, Vunjak-Novakovic G. Long-term culture of tissue engineered cartilage in a perfused chamber with mechanical stimulation. *Biorheology*. 2004;41(3–4):445–58.
16. Liu C, Abedian R, Meister R, Haasper C, Hurschler C, Krettek C, et al. Influence of perfusion and compression on the proliferation and differentiation of bone mesenchymal stromal cells seeded on polyurethane scaffolds. *Biomaterials*. 2012;33(4):1052–64. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.10.041.
17. Boccafroschi F, Botta M, Fusaro L, Copes F, Ramella M, Cannas M. Decellularized biological matrices: an interesting approach for cardiovascular tissue repair and regeneration. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med*. 2017;11(5):1648–57. doi: 10.1002/term.2103.
18. Rana D, Zreiqat H, Benkirane-Jessel N, Ramakrishna S, Ramalingam M. Development of decellularized scaffolds for stem cell-driven tissue engineering. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med*. 2017;11(4):942–65. doi: 10.1002/term.2061.
19. Ma X, He Z, Li L, Liu G, Li Q, Yang D, et al. Development and in vivo validation of tissue-engineered, small-diameter vascular grafts from decellularized aortae of fetal pigs and canine vascular endothelial cells. *J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2017;12(1):101. doi: 10.1186/s13019-017-0661-x.
20. Ghaedi M, Le AV, Hatachi G, Beloiartsev A, Rocco K, Sivarapatna A, et al. Bioengineered lungs generated from human iPSCS-derived epithelial cells on native extracellular matrix. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med*. 2018;12(3):e1623–35. doi: 10.1002/term.2589.